Exhibit 5

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 2 of 165 PageID: 82789

Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION

Case No. 16-2738 (FLW) (LHG)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES

MDL Docket No. 2738

Friday, March 29, 2019

- - - - -

The video deposition of MICHAEL BIRRER, M.D., Ph.D., taken pursuant to notice, was held at the law offices of Butler Snow, LLP, One Federal Place, Suite 1000, 1819 Fifth Avenue North, Birmingham, Alabama, commencing at approximately 9:03 a.m., on the above date, before Lois Anne Robinson, Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public for the State of Alabama.

	Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES	1	INDEX
2 3	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS' STEERING COMMITTEE: BEASLEY ALLEN LAW FIRM	2	EXAMINATION PAGE
4	218 Commerce Street	3	By Ms. Thompson 10
	Montgomery, Alabama 36104 BY: Margaret M Thompson,	4	By Ms. Curry 424
5	M D , J D , MPAFF Margaret thompson@beasleyallen com	5	, ,
6	Sydney Everett, Esquire	6	****
7	Sydney everett@beasleyallen com	7	EXHIBITS
8	ROBINSON CALCAGNIE, INC 19 Corporate Plaza Drive	8	1 Expert report of Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph D. 20
9	Newport Beach, California 92660	9	Expert report of whenaci Birter, wi.D., 1 if D. 20
10	BY: Cynthia L Garber, Esquire Cgarber@robinsonfirm.com	10	2 Curriculum vitae of Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D. 21
11	RESTAINO LAW, LLC	11	2 Currentum vitae of Michael Birlet, M.D., 1 ii.D. 21
12	130 Forest Street	1	
13	Denver, Colorado 80220 BY: John M Restaino, JR, DPM, ESQ	12	3 Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Document 21
14	Jrestaino@restainollc com	13	Requests contained in Notice
15	NAPOLI SHKOLNIK PLLC	14	
16	400 Broadway Road, Suite 305 Melville, New York 11747	15	4 Health Canada - Draft Screening Assessment - Talc 48
17	BY: ALASTAIR J M FINDEIS, ESQUIRE Afindeis@napolilaw.com	16	
18		17	5 FDA letter to Samuel Epstein regarding Citizen 49
19	FOR THE DEFENDANT, JOHNSON & JOHNSON:	18	Petitions
20	NUTTER, McCLENNEN & FISH, LLP 155 Seaport Boulevard	19	
	Boston, Massachusetts 02210	20	6 IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic 50
21	BY: Dawn M Curry, ESQUIRE Dawn@nutter.com	21	Risks to Humans
22	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM, LLP	22	
23	4 Times Square New York, New York 10036	23	7 "Weight of Evidence: General Principles and 53
24	BY: Benjamin Halperin, Esquire Benjamin halperin@skadden com	24	Current Applications at Health Canada"
	Page 3		Page 5
1	Page 3 APPEARANCES-(continued)	1	Page 5 INDEX-(continued)
1 2	APPEARANCES - (continued)	1 2	
3	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP	2	INDEX-(continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81
2	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E.	2 3	INDEX-(continued)
3	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309	2 3 4	INDEX-(continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81
3 4	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E.	2 3 4 5	INDEX-(continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81
2 3 4 5	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com	2 3 4 5 6	INDEX-(continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113
2 3 4 5 6 7	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI:	2 3 4 5 6 7	INDEX-(continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113
2 3 4 5	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI: TUCKER ELLIS, LLP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I N D E X - (continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113 10 "Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation" 149
2 3 4 5 6 7	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	INDEX-(continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113 10 "Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation" 149 11 "Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow?" 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI: TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	INDEX-(continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113 10 "Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation" 149 11 "Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow?" 153
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI: TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	INDEX-(continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113 10 "Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation" 149 11 "Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow?" 153 (Fran Balkwill, Alberto Mantovani)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI: TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	INDEX - (continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113 10 "Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation" 149 11 "Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow?" 153 (Fran Balkwill, Alberto Mantovani) 12 Free Radical Biology & Medicine, Review Article 162
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI: TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	INDEX-(continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113 10 "Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation" 149 11 "Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow?" 153 (Fran Balkwill, Alberto Mantovani) 12 Free Radical Biology & Medicine, Review Article "Oxidative stress, inflammation, and cancer: How are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI: TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com VIDEOGRAPHER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	INDEX-(continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113 10 "Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation" 149 11 "Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow?" 153 (Fran Balkwill, Alberto Mantovani) 12 Free Radical Biology & Medicine, Review Article "Oxidative stress, inflammation, and cancer: How are they linked?" (Simone Reuter, Gupta, et al.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI: TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com VIDEOGRAPHER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEX-(continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113 10 "Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation" 149 11 "Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow?" 153 (Fran Balkwill, Alberto Mantovani) 12 Free Radical Biology & Medicine, Review Article "Oxidative stress, inflammation, and cancer: How are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI: TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com VIDEOGRAPHER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	INDEX - (continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113 10 "Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation" 149 11 "Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow?" 153 (Fran Balkwill, Alberto Mantovani) 12 Free Radical Biology & Medicine, Review Article "Oxidative stress, inflammation, and cancer: How are they linked?" (Simone Reuter, Gupta, et al.) 13 "Epithelial ovarian cancer" (Stephanie Lheureux, 166
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI: TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com VIDEOGRAPHER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	INDEX-(continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113 10 "Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation" 149 11 "Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow?" 153 (Fran Balkwill, Alberto Mantovani) 12 Free Radical Biology & Medicine, Review Article "Oxidative stress, inflammation, and cancer: How are they linked?" (Simone Reuter, Gupta, et al.) 13 "Epithelial ovarian cancer" (Stephanie Lheureux, 166 C. Gourley, et al.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI: TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com VIDEOGRAPHER: Devyn Mulholland	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	INDEX - (continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113 10 "Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation" 149 11 "Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow?" 153 (Fran Balkwill, Alberto Mantovani) 12 Free Radical Biology & Medicine, Review Article "Oxidative stress, inflammation, and cancer: How are they linked?" (Simone Reuter, Gupta, et al.) 13 "Epithelial ovarian cancer" (Stephanie Lheureux, 166 C. Gourley, et al.) 14 Federal Register/ Vol. 81, No. 243/ Monday, 199
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI: TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com VIDEOGRAPHER: Devyn Mulholland	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	INDEX-(continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113 10 "Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation" 149 11 "Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow?" 153 (Fran Balkwill, Alberto Mantovani) 12 Free Radical Biology & Medicine, Review Article "Oxidative stress, inflammation, and cancer: How are they linked?" (Simone Reuter, Gupta, et al.) 13 "Epithelial ovarian cancer" (Stephanie Lheureux, 166 C. Gourley, et al.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI: TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com VIDEOGRAPHER: Devyn Mulholland	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	INDEX - (continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113 10 "Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation" 149 11 "Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow?" 153 (Fran Balkwill, Alberto Mantovani) 12 Free Radical Biology & Medicine, Review Article "Oxidative stress, inflammation, and cancer: How are they linked?" (Simone Reuter, Gupta, et al.) 13 "Epithelial ovarian cancer" (Stephanie Lheureux, 166 C. Gourley, et al.) 14 Federal Register/ Vol. 81, No. 243/ Monday, 199 December 19, 2016
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI: TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com VIDEOGRAPHER: Devyn Mulholland	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INDEX - (continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113 10 "Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation" 149 11 "Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow?" 153 (Fran Balkwill, Alberto Mantovani) 12 Free Radical Biology & Medicine, Review Article "Oxidative stress, inflammation, and cancer: How are they linked?" (Simone Reuter, Gupta, et al.) 13 "Epithelial ovarian cancer" (Stephanie Lheureux, 166 C. Gourley, et al.) 14 Federal Register/ Vol. 81, No. 243/ Monday, 199 December 19, 2016 15 "The relationship between perineal cosmetic talc 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI: TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com VIDEOGRAPHER: Devyn Mulholland	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INDEX - (continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113 10 "Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation" 149 11 "Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow?" 153 (Fran Balkwill, Alberto Mantovani) 12 Free Radical Biology & Medicine, Review Article "Oxidative stress, inflammation, and cancer: How are they linked?" (Simone Reuter, Gupta, et al.) 13 "Epithelial ovarian cancer" (Stephanie Lheureux, 166 C. Gourley, et al.) 14 Federal Register/ Vol. 81, No. 243/ Monday, 199 December 19, 2016 15 "The relationship between perineal cosmetic talc 205 usage and ovarian talc particle burden" (Debra Heller,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A P P E A R A N C E S - (continued) COUNSEL FOR PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS COUNCIL: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP 1075 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309 BY: Eric Barton, ESQUIRE Ebarton@seyfarth.com COUNSEL FOR PTI: TUCKER ELLIS, LLP 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6950 Chicago, Illinois 60606-9997 BY: JAMES W. MIZGALA, ESQUIRE James.mizgala@tuckerellis.com VIDEOGRAPHER: Devyn Mulholland	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	INDEX-(continued) 8 List of Co-Authors 81 9 List of 62 statements 113 10 "Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation" 149 11 "Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow?" 153 (Fran Balkwill, Alberto Mantovani) 12 Free Radical Biology & Medicine, Review Article "Oxidative stress, inflammation, and cancer: How are they linked?" (Simone Reuter, Gupta, et al.) 13 "Epithelial ovarian cancer" (Stephanie Lheureux, 166 C. Gourley, et al.) 14 Federal Register/ Vol. 81, No. 243/ Monday, 199 December 19, 2016 15 "The relationship between perineal cosmetic talc 205

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

7	Page 6		Page 8
1	INDEX-(Continued)	1	INDEX-(Continued)
2	· · · · · ·	2	
3	16 Ultrastructural Pathology - "Correlative 209	3	27 Curriculum vitae of Ghassan M. Saed, Ph.D. 311
4	polarizing light and scanning electron microscopy for	4	
5	the assessment of talc in pelvic region lymph nodes"	5	28 "Molecular Basis Supporting the Association of 320
6	(Sandra McDonald, et al.)	6	Talcum Powder Use With Increased Risk of Ovarian Cancer"
7		7	(Fletcher, et al.)
8	17 American Cancer Society - "Talcum Powder and 234	8	
9	Cancer What is talcum powder?	9	29 GYN-188-1020: Final Decision - 9/19/18 - To 328
10		10	Ghassan Saed from Robert Bristow, Editor, Gynecologic
11	18 "Ovarian Cancers: Evolving Paradigms in Research 246	11	Oncology
12	and Care" (The National Academies of	12	
13	Sciences-Engineering-Medicine)	13	30 "FWD: Reproductive Sciences - Decision of 329
14		14	Manuscript ID RSCI-18-671"
15	19 Practice Issues - "What is New in Ovarian 253	15	
16	Cancer?" (Jason D. Wright, M.D.)	16	31 Tables from Saed manuscript with handwritten 366
17		17	chart
18	20 "Asbestos (Chrysotile, Amosite, Crocidolite, 264	18	
19	Tremolite, Actinolite, and Anthophyllite)"	19	32 Gynecologic Oncology - Review Article - "Updates 393
20		20	of the role of oxidative stress in the pathogenesis
21	21 American Journal of Industrial Medicine - 268	21	of ovarian cancer" (Saed, et al)
22	"Asbestos Exposure and Ovarian Fiber Burden" (Heller, et	22	
23	al.)	23	33 "Alterations in Gene Expression in Human Mesothelial 400
24		24	Cells Correlate with Mineral Pathogenicity" (Shukla)
	Page 7		Page 9
1	INDEX-(continued)	1	INDEX-(Continued)
2		2	34 "Pycnogenol reduces Talc-induced Neoplastic 406
3	22 "The Analysis of Johnson & Johnson's Historical 281	3	Transformation in Human Ovarian Cell Cultures"
4	Baby Powder & Shower to Shower Products from the	4	(Buz'Zard)
5	1960's for Amphibole Asbestos" (Longo, Rigler)	5	
6	November 14, 2018	6	35 Toxicology in Vitro - "The primary role of 409
7		7	iron-mediated lipid peroxidation in the differential
8	23 "The Analysis of Johnson & Johnson's Historical 282	8	cytotoxicity caused by two varieties of talc nanoparticles
9	Product Containers and Imerys' Historical Railroad	9	on A549 cells and lipid peroxidation inhibitory effect
10	Car Samples from the 1960's to the Early 2000's for	10	exerted by ascorbic acid" (Akhtar, et al.)
11	Amphibole Asbestos" (Longo, Rigler) January 15, 2019	11	
12	24 DM WILL 0 X L 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	12	36 "Cytotoxicity and Apoptosis Induction by 415
13	24 BMJ: "Johnson & Johnson knew for decades talcum 287	13	Nanoscale Talc Particles from Two Different Geographical
14	powder contained asbestos, reports allege" (Owen Dyer)	14	Regions in Human Lung Epithelial Cells" (Akhtar, et al.)
15	25 HCamanandana Barton Sanda S	15	
16	25 "Correspondence - Erratum: Smooth reference 294	16	
16		17	
17	equations for slow vital capacity and flow-volume	10	
17 18	equations for slow vital capacity and flow-volume curve indexes"	18	
17 18 19	curve indexes"	19	
17 18 19 20	curve indexes" 26 Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology - "Mechanistic 301	19 20	
17 18 19 20 21	curve indexes" 26 Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology - "Mechanistic 301 in vitro studies: What they have told us about	19 20 21	
17 18 19 20 21 22	curve indexes" 26 Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology - "Mechanistic 301 in vitro studies: What they have told us about carcinogenic properties of elongated mineral particles	19 20 21 22	
17 18 19 20 21	curve indexes" 26 Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology - "Mechanistic 301 in vitro studies: What they have told us about	19 20 21	

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 5 of 165 PageID: 82792 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 10		Page 12
1	VIDEOGRAPHER:	1	It it eventually went to to court. They
2	We are now on the record. My name is	2	have a panel up there of three judges, which sort
3	Devyn Mulholland. I'm a videographer for Golkow	3	of prescreens it.
4	Litigation Services. Today's date is March 29th,	4	Q And you've also submitted a previous
5	2019. The time is 9:03 a m.	5	report in this case; correct?
6	This video deposition is being held in	6	MS. CURRY:
7	Birmingham, Alabama, in the matter of Talcum	7	Object to the form.
8	Powder Litigation, MDL Number 2738. The deponent	8	A Correct.
9	is Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.	9	MS. THOMPSON:
10	Counsel will be noted on the	10	Q That was in the Swan case? Does that
11	stenographic record. The court reporter is Lois	11	sound familiar?
12	Robinson and will now swear in the witness.	12	A Yes.
13	MICHAEL BIRRER, M.D., PH.D.,	13	Q Have any of your opinions and that
14	the witness, after having first been	14	was in May 2017. Does that sound right?
15	duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth,	15	A That sounds right.
16	and nothing but the truth, was examined and		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
17	testified as follows:	16	Q Have any of your opinions in this case
18	EXAMINATION	17	changed since May 2017?
	EXAMINATION BY MS. THOMPSON:	18	A No.
19		19	Q Have any of your opinions changed since
20	Q Dr. Birrer, I'm Margaret Thompson, and	20	you were deposed in September of 2018?
21	I'll be taking your deposition today.	21	A No.
22	You've had your deposition taken	22	Q I guess that would be a "no" if they
23	before; right?	23	hadn't changed since 2017.
24	A Correct.	24	A It's consistent.
	Page 11		
	rage 11		Page 13
1		1	
1 2	Q Including in the talcum powder	1 2	Q And you're aware that the purpose of
			Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding
2	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct?A Yes.	2	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or
2 3 4	 Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in 	2 3 4	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial?
2 3 4 5	 Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? 	2 3 4 5	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that 	2 3 4 5 6	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions;
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No. Q And when was that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No. Q And when was that? A That was prior to the talc. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right? A Yes. Q And your report states that your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No. Q And when was that? A That was prior to the talc. It's probably goes back, I want to say, 2015, 2012,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right? A Yes. Q And your report states that your opinions are given to a reasonable degree of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No. Q And when was that? A That was prior to the talc. It's probably goes back, I want to say, 2015, 2012, somewhere	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right? A Yes. Q And your report states that your opinions are given to a reasonable degree of scientific and medical certainty.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No. Q And when was that? A That was prior to the talc. It's probably goes back, I want to say, 2015, 2012, somewhere Q And what sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right? A Yes. Q And your report states that your opinions are given to a reasonable degree of scientific and medical certainty. What does that mean to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No. Q And when was that? A That was prior to the talc. It's probably goes back, I want to say, 2015, 2012, somewhere Q And what sorry. A Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right? A Yes. Q And your report states that your opinions are given to a reasonable degree of scientific and medical certainty. What does that mean to you? A It means that, basically, more often
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No. Q And when was that? A That was prior to the talc. It's probably goes back, I want to say, 2015, 2012, somewhere Q And what sorry. A Yeah. Q What was the nature of that matter?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right? A Yes. Q And your report states that your opinions are given to a reasonable degree of scientific and medical certainty. What does that mean to you? A It means that, basically, more often than not, they're correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No. Q And when was that? A That was prior to the talc. It's probably goes back, I want to say, 2015, 2012, somewhere Q And what sorry. A Yeah. Q What was the nature of that matter? A I was in Massachusetts at the time. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right? A Yes. Q And your report states that your opinions are given to a reasonable degree of scientific and medical certainty. What does that mean to you? A It means that, basically, more often than not, they're correct. Q And you are a medical doctor as well as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No. Q And when was that? A That was prior to the talc. It's probably goes back, I want to say, 2015, 2012, somewhere Q And what sorry. A Yeah. Q What was the nature of that matter? A I was in Massachusetts at the time. It was a delayed diagnosis case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right? A Yes. Q And your report states that your opinions are given to a reasonable degree of scientific and medical certainty. What does that mean to you? A It means that, basically, more often than not, they're correct. Q And you are a medical doctor as well as a Ph.D. researcher; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No. Q And when was that? A That was prior to the talc. It's probably goes back, I want to say, 2015, 2012, somewhere Q And what sorry. A Yeah. Q What was the nature of that matter? A I was in Massachusetts at the time. It was a delayed diagnosis case. Q A medical malpractice case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right? A Yes. Q And your report states that your opinions are given to a reasonable degree of scientific and medical certainty. What does that mean to you? A It means that, basically, more often than not, they're correct. Q And you are a medical doctor as well as a Ph.D. researcher; correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No. Q And when was that? A That was prior to the talc. It's probably goes back, I want to say, 2015, 2012, somewhere Q And what sorry. A Yeah. Q What was the nature of that matter? A I was in Massachusetts at the time. It was a delayed diagnosis case. Q A medical malpractice case? A Medical malpractice, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right? A Yes. Q And your report states that your opinions are given to a reasonable degree of scientific and medical certainty. What does that mean to you? A It means that, basically, more often than not, they're correct. Q And you are a medical doctor as well as a Ph.D. researcher; correct? A Correct. Q Do you currently see patients?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No. Q And when was that? A That was prior to the talc. It's probably goes back, I want to say, 2015, 2012, somewhere Q And what sorry. A Yeah. Q What was the nature of that matter? A I was in Massachusetts at the time. It was a delayed diagnosis case. Q A medical malpractice case? A Medical malpractice, yes. Q Were you testifying for the plaintiff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right? A Yes. Q And your report states that your opinions are given to a reasonable degree of scientific and medical certainty. What does that mean to you? A It means that, basically, more often than not, they're correct. Q And you are a medical doctor as well as a Ph.D. researcher; correct? A Correct. Q Do you currently see patients? A I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No. Q And when was that? A That was prior to the talc. It's probably goes back, I want to say, 2015, 2012, somewhere Q And what sorry. A Yeah. Q What was the nature of that matter? A I was in Massachusetts at the time. It was a delayed diagnosis case. Q A medical malpractice case? A Medical malpractice, yes. Q Were you testifying for the plaintiff or for the defendant?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right? A Yes. Q And your report states that your opinions are given to a reasonable degree of scientific and medical certainty. What does that mean to you? A It means that, basically, more often than not, they're correct. Q And you are a medical doctor as well as a Ph.D. researcher; correct? A Correct. Q Do you currently see patients? A I do. Q Do you currently diagnose ovarian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No. Q And when was that? A That was prior to the talc. It's probably goes back, I want to say, 2015, 2012, somewhere Q And what sorry. A Yeah. Q What was the nature of that matter? A I was in Massachusetts at the time. It was a delayed diagnosis case. Q A medical malpractice case? A Medical malpractice, yes. Q Were you testifying for the plaintiff or for the defendant? A Defendant.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right? A Yes. Q And your report states that your opinions are given to a reasonable degree of scientific and medical certainty. What does that mean to you? A It means that, basically, more often than not, they're correct. Q And you are a medical doctor as well as a Ph.D. researcher; correct? A Correct. Q Do you currently see patients? A I do. Q Do you currently diagnose ovarian cancer in women?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No. Q And when was that? A That was prior to the talc. It's probably goes back, I want to say, 2015, 2012, somewhere Q And what sorry. A Yeah. Q What was the nature of that matter? A I was in Massachusetts at the time. It was a delayed diagnosis case. Q A medical malpractice case? A Medical malpractice, yes. Q Were you testifying for the plaintiff or for the defendant? A Defendant. Q Was it a physician or a doc a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right? A Yes. Q And your report states that your opinions are given to a reasonable degree of scientific and medical certainty. What does that mean to you? A It means that, basically, more often than not, they're correct. Q And you are a medical doctor as well as a Ph.D. researcher; correct? A Correct. Q Do you currently see patients? A I do. Q Do you currently diagnose ovarian cancer in women? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Including in the talcum powder litigation; correct? A Yes. Q Have you had your deposition taken in any other situation? A I gave testimony in a case, but that wasn't a deposition, I don't think. No. Q And when was that? A That was prior to the talc. It's probably goes back, I want to say, 2015, 2012, somewhere Q And what sorry. A Yeah. Q What was the nature of that matter? A I was in Massachusetts at the time. It was a delayed diagnosis case. Q A medical malpractice case? A Medical malpractice, yes. Q Were you testifying for the plaintiff or for the defendant? A Defendant.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And you're aware that the purpose of today is for me to gain a thorough understanding of what opinions you plan to give at a hearing or trial? A Yes. Q And the basis for those opinions; right? A Yes. Q And your report states that your opinions are given to a reasonable degree of scientific and medical certainty. What does that mean to you? A It means that, basically, more often than not, they're correct. Q And you are a medical doctor as well as a Ph.D. researcher; correct? A Correct. Q Do you currently see patients? A I do. Q Do you currently diagnose ovarian cancer in women?

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 14		Page 16
1	A Yes.	1	A Yes.
2	Q And would that primarily involve the	2	Q And does that pretty much cover the
3	medical aspects, including chemotherapy	3	types of research that you would be doing in your
4	administration?	4	lab
5	A Yes.	5	MS. CURRY:
6	Q Do you perform any surgical procedures?	6	Object to the form.
7	A No.	7	MS. THOMPSON:
8	Q What	8	Q or in a general sense?
9	A I'm a medical oncologist.	9	A I'm just trying to think if there was
10	Q What	10	anything else. We obviously do a lot of
11	A I could perform it, but it wouldn't	11	review-type papers and articles. You know, I
12	come out very well.	12	think that's pretty broad. I think it does,
13	Q I understand.	13	actually.
14	What percentage of your time involves	14	Q When you do a review article, is that
15	patient care versus research?	15	usually invited by the journal, or is that a
16	A So	16	topic that you have interest in that you submit
17	MS. CURRY:	17	as a publication?
18	Object to the form.	18	A Could be both. A lot of them are
19	A right now I have a half-a-day clinic	19	invited. But we have occasionally thought of
20	a week, and then the research component, I have a	20	areas that we thought were interesting and
21	fully funded lab, probably two days a week. I'm	21	important and suggested it.
22	the director of the cancer center, which also	22	Q And are authors or review articles
23	takes a fair amount of administrative	23	generally intended to be experts in the field?
24	responsibility.	24	MS. CURRY:
	Page 15		Page 17
1	MS. THOMPSON:	1	Object to the form.
2	Q So administrative time	2	A More often than not, yes. But
3	A Yeah.	3	frequently on my reviews, I'll have some junior
4	Q as well included in that?	4	people.
5		1	
	And how would you describe the focus of	5	MS. THOMPSON:
6	And how would you describe the focus of your laboratory search research currently?	5 6	MS. THOMPSON: Q With with a senior author
6	your laboratory search research currently?	6	Q With with a senior author
6 7	your laboratory search research currently? A Almost entirely on ovarian cancer and	6 7	Q With with a senior author usually
6 7 8	your laboratory search research currently? A Almost entirely on ovarian cancer and exploring detailing the genomics, the molecular	6 7 8	Q With with a senior author usually A (Nods affirmatively.)
6 7 8 9	your laboratory search research currently? A Almost entirely on ovarian cancer and exploring detailing the genomics, the molecular basis for ovarian cancer and trying to translate	6 7 8 9	Q With with a senior author usually A (Nods affirmatively.) Q correct? A Correct. Q And that would be, I would think,
6 7 8 9 10	your laboratory search research currently? A Almost entirely on ovarian cancer and exploring detailing the genomics, the molecular basis for ovarian cancer and trying to translate that into better early detection, diagnosis and	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q With with a senior author usually A (Nods affirmatively.) Q correct? A Correct. Q And that would be, I would think, because readers of a journal want to know that
6 7 8 9 10 11	your laboratory search research currently? A Almost entirely on ovarian cancer and exploring detailing the genomics, the molecular basis for ovarian cancer and trying to translate that into better early detection, diagnosis and treatment.	6 7 8 9 10 11	Q With with a senior author usually A (Nods affirmatively.) Q correct? A Correct. Q And that would be, I would think, because readers of a journal want to know that it's an expert in the field that's providing the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	your laboratory search research currently? A Almost entirely on ovarian cancer and exploring detailing the genomics, the molecular basis for ovarian cancer and trying to translate that into better early detection, diagnosis and treatment. Q Are you doing in vitro as well as in vivo research? A Correct.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q With with a senior author usually A (Nods affirmatively.) Q correct? A Correct. Q And that would be, I would think, because readers of a journal want to know that it's an expert in the field that's providing the information in a review article; right?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	your laboratory search research currently? A Almost entirely on ovarian cancer and exploring detailing the genomics, the molecular basis for ovarian cancer and trying to translate that into better early detection, diagnosis and treatment. Q Are you doing in vitro as well as in vivo research? A Correct. Q And have published in both animal	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q With with a senior author usually A (Nods affirmatively.) Q correct? A Correct. Q And that would be, I would think, because readers of a journal want to know that it's an expert in the field that's providing the information in a review article; right? MS. CURRY:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	your laboratory search research currently? A Almost entirely on ovarian cancer and exploring detailing the genomics, the molecular basis for ovarian cancer and trying to translate that into better early detection, diagnosis and treatment. Q Are you doing in vitro as well as in vivo research? A Correct. Q And have published in both animal studies as well as cellular studies?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q With with a senior author usually A (Nods affirmatively.) Q correct? A Correct. Q And that would be, I would think, because readers of a journal want to know that it's an expert in the field that's providing the information in a review article; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	your laboratory search research currently? A Almost entirely on ovarian cancer and exploring detailing the genomics, the molecular basis for ovarian cancer and trying to translate that into better early detection, diagnosis and treatment. Q Are you doing in vitro as well as in vivo research? A Correct. Q And have published in both animal studies as well as cellular studies? A Yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q With with a senior author usually A (Nods affirmatively.) Q correct? A Correct. Q And that would be, I would think, because readers of a journal want to know that it's an expert in the field that's providing the information in a review article; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think so, yeah.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	your laboratory search research currently? A Almost entirely on ovarian cancer and exploring detailing the genomics, the molecular basis for ovarian cancer and trying to translate that into better early detection, diagnosis and treatment. Q Are you doing in vitro as well as in vivo research? A Correct. Q And have published in both animal studies as well as cellular studies? A Yes. Q Have you published with immortalized	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q With with a senior author usually A (Nods affirmatively.) Q correct? A Correct. Q And that would be, I would think, because readers of a journal want to know that it's an expert in the field that's providing the information in a review article; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think so, yeah. MS. THOMPSON:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	your laboratory search research currently? A Almost entirely on ovarian cancer and exploring detailing the genomics, the molecular basis for ovarian cancer and trying to translate that into better early detection, diagnosis and treatment. Q Are you doing in vitro as well as in vivo research? A Correct. Q And have published in both animal studies as well as cellular studies? A Yes. Q Have you published with immortalized cells?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q With with a senior author usually A (Nods affirmatively.) Q correct? A Correct. Q And that would be, I would think, because readers of a journal want to know that it's an expert in the field that's providing the information in a review article; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think so, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would you agree with me that it would
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	your laboratory search research currently? A Almost entirely on ovarian cancer and exploring detailing the genomics, the molecular basis for ovarian cancer and trying to translate that into better early detection, diagnosis and treatment. Q Are you doing in vitro as well as in vivo research? A Correct. Q And have published in both animal studies as well as cellular studies? A Yes. Q Have you published with immortalized cells? A Yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q With with a senior author usually A (Nods affirmatively.) Q correct? A Correct. Q And that would be, I would think, because readers of a journal want to know that it's an expert in the field that's providing the information in a review article; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think so, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would you agree with me that it would be unethical at this point in time to design a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	your laboratory search research currently? A Almost entirely on ovarian cancer and exploring detailing the genomics, the molecular basis for ovarian cancer and trying to translate that into better early detection, diagnosis and treatment. Q Are you doing in vitro as well as in vivo research? A Correct. Q And have published in both animal studies as well as cellular studies? A Yes. Q Have you published with immortalized cells? A Yes. Q Have you published research with human	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q With with a senior author usually A (Nods affirmatively.) Q correct? A Correct. Q And that would be, I would think, because readers of a journal want to know that it's an expert in the field that's providing the information in a review article; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think so, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would you agree with me that it would be unethical at this point in time to design a prospective study in which women were exposed to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	your laboratory search research currently? A Almost entirely on ovarian cancer and exploring detailing the genomics, the molecular basis for ovarian cancer and trying to translate that into better early detection, diagnosis and treatment. Q Are you doing in vitro as well as in vivo research? A Correct. Q And have published in both animal studies as well as cellular studies? A Yes. Q Have you published with immortalized cells? A Yes.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q With with a senior author usually A (Nods affirmatively.) Q correct? A Correct. Q And that would be, I would think, because readers of a journal want to know that it's an expert in the field that's providing the information in a review article; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think so, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would you agree with me that it would be unethical at this point in time to design a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	your laboratory search research currently? A Almost entirely on ovarian cancer and exploring detailing the genomics, the molecular basis for ovarian cancer and trying to translate that into better early detection, diagnosis and treatment. Q Are you doing in vitro as well as in vivo research? A Correct. Q And have published in both animal studies as well as cellular studies? A Yes. Q Have you published with immortalized cells? A Yes. Q Have you published research with human	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q With with a senior author usually A (Nods affirmatively.) Q correct? A Correct. Q And that would be, I would think, because readers of a journal want to know that it's an expert in the field that's providing the information in a review article; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think so, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would you agree with me that it would be unethical at this point in time to design a prospective study in which women were exposed to

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 7 of 165 PageID: 82794

Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 18		Page 20
1	Object to the form.	1	A And this is this is a let me get
2	A Prospectively and randomized and	2	my glasses supplemental materials received by
3	could you just	3	me after this was done.
4	MS. THOMPSON:	4	Q Okay.
5	Q Let's start with just prospectively.	5	A Okay?
6	A I I think it would be a	6	Q And, so, "received by" you meant the
7	interesting question. I don't think it would be	7	lawyers for Johnson & Johnson provided those
8	valuable.	8	supplemental materials to you?
9	Q How about a randomized trial? Would it	9	A It was a little bit of both. I mean,
10	be ethical?	10	some of this I wasn't privy to, so I got it
11	A No. I don't think it would be valuable	11	provided to me, and some of these were additional
12	at all.	12	articles that I was I pulled out.
13	Q But I didn't ask about valuable.	13	Q Okay. And I've marked as Exhibit 1
14	What about ethical?	14	your expert report.
15	A Well, val if it's not valuable, it	15	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 1
16	should it wouldn't be of great concern to do	16	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
17	that. I'm not sure what you're asking.	17	MS. THOMPSON:
18	Q Well, I'm asking if you if you have	18	Q Do you
19	a carcinogen, even a possible carcinogen, you	19	Do you have a copy? You're good on
20	could not design and get a trial through IRB	20	that?
21	using that product and a control group; correct?	21	A And mine's mine's thicker than
22	MR. MIZGALA:	22	yours, so it's got my CV in there.
23	Object to form.	23	Q I separated out your CV. So well,
24	A I guess I I see what now I see	24	good. But that's a good observation.
	Page 19		Page 21
1	what you're asking.	1	And and I marked as Exhibit 2 your
2	So my position on that is that talc	2	CV.
3	is I don't believe talc is a carcinogen.	3	A Okay.
4	MS. THOMPSON:	4	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 2
5	Q I understand. But there are others	5	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
6	that do.	6	MS. THOMPSON:
7	And, so, is it your opinion that an IRB	7	Q And that should
8	would let a study through using what has been	8	And you're good on that, too?
9	designated as a possible carcinogen, say, for	9	MS. CURRY:
10	example, IARC?	10	Thank you.
11	MS. CURRY:	11	MS. THOMPSON:
12	Object to the form.	12	Q That should those combined should be
13	A I have no idea.	13	the same thickness of what you've brought.
14	MS. THOMPSON:	14	And I also brought the Notice of
15	Q All right. So the ground rules are	15	Deposition, which I'm going to hand you.
16	we'll try not to interrupt each other. Let me	16	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 3
17	know if I ask a bad question or one that you	17	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
18	don't understand, and I'll expect you to answer	18	MS. THOMPSON:
19	honestly. Fair enough?	19	Q And this is the one with objections.
20	A Yes.	20	Have you seen this before, Dr. Birrer?
21	Q If you need a break, let me know.	21	A Yes.
22	What did you bring with you today?	22	Q And did you look at the request on
23	A I have my expert report right here.	23	the on this document?
24	Q And is that all you brought with you?	24	A Yes.
	Time is that any you orought with you.		

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 8 of 165 PageID: 82795 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 22		Page 24
1	Q Is there and there's nothing that	1	Q this litigation?
2	was responsive to any of these requests?	2	And be careful not to interrupt just
3	MS. CURRY:	3	because it makes our court reporter's job a
4	Objection. Subject to the objections	4	little more difficult.
5	that were made by counsel.	5	How much money have you been paid total
6	MS. THOMPSON:	6	by Johnson & Johnson in talcum powder litigation?
7	Q Subject	7	A To date, nothing.
8	MS. THOMPSON:	8	Q You haven't been paid for any of the
9	Sorry.	9	other cases that you've testified in?
10	Q Subject to the objections.	10	A Correct.
11	A Yeah.	11	Q Why is that?
12	Q So where would you keep your file for	12	A I'm a lousy businessman. I haven't
13	the litigation?	13	invoiced for Swan yet and I haven't invoiced for
14	MS. CURRY:	14	Brower. But I can I can estimate the hours.
15	And I'm sorry. Just to clarify for the	15	Q Go ahead and estimate.
16	record, there is a small production at the back	16	A Swan I think is around 80 hours
17	that incorporates the	17	Q Okay.
18	MS. THOMPSON:	18	A because it was the initial case. It
19	Yes.	19	was a bundled bundled five cases, so involved
20	MS. CURRY:	20	a lot of review. And the deposition alone was
21	invoice as well as the supplemental	21	quite long. I remember like it was yesterday.
22	fee schedule and the supplemental list of	22	And, then, Brower was probably about 40
23	materials.	23	hours.
24	MS. THOMPSON:	24	Q Okay.
	Page 23		Page 25
1	Right.	1	A And those invoices are being
2	Q So the supplemental material list that	2	constructed.
3	you brought with you today, Dr. Birrer, is	3	Q And you're charging those at the same
4	attached to the back of this notice with	4	rate as in your fee schedule
5	objections; correct?	5	A That's right.
6	A That's the same as this. Yes.	6	Q attached to this document?
7	Q Yes.	7	A That's right.
8	A Yeah. Uh-huh.	8	Q Okay. When were you first approached
9	Q And also attached to this this	9	by Johnson & Johnson as about serving as an
10	notice with objections are your fees; correct?	10	expert in talcum powder litigation?
11	A Correct.	11	A So that was before the that was the
12	Q And are are those all the invoices	12	Blaes or Swan case. I believe it was in
13	that you have submitted thus far?	13	December, around November, December of 2016.
14	A Yes.	14	Q '16?
15	Q And how much and from this	15	A Thank you. Time flies.
16	invoice that's attached to Exhibit 3 goes through	16	Q Only because I know that the report was
17	March 17th.	17	submitted in May, so
18	How much time would you say you have	18	A (Nods affirmatively.)
	spent since March 17th preparing for the case?	19	Q I'm assuming that you didn't work 18
1 9	A I'd say probably put another 15 hours,	20	months on that
19 20	11 10 Say probably put another 13 hours,		A No.
20	7 2 7 2	1 21	
20 21	And I haven't invoiced that yet.	21	
20 21 22	And I haven't invoiced that yet. Q Okay. And you have testified in other	22	Q case.
20 21	And I haven't invoiced that yet.		

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 9 of 165 PageID: 82796

Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 26		Page 28
1	biological plausibility of the plaintiffs' theory	1	with an increased risk of epithelial ovarian
2	that cosmetic talcum powder can cause ovarian	2	cancer?
3	cancer. Right?	3	A Correct.
4	A Correct.	4	Q Is it your opinion that the genital use
5	Q And that would be the stand from	5	of talcum powder is not a risk factor for
6	the standpoint of the genomics and molecular	6	epithelial ovarian cancer?
7	biology that is your expertise; correct?	7	A Correct.
8	MS. CURRY:	8	Q Is it your opinion that genital use of
9	Object to the form.	9	talcum powder products does not cause ovarian
10	A So I think they were asking me in the	10	cancer?
11	big picture the biologic plausibility of talc	11	A Correct.
12	being involved in the causing ovarian cancer	12	Q Is it your opinion that the genital use
13	and then my scientific experience, even clinical	13	of talcum powder products does not cause ovarian
14	experience, would factor into to to that	14	cancer in some women?
15	expert opinion.	15	MS. CURRY:
16	MS. THOMPSON:	16	Object to the form.
17	Q Was that a different opinion than what	17	A Correct.
18	you were asked to provide in the previous cases	18	MS. THOMPSON:
19	that you testified in?	19	Q And that would be ever.
20	MS. CURRY:	20	MS. CURRY:
21	Object to the form.	21	Object object to the form.
22	A Previously the answer, I believe, is	22	A No data to support that.
23	no. But I was asked for general causation	23	MS. THOMPSON:
24	before. This was a more somewhat more narrow	24	Q Is it your opinion that the genital use
	Page 27		Page 29
1	expert opinion.	1	of talcum powder does not contribute to the
2	MS. THOMPSON:	2	development of epithelial ovarian cancer?
3	Q So in this case, you're not providing	3	A Yes.
4	general causation opinions. You're providing the	4	Q And do you say that there's no data to
5	biological mechanism, plausibility opinions;	5	support that as well?
6	correct?	6	A Correct.
7	A Well, the title	7	Q Is it your opinion that genital use of
8	MS. CURRY:	8	talcum powder does not contribute to the
	01: 44 41 6		
9	Object to the form.	9	development of ovarian cancer in some women?
9 10	A The title on the expert report is for	9 10	development of ovarian cancer in some women? MS. CURRY:
	A The title on the expert report is for General Causation For the Daubert Hearing. But		•
10	A The title on the expert report is for General Causation For the Daubert Hearing. But my understanding was was to focus extensively,	10	MS. CURRY:
10 11	A The title on the expert report is for General Causation For the Daubert Hearing. But my understanding was was to focus extensively, if you will, on the biologic plausibility.	10 11	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A There's no data to support that either. MS. THOMPSON:
10 11 12 13 14	A The title on the expert report is for General Causation For the Daubert Hearing. But my understanding was was to focus extensively, if you will, on the biologic plausibility. MS. THOMPSON:	10 11 12	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A There's no data to support that either.
10 11 12 13	A The title on the expert report is for General Causation For the Daubert Hearing. But my understanding was was to focus extensively, if you will, on the biologic plausibility. MS. THOMPSON: Q And because biological plausibility is	10 11 12 13	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A There's no data to support that either. MS. THOMPSON: Q So the answer is yes? A Yes.
10 11 12 13 14	A The title on the expert report is for General Causation For the Daubert Hearing. But my understanding was was to focus extensively, if you will, on the biologic plausibility. MS. THOMPSON:	10 11 12 13 14	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A There's no data to support that either. MS. THOMPSON: Q So the answer is yes?
10 11 12 13 14 15	A The title on the expert report is for General Causation For the Daubert Hearing. But my understanding was was to focus extensively, if you will, on the biologic plausibility. MS. THOMPSON: Q And because biological plausibility is part of general causation; correct? A Correct.	10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A There's no data to support that either. MS. THOMPSON: Q So the answer is yes? A Yes. Q Is it your opinion that any proposed biologic mechanism for how the genital use of
10 11 12 13 14 15	A The title on the expert report is for General Causation For the Daubert Hearing. But my understanding was was to focus extensively, if you will, on the biologic plausibility. MS. THOMPSON: Q And because biological plausibility is part of general causation; correct?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A There's no data to support that either. MS. THOMPSON: Q So the answer is yes? A Yes. Q Is it your opinion that any proposed biologic mechanism for how the genital use of talcum powder products could cause epithelial
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A The title on the expert report is for General Causation For the Daubert Hearing. But my understanding was was to focus extensively, if you will, on the biologic plausibility. MS. THOMPSON: Q And because biological plausibility is part of general causation; correct? A Correct.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A There's no data to support that either. MS. THOMPSON: Q So the answer is yes? A Yes. Q Is it your opinion that any proposed biologic mechanism for how the genital use of talcum powder products could cause epithelial ovarian cancer is not plausible?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A The title on the expert report is for General Causation For the Daubert Hearing. But my understanding was was to focus extensively, if you will, on the biologic plausibility. MS. THOMPSON: Q And because biological plausibility is part of general causation; correct? A Correct. Q But it's not the whole of general causation. Is that your understanding? A Correct.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A There's no data to support that either. MS. THOMPSON: Q So the answer is yes? A Yes. Q Is it your opinion that any proposed biologic mechanism for how the genital use of talcum powder products could cause epithelial
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A The title on the expert report is for General Causation For the Daubert Hearing. But my understanding was was to focus extensively, if you will, on the biologic plausibility. MS. THOMPSON: Q And because biological plausibility is part of general causation; correct? A Correct. Q But it's not the whole of general causation. Is that your understanding?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A There's no data to support that either. MS. THOMPSON: Q So the answer is yes? A Yes. Q Is it your opinion that any proposed biologic mechanism for how the genital use of talcum powder products could cause epithelial ovarian cancer is not plausible?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A The title on the expert report is for General Causation For the Daubert Hearing. But my understanding was was to focus extensively, if you will, on the biologic plausibility. MS. THOMPSON: Q And because biological plausibility is part of general causation; correct? A Correct. Q But it's not the whole of general causation. Is that your understanding? A Correct.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A There's no data to support that either. MS. THOMPSON: Q So the answer is yes? A Yes. Q Is it your opinion that any proposed biologic mechanism for how the genital use of talcum powder products could cause epithelial ovarian cancer is not plausible? MS. CURRY:
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A The title on the expert report is for General Causation For the Daubert Hearing. But my understanding was was to focus extensively, if you will, on the biologic plausibility. MS. THOMPSON: Q And because biological plausibility is part of general causation; correct? A Correct. Q But it's not the whole of general causation. Is that your understanding? A Correct. Q So I want to make sure that I	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A There's no data to support that either. MS. THOMPSON: Q So the answer is yes? A Yes. Q Is it your opinion that any proposed biologic mechanism for how the genital use of talcum powder products could cause epithelial ovarian cancer is not plausible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

	Page 30		Page 32
1	Q Is it your opinion that any proposed	1	Object to the form.
2	biologic mechanism for how the genital use of	2	A Correct.
3	talcum powder products might contribute to the	3	MS. THOMPSON:
4	development of ovarian cancer is not plausible?	4	Q Are all the opinions contained in your
5	MS. CURRY:	5	report that you will be providing in this case?
6	Object to the form.	6	A That's a tough question to ask because
7	A There's no data for that either.	7	I don't know what you're gonna ask me.
8	MS. THOMPSON:	8	Q Fair enough.
9	Q So the answer would be yes?	9	Can you think of any areas, sitting
10	A Yes.	10	here today, that you intend to testify in other
11	Q Do you intend to give opinions on	11	than the migration and transport of particles and
12	whether talc particles can reach the ovaries?	12	the molecular and genomics of cellular tissue
13	A I believe on my expert report and in	13	response to tale?
14	and I'm more than happy to talk about it	14	MS. CURRY:
15	reviews the migration theories.	15	Object to the form.
16	Q Do you consider yourself to be an	16	A Well, that's the bulk of my expert
17	expert in that area?	17	report. I'm again, it depends on what you ask
18	A I think that those studies are	18	me within the construct of general causation.
19	relatively straightforward and, based upon my	19	I'm willing to talk about some of that.
20	experience that, I would be relatively easy to	20	MS. THOMPSON:
21	interpret those.	21	Q Okay. I understand.
22	Q Do you feel like you would be in a	22	A Uh-huh.
23	better position than a gynecologist or	23	Q And you are not an epidemiologist;
24	gynecologic oncologist?	24	correct?
	Page 31		Page 33
1	Page 31 A Yes.	1	Page 33 A I don't have a degree in epidemiology.
1 2		1 2	
	A Yes.		A I don't have a degree in epidemiology.
2	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the	2	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training.
2	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female	2 3	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your
2 3 4	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017?	2 3 4	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found	2 3 4 5	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found any new expertise." In the literature or my own	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So in order to be a, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found any new expertise." In the literature or my own experience?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So in order to be a, you know, laboratory-based scientist in this field and a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found any new expertise." In the literature or my own experience? MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So in order to be a, you know, laboratory-based scientist in this field and a clinician to treat patients, you certainly need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found any new expertise." In the literature or my own experience? MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you believe that you have more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So in order to be a, you know, laboratory-based scientist in this field and a clinician to treat patients, you certainly need to have an understanding of epidemiologic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found any new expertise." In the literature or my own experience? MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you believe that you have more expertise in that subject than you did in 2017?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So in order to be a, you know, laboratory-based scientist in this field and a clinician to treat patients, you certainly need to have an understanding of epidemiologic studies, so I have that understanding. And I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found any new expertise." In the literature or my own experience? MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you believe that you have more expertise in that subject than you did in 2017? A I think that it's comparable.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So in order to be a, you know, laboratory-based scientist in this field and a clinician to treat patients, you certainly need to have an understanding of epidemiologic studies, so I have that understanding. And I think that it gives me the ability to assess
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found any new expertise." In the literature or my own experience? MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you believe that you have more expertise in that subject than you did in 2017? A I think that it's comparable. Q So that would be no additional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So in order to be a, you know, laboratory-based scientist in this field and a clinician to treat patients, you certainly need to have an understanding of epidemiologic studies, so I have that understanding. And I think that it gives me the ability to assess epidemiologic studies and to draw conclusions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found any new expertise." In the literature or my own experience? MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you believe that you have more expertise in that subject than you did in 2017? A I think that it's comparable. Q So that would be no additional expertise since 2017, when you testified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So in order to be a, you know, laboratory-based scientist in this field and a clinician to treat patients, you certainly need to have an understanding of epidemiologic studies, so I have that understanding. And I think that it gives me the ability to assess epidemiologic studies and to draw conclusions from them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found any new expertise." In the literature or my own experience? MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you believe that you have more expertise in that subject than you did in 2017? A I think that it's comparable. Q So that would be no additional expertise since 2017, when you testified previously?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So in order to be a, you know, laboratory-based scientist in this field and a clinician to treat patients, you certainly need to have an understanding of epidemiologic studies, so I have that understanding. And I think that it gives me the ability to assess epidemiologic studies and to draw conclusions from them. MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found any new expertise." In the literature or my own experience? MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you believe that you have more expertise in that subject than you did in 2017? A I think that it's comparable. Q So that would be no additional expertise since 2017, when you testified previously? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So in order to be a, you know, laboratory-based scientist in this field and a clinician to treat patients, you certainly need to have an understanding of epidemiologic studies, so I have that understanding. And I think that it gives me the ability to assess epidemiologic studies and to draw conclusions from them. MS. THOMPSON: Q But if you're looking for more nuanced
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found any new expertise." In the literature or my own experience? MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you believe that you have more expertise in that subject than you did in 2017? A I think that it's comparable. Q So that would be no additional expertise since 2017, when you testified previously? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So in order to be a, you know, laboratory-based scientist in this field and a clinician to treat patients, you certainly need to have an understanding of epidemiologic studies, so I have that understanding. And I think that it gives me the ability to assess epidemiologic studies and to draw conclusions from them. MS. THOMPSON: Q But if you're looking for more nuanced or more comprehensive epidemiological experience,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found any new expertise." In the literature or my own experience? MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you believe that you have more expertise in that subject than you did in 2017? A I think that it's comparable. Q So that would be no additional expertise since 2017, when you testified previously? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not that I can identify as as we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So in order to be a, you know, laboratory-based scientist in this field and a clinician to treat patients, you certainly need to have an understanding of epidemiologic studies, so I have that understanding. And I think that it gives me the ability to assess epidemiologic studies and to draw conclusions from them. MS. THOMPSON: Q But if you're looking for more nuanced or more comprehensive epidemiological experience, you would look to an actual epidemiologist;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found any new expertise." In the literature or my own experience? MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you believe that you have more expertise in that subject than you did in 2017? A I think that it's comparable. Q So that would be no additional expertise since 2017, when you testified previously? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not that I can identify as as we're discussing this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So in order to be a, you know, laboratory-based scientist in this field and a clinician to treat patients, you certainly need to have an understanding of epidemiologic studies, so I have that understanding. And I think that it gives me the ability to assess epidemiologic studies and to draw conclusions from them. MS. THOMPSON: Q But if you're looking for more nuanced or more comprehensive epidemiological experience, you would look to an actual epidemiologist; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found any new expertise." In the literature or my own experience? MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you believe that you have more expertise in that subject than you did in 2017? A I think that it's comparable. Q So that would be no additional expertise since 2017, when you testified previously? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not that I can identify as as we're discussing this. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So in order to be a, you know, laboratory-based scientist in this field and a clinician to treat patients, you certainly need to have an understanding of epidemiologic studies, so I have that understanding. And I think that it gives me the ability to assess epidemiologic studies and to draw conclusions from them. MS. THOMPSON: Q But if you're looking for more nuanced or more comprehensive epidemiological experience, you would look to an actual epidemiologist; correct? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found any new expertise." In the literature or my own experience? MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you believe that you have more expertise in that subject than you did in 2017? A I think that it's comparable. Q So that would be no additional expertise since 2017, when you testified previously? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not that I can identify as as we're discussing this. MS. THOMPSON: Q And same for 2018, when you gave a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So in order to be a, you know, laboratory-based scientist in this field and a clinician to treat patients, you certainly need to have an understanding of epidemiologic studies, so I have that understanding. And I think that it gives me the ability to assess epidemiologic studies and to draw conclusions from them. MS. THOMPSON: Q But if you're looking for more nuanced or more comprehensive epidemiological experience, you would look to an actual epidemiologist; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yes. Q Have you found any new expertise in the migration or transport of particles in the female reproductive system since 2017? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure what you mean by "found any new expertise." In the literature or my own experience? MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you believe that you have more expertise in that subject than you did in 2017? A I think that it's comparable. Q So that would be no additional expertise since 2017, when you testified previously? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not that I can identify as as we're discussing this. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I don't have a degree in epidemiology. But I have training. Q So would you agree that your understanding of epidemiology is general in nature? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So in order to be a, you know, laboratory-based scientist in this field and a clinician to treat patients, you certainly need to have an understanding of epidemiologic studies, so I have that understanding. And I think that it gives me the ability to assess epidemiologic studies and to draw conclusions from them. MS. THOMPSON: Q But if you're looking for more nuanced or more comprehensive epidemiological experience, you would look to an actual epidemiologist; correct? MS. CURRY:

1 2 3			Page 36
	MS. THOMPSON:	1	comments, and they're all listed in terms of
3	Q Well, for example, in the consortium	2	biologic plausibility. And then, of course, I
	that you publish with, there are specific	3	spent a lot of time on Dr. Saed.
4	epidemiologists that publish with the group;	4	MS. THOMPSON:
5	correct?	5	Q My question, though, is which of the
6	A Which consortium are you referring to?	6	plaintiff experts were you asked to offer
7	Q There are several?	7	criticism of?
8	A Yes.	8	MS. CURRY:
9	Q Take take the Ovarian Cancer	9	Object to the form.
10	Association Consortium.	10	A So I reviewed the entire list, and
11	A The GOS?	11	that's listed in the materials. I think it's on
12	Q No. OCAC or	12	page
13	A Okay.	13	MS. THOMPSON:
14	Q There are specific epidemiologists that	14	Q 28?
15	I assume are recruited to to provide the	15	A 28 and 29.
16	epidemiology experience in that consortium;	16	Q Okay. Let's go ahead and go do
17	correct?	17	did you read all of these experts expert
18	A There are epidemiologists in that	18	reports?
19	consortium. I will point out there are lots of	19	A I looked through them, yes.
20	other people and scientists.	20	Q And each one?
21	Q And and and you would be sought	21	A Correct.
22	out for that type of consortium because of your	22	Q All right. Let's go through each one
23	molecular experience; correct?	23	and have you tell me what you gleaned from each
24	MS. CURRY:	24	expert report.
	Page 35		Page 37
1	Object to the form.	1	MS. CURRY:
2	A Well, I would add to that that I think	2	Object to the form.
3	from a sort of a clinical standpoint we	3	MS. THOMPSON:
4	provide some reality testing in terms of	4	Q Ann McTiernan, do you know Ann
5	whether what they're observing is actually	5	McTiernan?
6	meaningful.	6	A I don't know her personally.
7	MS. THOMPSON:	7	Q What's her field of expertise?
8	Q Yes. So it would be for your	8	A I would have to check that.
9	experience as a clinician in genomics and	9	Q So you don't remember here today
10	molecular researcher; right?	10	what
11	A Yes.	11	A Well, you're reviewing, I think
12	Q That makes sense.	12	let's be honest, 300 pages. I'm not going to be
13	You're not a gynecologist or	13	able to go through those systematically.
14	gynecologic oncologist; correct?	14	Q Well
15	A Correct.	15	A But if you look at my report, it very
16	Q Were you asked to offer criticism of	16	specifically addressed some of the flaws in the
17	plaintiff experts and their opinions?	17	experts' opinions regarding migration of talc.
- /	MS. CURRY:	18	Q I I understand. But my question is
18	Object to the form.	19	do you know what Dr. McTiernan's area of
	•	20	expertise is? And it's fine if you don't.
18	A So in my expert report, I really		emperate is: This it's time if you don't.
18 19	J 1 1 7 J	21	
18 19 20	reviewed the primary literature, and with with		A I'd have to look it up.
18 19 20 21		21	

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

	Page 38		Page 40
1	him.	1	experiments?
2	Q Have you met Dr. McTiernan?	2	A No. Laboratory-based?
3	A No.	3	Q Laboratory, yes.
4	Q What is Dr. Clarke-Pearson's area of	4	A No.
5	expertise?	5	Q What did you know about talcum powder
6	A Clarke-Pearson is a gynecological	6	and a possible link to ovarian cancer before you
7	oncologist, former department chair at UNC. Now	7	were approached to serve as an expert in 2017?
8	he's stepped down.	8	A So it was not something that we dealt
9	Q And do you know Dr. Clarke-Pearson?	9	with clinically. We never counseled patients.
10	A I've met him.	10	Scientifically, it never really was part of my
11	Q And what about Dr. Kessler?	11	laboratory effort. I didn't know really I
12	A I've never met Dr. Kessler.	12	didn't know anybody working with it in the lab.
13	Q What's his area of expertise?	13	And and, you know, to be fair, I would say
14	A I can't quote you that.	14	that I was aware of the sort of concept that some
15		15	people some epidemiologic studies were being
16	Q What's Dr. Smith's area of expertise? A I think Dr. Smith's pretty actually,	16	done trying to determine relationship of talc
17	I can't tell you.	17	exposure to ovarian cancer. And that's about it.
18	•	18	•
	Q And Dr. Saed, I think we know. What about Dr. Siemiatycki?		Q Were you were you aware of the
19 20	•	19 20	issues raised by Dr. Woodruff and others in the
	A Uh-uh. No.		'70s about possible contamination with asbestos?
21	Q Dr. Wolf?	21	MS. CURRY:
22	A I've met Judith. She's a gynecologic	22	Object to the form.
23	oncologist.	23	A No.
24	Q And do you know Dr. Zelikoff's area of	24	MS. THOMPSON:
	Page 39		Page 41
1	expertise?	1	Q Did you have any opinions about whether
2	A I don't know her.	2	talcum powder could cause ovarian cancer before
3	Q Nor her area of expertise?	3	you were approached to serve as an expert?
4	A Correct.	4	A Well, my sense was that it wasn't a
5	Q What about Dr. Plunkett? Do you know	5	factor.
6	her area of expertise?	6	Q And what was
7	A I don't.	7	A Because we again, we weren't we
8	Q Dr. Moorman, do you know her area of	8	weren't using it in the clinic. We weren't
9	expertise?	9	talking about it. There were essentially no
10	A Don't know her. No.	10	presentations in the biologic plausibility within
11	Q Dr. Smith-Bindman, do you know her area	11	any of the scientific meetings that I would go
12	of expertise?	12	to.
13	A No.	13	Q And at that time, that's what your
14	Q Do you know the area of expertise of	14	impression, at least, would have been based on?
15	Dr. Kane?	15	MS. CURRY:
16	A Nope.	16	Object to the form.
17	Q Dr. Levy?	17	A Yeah.
18	A No.	18	MS. THOMPSON:
19	Q Dr. Singh?	19	Q Did you write your report?
20	A No.	20	A Yes.
. 40	Q Were you asked by Johnson & Johnson to	21	Q Every word?
		22	A Yes.
21	nertorm any experiments')		A 1 CS.
21 22	perform any experiments?		
21	perform any experiments? A No. Q Did you offer to perform any	23 24	Q Did you choose the literature to cite? A So I pulled out most of that myself,

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

	Page 42		Page 44
1	went back and did a reference list and then	1	of information, I did that by searching.
2	pulled more. As I said before, the expert	2	MS. THOMPSON:
3	reports would have been provided from counsel.	3	Q And what search engines did you use?
4	There may have been some papers that I	4	A It was mostly PubMed, which is
5	said, hey, I don't have this. Can you pull this	5	something we use all the time.
6	out? And then they would they would provide	6	Q And did you what search terms did
7	it to me.	7	you use?
8	Q And there are just so I understand	8	A Ovary, ovarian cancer, talc. So the
9	the literature	9	ones you you'd predict. And that doesn't
10	A Uh-huh.	10	necessarily generate the entire list. Right? I
11	Q there's literature that you actually	11	mean, you get the list and then you look at the
12	cite in the report in footnotes; right?	12	papers, go back to the references in those
13	A Correct.	13	papers, and then you see if you you're missing
14	Q And then there's another list at the	14	out. Then you pull out more. And as you go
15	end of the report that's considered that's	15	through this iteration, you begin to find out
16	titled "Materials Reviewed and Considered by Dr.	16	that you're identifying the same patient the
17	Birrer"; right?	17	same papers. So then you begin to get an idea
18	A That's right.	18	that you have the sum total of what you need.
19	Q And can I assume that the literature	19	Q And have you saved those papers
20	that are actually cited in the footnotes is	20	anywhere?
21	literature that you felt was particularly	21	A So those were the way that worked
22	significant?	22	was they came in, mostly computer-based, and then
23	MS. CURRY:	23	I would look at those, extract what I wanted, and
24	Object to the form.	24	then construct the report. And that was all done
	Page 43		Page 45
1	A Yeah. So the idea here was to try to	1	in the computer.
2	provide some guidance as to where that reference	2	Q But what happened to the articles?
3	was relevant within the document. That's why	3	MS. CURRY:
4	it's on each page. At the end is a sort of sum	4	Object to the form.
5	total.	5	A Well, they'd be computer-based, or
6	MS. THOMPSON:	6	there's backup, I believe, some backup copies
7	Q Okay.	7	here on everything.
8	A Yeah.	8	MS. THOMPSON:
9	Q Did you choose any quotes that are	9	Q So so everything that you looked at
10	included in your expert report yourself?	10	would be in your materials considered list and
11	MS. CURRY:	11	the supplemental materials considered list?
12	Object to the form.	12	A Correct. Yep.
13	MS. THOMPSON:	13	Q Did you look at plaintiff expert
14	Q It was a bad question.	14	depositions?
15	Did you choose the quotes that are	15	A Correct.
16	included in your expert report?	16	Q Which ones?
17	A Correct.	17	A So I looked at the deposition of
18	Q Did you choose the language that you	18	Dr. Saenz. I think that's listed on supplemental
	used to criticize the plaintiffs' experts?	19	deposition.
19			MS. CURRY:
20	A Correct.	20	
20 21	Q Did you perform any searches?	21	I believe she asked about plaintiff
20 21 22	Q Did you perform any searches? MS. CURRY:	21 22	I believe she asked about plaintiff expert deposition.
20 21	Q Did you perform any searches?	21	I believe she asked about plaintiff

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

	Page 46		Page 48
1	A I'm sorry. I'm on the wrong one. So	1	MS. CURRY:
2	that would be Dr. Saed.	2	Here you go.
3	Q Uh-huh.	3	A This supplemental list with objections
4	A And I think let's go back and look.	4	or the extra paper?
5	I think yeah. It was 23 and 24 are were	5	MS. THOMPSON:
6	both the Saed depositions. I think that's it.	6	Q And you reviewed some reports from
7	Q In the file the backup file that you	7	governmental and regulatory agencies; correct?
8	mentioned that's here, is that on a thumb drive	8	A Correct.
9	or what's	9	Q I'll go ahead and mark those. We're
10	MS. CURRY:	10	gonna discuss them more later.
11	Object to the form. They're actually	11	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 4
12	my the lawyer's files. I just brought a copy	12	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
13	of the references in case we needed to refer to	13	MS. THOMPSON:
14	everything. But it's not actually not	14	Q You've looked at the Health Canada's
15	Dr. Birrer's file.	15	recent draft assessment; correct?
16	MS. THOMPSON:	16	A Yes.
17	Q So there's no electronic file that you	17	Q When did you first see that?
18	possess?	18	A It was in a deposition of Dr. Saenz's.
19	A Yeah.	19	Q And do you know when that was first
20	Q Did you make any notes or highlights on	20	published?
21	any of the articles that	21	A The Health Canada?
22	A (Shakes head negatively.)	22	Q Yes.
23	Q And in addition to Dr. Saed's	23	A Fairly recently. Can't quote you the
24	deposition, you have listed two drafts of his	24	date.
	Page 47		Page 49
1	manuscript that was recently published; correct?	1	Q If it was December, would that surprise
2	A I believe I saw the pre-print and then	2	you?
3	the copy of the actual published paper. And, of	3	
_			MS. CURRY:
4	course, his expert report.	4	MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
4 5	course, his expert report. Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's		
	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's	4	Object to the form.
5		4 5	Object to the form. A December of
5 6	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript?	4 5 6	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON:
5 6 7	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript? MS. CURRY:	4 5 6 7	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON: Q Of '18?
5 6 7 8	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	4 5 6 7 8	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON: Q Of '18? A That's pretty recent.
5 6 7 8 9	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript?MS. CURRY:	4 5 6 7 8 9	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON: Q Of '18? A That's pretty recent. Q Were you not aware that this had been
5 6 7 8 9	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Preprint or published? MS. THOMPSON:	4 5 6 7 8 9	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON: Q Of '18? A That's pretty recent. Q Were you not aware that this had been put online by Health Canada prior to Dr. Saenz's
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Preprint or published? MS. THOMPSON: Q Either.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON: Q Of '18? A That's pretty recent. Q Were you not aware that this had been put online by Health Canada prior to Dr. Saenz's deposition?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Preprint or published? MS. THOMPSON: Q Either. A So I think the preprint came first,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON: Q Of '18? A That's pretty recent. Q Were you not aware that this had been put online by Health Canada prior to Dr. Saenz's deposition? A I was not.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Preprint or published? MS. THOMPSON: Q Either. A So I think the preprint came first, obviously. The expert report was available	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON: Q Of '18? A That's pretty recent. Q Were you not aware that this had been put online by Health Canada prior to Dr. Saenz's deposition? A I was not. Q Did you review that 2014 letter from
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Preprint or published? MS. THOMPSON: Q Either. A So I think the preprint came first, obviously. The expert report was available first, and then the preprint, and then just	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON: Q Of '18? A That's pretty recent. Q Were you not aware that this had been put online by Health Canada prior to Dr. Saenz's deposition? A I was not. Q Did you review that 2014 letter from FDA in response to a public citizen complaint?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Preprint or published? MS. THOMPSON: Q Either. A So I think the preprint came first, obviously. The expert report was available first, and then the preprint, and then just within, I think, a month and a half I got the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON: Q Of '18? A That's pretty recent. Q Were you not aware that this had been put online by Health Canada prior to Dr. Saenz's deposition? A I was not. Q Did you review that 2014 letter from FDA in response to a public citizen complaint? A I am familiar with that.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Preprint or published? MS. THOMPSON: Q Either. A So I think the preprint came first, obviously. The expert report was available first, and then the preprint, and then just within, I think, a month and a half I got the paper. It was pretty recent.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON: Q Of '18? A That's pretty recent. Q Were you not aware that this had been put online by Health Canada prior to Dr. Saenz's deposition? A I was not. Q Did you review that 2014 letter from FDA in response to a public citizen complaint? A I am familiar with that. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Preprint or published? MS. THOMPSON: Q Either. A So I think the preprint came first, obviously. The expert report was available first, and then the preprint, and then just within, I think, a month and a half I got the paper. It was pretty recent. Q Is Dr. Saenz's published manuscript on	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON: Q Of '18? A That's pretty recent. Q Were you not aware that this had been put online by Health Canada prior to Dr. Saenz's deposition? A I was not. Q Did you review that 2014 letter from FDA in response to a public citizen complaint? A I am familiar with that. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Preprint or published? MS. THOMPSON: Q Either. A So I think the preprint came first, obviously. The expert report was available first, and then the preprint, and then just within, I think, a month and a half I got the paper. It was pretty recent. Q Is Dr. Saenz's published manuscript on your supplemental materials list?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON: Q Of '18? A That's pretty recent. Q Were you not aware that this had been put online by Health Canada prior to Dr. Saenz's deposition? A I was not. Q Did you review that 2014 letter from FDA in response to a public citizen complaint? A I am familiar with that. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Preprint or published? MS. THOMPSON: Q Either. A So I think the preprint came first, obviously. The expert report was available first, and then the preprint, and then just within, I think, a month and a half I got the paper. It was pretty recent. Q Is Dr. Saenz's published manuscript on your supplemental materials list? MS. CURRY:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON: Q Of '18? A That's pretty recent. Q Were you not aware that this had been put online by Health Canada prior to Dr. Saenz's deposition? A I was not. Q Did you review that 2014 letter from FDA in response to a public citizen complaint? A I am familiar with that. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll mark that 2014 public citizen
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Preprint or published? MS. THOMPSON: Q Either. A So I think the preprint came first, obviously. The expert report was available first, and then the preprint, and then just within, I think, a month and a half I got the paper. It was pretty recent. Q Is Dr. Saenz's published manuscript on your supplemental materials list? MS. CURRY: It's attached to the objections, which	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON: Q Of '18? A That's pretty recent. Q Were you not aware that this had been put online by Health Canada prior to Dr. Saenz's deposition? A I was not. Q Did you review that 2014 letter from FDA in response to a public citizen complaint? A I am familiar with that. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll mark that 2014 public citizen response letter from the FDA as Exhibit Number 5.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Preprint or published? MS. THOMPSON: Q Either. A So I think the preprint came first, obviously. The expert report was available first, and then the preprint, and then just within, I think, a month and a half I got the paper. It was pretty recent. Q Is Dr. Saenz's published manuscript on your supplemental materials list? MS. CURRY: It's attached to the objections, which is Exhibit 3.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON: Q Of '18? A That's pretty recent. Q Were you not aware that this had been put online by Health Canada prior to Dr. Saenz's deposition? A I was not. Q Did you review that 2014 letter from FDA in response to a public citizen complaint? A I am familiar with that. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll mark that 2014 public citizen response letter from the FDA as Exhibit Number 5. Does that look like the letter that you
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q When did you first see Dr. Saed's manuscript? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Preprint or published? MS. THOMPSON: Q Either. A So I think the preprint came first, obviously. The expert report was available first, and then the preprint, and then just within, I think, a month and a half I got the paper. It was pretty recent. Q Is Dr. Saenz's published manuscript on your supplemental materials list? MS. CURRY: It's attached to the objections, which is Exhibit 3. MS. THOMPSON:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Object to the form. A December of MS. THOMPSON: Q Of '18? A That's pretty recent. Q Were you not aware that this had been put online by Health Canada prior to Dr. Saenz's deposition? A I was not. Q Did you review that 2014 letter from FDA in response to a public citizen complaint? A I am familiar with that. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 5 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll mark that 2014 public citizen response letter from the FDA as Exhibit Number 5. Does that look like the letter that you reviewed, Dr. Birrer?

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

	Page 50		Page 52
1	Q And did you review the IARC Monograph	1	Q Okay. That's my question.
2	on Nonasbestiform Talc from 2010?	2	A Yes.
3	A I did.	3	Q But it was published in December, and
4	Q And that will be Exhibit Number 6.	4	you didn't look at it until you saw it in
5	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 6	5	Dr. Saenz's deposition as an exhibit; right?
6	WAS MARKED IDENTIFICATION.)	6	A Correct.
7	MS. THOMPSON:	7	Q Did you deem it important?
8	Q Does that look like the document that	8	MS. CURRY:
9	you reviewed?	9	Object to the form.
10	A Yes. Yeah. I've seen that. Yep.	10	A Well, since it was quoted and my
11	MS. THOMPSON:	11	impression was that there were people who thought
12	Dawn, if you want more copies, I'm	12	this was important, that necessitated me to take
13	happy to give	13	a look at it.
14	MS. CURRY:	14	MS. THOMPSON:
15	I'm okay. I don't know if other	15	Q Did you think it was important?
16	counsel need a copy to review.	16	MS. CURRY:
17	MR. MIZGALA:	17	Object to the form.
18	No.	18	A Well, after I read it, again, my sense
19	MS. THOMPSON:	19	was it doesn't really sway me one more one way
20	I think for most everything I have	20	or the other because they're they're
21	another copy, so if there's anything you'd like	21	essentially re-reviewing all the data that we
22	to see and not have to take home with you, I'm	22	know and coming to a different conclusion. I
23	happy to provide it.	23	just think they got it wrong, unfortunately.
24	MS. THOMPSON:	24	MS. THOMPSON:
	Page 51		Page 53
1	Q Did you know that the Health Canada	1	Q But you will agree that it did provide
2	assessment was made pub made available to the	2	an extensive review on the subject?
3	public?	3	MS. CURRY:
4	A Yes.	4	Object to the form.
5	MS. CURRY:	5	A It was, I thought, would be described
6	Object to the form.	6	as extensive.
7	MS. THOMPSON:	7	MS. THOMPSON:
8	Q Do you believe that the Health Canada	8	Q Did you review the statement of the
9	risk assessment is relevant to the topic today?	9	methodology that accompanied the risk assessment?
10	MS. CURRY:	10	A I went I looked through it.
11	Object to the form.	11	Q I'll mark that as Exhibit 7.
12	A It doesn't change my opinion about	12	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 7
13	biologic plausibility. It's a obviously, an	13	WAS MARKED IDENTIFICATION.)
14	opinion that's based upon a lot of data that I	14	MS. THOMPSON:
15	believe is reviewed by Taher, which is	15	Q Is that what you saw?
1.0	information data that I already was aware of, so	16	A I didn't see it printed like this with
16	it do contractly arror me and rear on the other	17	the color on it. Yeah.
16	it doesn't really sway me one way or the other.		Q And let's just look at page 2 of the
	MS. THOMPSON:	18	Q And let's just look at page 2 of the
17		18 19	document titled "Weight of Evidence, General
17 18	MS. THOMPSON:		
17 18 19	MS. THOMPSON: Q But my question was, did you deem it	19	document titled "Weight of Evidence, General
17 18 19 20	MS. THOMPSON: Q But my question was, did you deem it relevant?	19 20	document titled "Weight of Evidence, General Principles and Current Applications in Health
17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Q But my question was, did you deem it relevant? MS. CURRY:	19 20 21	document titled "Weight of Evidence, General Principles and Current Applications in Health Canada."

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 16 of 165 PageID: 82803 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 54		Page 56
1	assessment?	1	MS. THOMPSON:
2	MS. CURRY:	2	Q So you're agreeing it's irrelevant what
3	Object to the form.	3	form the particles are in when
4	A Yeah. I think it's a summary of	4	A I'm saying we don't have any data.
5	what of how they approached it. That's my	5	MS. CURRY:
6	sense. Yep.	6	Object to the form.
7	MS. THOMPSON:	7	You have to let her get her
8	Q And for the risk assessment, Health	8	THE WITNESS:
9	Canada assumed talc or talcum products to be	9	Okay.
10	nonasbestiform.	10	MS. CURRY:
11	Is that your understanding?	11	
12		12	entire question out before you
13	A Yeah. I believe that's what they focused on.	13	answer so that the court reporter can get
14			everything down.
	`	14	MS. THOMPSON:
15	A I'm not going to go down the line of	15	Q No data isn't the same as irrelevant,
16	being an expert in asbestos.	16	and that's my question.
17	Q So do you not know what it means when	17	MS. CURRY:
18	the talc is considered nonasbestiform?	18	Object to the form.
19	MS. CURRY:	19	A You know, again, I don't think I can
20	Object to the form.	20	answer that "yes" or "no."
21	A I'm assuming they're addressing sort of	21	MS. THOMPSON:
22	mineral characterization of these substances.	22	Q Is it important whether the substance
23	But again, I that's not my area of expertise.	23	in Johnson's baby powder and Shower to Shower is
24	I'm not a geologist and it it in many ways is	24	in a particulate form or in a fiber form?
	Page 55		Page 57
1	Page 55 sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the	1	Page 57 MS. CURRY:
1 2		1 2	
	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the		MS. CURRY:
2	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder.	2	MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know.
2 3 4	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that	2 3 4	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form?	2 3 4 5	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important?
2 3 4 5 6	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important.
2 3 4 5 6 7	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important. Q Okay. And is part of the reason is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I looked at, again, extensively	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important. Q Okay. And is part of the reason is because you're not an expert in asbestos?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I looked at, again, extensively all the data that was addressing whether talcum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important. Q Okay. And is part of the reason is because you're not an expert in asbestos? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I looked at, again, extensively all the data that was addressing whether talcum powder is a risk factor or plays a role in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important. Q Okay. And is part of the reason is because you're not an expert in asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I looked at, again, extensively all the data that was addressing whether talcum powder is a risk factor or plays a role in developing ovarian cancer. It is irrelevant in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important. Q Okay. And is part of the reason is because you're not an expert in asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, I wasn't asked to evaluate the role of asbestos in ovarian cancer. I have an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I looked at, again, extensively all the data that was addressing whether talcum powder is a risk factor or plays a role in developing ovarian cancer. It is irrelevant in that setting whether there are components in there that go from asbestiform to heavy metals to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important. Q Okay. And is part of the reason is because you're not an expert in asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, I wasn't asked to evaluate the role of asbestos in ovarian cancer. I have an opinion on that based upon some of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I looked at, again, extensively all the data that was addressing whether talcum powder is a risk factor or plays a role in developing ovarian cancer. It is irrelevant in that setting whether there are components in there that go from asbestiform to heavy metals to fragrance. That data would be clear from those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important. Q Okay. And is part of the reason is because you're not an expert in asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, I wasn't asked to evaluate the role of asbestos in ovarian cancer. I have an opinion on that based upon some of the epidemiologic studies.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I looked at, again, extensively all the data that was addressing whether talcum powder is a risk factor or plays a role in developing ovarian cancer. It is irrelevant in that setting whether there are components in there that go from asbestiform to heavy metals to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important. Q Okay. And is part of the reason is because you're not an expert in asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, I wasn't asked to evaluate the role of asbestos in ovarian cancer. I have an opinion on that based upon some of the epidemiologic studies. But in terms of the compositional
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I looked at, again, extensively all the data that was addressing whether talcum powder is a risk factor or plays a role in developing ovarian cancer. It is irrelevant in that setting whether there are components in there that go from asbestiform to heavy metals to fragrance. That data would be clear from those experiments, and they're not. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important. Q Okay. And is part of the reason is because you're not an expert in asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, I wasn't asked to evaluate the role of asbestos in ovarian cancer. I have an opinion on that based upon some of the epidemiologic studies. But in terms of the compositional analysis of talcum powder, that is not within the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I looked at, again, extensively all the data that was addressing whether talcum powder is a risk factor or plays a role in developing ovarian cancer. It is irrelevant in that setting whether there are components in there that go from asbestiform to heavy metals to fragrance. That data would be clear from those experiments, and they're not. MS. THOMPSON: Q So is the answer that is it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important. Q Okay. And is part of the reason is because you're not an expert in asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, I wasn't asked to evaluate the role of asbestos in ovarian cancer. I have an opinion on that based upon some of the epidemiologic studies. But in terms of the compositional analysis of talcum powder, that is not within the area of my expertise, and the various forms of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I looked at, again, extensively all the data that was addressing whether talcum powder is a risk factor or plays a role in developing ovarian cancer. It is irrelevant in that setting whether there are components in there that go from asbestiform to heavy metals to fragrance. That data would be clear from those experiments, and they're not. MS. THOMPSON: Q So is the answer that is it irrelevant whether the particles are in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important. Q Okay. And is part of the reason is because you're not an expert in asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, I wasn't asked to evaluate the role of asbestos in ovarian cancer. I have an opinion on that based upon some of the epidemiologic studies. But in terms of the compositional analysis of talcum powder, that is not within the area of my expertise, and the various forms of asbestos in talc in terms of mineralogy is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I looked at, again, extensively all the data that was addressing whether talcum powder is a risk factor or plays a role in developing ovarian cancer. It is irrelevant in that setting whether there are components in there that go from asbestiform to heavy metals to fragrance. That data would be clear from those experiments, and they're not. MS. THOMPSON: Q So is the answer that is it irrelevant whether the particles are in a particulate form or in a fiber form?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important. Q Okay. And is part of the reason is because you're not an expert in asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, I wasn't asked to evaluate the role of asbestos in ovarian cancer. I have an opinion on that based upon some of the epidemiologic studies. But in terms of the compositional analysis of talcum powder, that is not within the area of my expertise, and the various forms of asbestos in talc in terms of mineralogy is not something that I've spent time on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I looked at, again, extensively all the data that was addressing whether talcum powder is a risk factor or plays a role in developing ovarian cancer. It is irrelevant in that setting whether there are components in there that go from asbestiform to heavy metals to fragrance. That data would be clear from those experiments, and they're not. MS. THOMPSON: Q So is the answer that is it irrelevant whether the particles are in a particulate form or in a fiber form? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important. Q Okay. And is part of the reason is because you're not an expert in asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, I wasn't asked to evaluate the role of asbestos in ovarian cancer. I have an opinion on that based upon some of the epidemiologic studies. But in terms of the compositional analysis of talcum powder, that is not within the area of my expertise, and the various forms of asbestos in talc in terms of mineralogy is not something that I've spent time on. But, as I pointed out before, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I looked at, again, extensively all the data that was addressing whether talcum powder is a risk factor or plays a role in developing ovarian cancer. It is irrelevant in that setting whether there are components in there that go from asbestiform to heavy metals to fragrance. That data would be clear from those experiments, and they're not. MS. THOMPSON: Q So is the answer that is it irrelevant whether the particles are in a particulate form or in a fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important. Q Okay. And is part of the reason is because you're not an expert in asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, I wasn't asked to evaluate the role of asbestos in ovarian cancer. I have an opinion on that based upon some of the epidemiologic studies. But in terms of the compositional analysis of talcum powder, that is not within the area of my expertise, and the various forms of asbestos in talc in terms of mineralogy is not something that I've spent time on. But, as I pointed out before, the experiments that have been conducted address that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I looked at, again, extensively all the data that was addressing whether talcum powder is a risk factor or plays a role in developing ovarian cancer. It is irrelevant in that setting whether there are components in there that go from asbestiform to heavy metals to fragrance. That data would be clear from those experiments, and they're not. MS. THOMPSON: Q So is the answer that is it irrelevant whether the particles are in a particulate form or in a fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, I that that experiment has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important. Q Okay. And is part of the reason is because you're not an expert in asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, I wasn't asked to evaluate the role of asbestos in ovarian cancer. I have an opinion on that based upon some of the epidemiologic studies. But in terms of the compositional analysis of talcum powder, that is not within the area of my expertise, and the various forms of asbestos in talc in terms of mineralogy is not something that I've spent time on. But, as I pointed out before, the experiments that have been conducted address that issue, which is they're using talcum powder. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sort of irrelevant to looking at many of the studies which are just looking at talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Does it not matter to you whether that talc is in a particle or fiber fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I looked at, again, extensively all the data that was addressing whether talcum powder is a risk factor or plays a role in developing ovarian cancer. It is irrelevant in that setting whether there are components in there that go from asbestiform to heavy metals to fragrance. That data would be clear from those experiments, and they're not. MS. THOMPSON: Q So is the answer that is it irrelevant whether the particles are in a particulate form or in a fiber form? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q You don't know if it's important? A I don't know if it's important. Q Okay. And is part of the reason is because you're not an expert in asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, I wasn't asked to evaluate the role of asbestos in ovarian cancer. I have an opinion on that based upon some of the epidemiologic studies. But in terms of the compositional analysis of talcum powder, that is not within the area of my expertise, and the various forms of asbestos in talc in terms of mineralogy is not something that I've spent time on. But, as I pointed out before, the experiments that have been conducted address that

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

	Page 58		Page 60
1	it would have been obvious from the data and it's	1	has it been proven unsafe, so
2	not.	2	MR. MIZGALA:
3	MS. THOMPSON:	3	Object to the form.
4	Q Is it your opinion that baby powder and	4	MS. THOMPSON:
5	Shower to Shower and you understand those are	5	Q I'll ask the question again.
6	the two products that we're here to talk about	6	Have these products been proven safe in
7	today; right?	7	your mind?
8	A Yes. J & J products?	8	MS. CURRY:
9	Q Yes.	9	Object to the form.
10	Is it your opinion that those products	10	A Again, it is it is an issue about
11	have been proven safe?	11	trying to prove a negative. The data is there
12	MS. CURRY:	12	are decades of use of this, this material,
13	Object to the form.	13	perineal dusting, with no evidence, no convincing
14	A So there's no data that I know of that	14	evidence that it's unsafe. I conclude that it's
15	says they're not safe.	15	a safe product.
16	MS. THOMPSON:	16	MS. THOMPSON:
17	Q That's different. Have they been	17	Q Do you believe that the molecular data
18	proven safe?	18	proves the product safe?
19	MS. CURRY:	19	MS. CURRY:
20	Object to the form.	20	Object to the form.
21	A Yes.	21	A Can you define "molecular data"?
22	MS. THOMPSON:	22	MS. THOMPSON:
23	Q And what data do you have as the basis	23	Q The the studies that have been
24	for that, that they have been proven safe?	24	performed on talcum powder, do you believe they
	Page 59		Page 61
1	A Again, years and years of usage with	1	prove that the products are safe?
2	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems,	2	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY:
2 3	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or	2 3	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're	2 3 4	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please.
2 3 4 5	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe.	2 3 4 5	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data	2 3 4 5 6	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe?	2 3 4 5 6 7	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's a risk factor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's a risk factor. Q Is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's a risk factor. Q Is that A You're asking you're asking me to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So I refine that a bit because I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's a risk factor. Q Is that A You're asking you're asking me to prove a negative. I can't do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So I refine that a bit because I don't really consider them molecular studies. They're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's a risk factor. Q Is that A You're asking you're asking me to prove a negative. I can't do that. Q So you're not you're unable to prove	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So I refine that a bit because I don't really consider them molecular studies. They're biologic studies, and there's a difference.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's a risk factor. Q Is that A You're asking you're asking me to prove a negative. I can't do that. Q So you're not you're unable to prove that it's safe because you can't prove a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So I refine that a bit because I don't really consider them molecular studies. They're biologic studies, and there's a difference. The biologic studies which I reviewed,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's a risk factor. Q Is that A You're asking you're asking me to prove a negative. I can't do that. Q So you're not you're unable to prove that it's safe because you can't prove a negative?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So I refine that a bit because I don't really consider them molecular studies. They're biologic studies, and there's a difference. The biologic studies which I reviewed, which I think is the sum total that's out there,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's a risk factor. Q Is that A You're asking you're asking me to prove a negative. I can't do that. Q So you're not you're unable to prove that it's safe because you can't prove a negative? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So I refine that a bit because I don't really consider them molecular studies. They're biologic studies, and there's a difference. The biologic studies which I reviewed, which I think is the sum total that's out there, are completely unconvincing, unconvincing that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's a risk factor. Q Is that A You're asking you're asking me to prove a negative. I can't do that. Q So you're not you're unable to prove that it's safe because you can't prove a negative? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So I refine that a bit because I don't really consider them molecular studies. They're biologic studies, and there's a difference. The biologic studies which I reviewed, which I think is the sum total that's out there, are completely unconvincing, unconvincing that talcum powder is a plays a role in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's a risk factor. Q Is that A You're asking you're asking me to prove a negative. I can't do that. Q So you're not you're unable to prove that it's safe because you can't prove a negative? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So I refine that a bit because I don't really consider them molecular studies. They're biologic studies, and there's a difference. The biologic studies which I reviewed, which I think is the sum total that's out there, are completely unconvincing, unconvincing that talcum powder is a plays a role in the development of ovarian cancer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's a risk factor. Q Is that A You're asking you're asking me to prove a negative. I can't do that. Q So you're not you're unable to prove that it's safe because you can't prove a negative? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that what you're saying?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So I refine that a bit because I don't really consider them molecular studies. They're biologic studies, and there's a difference. The biologic studies which I reviewed, which I think is the sum total that's out there, are completely unconvincing, unconvincing that talcum powder is a plays a role in the development of ovarian cancer. MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's a risk factor. Q Is that A You're asking you're asking me to prove a negative. I can't do that. Q So you're not you're unable to prove that it's safe because you can't prove a negative? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that what you're saying? A I get yeah. I think I think the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So I refine that a bit because I don't really consider them molecular studies. They're biologic studies, and there's a difference. The biologic studies which I reviewed, which I think is the sum total that's out there, are completely unconvincing, unconvincing that talcum powder is a plays a role in the development of ovarian cancer. MS. THOMPSON: Q But my question was is it your belief
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's a risk factor. Q Is that A You're asking you're asking me to prove a negative. I can't do that. Q So you're not you're unable to prove that it's safe because you can't prove a negative? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that what you're saying? A I get yeah. I think I think the issue in front of us is: Is it unsafe? And the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So I refine that a bit because I don't really consider them molecular studies. They're biologic studies, and there's a difference. The biologic studies which I reviewed, which I think is the sum total that's out there, are completely unconvincing, unconvincing that talcum powder is a plays a role in the development of ovarian cancer. MS. THOMPSON: Q But my question was is it your belief that the biologic studies confirm that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's a risk factor. Q Is that A You're asking you're asking me to prove a negative. I can't do that. Q So you're not you're unable to prove that it's safe because you can't prove a negative? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that what you're saying? A I get yeah. I think I think the issue in front of us is: Is it unsafe? And the answer to that is there's no data for it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So I refine that a bit because I don't really consider them molecular studies. They're biologic studies, and there's a difference. The biologic studies which I reviewed, which I think is the sum total that's out there, are completely unconvincing, unconvincing that talcum powder is a plays a role in the development of ovarian cancer. MS. THOMPSON: Q But my question was is it your belief that the biologic studies confirm that the product is safe?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's a risk factor. Q Is that A You're asking you're asking me to prove a negative. I can't do that. Q So you're not you're unable to prove that it's safe because you can't prove a negative? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that what you're saying? A I get yeah. I think I think the issue in front of us is: Is it unsafe? And the answer to that is there's no data for it. Q Well, the issue is what I asked you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So I refine that a bit because I don't really consider them molecular studies. They're biologic studies, and there's a difference. The biologic studies which I reviewed, which I think is the sum total that's out there, are completely unconvincing, unconvincing that talcum powder is a plays a role in the development of ovarian cancer. MS. THOMPSON: Q But my question was is it your belief that the biologic studies confirm that the product is safe? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Again, years and years of usage with these experiments and biologic systems, epidemiologic data is basically not exposing or uncovering any definitive data that that they're unsafe. Q So you believe the epidemiological data proves the product safe? A I don't think it it proves that it's a risk factor. Q Is that A You're asking you're asking me to prove a negative. I can't do that. Q So you're not you're unable to prove that it's safe because you can't prove a negative? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that what you're saying? A I get yeah. I think I think the issue in front of us is: Is it unsafe? And the answer to that is there's no data for it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Just repeat that once more, please. MS. THOMPSON: Q The molecular studies that have been done on talcum powder, is it your opinion that they prove that the products are safe? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So I refine that a bit because I don't really consider them molecular studies. They're biologic studies, and there's a difference. The biologic studies which I reviewed, which I think is the sum total that's out there, are completely unconvincing, unconvincing that talcum powder is a plays a role in the development of ovarian cancer. MS. THOMPSON: Q But my question was is it your belief that the biologic studies confirm that the product is safe?

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

	Page 62		Page 64
1	A Again, we're back sort of to that	1	reviewing the assessment?
2	negative. I I think if I don't think they	2	A I believe so, but let me just
3	convince me at all that it's it's a risk or	3	MS. CURRY:
4	that it has any biologic activity on the target	4	Do you have the marked Exhibit 4 there?
5	organ, which is the ovary. And then in the	5	I don't think the witness actually has
6	context of decades of use, then I would conclude	6	the
7	that it's a safe product.	7	Oh, I think it's in front of you here.
8	MS. THOMPSON:	8	I'm just gonna grab these marked
9	Q And it's fine to say you can't	9	exhibits for him. Thank you.
10	answer you can't answer the question. But I	10	MS. THOMPSON:
11	need but I want to have an answer.	11	I think his is the marked exhibit,
12	And that is: Is it your opinion that	12	unless I
13	the biologic studies show that the products are	13	MS. CURRY:
14	safe?	14	Right. It was just in front of you.
15	MS. CURRY:	15	MS. THOMPSON:
16	Object to the form.	16	Oh, I yeah.
17	A Yeah. I I think I think	17	MS. CURRY:
18	certainly that I think we can say that the	18	He didn't have it. That's all.
19	biologic studies do not reveal any untoward	19	MS. THOMPSON:
20	effects. It's not reliable. The experiments are	20	Sorry.
21	not reliable. And so in that context, it's a	21	A Yeah, this okay.
22	safe product.	22	Yeah. So they they essentially went
23	I mean, again, you're asking me for a	23	through it in that kind of algorithm.
24	biologic experiment that proves something is	24	MS. THOMPSON:
		1	
_	Page 63		Page 65
1	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an	1	Q I did not see any discussion in your
2	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that.	2	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that
2	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right?
2 3 4	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't	2 3 4	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct.
2 3 4 5	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question	2 3 4 5	 Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the
2 3 4 5 6	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay?	2 3 4 5 6	 Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case?
2 3 4 5 6 7	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah. MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based upon my experience, both scientifically and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based upon my experience, both scientifically and clinical. We do this we do this a lot,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based upon my experience, both scientifically and clinical. We do this we do this a lot, actually, where we'll do a complete review of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Back to the weight of the evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based upon my experience, both scientifically and clinical. We do this we do this a lot, actually, where we'll do a complete review of the literature and then extract the information,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Back to the weight of the evidence document, it's your understanding that this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based upon my experience, both scientifically and clinical. We do this we do this a lot, actually, where we'll do a complete review of the literature and then extract the information, dissect it in terms of paper by paper.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Back to the weight of the evidence document, it's your understanding that this is the evaluation that Health Canada applied to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based upon my experience, both scientifically and clinical. We do this we do this a lot, actually, where we'll do a complete review of the literature and then extract the information, dissect it in terms of paper by paper. As a scientist, we don't really weigh
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Back to the weight of the evidence document, it's your understanding that this is the evaluation that Health Canada applied to A That's this one?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based upon my experience, both scientifically and clinical. We do this we do this a lot, actually, where we'll do a complete review of the literature and then extract the information, dissect it in terms of paper by paper. As a scientist, we don't really weigh studies in a quantitative way. We don't it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Back to the weight of the evidence document, it's your understanding that this is the evaluation that Health Canada applied to A That's this one? Q Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based upon my experience, both scientifically and clinical. We do this we do this a lot, actually, where we'll do a complete review of the literature and then extract the information, dissect it in terms of paper by paper. As a scientist, we don't really weigh studies in a quantitative way. We don't it's really not like a meta-analysis where we're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Back to the weight of the evidence document, it's your understanding that this is the evaluation that Health Canada applied to A That's this one? Q Yeah to answering the the question of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based upon my experience, both scientifically and clinical. We do this we do this a lot, actually, where we'll do a complete review of the literature and then extract the information, dissect it in terms of paper by paper. As a scientist, we don't really weigh studies in a quantitative way. We don't it's really not like a meta-analysis where we're saying, okay, this is this is this weight
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Back to the weight of the evidence document, it's your understanding that this is the evaluation that Health Canada applied to A That's this one? Q Yeah to answering the the question of whether talcum powder was a risk for the public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based upon my experience, both scientifically and clinical. We do this we do this a lot, actually, where we'll do a complete review of the literature and then extract the information, dissect it in terms of paper by paper. As a scientist, we don't really weigh studies in a quantitative way. We don't it's really not like a meta-analysis where we're saying, okay, this is this is this weight versus that weight.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Back to the weight of the evidence document, it's your understanding that this is the evaluation that Health Canada applied to A That's this one? Q Yeah to answering the the question of whether talcum powder was a risk for the public in Canada; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based upon my experience, both scientifically and clinical. We do this we do this a lot, actually, where we'll do a complete review of the literature and then extract the information, dissect it in terms of paper by paper. As a scientist, we don't really weigh studies in a quantitative way. We don't it's really not like a meta-analysis where we're saying, okay, this is this is this weight versus that weight. But but the gestalt is, if you will,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Back to the weight of the evidence document, it's your understanding that this is the evaluation that Health Canada applied to A That's this one? Q Yeah to answering the the question of whether talcum powder was a risk for the public in Canada; correct? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based upon my experience, both scientifically and clinical. We do this we do this a lot, actually, where we'll do a complete review of the literature and then extract the information, dissect it in terms of paper by paper. As a scientist, we don't really weigh studies in a quantitative way. We don't it's really not like a meta-analysis where we're saying, okay, this is this is this weight versus that weight. But but the gestalt is, if you will, at the end of the day, we look at these studies
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Back to the weight of the evidence document, it's your understanding that this is the evaluation that Health Canada applied to A That's this one? Q Yeah to answering the the question of whether talcum powder was a risk for the public in Canada; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based upon my experience, both scientifically and clinical. We do this we do this a lot, actually, where we'll do a complete review of the literature and then extract the information, dissect it in terms of paper by paper. As a scientist, we don't really weigh studies in a quantitative way. We don't it's really not like a meta-analysis where we're saying, okay, this is this is this weight versus that weight. But but the gestalt is, if you will, at the end of the day, we look at these studies and say do we believe do we think that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Back to the weight of the evidence document, it's your understanding that this is the evaluation that Health Canada applied to A That's this one? Q Yeah to answering the the question of whether talcum powder was a risk for the public in Canada; correct? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based upon my experience, both scientifically and clinical. We do this we do this a lot, actually, where we'll do a complete review of the literature and then extract the information, dissect it in terms of paper by paper. As a scientist, we don't really weigh studies in a quantitative way. We don't it's really not like a meta-analysis where we're saying, okay, this is this is this weight versus that weight. But but the gestalt is, if you will, at the end of the day, we look at these studies and say do we believe do we think that the data and results are believable; do they do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Back to the weight of the evidence document, it's your understanding that this is the evaluation that Health Canada applied to A That's this one? Q Yeah to answering the the question of whether talcum powder was a risk for the public in Canada; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based upon my experience, both scientifically and clinical. We do this we do this a lot, actually, where we'll do a complete review of the literature and then extract the information, dissect it in terms of paper by paper. As a scientist, we don't really weigh studies in a quantitative way. We don't it's really not like a meta-analysis where we're saying, okay, this is this is this weight versus that weight. But but the gestalt is, if you will, at the end of the day, we look at these studies and say do we believe do we think that the data and results are believable; do they do they support the conclusions. And we do that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	safe. I don't even know how to conduct an experiment like that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. And again, you know, I can't answer that your question A It's okay? Q is a fine answer. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Back to the weight of the evidence document, it's your understanding that this is the evaluation that Health Canada applied to A That's this one? Q Yeah to answering the the question of whether talcum powder was a risk for the public in Canada; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q I did not see any discussion in your report of a methodology similar to this. Is that right? A Correct. Q Did you perform a weight of the evidence of the data in this case? A So I approached the expert report based upon my experience, both scientifically and clinical. We do this we do this a lot, actually, where we'll do a complete review of the literature and then extract the information, dissect it in terms of paper by paper. As a scientist, we don't really weigh studies in a quantitative way. We don't it's really not like a meta-analysis where we're saying, okay, this is this is this weight versus that weight. But but the gestalt is, if you will, at the end of the day, we look at these studies and say do we believe do we think that the data and results are believable; do they do

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

	Page 66		Page 68
1	that very clearly.	1	Q Is it a credible scientific
2	So I guess the answer to your question	2	organization?
3	is at the end of the day, the conclusion is that	3	MS. CURRY:
4	we don't think I don't think the data supports	4	Object to the form.
5	a biologic plausibility for talc versus talc	5	A I I think, to be fair, they they
6	and the as a role in the development of	6	recognize this as a group that is careful and is
7	ovarian cancer. That's the sum total of all that	7	invested in this. I would say, though, that
8	analysis.	8	they're not, as an organization, completely free
9	Q Did you perform a Bradford Hill	9	of because of the way they're structured with
10	analysis, per se?	10	WHO, completely free of outside influence or
11	A Not in the expert report. It's really	11	politics. That's my sense.
12	focused on biologic plausibility. I'm aware of	12	MS. THOMPSON:
13	Bradford Hill. Prior depositions, we talked	13	Q And by outside influence and politics,
14	about the elements, and I feel like I I	14	where would that be coming from?
15	certainly understand those criteria.	15	A From World Health Organization, which
16	Q But at least in this report, you didn't	16	is their sort of supervising body.
17	apply the criteria to this subject?	17	Q And is it your belief that the World
18	MS. CURRY:	18	Health Organization is politically biased or
19	Object to the form.	19	subject to influence from outside?
20	A It's really focused on biologic	20	A Well, I think it's an organization
21	plausibility, which, as you know, is one	21	that, by its nature, is, you know, a compendium
22	component of it.	22	of countries and societies. And, so, it's
23	MS. THOMPSON:	23	let's just say it's not necessarily as sort of
24	Q Correct.	24	independent as the Academy, National Academy.
	Page 67		D CO
			Page 69
1	And you reviewed that IARC 2010	1	Q And by that you mean the National
2	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right.	2	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now
2	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B;	2 3	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled?
2 3 4	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right?	2 3 4	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes.
2 3 4 5	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes.	2 3 4 5	 Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about
2 3 4 5 6	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph	2 3 4 5 6	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this
2 3 4 5	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right?	2 3 4 5	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh. Q this monograph applies to talc not
2 3 4 5 6 7	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right? A Correct. Q Is it your understanding that it	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh. Q this monograph applies to talc not containing asbestiform fibers, but that is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right? A Correct. Q Is it your understanding that it considered literature up to 2006? Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh. Q this monograph applies to talc not containing asbestiform fibers, but that is not your area of expertise; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right? A Correct. Q Is it your understanding that it considered literature up to 2006? Correct? A Sounds about right, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh. Q this monograph applies to talc not containing asbestiform fibers, but that is not your area of expertise; correct? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right? A Correct. Q Is it your understanding that it considered literature up to 2006? Correct? A Sounds about right, yes. Q What is IARC?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh. Q this monograph applies to tale not containing asbestiform fibers, but that is not your area of expertise; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right? A Correct. Q Is it your understanding that it considered literature up to 2006? Correct? A Sounds about right, yes. Q What is IARC? A Well, it's an international agency for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh. Q this monograph applies to talc not containing asbestiform fibers, but that is not your area of expertise; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right? A Correct. Q Is it your understanding that it considered literature up to 2006? Correct? A Sounds about right, yes. Q What is IARC? A Well, it's an international agency for research on cancer. Part of what they their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh. Q this monograph applies to talc not containing asbestiform fibers, but that is not your area of expertise; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right? A Correct. Q Is it your understanding that it considered literature up to 2006? Correct? A Sounds about right, yes. Q What is IARC? A Well, it's an international agency for research on cancer. Part of what they their responsibility is is to look at environmental	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh. Q this monograph applies to talc not containing asbestiform fibers, but that is not your area of expertise; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you are aware that there's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right? A Correct. Q Is it your understanding that it considered literature up to 2006? Correct? A Sounds about right, yes. Q What is IARC? A Well, it's an international agency for research on cancer. Part of what they their responsibility is is to look at environmental risks for and and to sort of attempt to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh. Q this monograph applies to talc not containing asbestiform fibers, but that is not your area of expertise; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you are aware that there's a different IARC monograph published in 2012 that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right? A Correct. Q Is it your understanding that it considered literature up to 2006? Correct? A Sounds about right, yes. Q What is IARC? A Well, it's an international agency for research on cancer. Part of what they their responsibility is is to look at environmental risks for and and to sort of attempt to quantify them, identify them and quantify them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh. Q this monograph applies to talc not containing asbestiform fibers, but that is not your area of expertise; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you are aware that there's a different IARC monograph published in 2012 that would cover talc containing asbestos or talc
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right? A Correct. Q Is it your understanding that it considered literature up to 2006? Correct? A Sounds about right, yes. Q What is IARC? A Well, it's an international agency for research on cancer. Part of what they their responsibility is is to look at environmental risks for and and to sort of attempt to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh. Q this monograph applies to tale not containing asbestiform fibers, but that is not your area of expertise; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you are aware that there's a different IARC monograph published in 2012 that would cover tale containing asbestiform fibers; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right? A Correct. Q Is it your understanding that it considered literature up to 2006? Correct? A Sounds about right, yes. Q What is IARC? A Well, it's an international agency for research on cancer. Part of what they their responsibility is is to look at environmental risks for and and to sort of attempt to quantify them, identify them and quantify them for the development of cancer. Q Is it generally thought to be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh. Q this monograph applies to talc not containing asbestiform fibers, but that is not your area of expertise; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you are aware that there's a different IARC monograph published in 2012 that would cover talc containing asbestos or talc
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right? A Correct. Q Is it your understanding that it considered literature up to 2006? Correct? A Sounds about right, yes. Q What is IARC? A Well, it's an international agency for research on cancer. Part of what they their responsibility is is to look at environmental risks for and and to sort of attempt to quantify them, identify them and quantify them for the development of cancer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh. Q this monograph applies to talc not containing asbestiform fibers, but that is not your area of expertise; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you are aware that there's a different IARC monograph published in 2012 that would cover talc containing asbestiform fibers; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right? A Correct. Q Is it your understanding that it considered literature up to 2006? Correct? A Sounds about right, yes. Q What is IARC? A Well, it's an international agency for research on cancer. Part of what they their responsibility is is to look at environmental risks for and and to sort of attempt to quantify them, identify them and quantify them for the development of cancer. Q Is it generally thought to be a reputable scientific organization? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh. Q this monograph applies to talc not containing asbestiform fibers, but that is not your area of expertise; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you are aware that there's a different IARC monograph published in 2012 that would cover talc containing asbestiform fibers; correct? A I don't think I've seen that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right? A Correct. Q Is it your understanding that it considered literature up to 2006? Correct? A Sounds about right, yes. Q What is IARC? A Well, it's an international agency for research on cancer. Part of what they their responsibility is is to look at environmental risks for and and to sort of attempt to quantify them, identify them and quantify them for the development of cancer. Q Is it generally thought to be a reputable scientific organization?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh. Q this monograph applies to talc not containing asbestiform fibers, but that is not your area of expertise; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you are aware that there's a different IARC monograph published in 2012 that would cover talc containing asbestiform fibers; correct? A I don't think I've seen that. Q That would be 2012, the 100C. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	document that we've marked as an exhibit; right. A This is when it was labeled as 2B; right? Q Yes. And and this well, this monograph was published in 2010; right? A Correct. Q Is it your understanding that it considered literature up to 2006? Correct? A Sounds about right, yes. Q What is IARC? A Well, it's an international agency for research on cancer. Part of what they their responsibility is is to look at environmental risks for and and to sort of attempt to quantify them, identify them and quantify them for the development of cancer. Q Is it generally thought to be a reputable scientific organization? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And by that you mean the National Academy of Science and Medicine Engineering, now titled? A Yes. Q Okay. And I believe we talked about before this A Uh-huh. Q this monograph applies to talc not containing asbestiform fibers, but that is not your area of expertise; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you are aware that there's a different IARC monograph published in 2012 that would cover talc containing asbestiform fibers; correct? A I don't think I've seen that. Q That would be 2012, the 100C. I believe it's on your

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

1	Page 70		Page 72
1	Q Yeah. It's number 77.	1	Object to the form.
2	A 77.	2	A It's detailed.
3	Q Arsenic, Metals, Fibers and Dust?	3	MS. THOMPSON:
4	A Oh, I think I I'm sorry. That's	4	Q Going to the FDA response letter, at
5	coming back to me. It was a small yeah.	5	least by volume, would you agree that this FDA
6	Q And did you did you review that IARC	6	letter is a less extensive review?
7	monograph?	7	MS. CURRY:
8	A Yeah. There was a what what	8	Object to the form.
9	I looked at was a subset of the entire document.	9	A Less pages.
10	Yeah.	10	MS. THOMPSON:
11	Q Did you look at the section with	11	Q That's kind of what I was getting at.
12	asbestos?	12	How about references?
13	MS. CURRY:	13	A Yeah.
14	Object to the form.	14	Q So, essentially, the FDA response
15	A I believe so, yeah.	15	letter in 2014 does not include a description of
16	MS. THOMPSON:	16	the methodology or an extensive reference list.
17	Q Did you look at the section with heavy	17	Is a that fair
18	metals?	18	MS. CURRY:
19	A No.	19	Object to the form.
20	Q Are you aware that that document, 2012,	20	MS. THOMPSON:
21	100C, includes all forms of asbestos and talc	21	Q statement?
22	containing asbestiform fibers?	22	A Well, I again, I think a little bit
23	A That sounds correct.	23	you're comparing apples and oranges in the sense
24	Q But you're not sure about that today?	24	that the purpose for these documents is somewhat
	Page 71		Page 73
1	MS. CURRY:	1	different in that this is a letter from the FDA
2	Object to the form.	2	in response to a I think it was a citizen's
3	A Well, as I said, I'm not a asbestos	3	petition. They're not gonna give they're not
4	expert. But that that IARC volume is focused	4	gonna send this back to a citizen's petition
5	on fibers, so that makes sense.	5	because I think the citizen's petition would be
6	MS. THOMPSON:	6	insulted because they're not going to be able to
7	Q And have you reviewed the preamble to	7	read it. It's more of a letter than the what
8	the IARC monographs? It's included in	8	their opinion is.
9	A Yeah.	9	Oh. Sorry.
-	O :1:1-14	10	
10	Q in exhibit	1 -0	Q And you're referring to that IARC
	A I looked through it.	11	Q And you're referring to that IARC A Yeah.
10	A I looked through it. Q Okay.		•
10 11	A I looked through it.	11	A Yeah.
10 11 12	A I looked through it. Q Okay.	11 12	A Yeah. Q 2010 monograph. Yeah.
10 11 12 13	A I looked through it.Q Okay.A It's voluminous.	11 12 13	A Yeah. Q 2010 monograph. Yeah. A Yeah.
10 11 12 13 14	 A I looked through it. Q Okay. A It's voluminous. Q And does that describe the the 	11 12 13 14	A Yeah. Q 2010 monograph. Yeah. A Yeah. Q Fair enough.
10 11 12 13 14 15	 A I looked through it. Q Okay. A It's voluminous. Q And does that describe the the methodology that IARC applies when it's looking 	11 12 13 14 15	 A Yeah. Q 2010 monograph. Yeah. A Yeah. Q Fair enough. However, you would consider the FDA a
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A I looked through it. Q Okay. A It's voluminous. Q And does that describe the the methodology that IARC applies when it's looking to determine whether a substance is carcinogenic 	11 12 13 14 15 16	 A Yeah. Q 2010 monograph. Yeah. A Yeah. Q Fair enough. However, you would consider the FDA a credible source?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I looked through it. Q Okay. A It's voluminous. Q And does that describe the the methodology that IARC applies when it's looking to determine whether a substance is carcinogenic or not?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A Yeah. Q 2010 monograph. Yeah. A Yeah. Q Fair enough. However, you would consider the FDA a credible source? A Yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I looked through it. Q Okay. A It's voluminous. Q And does that describe the the methodology that IARC applies when it's looking to determine whether a substance is carcinogenic or not? A Yes. It's a list of all the participants, the general principles, the	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Yeah. Q 2010 monograph. Yeah. A Yeah. Q Fair enough. However, you would consider the FDA a credible source? A Yes. Q Let's look at your CV. And you have
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I looked through it. Q Okay. A It's voluminous. Q And does that describe the the methodology that IARC applies when it's looking to determine whether a substance is carcinogenic or not? A Yes. It's a list of all the participants, the general principles, the methodology.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Yeah. Q 2010 monograph. Yeah. A Yeah. Q Fair enough. However, you would consider the FDA a credible source? A Yes. Q Let's look at your CV. And you have been a prolific researcher. Would you agree? A I survive.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I looked through it. Q Okay. A It's voluminous. Q And does that describe the the methodology that IARC applies when it's looking to determine whether a substance is carcinogenic or not? A Yes. It's a list of all the participants, the general principles, the methodology. Q And you would agree, similar to Health	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yeah. Q 2010 monograph. Yeah. A Yeah. Q Fair enough. However, you would consider the FDA a credible source? A Yes. Q Let's look at your CV. And you have been a prolific researcher. Would you agree? A I survive. Q I I think there are approximately
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I looked through it. Q Okay. A It's voluminous. Q And does that describe the the methodology that IARC applies when it's looking to determine whether a substance is carcinogenic or not? A Yes. It's a list of all the participants, the general principles, the methodology.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yeah. Q 2010 monograph. Yeah. A Yeah. Q Fair enough. However, you would consider the FDA a credible source? A Yes. Q Let's look at your CV. And you have been a prolific researcher. Would you agree? A I survive.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I looked through it. Q Okay. A It's voluminous. Q And does that describe the the methodology that IARC applies when it's looking to determine whether a substance is carcinogenic or not? A Yes. It's a list of all the participants, the general principles, the methodology. Q And you would agree, similar to Health Canada, that that methodology is extensive as	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Yeah. Q 2010 monograph. Yeah. A Yeah. Q Fair enough. However, you would consider the FDA a credible source? A Yes. Q Let's look at your CV. And you have been a prolific researcher. Would you agree? A I survive. Q I I think there are approximately 400 published papers. Is that close?

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

	Page 74		Page 76
1	papers. Am I right?	1	A No. I think OCAC is a lot like that.
2	A Correct.	2	MS. THOMPSON:
3	Q On some, you're the lead author;	3	Q They're providing tissue samples or are
4	correct?	4	they providing expertise?
5	A Correct.	5	A Well, OCAC is the consortium, so
6	Q What does the role of lead author	6	it's it's composed of all of those
7	usually entail?	7	institutions. And those institutions are
8	MS. CURRY:	8	providing specimens. And then the authors from
9	Object to the form.	9	those institutions end up on the paper.
10	A So let me let me step back and	10	Q How are the authors of the consortium's
11	define that. I would say anchor positions.	11	publications selected?
12	MS. THOMPSON:	12	MS. CURRY:
13	Q Okay.	13	Object to the form.
14	A So first author is usually the person	14	A Specific in GWAS or in general?
15	who has done most of the work. And, it	15	MS. THOMPSON:
16	actually my first authorship positions have	16	Q In OCAC.
17	sort of faded with time because I take the other	17	A OCAC. Well, I'm not sure I can quote
18	anchor position, which is the senior author,	18	you OCAC rules, but the general guidelines would
19	where you're providing guidance, mentorship, and	19	be that from every institution that participated,
20	then you you ultimately are responsible for	20	there'd be a primary author. If if there was
21	the quality of the paper.	21	somebody else at the institution who specifically
22	Q And and that	22	did something important for that paper, they
23	A Yeah.	23	might take two authors. But usually there's a
24	Q that person is is often listed	24	limit because you just OCAC, I believe, has
	Dago 75		Page 77
	Page 75		Page 77
1	last. Is that right?	1	I'm guessing 50 to maybe even 100
2	A That's right.	2	institutions. So if you were to allow unlimited
3	Q Okay. And can I assume that the	3	authors, it would be unmanageable.
4	authors in the middle have varying roles but all	4	Q Would the authors typically be
5	participate in the preparation of the manuscript in some sense?	5	considered to have expertise in the particular
6		6	area that they're publishing in?
7	A Right. I mean, it becomes you	7	A Yes.
8 9	probably can guess somewhat problematic when	8	Q Would they typically have previous
10	you look at GY studies when there are almost more	9	scholarly work or publications?
11	authors than specimens. So the idea there is that the individuals in in between are still	10	MS. CURRY:
12		11	Object to the form.
13	contributing to the paper. They're they may be providing specimens.	12 13	A Usually. MS. THOMPSON:
14	Q And I believe in GWAS, the the	14	
15	recruitment for GWAS are researchers that can	15	Q Would they typically have a a good reputation in the scientific or medical
16	provide tissue specimens for the group that's	16	community?
1 10	analyzing them. Is that a fair	17	MS. CURRY:
	anaryzing uicin. 15 that a fan		Object to the form.
17			Outcome form.
17 18	A It's a big point. It's it's a big	18	•
17 18 19	A It's a big point. It's it's a big part of it. Yeah.	19	A I hope so.
17 18 19 20	A It's a big point. It's it's a big part of it. Yeah. Q And you'd agree that that's different	19 20	A I hope so. MS. THOMPSON:
17 18 19 20 21	A It's a big point. It's it's a big part of it. Yeah. Q And you'd agree that that's different from the consortium that we discussed earlier,	19 20 21	A I hope so. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would they typically be knowledgeable
17 18 19 20 21 22	A It's a big point. It's it's a big part of it. Yeah. Q And you'd agree that that's different from the consortium that we discussed earlier, that OCAC consortium; right?	19 20 21 22	A I hope so. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would they typically be knowledgeable in that respective field that they're called upon
17 18 19 20 21	A It's a big point. It's it's a big part of it. Yeah. Q And you'd agree that that's different from the consortium that we discussed earlier,	19 20 21	A I hope so. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would they typically be knowledgeable

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

	Page 78		Page 80
1	Object to the form.	1	of careful thought.
2	A Yeah. I mean, I think it would be	2	MS. THOMPSON:
3	very again, these GWAS studies I'm sorry	3	Q And and I'd assume they'd be
4	the GWAS studies are in some ways really unique	4	qualified in their area of expertise for the same
5	in that there's so many authors. There may be	5	reason, or else you wouldn't choose them. Right?
6	individuals in that list who who while they're	6	A It would be hard for them to contribute
7	ovarian cancer researchers, they could be fairly	7	in a meaningful way if they don't know what
8	junior, and they may have just provided some	8	they're doing.
9	specimens. Yeah.	9	Q Okay. Looking at your CV, are there
10	MS. THOMPSON:	10	any coauthors that you can identify that you
11	Q Yeah. And I'm not as interested in the	11	would not regard as qualified in their respective
12	GWAS because they do have, you know, a whole	12	fields?
13	number.	13	A I'm not gonna be able to answer that.
14	A Yeah.	14	I've got 400 publications and probably several
15	Q But I'm thinking more of the Australian	15	thousand authors.
16	consortium, the OCAC, the the other ones where	16	Q So do you think there would be some
17	it looks, at least by appearance, that you're	17	that you could identify as not being credible?
18	the authors are chosen because they're experts	18	A Not that I know of.
19	in in a particular area. For example,	19	MS. CURRY:
20	epidemiology. Would you agree with that	20	Object to the form.
21	statement?	21	A Again, this is realtime, so if we go
22	MS. CURRY:	22	back to my Ph.D., which was on the measles virus
23	Object to the form.	23	back when I was a young lad, I don't know that
24	A I think that's true I think that's	24	field anymore, and I don't know what those
	Page 79		Page 81
1	true as a as general guideline, yeah.	1	individuals have done.
2			
	MS. THOMPSON:	2	
3	MS. THOMPSON: O And would the same be true for a paper	2 3	It's a realtime process. Sometimes
	Q And would the same be true for a paper		It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good
3	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for	3	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in
3 4	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior,	3 4	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at
3 4 5	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible?	3 4 5	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your
3 4 5 6 7	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible? A Well, you know, when you do these	3 4 5 6 7	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your paper.
3 4 5 6	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible?	3 4 5 6	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible? A Well, you know, when you do these experiments, you're not really out looking for	3 4 5 6 7 8	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your paper. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 8
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible? A Well, you know, when you do these experiments, you're not really out looking for authors. You're doing the experiments, and the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your paper. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 8 WAS MARKED IDENTIFICATION.)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible? A Well, you know, when you do these experiments, you're not really out looking for authors. You're doing the experiments, and the people who do them, help you design a project,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your paper. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 8 WAS MARKED IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible? A Well, you know, when you do these experiments, you're not really out looking for authors. You're doing the experiments, and the people who do them, help you design a project, deserve authorship. Those are the guidelines.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your paper. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 8 WAS MARKED IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna just give you a list of some
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible? A Well, you know, when you do these experiments, you're not really out looking for authors. You're doing the experiments, and the people who do them, help you design a project, deserve authorship. Those are the guidelines. And if you're asking would I put	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your paper. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 8 WAS MARKED IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna just give you a list of some coauthors that I pulled off your CV. And would
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible? A Well, you know, when you do these experiments, you're not really out looking for authors. You're doing the experiments, and the people who do them, help you design a project, deserve authorship. Those are the guidelines. And if you're asking would I put somebody who I thought was not credible on an	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your paper. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 8 WAS MARKED IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna just give you a list of some coauthors that I pulled off your CV. And would you look at that list?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible? A Well, you know, when you do these experiments, you're not really out looking for authors. You're doing the experiments, and the people who do them, help you design a project, deserve authorship. Those are the guidelines. And if you're asking would I put somebody who I thought was not credible on an author list, I'd be very bothered by that. But	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your paper. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 8 WAS MARKED IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna just give you a list of some coauthors that I pulled off your CV. And would you look at that list? A Uh-huh.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible? A Well, you know, when you do these experiments, you're not really out looking for authors. You're doing the experiments, and the people who do them, help you design a project, deserve authorship. Those are the guidelines. And if you're asking would I put somebody who I thought was not credible on an author list, I'd be very bothered by that. But you'd have to define what "credible" means.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your paper. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 8 WAS MARKED IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna just give you a list of some coauthors that I pulled off your CV. And would you look at that list? A Uh-huh. Q I narrowed it down from a couple
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible? A Well, you know, when you do these experiments, you're not really out looking for authors. You're doing the experiments, and the people who do them, help you design a project, deserve authorship. Those are the guidelines. And if you're asking would I put somebody who I thought was not credible on an author list, I'd be very bothered by that. But you'd have to define what "credible" means. Q Yeah. So I guess rather than choosing	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your paper. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 8 WAS MARKED IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna just give you a list of some coauthors that I pulled off your CV. And would you look at that list? A Uh-huh. Q I narrowed it down from a couple thousand to a more manageable number. Are there
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible? A Well, you know, when you do these experiments, you're not really out looking for authors. You're doing the experiments, and the people who do them, help you design a project, deserve authorship. Those are the guidelines. And if you're asking would I put somebody who I thought was not credible on an author list, I'd be very bothered by that. But you'd have to define what "credible" means. Q Yeah. So I guess rather than choosing someone as a coauthor, I should have rephrased	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your paper. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 8 WAS MARKED IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna just give you a list of some coauthors that I pulled off your CV. And would you look at that list? A Uh-huh. Q I narrowed it down from a couple thousand to a more manageable number. Are there any names on that list that you could identify as
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible? A Well, you know, when you do these experiments, you're not really out looking for authors. You're doing the experiments, and the people who do them, help you design a project, deserve authorship. Those are the guidelines. And if you're asking would I put somebody who I thought was not credible on an author list, I'd be very bothered by that. But you'd have to define what "credible" means. Q Yeah. So I guess rather than choosing someone as a coauthor, I should have rephrased that. Choosing someone to work on a project that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your paper. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 8 WAS MARKED IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna just give you a list of some coauthors that I pulled off your CV. And would you look at that list? A Uh-huh. Q I narrowed it down from a couple thousand to a more manageable number. Are there any names on that list that you could identify as not being credible?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible? A Well, you know, when you do these experiments, you're not really out looking for authors. You're doing the experiments, and the people who do them, help you design a project, deserve authorship. Those are the guidelines. And if you're asking would I put somebody who I thought was not credible on an author list, I'd be very bothered by that. But you'd have to define what "credible" means. Q Yeah. So I guess rather than choosing someone as a coauthor, I should have rephrased that. Choosing someone to work on a project that would later be published, you can assume that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your paper. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 8 WAS MARKED IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna just give you a list of some coauthors that I pulled off your CV. And would you look at that list? A Uh-huh. Q I narrowed it down from a couple thousand to a more manageable number. Are there any names on that list that you could identify as not being credible? MS. CURRY:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible? A Well, you know, when you do these experiments, you're not really out looking for authors. You're doing the experiments, and the people who do them, help you design a project, deserve authorship. Those are the guidelines. And if you're asking would I put somebody who I thought was not credible on an author list, I'd be very bothered by that. But you'd have to define what "credible" means. Q Yeah. So I guess rather than choosing someone as a coauthor, I should have rephrased that. Choosing someone to work on a project that would later be published, you can assume that person would be credible; correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your paper. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 8 WAS MARKED IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna just give you a list of some coauthors that I pulled off your CV. And would you look at that list? A Uh-huh. Q I narrowed it down from a couple thousand to a more manageable number. Are there any names on that list that you could identify as not being credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And would the same be true for a paper that you're publishing? Would you look for coauthors either as an anchor or a senior, would you look for coauthors that are credible? A Well, you know, when you do these experiments, you're not really out looking for authors. You're doing the experiments, and the people who do them, help you design a project, deserve authorship. Those are the guidelines. And if you're asking would I put somebody who I thought was not credible on an author list, I'd be very bothered by that. But you'd have to define what "credible" means. Q Yeah. So I guess rather than choosing someone as a coauthor, I should have rephrased that. Choosing someone to work on a project that would later be published, you can assume that person would be credible; correct? MS. CURRY:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It's a realtime process. Sometimes individuals who seem to be very, very good scientists later on in life will get involved in scientific misconduct. That may not have been at all relevant for when you put that person on your paper. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 8 WAS MARKED IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna just give you a list of some coauthors that I pulled off your CV. And would you look at that list? A Uh-huh. Q I narrowed it down from a couple thousand to a more manageable number. Are there any names on that list that you could identify as not being credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

	Page 82		Page 84
1	Q 8.	1	sense is they command the market. But I'm not
2	A So I would say of this list,	2	I'm not in the supermarket a lot.
3	probably I'm estimating about 20 percent of	3	Q And not in the baby powder section?
4	these people, I'm I'm not sure I quite	4	A No.
5	remember what paper they're on. But the rest of	5	Q And what is contained in the
6	them I know because they're high profile. I	6	Johnson's in Johnson's baby powder, to your
7	don't see anybody here that I would say is not a	7	understanding?
8	good scientist.	8	MS. CURRY:
9	Q And qualified in their respective	9	Object to the form.
10	areas?	10	A Talc. And I know that's an issue
11	A Yes.	11	that's come up in terms of are there other
12	MS. CURRY:	12	things. I mean, clearly there are other things
13	Object to the form.	13	that the product smells nice, so there must be
14	MS. THOMPSON:	14	some fragrance.
15	Q And some at least some on the list	15	MS. THOMPSON:
16	you published with multiple times. Is that fair	16	Q Okay.
17	to say?	17	A But I don't know of any first of
18	A Yeah.	18	all, I don't that's not my area of expertise.
19	Q Dr. Birrer, throughout your report you,	19	I've certainly never conducted any experiments
20	at least at times, used the term "talc." What	20	and tried to figure out what's in it and and
21	are you referring to when you say talc?	21	wouldn't consider myself an expert in the whole
22	A So there's two levels of relevance	22	mineralogy issue.
23	here. One is for epidemiologic studies or	23	Q So that would be talc, the mineral. Do
24	studies that were that were conducted. A	24	you have an opinion as to whether there is a such
	Page 83		Page 85
1	subset of the of the studies that were	1	thing as pure talc?
2	conducted in the lab were actually dealing with	2	MS. CURRY:
3	talcum powder.	3	Object to the form.
4	But there are experiments in particular	4	A You know, my you know, my sense is
5	where individuals are using sigma-produced talc.	5	in that some of the experiments where this
6	So it's it's it's a bit of a mixture. But	6	product is actually bought not cosmetically, but
7	I think, in particular in the epi studies, a lot	7	I've seen references to sigma-produced talc, that
8	of them are just okay to use powder.	8	that's a that's a purified form of it.
9	Q So to to the extent both of us can,	9	MS. THOMPSON:
10	we can try to say whether we're referring to	10	Q And, so, by pure purified form, you
11	talcum powder or talc, as you described, so	11	would mean that it does not con contain
12	let's let's both try to do that, to the extent	12	impurities; correct?
	possible, because it can get confusing.	13	A It would not contain something else.
13	•	14	Q Would you consider it pure if it
13 14	A I completely concur.		
	Q Okay. Okay. I'm glad we agree on	15	contained talc fibers?
14	Q Okay. Okay. I'm glad we agree on that.		contained talc fibers? MS. CURRY:
14 15	Q Okay. Okay. I'm glad we agree on that. Do you know what Johnson & Johnson's	15	contained talc fibers? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
14 15 16	Q Okay. Okay. I'm glad we agree on that. Do you know what Johnson & Johnson's market share of the talcum powder product has	15 16	contained talc fibers? MS. CURRY:
14 15 16 17	Q Okay. Okay. I'm glad we agree on that. Do you know what Johnson & Johnson's	15 16 17	contained talc fibers? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't I don't think I can answer that.
14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. Okay. I'm glad we agree on that. Do you know what Johnson & Johnson's market share of the talcum powder product has	15 16 17 18	contained talc fibers? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't I don't think I can answer
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Okay. Okay. I'm glad we agree on that. Do you know what Johnson & Johnson's market share of the talcum powder product has been over the years?	15 16 17 18 19	contained talc fibers? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't I don't think I can answer that.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. Okay. I'm glad we agree on that. Do you know what Johnson & Johnson's market share of the talcum powder product has been over the years? A I don't. Q If I told you it was 60 to 70 percent, would you have any basis to disagree with that	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	contained talc fibers? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't I don't think I can answer that. MS. THOMPSON: Q So no opinion on on that issue. A Yeah.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. Okay. I'm glad we agree on that. Do you know what Johnson & Johnson's market share of the talcum powder product has been over the years? A I don't. Q If I told you it was 60 to 70 percent,	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	contained talc fibers? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't I don't think I can answer that. MS. THOMPSON: Q So no opinion on on that issue.

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

	Page 86		Page 88
1	A No.	1	Q It was the it was a report that
2	Q Do you have any knowledge regarding the	2	addressed the fragrance chemicals in talcum
3	particle size of Johnson's baby powder or Shower	3	powder. Do you remember seeing that? I don't
4	to Shower?	4	remember whether it's on your list. Oh.
5	A Again, that's a little bit outside my	5	A Is that plaintiff?
6	area of expertise. My understanding is, you	6	Q You don't have Dr. Crowley's report.
7	know, tale ranges from 10 microns to larger	7	A Yeah.
8	sizes. But it's not something I systematically	8	Q Did you know if there was a an
9	explored. Even the expert reports here that	9	expert report that specifically addressed the
10	focused on the mineralogy, I looked at it but not	10	fragrance fragrance chemical presence in baby
11	in any great detail.	11	powder?
12	Q And if you were told that there are	12	A Not that I know of.
13	also smaller particles than 10 microns, that	13	Q So I I can assume that you don't
14	wouldn't surprise you?	14	know why you weren't provided Dr. Crowley's
15	A I think there's a range.	15	report?
16	Q Fair enough.	16	MS. CURRY:
17	A I don't know how you know, again, I	17	Object to the form.
18	know there's references to ultrafine, et cetera,	18	A It's not on my list.
19	et cetera. I don't have definitive knowledge or	19	MS. THOMPSON:
20	data that that is true.	20	Q Did you ask if anyone had looked at the
21	Q Okay. But, as far as you know, the	21	actual chemicals in baby powder?
22	particle size is is mixed?	22	A I didn't specifically go through that,
23	A Uh-huh.	23	no.
24	Q It's not a standard size like you might	24	Q It is it important for you to know
	Page 87		Page 89
1	see, for example, in a pleurodesis talc?	1	the quality of talcum powder?
2	MS. CURRY:	2	MS. CURRY:
3	Object to the form.	3	Object to the form.
4	A I don't I can't say that.	4	A And how do you define "quality"?
5	MS. THOMPSON:	5	MS. THOMPSON:
6	Q Okay.	6	Q I I define "quality" as the absence
7	A But based on my rudimentary	7	of the amount and types of impurities.
8	understanding of mineralogy here, that there's a	8	MS. CURRY:
9	range.	9	Object to the form.
10	Q Have you ever looked at the label on a	10	A How do you define "impurities"?
11	bottle of baby powder?	11	MS. THOMPSON:
12	A I don't recall that.	12	Q Something that's not pure talc.
13	Q So you don't know what would be listed	13	A Okay. Again, I I'll come back to
14	on the label?	14	this theme. I think I didn't go down that
15	A No.	15	road. It's not my area of expertise. But, more
16	Q But you're assuming it has some kind of	16	importantly, I was asked to sort of review the
17	fragrances in it?	17	total data that suggested there might be a role
18	A I think that's a safe assumption. I	18	for talc in ovarian cancer, regard talcum
19	have smelled it.	19	powder, regardless of what's in it.
	Q Haven't we all.	20	So in that context, impurities,
20	D'1 1D C 1 1 40	21	fragrance, heavy metals, it doesn't matter. We
21	Did you read Dr. Crowley's report?	1	
21 22	Do you remember Dr. Crowley's report?	22	would see the data. So I felt pretty comfortable
21		1	

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

	Page 90		Page 92
1	Q Is it important for you to know the	1	MS. THOMPSON:
2	min mineral content of a talcum powder	2	Q For a potential health effect.
3	product if you are intending to assess its	3	MS. CURRY:
4	potential health effects?	4	Object to the form.
5	MS. CURRY:	5	A There's no data for that. I can't
6	Object to the form.	6	develop a mechanism when, in fact, there's no
7	A Would you just repeat that, please?	7	biologic plausibility for talcum powder in a role
8	MS. THOMPSON:	8	of ovarian cancer.
9	Q Is it important to know the mineral	9	MS. THOMPSON:
10	content of a talcum powder product if you are	10	Q Well, it sounds like what you're saying
11	intending to assess its potential health effects?	11	is if you decide that talcum powder doesn't cause
12	MS. CURRY:	12	ovarian cancer, then there's no reason to even
13	Object to the form.	13	look at whether there's a plausible mechanism or
14	A You know, again, I think in terms of	14	not.
15	reviewing the literature, no. I mean, it's	15	MS. CURRY:
16	talcum and it's talcum powder. It's a	16	Object to the form.
17	representative of what's on the market.	17	MS. THOMPSON:
18	So regardless of what's there or not,	18	Q Is that
19	even from a mineral standpoint, we can make a	19	A Well, I'm not sure what mechanism we're
20	judgment as to whether that's providing data that	20	looking at. We're looking at a mechanism that an
21	supports whether it's a risk factor or biologic	21	agent doesn't cause cancer? That does makes
22	plausibility for a role in development of ovarian	22	no sense to me.
23	cancer.	23	Q We're looking at what a mechanism could
24	MS. THOMPSON:	24	be if it could cause cancer, as a hypothetical.
	Page 91		D 02
			Page 93
1	Q So even in your determination of	1	MS. CURRY:
1 2		1 2	
	Q So even in your determination of		MS. CURRY:
2	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not,	2	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we
2	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the	2	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum
2 3 4	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support
2 3 4 5	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A slong as that baby powder's been	2 3 4 5	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter.	2 3 4 5 6	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical component to produce a mechanism for a biologic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that goes for whether the baby	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical component to produce a mechanism for a biologic activity that we haven't seen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that goes for whether the baby powder contains asbestos?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical component to produce a mechanism for a biologic activity that we haven't seen? MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that goes for whether the baby powder contains asbestos? A Well, again, I I think if it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical component to produce a mechanism for a biologic activity that we haven't seen? MS. THOMPSON: Q What experiments are you referring to?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that goes for whether the baby powder contains asbestos? A Well, again, I I think if it contained asbestos, that would show a signal in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical component to produce a mechanism for a biologic activity that we haven't seen? MS. THOMPSON: Q What experiments are you referring to? A I would say primarily the ones that are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that goes for whether the baby powder contains asbestos? A Well, again, I I think if it contained asbestos, that would show a signal in those experiments. Now, we would see it. We may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical component to produce a mechanism for a biologic activity that we haven't seen? MS. THOMPSON: Q What experiments are you referring to? A I would say primarily the ones that are in my expert report. That really is a sum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that goes for whether the baby powder contains asbestos? A Well, again, I I think if it contained asbestos, that would show a signal in those experiments. Now, we would see it. We may not know it's related to asbestos, fragrance or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical component to produce a mechanism for a biologic activity that we haven't seen? MS. THOMPSON: Q What experiments are you referring to? A I would say primarily the ones that are in my expert report. That really is a sum Q Which experiments in your report? We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that goes for whether the baby powder contains asbestos? A Well, again, I I think if it contained asbestos, that would show a signal in those experiments. Now, we would see it. We may not know it's related to asbestos, fragrance or whatever, but the experiments would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical component to produce a mechanism for a biologic activity that we haven't seen? MS. THOMPSON: Q What experiments are you referring to? A I would say primarily the ones that are in my expert report. That really is a sum Q Which experiments in your report? We can go through your report if you want.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that goes for whether the baby powder contains asbestos? A Well, again, I I think if it contained asbestos, that would show a signal in those experiments. Now, we would see it. We may not know it's related to asbestos, fragrance or whatever, but the experiments would be reproducible and dispositive. And in my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical component to produce a mechanism for a biologic activity that we haven't seen? MS. THOMPSON: Q What experiments are you referring to? A I would say primarily the ones that are in my expert report. That really is a sum Q Which experiments in your report? We can go through your report if you want. A I'm yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that goes for whether the baby powder contains asbestos? A Well, again, I I think if it contained asbestos, that would show a signal in those experiments. Now, we would see it. We may not know it's related to asbestos, fragrance or whatever, but the experiments would be reproducible and dispositive. And in my experience, they're not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical component to produce a mechanism for a biologic activity that we haven't seen? MS. THOMPSON: Q What experiments are you referring to? A I would say primarily the ones that are in my expert report. That really is a sum Q Which experiments in your report? We can go through your report if you want. A I'm yeah. Q I'm looking for the experiments that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that goes for whether the baby powder contains asbestos? A Well, again, I I think if it contained asbestos, that would show a signal in those experiments. Now, we would see it. We may not know it's related to asbestos, fragrance or whatever, but the experiments would be reproducible and dispositive. And in my experience, they're not. Q But the question is, does that would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical component to produce a mechanism for a biologic activity that we haven't seen? MS. THOMPSON: Q What experiments are you referring to? A I would say primarily the ones that are in my expert report. That really is a sum Q Which experiments in your report? We can go through your report if you want. A I'm yeah. Q I'm looking for the experiments that show that there's no biologic effect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that goes for whether the baby powder contains asbestos? A Well, again, I I think if it contained asbestos, that would show a signal in those experiments. Now, we would see it. We may not know it's related to asbestos, fragrance or whatever, but the experiments would be reproducible and dispositive. And in my experience, they're not. Q But the question is, does that would that explain a mechanism if there's asbestos in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical component to produce a mechanism for a biologic activity that we haven't seen? MS. THOMPSON: Q What experiments are you referring to? A I would say primarily the ones that are in my expert report. That really is a sum Q Which experiments in your report? We can go through your report if you want. A I'm yeah. Q I'm looking for the experiments that show that there's no biologic effect. A So Buz'Zard is one that frequently
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that goes for whether the baby powder contains asbestos? A Well, again, I I think if it contained asbestos, that would show a signal in those experiments. Now, we would see it. We may not know it's related to asbestos, fragrance or whatever, but the experiments would be reproducible and dispositive. And in my experience, they're not. Q But the question is, does that would that explain a mechanism if there's asbestos in the baby powder?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical component to produce a mechanism for a biologic activity that we haven't seen? MS. THOMPSON: Q What experiments are you referring to? A I would say primarily the ones that are in my expert report. That really is a sum Q Which experiments in your report? We can go through your report if you want. A I'm yeah. Q I'm looking for the experiments that show that there's no biologic effect. A So Buz'Zard is one that frequently Q And is it your opinion that Buz'Zard
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that goes for whether the baby powder contains asbestos? A Well, again, I I think if it contained asbestos, that would show a signal in those experiments. Now, we would see it. We may not know it's related to asbestos, fragrance or whatever, but the experiments would be reproducible and dispositive. And in my experience, they're not. Q But the question is, does that would that explain a mechanism if there's asbestos in the baby powder? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical component to produce a mechanism for a biologic activity that we haven't seen? MS. THOMPSON: Q What experiments are you referring to? A I would say primarily the ones that are in my expert report. That really is a sum Q Which experiments in your report? We can go through your report if you want. A I'm yeah. Q I'm looking for the experiments that show that there's no biologic effect. A So Buz'Zard is one that frequently Q And is it your opinion that Buz'Zard shows no biologic effect?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that goes for whether the baby powder contains asbestos? A Well, again, I I think if it contained asbestos, that would show a signal in those experiments. Now, we would see it. We may not know it's related to asbestos, fragrance or whatever, but the experiments would be reproducible and dispositive. And in my experience, they're not. Q But the question is, does that would that explain a mechanism if there's asbestos in the baby powder? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical component to produce a mechanism for a biologic activity that we haven't seen? MS. THOMPSON: Q What experiments are you referring to? A I would say primarily the ones that are in my expert report. That really is a sumQ Which experiments in your report? We can go through your report if you want. A I'm yeah. Q I'm looking for the experiments that show that there's no biologic effect. A So Buz'Zard is one that frequentlyQ And is it your opinion that Buz'Zard shows no biologic effect? A There's nothing in that paper that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q So even in your determination of whether a biologic mechanism is plausible or not, it doesn't matter what the mineral content of the baby powder is? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A As long as that baby powder's been tested in that experiment, it doesn't matter. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that goes for whether the baby powder contains asbestos? A Well, again, I I think if it contained asbestos, that would show a signal in those experiments. Now, we would see it. We may not know it's related to asbestos, fragrance or whatever, but the experiments would be reproducible and dispositive. And in my experience, they're not. Q But the question is, does that would that explain a mechanism if there's asbestos in the baby powder? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. I a mechanism for a hypothetical. I you know, again, that we don't need the hypothetical. We've tested talcum in those experiments. There's no data to support biologic plausibility. So why are why would we be trying to think about a hypothetical component to produce a mechanism for a biologic activity that we haven't seen? MS. THOMPSON: Q What experiments are you referring to? A I would say primarily the ones that are in my expert report. That really is a sum Q Which experiments in your report? We can go through your report if you want. A I'm yeah. Q I'm looking for the experiments that show that there's no biologic effect. A So Buz'Zard is one that frequently Q And is it your opinion that Buz'Zard shows no biologic effect?

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

	Page 94		Page 96
1	plausibility.	1	What is your understanding of how these
2	Q And we'll get to the others.	2	products are used by women?
3	So you're referring to	3	MS. CURRY:
4	A Yes.	4	Object to the form.
5	Q Buz'Zard, Shukla?	5	A Baby powder?
6	A Shukla. Just hang on. Yeah.	6	MS. THOMPSON:
7	Buz'Zard, Shukla and Hamilton.	7	Q And and we're talking about, at
8	Q And I'm going to assume you include	8	least for these cases, in the perineal area.
9	Dr. Saed in that?	9	A Yeah.
10	A Correct.	10	Q Do you have any knowledge from
11	Q Although we're going to get into more	11	conversations with women or literature or any
12	detail in that later.	12	other source as to how it's applied, whether it's
13	A Exactly.	13	standing, lying down, in the underwear, on a
14	Q And you're aware of the other animal	14	sanitary napkin, shaken into hands? Did you have
15	studies that show inflammatory effects; right?	15	any understanding of of those issues?
16	MS. CURRY:	16	MS. CURRY:
17	Object to the form.	17	Object to the form.
18	A You have to go through those and define	18	A I would say not a systematic, shall we
19	that.	19	say, meta-analysis of baby powder use. I
20	MS. THOMPSON:	20	certainly, over years in the clinic, am familiar
21	Q Okay.	21	with women who use baby powder. You know, my
22	A Because it's pretty broad literature.	22	sense is that most dust the perineum usually
23	You're assuming you're referring to	23	standing up. I but again, I can't say that's
24	Keskin?	24	a scientific evaluation. I have some experience
			Page 97
1	Q There are studies going back to the	1	with my wife. So I I it's a certain
2	'40s and '50s with intraperitoneal inflammatory	2	some general concept of how it's done, yeah.
3	effects with in the presence of talc.	3	MS. THOMPSON:
4	You're aware of those?	4	Q Would you agree, at least, that, for
5	MS. CURRY:	5	most women, it would be applied in a in a
6	Object to the form.	6	habitual manner?
7	A There is a big literature.	7	MS. CURRY:
8	MS. THOMPSON:	8	Object to the form.
9	Q And understanding that there are	9	A Yeah, I think it's important to define
10	different histologic subtypes of epithelial	10 11	that. It would certainly be repetitive. Is it
11 12	ovarian cancer, can we agree that if one of us refers to ovarian cancer in a general sense, that	12	something you know, habitual sounds to me like almost like an addict. And I don't I
		13	don't think that's the case.
13 14	we're referring to epithelial ovarian cancer?		
	A I would not include germ you know,	14	MS. THOMPSON:
1 5	germ cell tumors in this.	15 16	Q No. I didn't mean it mean in that term.
15 16	O Stromal water avaluding stromal		ICIUI
16	Q Stromal we're excluding stromal		
16 17	A And stromal, yeah. It's epithelial,	17	I meant that it's and this has been
16 17 18	A And stromal, yeah. It's epithelial, correct.	17 18	I meant that it's and this has been reported in the literature, I believe you're
16 17 18 19	A And stromal, yeah. It's epithelial, correct.Q Okay. So we're on the same page there?	17 18 19	I meant that it's and this has been reported in the literature, I believe you're aware
16 17 18 19 20	 A And stromal, yeah. It's epithelial, correct. Q Okay. So we're on the same page there? A With with the caveat being, and we 	17 18 19 20	I meant that it's and this has been reported in the literature, I believe you're aware A Uh-huh.
16 17 18 19 20 21	 A And stromal, yeah. It's epithelial, correct. Q Okay. So we're on the same page there? A With with the caveat being, and we do discuss this in the report about even 	17 18 19 20 21	I meant that it's and this has been reported in the literature, I believe you're aware A Uh-huh. Q that most women do it the same way
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A And stromal, yeah. It's epithelial, correct. Q Okay. So we're on the same page there? A With with the caveat being, and we do discuss this in the report about even within the epithelial component, we now realize	17 18 19 20 21 22	I meant that it's and this has been reported in the literature, I believe you're aware A Uh-huh. Q that most women do it the same way every day or whatever schedule they're on.
16 17 18 19 20 21	 A And stromal, yeah. It's epithelial, correct. Q Okay. So we're on the same page there? A With with the caveat being, and we do discuss this in the report about even 	17 18 19 20 21	I meant that it's and this has been reported in the literature, I believe you're aware A Uh-huh. Q that most women do it the same way

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 98		Page 100
1	A I would think that there'd be some	1	be true for a number of environmental
2	consistency on that. I I will say this	2	exposures
3	parenthetically, you may get to it later on, but	3	MS. CURRY:
4	I do think, based on what we're just discussing,	4	Object to the form.
5	it's very hard to it's very hard to quantify	5	MS. THOMPSON:
6	amount of use. I really do.	6	 Q that difficulty in quantifying how
7	MS. THOMPSON:	7	much a particular individual is exposed to?
8	Q And I think we will get to that.	8	A Well, you'd have to give me some
9	A Okay.	9	examples on that. I mean, I think for cigarette
10	Q But but so it's hard to quantify	10	smoke, it actually is quite quantifiable.
11	how much a woman is using on any given	11	Q Cigarette smoke, I agree.
12	application; correct?	12	How about a household or domestic
13	A (Nods affirmatively.)	13	exposure to asbestos, for example?
14	Q And it's hard	14	A I guess you could quantify the amount
15	MS. CURRY:	15	of asbestos-containing material in the house,
16	You have to say "yes" or "no" versus	16	but
17	head shakes because the court reporter will not	17	Q How about a spouse coming home from
18	be able to get that down.	18	occupational exposure?
19	A It says "nods affirmatively."	19	A Yeah. It would be a challenge.
20	Yes.	20	Q How about chemicals in water source?
21	MS. CURRY:	21	A That should be measurable.
22	She was able to in that instance. I	22	Q Over time?
23	stand corrected, but for	23	A Multiple samples.
24	THE WITNESS:	24	Q How about
	Page 99		Page 101
1	She's very good.	1	A And and potentially even the
2	MS. THOMPSON:	2	patient.
3	Q And and if there were talc that	3	Q How about exposure to a pesticide?
4	reached the vagina or the upper genital tract, it	4	A Yeah. That would be more of a
5	would be hard to quantify how much that would be;	5	challenge. Yeah.
6	right?	6	Q So there's certainly other
7	A Yes.	7	A Some variability.
8		_	
9	Q But you'll have to agree, but that not being able to quantify it isn't a reason not	8 9	Q other situations where it's challenging to quantify the exposure to an
10	to study the issue. Right?	10	individual over time.
11	MS. CURRY:	11	MS. CURRY:
12	Object to the form.	12	Object to the form.
13		l .	
14		13	A Yes. MS. THOMPSON:
	that, you know, if it's important, you need to do	14	
15 16	it. I just think that, for the reasons you just	15	
17	said, quantifying it is is difficult, not only	16	review, you I think I asked you this before
	in individual applications, how much actually	17	but I'm gonna just ask it again since it's in my
	would get where, but this longitudinal issue.	18 19	outline here.
18	While I think themele gomes		Other than a literature and document
18 19	While I think there's some consistency, do women		
18 19 20	use it for a while and then stop using it and how	20	review, have you done any research on talcum
18 19 20 21	use it for a while and then stop using it and how often do they change? I think there's a whole	20 21	powder and ovarian cancer?
18 19 20 21 22	use it for a while and then stop using it and how often do they change? I think there's a whole issue on that, too.	20 21 22	powder and ovarian cancer? A No.
18 19 20 21	use it for a while and then stop using it and how often do they change? I think there's a whole	20 21	powder and ovarian cancer?

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

	Page 102		Page 104
1	A Correct.	1	Q Do you know why she's no longer an
2	Q And you've never published an article	2	expert?
3	on talcum powder and ovarian cancer. Is that	3	A I don't.
4	correct?	4	Q Do you know Dr. Huh?
5	A No.	5	A I do know Dr. Huh. Warner. Uh-huh.
6	Q Have you ever given a talk on talcum	6	Q Do you know why Dr. Huh is not serving
7	powder and ovarian cancer?	7	as an expert for the defendants in the MDL?
8	A No.	8	A No.
9	Q Have you discussed your opinions in	9	Q Does University of Alabama know that
10	this case with anyone?	10	you are serving as a paid expert for
11	A No, other than counsel.	11	Johnson & Johnson
12	Q No colleagues?	12	A Yes.
13	A No.	13	Q in this case?
14	Q Did you attend the recent SGO	14	Do you know how much money
15	conference in Hawaii?	15	Johnson & Johnson has contributed to the
16	A Hawaii's a nice place. I did.	16	University of Alabama and your lab?
17	Q Did you discuss talcum powder with any	17	MS. CURRY:
18	of your colleagues at the meeting?	18	Object to the form.
19	A I'd never been there before.	19	A I
20	I did not.	20	MS. THOMPSON:
21	Q Do you know Liz Swisher?	21	Q Let me rephrase that question because I
22	A I do know Liz, yes.	22	don't like being "contributed."
23	Q Do you know her from professional	23	Do you know how much money
24	meetings and other interactions?	24	Johnson & Johnson has paid to University of
			· · ·
	Page 103		Page 105
1	A I know her professionally and we're on	1	Alabama?
2	several papers together.	2	A No.
3	Q Yes, you are.	3	Q Do you know how much money
4	A Yeah.	4	Johnson & Johnson has paid to support your lab?
5	Q Have you discussed the case with		
	•	5	MS. CURRY:
6	Dr. Swisher?	6	MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
6 7	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall.		Object to the form. A None.
7 8	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall. Q Were you aware that she was originally	6	Object to the form. A None. MS. CURRY:
7 8 9	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall. Q Were you aware that she was originally disclosed as an expert for the defendants?	6 7 8 9	Object to the form. A None. MS. CURRY: We've been going over an hour and a
7 8	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall. Q Were you aware that she was originally	6 7 8	Object to the form. A None. MS. CURRY:
7 8 9	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall. Q Were you aware that she was originally disclosed as an expert for the defendants? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	6 7 8 9	Object to the form. A None. MS. CURRY: We've been going over an hour and a half. Whenever it's a good breaking point for you.
7 8 9 10	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall. Q Were you aware that she was originally disclosed as an expert for the defendants? MS. CURRY:	6 7 8 9 10	Object to the form. A None. MS. CURRY: We've been going over an hour and a half. Whenever it's a good breaking point for
7 8 9 10 11	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall. Q Were you aware that she was originally disclosed as an expert for the defendants? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	6 7 8 9 10 11	Object to the form. A None. MS. CURRY: We've been going over an hour and a half. Whenever it's a good breaking point for you.
7 8 9 10 11 12	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall. Q Were you aware that she was originally disclosed as an expert for the defendants? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think her name did was sort of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Object to the form. A None. MS. CURRY: We've been going over an hour and a half. Whenever it's a good breaking point for you. MS. THOMPSON:
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall. Q Were you aware that she was originally disclosed as an expert for the defendants? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think her name did was sort of mentioned to me, but	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Object to the form. A None. MS. CURRY: We've been going over an hour and a half. Whenever it's a good breaking point for you. MS. THOMPSON: I think maybe less than five minutes
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall. Q Were you aware that she was originally disclosed as an expert for the defendants? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think her name did was sort of mentioned to me, but MS. CURRY:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Object to the form. A None. MS. CURRY: We've been going over an hour and a half. Whenever it's a good breaking point for you. MS. THOMPSON: I think maybe less than five minutes MS. CURRY:
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall. Q Were you aware that she was originally disclosed as an expert for the defendants? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think her name did was sort of mentioned to me, but MS. CURRY: And please don't reveal any discussions	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Object to the form. A None. MS. CURRY: We've been going over an hour and a half. Whenever it's a good breaking point for you. MS. THOMPSON: I think maybe less than five minutes MS. CURRY: No problem.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall. Q Were you aware that she was originally disclosed as an expert for the defendants? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think her name did was sort of mentioned to me, but MS. CURRY: And please don't reveal any discussions or	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Object to the form. A None. MS. CURRY: We've been going over an hour and a half. Whenever it's a good breaking point for you. MS. THOMPSON: I think maybe less than five minutes MS. CURRY: No problem. MS. THOMPSON: and it's a great break time. A I may be in kidney failure soon.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall. Q Were you aware that she was originally disclosed as an expert for the defendants? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think her name did was sort of mentioned to me, but MS. CURRY: And please don't reveal any discussions or THE WITNESS:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Object to the form. A None. MS. CURRY: We've been going over an hour and a half. Whenever it's a good breaking point for you. MS. THOMPSON: I think maybe less than five minutes MS. CURRY: No problem. MS. THOMPSON: and it's a great break time.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall. Q Were you aware that she was originally disclosed as an expert for the defendants? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think her name did was sort of mentioned to me, but MS. CURRY: And please don't reveal any discussions or THE WITNESS: Okay.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Object to the form. A None. MS. CURRY: We've been going over an hour and a half. Whenever it's a good breaking point for you. MS. THOMPSON: I think maybe less than five minutes MS. CURRY: No problem. MS. THOMPSON: and it's a great break time. A I may be in kidney failure soon.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall. Q Were you aware that she was originally disclosed as an expert for the defendants? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think her name did was sort of mentioned to me, but MS. CURRY: And please don't reveal any discussions or THE WITNESS: Okay. MS. CURRY:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Object to the form. A None. MS. CURRY: We've been going over an hour and a half. Whenever it's a good breaking point for you. MS. THOMPSON: I think maybe less than five minutes MS. CURRY: No problem. MS. THOMPSON: and it's a great break time. A I may be in kidney failure soon. MS. THOMPSON:
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall. Q Were you aware that she was originally disclosed as an expert for the defendants? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think her name did was sort of mentioned to me, but MS. CURRY: And please don't reveal any discussions or THE WITNESS: Okay. MS. CURRY: communications that you've had with	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Object to the form. A None. MS. CURRY: We've been going over an hour and a half. Whenever it's a good breaking point for you. MS. THOMPSON: I think maybe less than five minutes MS. CURRY: No problem. MS. THOMPSON: and it's a great break time. A I may be in kidney failure soon. MS. THOMPSON: Q Can you make five minutes?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Dr. Swisher? A Not that I can recall. Q Were you aware that she was originally disclosed as an expert for the defendants? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think her name did was sort of mentioned to me, but MS. CURRY: And please don't reveal any discussions or THE WITNESS: Okay. MS. CURRY: communications that you've had with lawyers.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Object to the form. A None. MS. CURRY: We've been going over an hour and a half. Whenever it's a good breaking point for you. MS. THOMPSON: I think maybe less than five minutes MS. CURRY: No problem. MS. THOMPSON: and it's a great break time. A I may be in kidney failure soon. MS. THOMPSON: Q Can you make five minutes? A Yeah, I can. Yeah.

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

	Page 106		Page 108
1	can	1	MS. THOMPSON:
2	A Boat's not a good choice.	2	Q How about what is sometimes used in the
3	Q Yeah. I should have used a different	3	literature, elongated mineral fibers? Does that
4	word there.	4	sound familiar?
5	We talked about the methodology that	5	A It sounds consistent with some of the
6	you applied, but but it's not included, per	6	things I read, but I certainly did not pursue
7	se, in the report.	7	that sort of mineralogy review.
8	Can you refer to me me to any	8	Q So no comprehensive review on what's
9	published article, textbook chapter, anything	9	called EMP sometimes.
10	that actually describes Dr. Birrer's methodology?	10	MS. CURRY:
11	MS. CURRY:	11	Object to the form.
12	Object to the form.	12	A No.
13	A No. Again, I I think this relates	13	MS. THOMPSON:
14	to what a lot of us in the field on my level do	14	Q And I can assume that you didn't do a
15	routinely, and so it's not really defined. But	15	comprehensive review on heavy metals
16	when we review literature, a topic, I wouldn't	16	A Correct.
17	want to I don't want to call it a	17	Q and ovarian cancer?
18	meta-analysis because that's a formal process.	18	A Yes.
19	But we we do the right we do the same	19	Q Or fragrance chemicals and ovarian
20	thing. If we do it right, then it's	20	cancer?
21	comprehensive and then we make opinions on those	21	A Correct.
22	papers. That's the methodology.	22	
23	MS. THOMPSON:		Q Do you agree that scientists can look
23 24	MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay.	23	at the same body of literature and reach different conclusions, in a general sense?
			, ,
	Page 107		Page 109
1	A It's more of a scientific lab-based	1	A You know, again, I think if the body
2	approach.	2	of of data and literature is substantive and
3	Q Okay. And did you apply the same	3	clear, I think that a reasonable scientist, a
4	standards for this report that you would use if	4	competent scientist will come to the same
5	you were publishing a paper, for example, a	5	conclusion.
6	review article like we discussed before?	6	Q So is it your opinion that a scientist
7	A I think so, yes.	7	who looks at the baby powder literature or talcum
8	Q Would you be willing to have the	8	powder literature and concludes something
9	opinions that you've provided in this report	9	different from you is unreasonable and
10	peer-reviewed if that were appropriate?	10	incompetent?
11	A Essentially, yes. Yeah. Yeah.	11	MS. CURRY:
12	Q And I think we've discussed this, but	12	Object to the form.
13	does in your opinion, you performed a	13	A I I would say they got it wrong.
14	comprehensive literature review on the subject of	14	MS. THOMPSON:
15	tale and ovarian cancer; correct?	15	Q They got it wrong. But what about
16	A Correct.	16	unreasonable?
17	Q But am I correct to say that you did	17	MS. CURRY:
18	not perform the same comprehensive literature	18	Object to the form.
19	review for asbestos and ovarian cancer?	19	A I don't I wouldn't use that term. I
20	A Correct.	20	would say that they looked at the data and
21	Q Fibrous tale in ovarian cancer?	21	misinterpreted it.
22	MS. CURRY:	22	MS. THOMPSON:
23	Object to the form.	23	Q And would you say the same about their
	A Didn't use that term.	24	competence?
24			

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

	Page 110		Page 112
1	MS. CURRY:	1	A Okay.
2	Object to the form.	2	MS. CURRY:
3	A I think you know, labeling that as	3	Can we take a break?
4	incompetent is not appropriate.	4	A It looks like you're coming to an end.
5	MS. THOMPSON:	5	MS. THOMPSON:
6	Q Well, you said, I think that a	6	Q We are. Well, not the end of the day.
7	reasonable scientist, competent scientist will	7	The end of the section.
8	come to the same conclusion. Wouldn't that imply	8	A Hope springs eternal.
9	that if they come to a different inclusion	9	Q Wishful thinking.
10	conclusion, that they're unreasonable or	10	One one more question, then we're
11	incompetent?	11	done.
12	A Well, I think I prefaced that with if	12	A Sure.
13	the body of science we're looking at is is	13	Q What does "proof" mean to you?
14	it's convincing and strong and reproducible, that	14	MS. CURRY:
15	reasonable scientists will come to the same	15	Object to the form.
16	conclusion.	16	MS. THOMPSON:
17	When the data is really unconvincing,	17	Q In a scientific sense.
18	which is what we're dealing with here this	18	A That would be evidence to support the
19	data is not convincing there's no data for	19	conclusion.
20	talc being involved in ovarian cancer, then you	20	Q To convincingly support the conclusion
21	get this disparate opinions. And and they've	21	MS. CURRY:
22	got it wrong. And I made the	22	Object to the form.
23	Q They've got it sorry.	23	A I'm not sure I need that adjective
24	A And I've made the argument why I got it	24	there.
	Page 111		Page 113
1	right.	1	MS. THOMPSON:
2	Q Okay. They've got it wrong?	2	Q Well, support support equals proof?
3	A Uh-huh.	3	A Support couldn't equal proof. Proof is
4	Q You have it right.	4	a general term. So it's gonna be a spectrum.
5	A Uh-huh.	5	Q 100 percent?
6	Q But I'm trying to find figure out	6	A Are you you know, definitive proof
7	how you think they got it wrong. Were they	7	would be definitive.
8	misinformed?	8	Q Okay. Let's take a break.
9	MS. CURRY:	9	VIDEOGRAPHER:
10	Object to the form.	10	Off the record at 10:44 a m.
11	A They misinterpreted the data.	11	(OFF THE RECORD.)
12	MS. THOMPSON:	12	VIDEOGRAPHER:
13	Q They misinterpreted the data.	13	We're back on the record at 11 a m.
14	A Yeah.	14	MS. THOMPSON:
15	Q And you would say they misinterpreted	15	Q Dr. Birrer, I want to give you a series
16	the data even though they interpreted the data in	16	of statements and have you agree or disagree or,
17	the same way that the authors presenting the data	17	if you don't know or don't have an opinion,
18	pre interpreted it?	18	that's fine, too. And and if you do have a
19	MS. CURRY:	19	comment or explanation, you're welcome to provide
20	Object to the form.	20	that, too, after you do you have a pen? You
	A We'd have to go through the actual	21	can mark on this exhibit as we go through. This
	<u> </u>	22	is Exhibit 9.
21	naner vou're referring to		
21 22	paper you're referring to. MS_THOMPSON:		
21	paper you're referring to. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. We may go through some of those.	23	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 9 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

	Page 114		Page 116
1	MS. CURRY:	1	A Yeah. I would disagree with that
2	Can I just state an objection on the	2	statement.
3	record to the creation of this exhibit without	3	Q Number 2, "If 40 percent of women use
4	knowing the background of where the statements	4	talc and the relative risk is 1.2, then 7 percent
5	are coming from.	5	of ovarian cancer cases would be attributable to
6	MS. GARBER:	6	talc use or 1,577 cases a year in the USA. This
7	I don't think we're going to have	7	is not a trivial number and should not be
8	speaking objections here today, Miss Curry. The	8	dismissed."
9	proper objection is "Objection. Form." Do not	9	Would you agree or disagree?
10	coach the witness, please.	10	MS. CURRY:
11	MS. CURRY:	11	Object to the form.
12	Miss Garber, I'm not coaching the	12	A Disagree.
13	witness.	13	MS. THOMPSON:
14	MS. GARBER:	14	Q Number 3, "Genital powder use is a
15	You are coaching the witness. You know	15	modifiable exposure associated with small to
16	you're coaching the witness.	16	moderate increases in risk of most histologic
17	MS. THOMPSON:	17	subtypes of epithelial ovarian cancer."
18	I'm asking a statement. It doesn't	18	Would you agree or disagree?
19	matter where it's coming from. It's from my	19	MS. CURRY:
20	head.	20	Object to the form.
21	MR. MIZGALA:	21	A Disagree.
22	Do you have extra copies of this?	22	I'm sorry. Go ahead. Got it?
23	MS. THOMPSON:	23	Disagree.
24	I did bring extra copies.	24	MS. THOMPSON:
	Page 115		Page 117
1	MR. MIZGALA:	1	Q Number 4, "Perineal use of talc-based,
2	Thank you.	2	not asbestiform, body powder is possibly
3	MS. THOMPSON:	3	carcinogenic to humans, group 2B."
4	Q So, Dr. Birrer, statement number 1,	4	A Disagree.
5	"Given the number of hazard ratios reported in	5	MS. CURRY:
6	the literature between 1.1 and" that should be	6	Object to the form.
7	an "1.4 in both case-control and cohort	7	MS. THOMPSON:
8	studies, it is disingenuous to state that there	8	Q Number 5, "The use of perineal talcum
9	is no evidence that talc is associated with	9	powder has been associated with a 20 to 30
10	ovarian cancer."	10	percent increased risk of ovarian cancer,
11	Do you agree or disagree with that	11	although it also has been shown to vary by
12	statement?	12	histologic subtype."
13	MS. CURRY:	13	MS. CURRY:
14	Object to the form.	14	Object to the form.
15	A Now, you want me to write an answer	15	MS. THOMPSON:
16	here?	16	Q Agree or disagree?
	MS. THOMPSON:	17	A And this is like, histologic
17			clear cell and endometrioid? Is that what's
	Q Yes, please. And then and when you	18	cical cell and endometriola. Is that what's
17		18 19	being implied here?
17 18	Q Yes, please. And then and when you tell me, I'm going to put it on here, too. A Yeah. Okay. In these the hazard		being implied here? Q Yes.
17 18 19	Q Yes, please. And then and when you tell me, I'm going to put it on here, too. A Yeah. Okay. In these the hazard ratios, these are in a case-controlled cohort	19 20 21	being implied here? Q Yes. A Disagree.
17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Yes, please. And then and when you tell me, I'm going to put it on here, too. A Yeah. Okay. In these the hazard ratios, these are in a case-controlled cohort studies.	19 20 21 22	being implied here? Q Yes. A Disagree. Q Number 6, "A lot of work has been done
17 18 19 20 21	Q Yes, please. And then and when you tell me, I'm going to put it on here, too. A Yeah. Okay. In these the hazard ratios, these are in a case-controlled cohort	19 20 21	being implied here? Q Yes. A Disagree.

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

cigarette smoking and tale use. Some of these 2		Page 118		Page 120
are subtype specific, such as endometriosis, cigarette smoking, while others are general risk factors. Use of fale in the genital area has consistently been shown to increase the risk of O C and therefore is not recommended." 7 MS. CURRY: 8 Object to the form. 9 A Disagree. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q Number 7, "Inflammatory risk factors 12 for EOC are perineal tale exposure, endometriosis 13 and polvic inflammatory disease." 14 Agree or disagree? 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So this is inclusive of all three; 18 right? Endometriosis and 19 MS. THOMPSON: 19 MS. THOMPSON: 10 Q Yes. 21 A Okay. 21 A Okay. 22 Q But if you want to disagree and 23 explain, that that's fine. 24 A I would that's a tough one to Page 119 1 answer. I think endometriosis is a I don't disagree on this. It's too general. 3 don't call it inflammatory, So, yeah, I would 4 disagree on this. It's too general. 4 MS. THOMPSON: 5 Q "Risk factors to be considered: 7 Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, obesity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale syndrome or obesity." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A Object to the form. 18 It's too general. 19 MS. THOMPSON: 20 Q Risk factors to be considered: 21 Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, obesity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale syndrome or obesity." 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Q But if you contraceptive, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or tale use. Is 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 MS. THOMPSON: 25 MS. CURRY: 26 MS. CURRY: 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. CURRY: 29 MS. CURRY: 29 MS. THOMPSON: 20 Q Number 14, "Overall, there is an assignificant trend with increasing" - in quotations - "tale years of use." 29 MS. THOMPSON: 20 Q Naw. 21 A non or 22 Q Okay. 21 A non or 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 A lon't know. 25 MS. CURRY: 26 MS. CURRY: 27 A Don't know that. 28 CURRY: 29 A Don't know that. 39 Q Interior for all serous, endometriosis but	1	cigarette smoking and talc use. Some of these	1	statement as a whole
digarette smoking, while others are general risk factors. Use of tale in the genital area has consistently been shown to increase the risk of Cand therefore is not recommended." MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: Nobject to the form. A Disagree. Number 7, "Inflammatory risk factors for EOC are perineal tale exposure, endometriosis and pelvic inflammatory disease." MS. CURRY: Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So this is inclusive of all three; right? Endometriosis and — MS. THOMPSON: Number 9, "Tale powder use is highly prevalent in the African-American community and has been found to be associated with increased risk of ovarian cancer, period." MS. CURRY: Number 9, "Tale powder use is highly prevalent in the African-American community and has been found to be associated with increased risk of ovarian cancer, period." MS. CURRY: Number 9, "Tale powder use is highly prevalent in the African-American community and has been found to be associated with increased risk of ovarian cancer, period." MS. CURRY: Number 9, "Tale powder use is highly prevalent in the African-American community and has been found to be associated with increased risk of ovarian cancer, period." MS. CURRY: Number 9, "Tale powder use is highly prevalent in the African-American community and has been found to be associated with increased risk of ovarian cancer, period." MS. CURRY: Number 9, "Tale powder use is highly prevalent in the African-American community and has been found to be associated with increased risk of ovarian cancer, period." MS. CURRY: Page 119 Page 120 Page 121 answer. I think candometriosis is a -1 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would -1 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would -1 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would -1 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would -1 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would -1 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would -1 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would -1 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would -1 don	2		2	A Yeah.
4 factors. Use of lale in the genital area has 5 consistently been shown to increase the risk of 6 OC and therefore is not recommended." 7 MS. CURRY: 8 Object to the form. 9 A Disagree. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q Number 7, "Inflammatory risk factors 12 for EOC are perineal tale exposure, endometriosis 13 and pelvic inflammatory disease." 14 Agree or disagree? 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So this is inclusive of all three; 18 right? Endometriosis and - 19 MS. THOMPSON: 20 Q Yes. 21 A Okay. 22 Q But if you want to disagree and 23 explain, that that's fine. 24 A I would that's a tough one to 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 Q "Risk factors to be considered: 37 A Don't know that. 38 CURRY: 39 Don't know that. 40 Caveat. 5 Q - and that will be on the record that 5 you 7 A Okay. Parsed it. 6 Q The ones that yeah. 6 Number 9, "Tale powder use is highly 6 prevalent in the African-American community and 6 has been found to be associated with increased 7 risk of ovarian cancer, period." 11 has been found to be associated with increased 12 risk of ovarian cancer, period." 13 MS. CURRY: 14 Object to the form. 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So this is inclusive of all three; 18 Is that can we put that on the 19 record? Disagree with the caveat; yeah. 10 reproductive factors, we yeah, I would -1 22 don't know that. 23 don't know that. 24 Q "The average age women begin using tale Page 121 1 answer. I think endometriosis is a I don't 2 call it inflammatory, So, yeah, I would -1 3 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would 4 disagree on this. If it's too general. 4 Don't know that. 5 Q "In the interest of public health, I believe we should caution women against using genital taleum powder," number 12. 10 RS. CURRY: 11 is 20." 11 list 20." 12 A Do	3		3	Q but would
5 Consistently been shown to increase the risk of OC and therefore is not recommended." 6 you -	4		4	
6 OC and therefore is not recommended." 7 MS. CURRY: 8 Object to the form. 9 A Disagree. 9 Number 9, "Tale powder use is highly 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q Number 7, "Inflammatory risk factors 12 for BOC are perineal tale exposure, endometriosis 13 and pelvic inflammatory disease." 14 Agree or disagree? 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So this is inclusive of all three; 18 right? Endometriosis and 19 MS. THOMPSON: 20 Q Yes. 21 A Okay. 22 Q But if you want to disagree and 23 explain, that that's fine. 24 A I would that's a tough one to 25 MS. CURRY: 26 Q "Kisk factors for be considered: 27 Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, painful periods or obesity or tale use. These risk factors are concordant with published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of tale, tubal 13 ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity, or all periods or obesity or tale use. Is breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or tale use. Is breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or tale use. Is breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or tale use. Is breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or tale use. Is breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or tale use. Is breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or tale use. Is breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or tale use. Is breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or tale use. Is breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or tale use. Is breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or tale use. Is gainfined treed with increasing "- in quotations "tale years of use."	5		5	Q and that will be on the record that
B	6		6	you
B	7	MS. CURRY:	7	A Okay. Parsed it.
9 Number 9, "Tale powder use is highly 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q Number 7, "Inflammatory risk factors 12 for EOC are perineal tale exposure, endometriosis 13 and pelvic inflammatory disease." 14 Agree or disagree? 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So this is inclusive of all three; 18 right? Endometriosis and 19 MS. THOMPSON: 20 Q Yes. 21 A Okay. 21 Q Shut if you want to disagree and 22 Q But if you want to disagree and 23 explain, that - that's fine. 24 A I would - that's a tough one to 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 Q "Risk factors to be considered: 27 Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, obesity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 10 use. These risk factors are concordant with 11 published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of tale, tubal 13 ligation, endometriosis and playeystic ovarian syndrome or obesity." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, painful periods or obesity or tale use. Is 19 or polycystic ovarian syndrome; and tale 10 use These risk factors are concordant with 11 published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of tale, tubal 13 ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian 14 syndrome or obesity." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or tale use. Is 19 published epideming, that light in the proof of obesity or tale use. Is 19 published priced for obesity or tale use. Is 20 that a 21 MS. THOMPSON: 21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 Q Okay. 23 A no or 24 MS. THOMPSON: 25 MS. CURRY: 26 Number 14, "Coreall, there is an association between genital tale use and EOC and a significant trend with increasing" in quotations "tale years of use." 26 MS. CURRY: 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. CURRY: 29 Okay. 20 MS. CURRY: 30 Number 14, "Coreall, there is an association between genital tale use and EOC and a significant trend w	8	Object to the form.	8	
10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q Number 7, "Inflammatory risk factors 12 for EOC are perineal tale exposure, endometriosis 13 and pelvic inflammatory disease." 13 MS. CURRY: 14 Agree or disagree? 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So it is inclusive of all three; 18 right? Endometriosis and 19 MS. THOMPSON: 19 record? 10 Yes. 20 Q Yes. 21 A Okay. 22 Q But if you want to disagree and 23 explain, that - that's fine. 23 explain, that - that's fine. 24 A I would - that's a tough one to 24 disagree or this. It's toe general. 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 Q "Risk factors to be considered: 27 Parity, or al contraceptive use, breastfeeding, use. These risk factors are concordant with 11 published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of tale, tubal 12 roll published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of tale, tubal 13 ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale or the form. 26 MS. CURRY: 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. CURRY: 29 MS. THOMPSON: 20 Q "The average age women begin using tale 2 splint inflammatory. So, yeah, I would disagree on this. It's too general. 29 G "Risk factors to be considered: 20 G "Risk factors to be considered: 21 reproductive factors, use of tale, tubal 1 published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of tale, tubal 1 published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of tale, tubal 1 published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of tale, tubal 1 published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of tale, tubal 1 reproductive factors, use of tale, t	9	•	9	•
11	10	•	10	
12 frisk of ovarian cancer, period." 13 and pelvic inflammatory disease." 14 Agree or disagree? 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So this is inclusive of all three; 18 right? Endometriosis and	11	Q Number 7, "Inflammatory risk factors	11	
13 and pelvic inflammatory disease."	12		12	risk of ovarian cancer, period."
14 Agree or disagree? 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 16 Object to the form. 16 Object to the form. 17 A So this is inclusive of all three; 18 right? Endometriosis and 19 MS. THOMPSON: 20 Q Yes. 21 A Okay. 22 Q But if you want to disagree and 23 explain, that that's fine. 24 A I would that's a tough one to 25 Page 119 26 Q The average age women begin using talc Page 119 27 A Don't know that. 28 A Don't know that. 29 Q Risk factors to be considered: 40 Q Risk factors to be considered: 51 Q Risk factors to be considered: 52 Q Risk factors to be considered: 53 Q Risk factors to be considered: 54 Q Risk factors to be considered: 55 Q Risk factors to be considered: 56 Q Risk factors are concordant with 57 Q Deject to the form. 58 MS. THOMPSON: 59 obesity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 50 use. These risk factors are concordant with 51 published epidemiologic data related to 51 Page 120 51 Page 121 52 Page 122 53 Page or disagree? 54 Parity, oral contraceptive, 55 Parity, oral contraceptive, 56 Object to the form. 58 MS. CURRY: 59 Object to the form. 59 Object to the form. 50 Polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 54 Page or disagree? 55 Parity oral contraceptive, 56 Parity, oral contraceptive, 57 Object to the form. 58 MS. CURRY: 59 Object to the form. 59 Object to the form. 50 Polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 50 Polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 51 Polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 52 Polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 53 Polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 54 Polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 55 Polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 56 Polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 57 Parity, oral contraceptive, 58 Polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 59 Polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 50 Polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 51 Polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 52 Polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 53 Polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale 54 Polycystic for all serous, 55 Polycystic for all serous, 56 Polycystic for all serous, 57 Polycystic for all serous, 58 Poly	13		13	
15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So this is inclusive of all three; 18 right? Endometriosis and 19 MS. THOMPSON: 20 Q Yes. 21 A Okay. 22 Q But if you want to disagree and 23 explain, that that's fine. 24 A I would that's a tough one to Page 119 1 answer. I think endometriosis is a I don't 2 call it inflammatory. So, yeah, I would I 3 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would 4 disagree on this. It's too general. 5 MS. THOMPSON: 6 Q "Risk factors to be considered: 7 Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, and ligation, endometriosis, and polycystic ovarian syndrome or obesity." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian syndrome of the form. 20 Q Okay. 21 A So this is inclusive of all three; 22 If the Arican-American community. 23 Is that can we put that on the record? Disagree with the caveat, yeah. 24 MS. THOMPSON: 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 Q But if you want to disagree and cave, yeah. 27 A I don't know. 28 A I don't know. 29 A Don't know that. 20 Q "I'nt he interest of public health, I believe we should caution women against using genital taleum powder," number 12. 29 A Don't know that. 30 Q "In the interest of public health, I believe we should caution women against using genital taleum powder," number 12. 31 MS. CURRY: 32 A I don't know. 33 A I don't know. 34 A Don't know that. 35 Q "In the interest of public health, I believe we should caution women against using genital taleum powder," number 12. 4 A Don't know that. 5 MS. CURRY: 5 MS. CURRY: 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Agree or disagree? 10 A I disagree. 11 A I disagree. 12 MS. CURRY: 15 MS. CURRY: 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or tale use. Is 19 Q Number 14, "Overall, there is an association between genital tale use and EOC	14		14	Object to the form.
16 Object to the form. 17 A So this is inclusive of all three; 18 right? Endometriosis and	15		15	•
17 A So this is inclusive of all three; 18 right? Endometriosis and 19 MS. THOMPSON: 20 Q Yes. 21 A Okay. 22 Q But if you want to disagree and 23 explain, that that's fine. 24 A I would that's a tough one to 25 Page 119 26 answer. I think endometriosis is a I don't 27 call it inflammatory. So, yeah, I would I 28 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would I 29 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would I 20 g "Risk factors to be considered: 21 A Don't know that. 22 A Don't know that. 23 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would I 24 disagree on this. It's too general. 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 Q "Risk factors to be considered: 27 Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, obesity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale use. These risk factors are concordant with 1 published epidemiologic data related to 1 reproductive factors, use of talc, tubal 1 syndrome or obesity." 28 MS. CURRY: 29 MS. CURRY: 30 Gordina painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 4 no or 20 Q Okay. 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Q Okay. 23 A I don't know. 24 Q "The average age women begin using talc be is 10 on't know that. 3 I believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 4 believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 4 believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 4 believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 4 believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 5 MS. CURRY: 5 MS. CURRY: 6 Object to the form. 7 A I disagree. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Agree or disagree? 10 A I disagree. 11 Q Number 13, "Genital powder use	16		16	
18 right? Endometriosis and 19 MS. THOMPSON: 20 Q Yes. 21 A Okay. 22 Q But if you want to disagree and 23 explain, that that's fine. 24 A I would that's a tough one to Page 119 Page 119 Page 121 1 answer. I think endometriosis is a I don't 2 call it inflammatory. So, yeah, I would I 3 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would 4 disagree on this. It's too general. 5 MS. THOMPSON: 6 Q "Risk factors to be considered: 7 Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, and syndrome or obesity." 10 use. These risk factors are concordant with syndrome or obesity." 11 MS. CURRY: 12 MS. CURRY: 13 MS. CURRY: 14 MS. CURRY: 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is the at a 21 MS. THOMPSON: 21 Q Yeah. "Most women report using with the caveat, yeah. 22 Johnson's baby powder or Shower to Shower." 23 A I don't know. 24 Q "The average age women begin using talc 25 Johnson's baby powder or Shower to Shower." 26 A Don't know that. 27 A Don't know that. 28 Q "In the interest of public health, I believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 29 MS. CURRY: 20 Object to the form. 30 Q "In the interest of public health, I believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 31 MS. CURRY: 32 Object to the form. 33 Q "In the interest of public health, I believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 31 MS. CURRY: 32 Object to the form. 33 Q "In the interest of public health, I believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 34 Don't know. 35 Q "In the interest of public health, I believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 4 Don't know. 4 Don't know. 4 Don't know. 5 Q "In the interest of public health, I wis 20." 5 Q Agree or disagree? 5 Q Agree or disagree? 5 Q Agree or disagree? 6 Q Sagree or disagree? 7 Object to the for	17	•		
19 MS. THOMPSON: 20 Q Yes. 21 A Okay. 22 Q But if you want to disagree and 23 explain, that that's fine. 24 A I would that's a tough one to Page 119 Page 119 Page 121 answer. I think endometriosis is a I don't 2 call it inflammatory. So, yeah, I would I 3 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would 4 disagree on this. It's too general. MS. THOMPSON: 6 Q "Risk factors to be considered: 7 Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, 8 tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, 9 obesity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and talc 10 use. These risk factors are concordant with 11 published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of tale, tubal 13 ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian syndrome or obesity." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but 19 not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 20 C Nas. 21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 Q Okay. 23 A I don't know. 24 Q "The average age women begin using talc 25 Johnson's baby powder or Shower." 26 A I don't know. 27 A I don't know. 28 A I don't know. 29 W "The average age women begin using talc 29 A Don't know that. 30 Q "In the interest of public health, I believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 4 believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 6 MS. CURRY: 10 A J disagree. 11 Q Number 13, "Genital powder use is a lifestyle risk factor for all serous, endometrioid, and clear cell histologic subtypes of ovarian cancer." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but 19 not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 20 that a 21 MS. THOMPSON: 21 a significant trend with increasing" in association between genital talc use and EOC and a significant trend with increasing" in association between genital talc use."				
20 Q Yes. 21 A Okay. 22 Q But if you want to disagree and 23 explain, that that's fine. 24 A I would that's a tough one to Page 119 Page 119 Page 121 1 answer. I think endometriosis is a I don't 2 call it inflammatory. So, yeah, I would I 3 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would 4 disagree on this. It's too general. 5 MS. THOMPSON: 6 Q "Risk factors to be considered: 7 Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, and polycystic ovarian syndrome or obesity." 10 use. These risk factors are concordant with syndrome or obesity." 11 MS. CURRY: 12 O MS. CURRY: 13 MS. CURRY: 14 Object to the form. 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 20 The average age women begin using talc 22 Johnson's baby powder or Shower to Shower." 23 A I don't know. 24 Q "The average age women begin using talc 25 A Don't know that. 26 A Don't know that. 27 A Don't know that. 28 A Don't know that. 29 Q MI in the interest of public health, I believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 26 MS. CURRY: 27 Object to the form. 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 Q Agree or disagree? 30 A I disagree. 31 Idiagree. 32 A Don't know that. 33 Q "In the interest of public health, I believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 34 MS. CURRY: 35 MS. CURRY: 36 Object to the form. 37 A I disagree. 38 MS. CURRY: 38 MS. CURRY: 39 Q Number 13, "Genital powder use is a lifestyle risk factor for all serous, endometrioid, and clear cell histologic subtypes of ovarian cancer." 40 Object to the form. 41 A I disagree. 42 MS. CURRY: 43 MS. THOMPSON: 44 A I disagree. 45 MS. CURRY: 46 Object to the form. 47 A I disagree. 48 Don't know that. 49 Q Number 13, "Genital powder use is a lifestyle risk factor for all serous, endometrioid, and clear cell histologic subtypes of ovarian cancer." 48 MS. THOMPSON: 49 Q Number 14	19			
21 A Okay. 22 Q But if you want to disagree and 23 explain, that that's fine. 24 A I would that's a tough one to Page 119 Page 119 Page 121 1 answer. I think endometriosis is a I don't 2 call it inflammatory. So, yeah, I would I 3 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would 4 disagree on this. It's too general. 5 MS. THOMPSON: 6 Q "Risk factors to be considered: 7 Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, obesity or polycystic ovarian syndrome or obesity." 10 use. These risk factors are concordant with published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of talc, tubal 13 ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian syndrome or obesity." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis on that a 21 MS. THOMPSON: 21 Q Yeah. "Most women report using 22 Johnson's baby powder or Shower to Shower." 22 A I don't know. 22 A Don't know that. 23 Q "In the interest of public health, I believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 4 believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Agree or disagree? 10 A I disagree. 11 Q Number 13, "Genital powder use is a lifestyle risk factor for all serous, endometrioid, and clear cell histologic subtypes of ovarian cancer." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but mot painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 19 Q Number 14, "Overall, there is an association between genital talc use and EOC and a significant trend with increasing" in quotations "Itale years of use." 22 Q Okay. 23 A no or 23 MS. CURRY:	20		20	
22 Q But if you want to disagree and 23 explain, that that's fine. 24 A I would that's a tough one to Page 119 Page 119 Page 121 1 answer. I think endometriosis is a I don't 2 call it inflammatory. So, yeah, I would I 3 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would 4 disagree on this. It's too general. 5 MS. THOMPSON: 6 Q "Risk factors to be considered: 7 Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, use. These risk factors are concordant with 1 published epidemiologic data related to 1 reproductive factors, use of talc, tubal 1 sligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian 14 syndrome or obesity." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 20 Q Okay. 21 Johnson's baby powder or Shower to Shower." 23 A I don't know. 24 Q "The average age women begin using tale 24 A Don't know that. 3 Q "In the interest of public health, I believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 4 believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Agree or disagree? 10 A I disagree. 11 Q Number 13, "Genital powder use is a lifestyle risk factor for all serous, endometrioid, and clear cell histologic subtypes of ovarian cancer." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 17 A I disagree. 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Number 14, "Overall, there is an association between genital talc use and EOC and a significant trend with increasing" in quotations "talc years of use." 22 Q Okay. 23 A no or 23 MS. CURRY:	21		21	O Yeah. "Most women report using
23 explain, that that's fine. 24 A I would that's a tough one to Page 119 Page 119 Page 121 1 answer. I think endometriosis is a I don't 2 call it inflammatory. So, yeah, I would I 3 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would I 4 disagree on this. It's too general. MS. THOMPSON: Q "Risk factors to be considered: Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, besity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale use. These risk factors are concordant with published epidemiologic data related to published	22	-	22	
Page 119 Page 119 Page 121 1 answer. I think endometriosis is a I don't 2 call it inflammatory. So, yeah, I would I 3 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would I 3 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would I 3 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would 4 disagree on this. It's too general. 5 MS. THOMPSON: 5 genital talcum powder," number 12. 6 Q "Risk factors to be considered: 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, 8 tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, 9 obesity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and talc 10 use. These risk factors are concordant with 11 published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of talc, tubal 13 ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian 14 syndrome or obesity." 15 MS. CURRY: 15 MS. CURRY: 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 20 that a 21 MS. THOMPSON: 21 a significant trend with increasing" in 22 Q Okay. 22 quotations "talc years of use." 23 MS. CURRY: 23 MS. CURRY: 23 MS. CURRY: 24 Don't know that. 25 is 20." 24 Don't know that. 3 Don't know that. 4 believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. MS. CURRY: 1	23		23	* *
answer. I think endometriosis is a — I don't call it inflammatory. So, yeah, I would — I don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would — I disagree on this. It's too general. MS. THOMPSON: Q "Risk factors to be considered: Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, obesity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and tale published epidemiologic data related to published epidemiologic data related to ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian syndrome or obesity." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So parity, oral contraceptive, breastfeeding, and polycystic ovarian syndrome and tale ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian syndrome or obesity." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So parity, oral contraceptive, breastfeeding, and clear cell histologic subtypes of ovarian cancer." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So parity, oral contraceptive, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: A I disagree. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So parity, oral contraceptive, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I disagree. MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I disagree. Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Object to the form. A I disagree. Object to the form. A I disagree. Object to the form. A I disagree. Object to the form. A I d	24	•	24	Q "The average age women begin using talc
2 call it inflammatory. So, yeah, I would I 3 don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would 4 disagree on this. It's too general. 5 MS. THOMPSON: 6 Q "Risk factors to be considered: 7 Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, 8 tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, 9 obesity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and talc 10 use. These risk factors are concordant with 11 published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of talc, tubal 13 ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian 14 syndrome or obesity." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but 19 not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 20 Okay. 21 A Don't know that. 3 Q "In the interest of public health, I 4 believe we should caution women against using genital talcum powder," number 12. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Agree or disagree? 10 A I disagree. 11 Q Number 13, "Genital powder use is a 12 lifestyle risk factor for all scrous, endometrioid, and clear cell histologic subtypes 13 of ovarian cancer." 14 MS. CURRY: 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A I disagree. 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 19 Q Number 14, "Overall, there is an association between genital talc use and EOC and a significant trend with increasing" in 20 Q Okay. 21 a significant trend with increasing" in 22 Q Okay. 23 A no or 24 MS. CURRY:		Page 119		Page 121
don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would disagree on this. It's too general. MS. THOMPSON: Q "Risk factors to be considered: Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, obesity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and talc published epidemiologic data related to published epidemiologic data related to ligation, endometriosis, and polycystic ovarian syndrome or obesity." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. It published epidemiologic data related to published epidemiologic data related to ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian syndrome or obesity." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So parity, oral contraceptive, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY:	1	answer. I think endometriosis is a I don't	1	is 20."
disagree on this. It's too general. MS. THOMPSON: Q "Risk factors to be considered: Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, besity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and talc use. These risk factors are concordant with published epidemiologic data related to published epidemiologic data related to ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian syndrome or obesity." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. It is published epidemiologic data related to published epidemiologic data related to ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian syndrome or obesity." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So parity, oral contraceptive, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but presstfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but mot painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is MS. THOMPSON:	2	call it inflammatory. So, yeah, I would I	2	A Don't know that.
5 MS. THOMPSON: 6 Q "Risk factors to be considered: 7 Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, 8 tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, 9 obesity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and talc 10 use. These risk factors are concordant with 11 published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of talc, tubal 13 ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian 14 syndrome or obesity." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but 19 not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 20 MS. THOMPSON: 21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 Q Okay. 23 Ano or 24 MS. CURRY: 25 MS. CURRY: 26 MS. CURRY: 27 Object to the form. 28 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. CURRY: 29 Q Agree or disagree? 20 MS. THOMPSON: 20 A I disagree. 21 MS. THOMPSON: 21 a significant trend with increasing" in 22 Q Okay. 23 MS. CURRY:	3	don't call it inflammatory, so, yeah, I would	3	
6 Q "Risk factors to be considered: 7 Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, 8 tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, 9 obesity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and talc 10 use. These risk factors are concordant with 10 published epidemiologic data related to 11 published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of talc, tubal 13 ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian 14 syndrome or obesity." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but 19 not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 20 that a 21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 Q Okay. 23 A no or 23 MS. CURRY: 3 MS. CURRY: 4 Object to the form. 5 MS. CURRY: 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Agree or disagree? 10 A I disagree. 11 Q Number 13, "Genital powder use is a 12 lifestyle risk factor for all serous, 12 endometrioid, and clear cell histologic subtypes of ovarian cancer." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A I disagree. 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Number 14, "Overall, there is an association between genital talc use and EOC and a significant trend with increasing" in quotations "'talc years of use."" 23 MS. CURRY:	4		4	
Parity, oral contraceptive use, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, obesity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and talc use. These risk factors are concordant with published epidemiologic data related to reproductive factors, use of talc, tubal ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian syndrome or obesity." MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So parity, oral contraceptive, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is MS. THOMPSON: Q Agree or disagree? 10 A I disagree. 11 Q Number 13, "Genital powder use is a 12 lifestyle risk factor for all serous, endometrioid, and clear cell histologic subtypes of ovarian cancer." MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. THOMPSON: Pression of painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is Q Number 14, "Overall, there is an association between genital talc use and EOC and a significant trend with increasing" in quotations "talc years of use." MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY:	5		5	-
tubal ligation, painful periods or endometriosis, obesity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and talc use. These risk factors are concordant with published epidemiologic data related to reproductive factors, use of talc, tubal ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian syndrome or obesity." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So parity, oral contraceptive, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but pnot painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSO	6		6	
9 obesity or polycystic ovarian syndrome, and talc 10 use. These risk factors are concordant with 11 published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of talc, tubal 13 ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian 14 syndrome or obesity." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but 19 not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 20 Okay. 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Q Okay. 23 A no or 24 Spublished epidemiologic data related to 11 Q Number 13, "Genital powder use is a 12 lifestyle risk factor for all serous, 13 endometrioid, and clear cell histologic subtypes 14 of ovarian cancer." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 16 Object to the form. 17 A I disagree. 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Number 14, "Overall, there is an 20 association between genital talc use and EOC and 21 a significant trend with increasing" in 22 Q Okay. 23 MS. CURRY:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
10 use. These risk factors are concordant with 11 published epidemiologic data related to 12 reproductive factors, use of talc, tubal 13 ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian 14 syndrome or obesity." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but 19 not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 20 that a 21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 Q Okay. 23 A no or 21 MS. CURRY: 21 Idisagree. 21 Idisagree. 22 Number 13, "Genital powder use is a 23 lifestyle risk factor for all serous, 24 lifestyle risk factor for all serous, 25 Number 13, "Genital powder use is a 26 Number 13, "Genital powder use is a 27 Number 14 idisagree. 28 MS. CURRY: 29 Number 14, "Overall, there is an 20 association between genital talc use and EOC and 21 a significant trend with increasing" in 25 Q Okay. 26 Quotations "talc years of use." 27 MS. CURRY:		· · ·	1	
published epidemiologic data related to reproductive factors, use of talc, tubal ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian syndrome or obesity." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. Object to the form. Nobject to the form. A So parity, oral contraceptive, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: Roy Parity or all serous, endometrioid, and clear cell histologic subtypes of ovarian cancer." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Roy Parity or all contraceptive, stream of the form of talc use. Is Roy Parity or all serous, endometrioid, and clear cell histologic subtypes of ovarian cancer." MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: Nobject to the form. Roy Parity or all serous, endometrioid, and clear cell histologic subtypes of ovarian cancer." MS. CURRY: Nobject to the form. Roy Parity or all serous, endometrioid, and clear cell histologic subtypes of ovarian cancer." MS. CURRY:		* * * *		
12 reproductive factors, use of talc, tubal 13 ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian 14 syndrome or obesity." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but 19 not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 20 that a 21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 Q Okay. 23 A no or 21 MS. CURRY: 23 MS. CURRY: 24 lifestyle risk factor for all serous, 25 endometrioid, and clear cell histologic subtypes 26 of ovarian cancer." 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. CURRY: 29 MS. THOMPSON: 20 Number 14, "Overall, there is an association between genital talc use and EOC and a significant trend with increasing" in quotations "'talc years of use."" 28 MS. CURRY: 29 MS. CURRY:				-
ligation, endometriosis and polycystic ovarian syndrome or obesity." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So parity, oral contraceptive, breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is That a MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. Q Okay. A endometrioid, and clear cell histologic subtypes 14 of ovarian cancer." MS. CURRY: 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A I disagree. MS. THOMPSON: 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Number 14, "Overall, there is an association between genital talc use and EOC and a significant trend with increasing" in 22 Q Okay. 23 MS. CURRY:				
14 syndrome or obesity." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but 19 not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 20 that a 21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 Q Okay. 23 A no or 24 Of ovarian cancer." 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A I disagree. 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Number 14, "Overall, there is an association between genital talc use and EOC and a significant trend with increasing" in quotations "'talc years of use."" 23 MS. CURRY:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but 19 not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 20 that a 21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 Q Okay. 23 A no or 25 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A I disagree. 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Number 14, "Overall, there is an association between genital talc use and EOC and a significant trend with increasing" in quotations "'talc years of use."" 23 MS. CURRY:				
16 Object to the form. 17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but 19 not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 20 that a 21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 Q Okay. 23 A no or 25 Object to the form. 26 Object to the form. 27 A I disagree. 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 Q Number 14, "Overall, there is an association between genital talc use and EOC and a significant trend with increasing" in quotations "'talc years of use." 26 Q Okay. 27 Q Okay. 28 MS. CURRY:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
17 A So parity, oral contraceptive, 18 breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but 19 not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 20 that a 21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 Q Okay. 23 A no or 25 THOMPSON: 26 A I disagree. 27 MS. THOMPSON: 28 A I disagree. 29 Number 14, "Overall, there is an association between genital talc use and EOC and a significant trend with increasing" in 22 quotations "'talc years of use."" 23 MS. CURRY:				
breastfeeding, tubal ligation, endometriosis but not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is that a Q Number 14, "Overall, there is an association between genital talc use and EOC and a significant trend with increasing" in Q Okay. Q Okay. MS. THOMPSON: 21 a significant trend with increasing" in 22 quotations "'talc years of use."" 23 MS. CURRY:		•		•
19 not painful periods or obesity or talc use. Is 20 that a 21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 Q Okay. 23 A no or 29 Q Number 14, "Overall, there is an association between genital talc use and EOC and a significant trend with increasing" in 22 quotations "'talc years of use."" 23 MS. CURRY:			1	——————————————————————————————————————
20 that a 21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 Q Okay. 23 A no or 20 association between genital talc use and EOC and 21 a significant trend with increasing" in 22 quotations "talc years of use." 23 MS. CURRY:			1	
21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 Q Okay. 23 A no or 21 a significant trend with increasing" in 22 quotations "'talc years of use."' 23 MS. CURRY:		* *		
22 Q Okay. 22 quotations "'talc years of use."" 23 A no or 23 MS. CURRY:			1	
23 A no or 23 MS. CURRY:				
			1	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
24 Q So so you would disagree with the 24 Object to the form.			1	
		() Co as years years I d disserves yeight the	. 01	(M 4 4 . 4 4

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

	Page 122		Page 124
1	MS. THOMPSON:	1	present in the vagina, can migrate to the upper
2	Q Agree or disagree?	2	genital tract."
3	A I'm thinking. Disagree.	3	MS. CURRY:
4	Q Number 15, "Talc-containing powders are	4	Object to the form.
5	hypothesized to promote cancer development by	5	MS. THOMPSON:
6	ascending the female genital tract and	6	Q Agree or disagree?
7	interacting directly with the ovarian surface	7	MS. THOMPSON:
8	epithelium, leading to local inflammation	8	A You want to do you want to define
9	characterized by increased rates of cell	9	"biologic credibility"?
10	division, DNA repair, oxidative stress, and	10	THE COURT REPORTER:
11	elevated inflammatory cytokines."	11	Say again?
12	MS. CURRY:	12	THE WITNESS:
13	Object to the form.	13	Define "biologic credibility."
14	A This is a hypothesis; right?	14	Sorry. I'm mumbling.
15	MS. THOMPSON:	15	THE COURT REPORTER:
16	Q Yes.	16	Uh-huh.
17	A I agree.	17	MS. THOMPSON:
18	Q "Following" number 16.	18	Q Let's define it as evidence of a
19	A Uh-huh.	19	credible biologic mechanism.
20	Q "Following perineal application, talc	20	A I would disagree.
21	particles can migrate from the vagina to the	21	MS. CURRY:
22	peritoneal cavity and ovaries."	22	Object to the form.
23	MS. CURRY:	23	MS. THOMPSON:
24	Object to the form.	24	Q Number 20, "The vagina serves as a
			2 105
	Page 123		Page 125
1	A Disagree on that.	1	portal to the internal reproductive tract.
2	MS. THOMPSON:		MS CHDDV.
3		2	MS. CURRY:
١.	Q Number 17, "A majority of women	3	Object to the form.
4	experience retrograde menstruation. This	3 4	Object to the form. A Agree.
5	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can	3 4 5	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON:
5 6	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to	3 4 5 6	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial
5 6 7	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which tale particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries."	3 4 5 6 7	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external
5 6 7 8	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries." MS. CURRY:	3 4 5 6 7 8	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external genitals to the cervical portion of the uterus.
5 6 7 8 9	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries." MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	3 4 5 6 7 8	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external genitals to the cervical portion of the uterus. It is a reproductive conduit in all respects,
5 6 7 8 9	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external genitals to the cervical portion of the uterus. It is a reproductive conduit in all respects, connecting the external environment to the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agree or disagree?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external genitals to the cervical portion of the uterus. It is a reproductive conduit in all respects, connecting the external environment to the internal genitalia."
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agree or disagree? A Disagree.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external genitals to the cervical portion of the uterus. It is a reproductive conduit in all respects, connecting the external environment to the internal genitalia." MS. CURRY:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which tale particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agree or disagree? A Disagree. Q Number 18, "It is possible that the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external genitals to the cervical portion of the uterus. It is a reproductive conduit in all respects, connecting the external environment to the internal genitalia." MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agree or disagree? A Disagree. Q Number 18, "It is possible that the passage of talc is aided by retrograde menses and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external genitals to the cervical portion of the uterus. It is a reproductive conduit in all respects, connecting the external environment to the internal genitalia." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure I understand that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agree or disagree? A Disagree. Q Number 18, "It is possible that the passage of talc is aided by retrograde menses and that talc use during menses poses a special	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external genitals to the cervical portion of the uterus. It is a reproductive conduit in all respects, connecting the external environment to the internal genitalia." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure I understand that statement.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agree or disagree? A Disagree. Q Number 18, "It is possible that the passage of talc is aided by retrograde menses and that talc use during menses poses a special risk."	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external genitals to the cervical portion of the uterus. It is a reproductive conduit in all respects, connecting the external environment to the internal genitalia." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure I understand that statement. What's the internal genitalia?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agree or disagree? A Disagree. Q Number 18, "It is possible that the passage of talc is aided by retrograde menses and that talc use during menses poses a special risk." Agree or disagree?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external genitals to the cervical portion of the uterus. It is a reproductive conduit in all respects, connecting the external environment to the internal genitalia." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure I understand that statement. What's the internal genitalia? MS. THOMPSON:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agree or disagree? A Disagree. Q Number 18, "It is possible that the passage of talc is aided by retrograde menses and that talc use during menses poses a special risk." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external genitals to the cervical portion of the uterus. It is a reproductive conduit in all respects, connecting the external environment to the internal genitalia." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure I understand that statement. What's the internal genitalia? MS. THOMPSON: Q The ovaries.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agree or disagree? A Disagree. Q Number 18, "It is possible that the passage of talc is aided by retrograde menses and that talc use during menses poses a special risk." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external genitals to the cervical portion of the uterus. It is a reproductive conduit in all respects, connecting the external environment to the internal genitalia." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure I understand that statement. What's the internal genitalia? MS. THOMPSON: Q The ovaries. A The ovaries. I'm putting that in here.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agree or disagree? A Disagree. Q Number 18, "It is possible that the passage of talc is aided by retrograde menses and that talc use during menses poses a special risk." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external genitals to the cervical portion of the uterus. It is a reproductive conduit in all respects, connecting the external environment to the internal genitalia." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure I understand that statement. What's the internal genitalia? MS. THOMPSON: Q The ovaries. A The ovaries. I'm putting that in here. Q And tubes. Let's say tubes and
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agree or disagree? A Disagree. Q Number 18, "It is possible that the passage of talc is aided by retrograde menses and that talc use during menses poses a special risk." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external genitals to the cervical portion of the uterus. It is a reproductive conduit in all respects, connecting the external environment to the internal genitalia." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure I understand that statement. What's the internal genitalia? MS. THOMPSON: Q The ovaries. A The ovaries. I'm putting that in here. Q And tubes. Let's say tubes and ovaries.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agree or disagree? A Disagree. Q Number 18, "It is possible that the passage of talc is aided by retrograde menses and that talc use during menses poses a special risk." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 19, "Biologic credibility of the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external genitals to the cervical portion of the uterus. It is a reproductive conduit in all respects, connecting the external environment to the internal genitalia." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure I understand that statement. What's the internal genitalia? MS. THOMPSON: Q The ovaries. A The ovaries. I'm putting that in here. Q And tubes. Let's say tubes and ovaries. A Okay. External.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	experience retrograde menstruation. This suggests a mechanism by which talc particles can travel through the female reproductive tract to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agree or disagree? A Disagree. Q Number 18, "It is possible that the passage of talc is aided by retrograde menses and that talc use during menses poses a special risk." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Object to the form. A Agree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 21, "The vagina is a musculoepithelial tube extending from the level of the external genitals to the cervical portion of the uterus. It is a reproductive conduit in all respects, connecting the external environment to the internal genitalia." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not sure I understand that statement. What's the internal genitalia? MS. THOMPSON: Q The ovaries. A The ovaries. I'm putting that in here. Q And tubes. Let's say tubes and ovaries.

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

	Page 126		Page 128
1	A Cervix.	1	A Disagree.
2	Q I think the uterus is an internal	2	MS. THOMPSON:
3	genitalia, too.	3	Q 27, "Talc is able to migrate through
4	A Okay.	4	the genital tract and gain access to the ovaries
5	Q But I agree that's somewhat	5	because talc fibers have been detected in benign
6	A Yeah. It's a little I mean, yeah.	6	and malignant ovarian tissues."
7	Genitalia is usually external.	7	Agree or disagree?
8	Q Yeah.	8	MS. CURRY:
9	22, "A review of the literature	9	Object to the form.
10	suggests that it is biologically plausible for	10	A Disagree.
11	talc particles to migrate from the vagina to the	11	MS. THOMPSON:
12	peritoneal cavity and ovaries following perineal	12	Q 28, "There are inherent limitations
13	application."	13	quantifying a dose-response due to a lack of
14	MS. CURRY:	14	metrics for how much tale is in an application,
15	Object to the form.	15	how much enters the vagina, and how much reaches
16	MS. THOMPSON:	16	the upper genital tract where, presumably, any
17	Q Agree or disagree?	17	deleterious effect is mediated. This may account
18	A Disagree.	18	for the failure to identify a dose-response in
19	Q "Talc" 23. "Talc placed on the	19	many papers on tale and ovarian cancer."
20	perineum may enter the vagina and ascend to the	20	MS. CURRY:
21	upper genital tract."	21	Object to the form.
22	Agree or disagree?	22	A It's a big statement. Give me a
23	MS. CURRY:	23	second. I disagree with that.
24	Object to the form.	24	MS. THOMPSON:
	object to the form.		MB. THOM SOLV.
	Page 127		Page 129
1	A Disagree.	1	Q 29, "Tubal ligation is a strong
2	MS. THOMPSON:	2	protective factor. One possibility for the
3	Q 24, "The potential for particulates to	3	mechanism is blocking the transience of potential
4	migrate from the perineum and vagina to the	4	materials that could impact the health of the
5	peritoneal cavity is indisputable."	5	fimbria."
6	MS. CURRY:	6	MS. CURRY:
7	Object to the form.	7	Object to the form.
8	A Disagree.	8	A Disagree.
9	MS. THOMPSON:	9	MS. THOMPSON:
10	Q "The Sjösten study"	10	Q Number 30, "Any material whether it
11	Do you know the Sjösten study?	11	be talc, heavy metals, asbestos, whatever can
12	A I do.	12	migrate from the perineum to the ovaries through
13	Q "offers compelling evidence in	13	the reproductive tract. There's an anatomical
14	support of the migration hypothesis."	14	conduit, so it's not blocked. Theoretically, it
15	MS. CURRY:	15	could happen."
16	Object to the form.	16	Agree or disagree?
17	A Disagree.	17	MS. CURRY:
18	MS. THOMPSON:	18	Object to the form.
19	Q 26, "Talc particulates from perineal	19	A Disagree.
20	application have been shown to migrate to the	20	MS. THOMPSON:
	ovaries."	21	Q 31, "There is an anatomic conduit from
		22	the perineum through to the ovary, vagina,
21	A gree or disagree?		
21 22	Agree or disagree?		
21	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	23 24	cervical os, endometrium, and the fallopian tube that is, in most women, an open conduit that

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

	Page 130		Page 132
1	is in most women an open conduit. On a theoretic	1	Oh, sorry.
2	level, things can transit."	2	So the animal model, yes. The rest of
3	A I would agree with that.	3	it, no.
4	MS. CURRY:	4	Q Animal model
5	Object to the form. Sorry.	5	A Would be strengthened.
6	THE WITNESS:	6	Q Okay. We've got in the human model
7	I'm sorry.	7	A Yeah.
8	MS. THOMPSON:	8	Q agree.
9	Q 32, "Genital powder use was associated	9	A Okay.
10	with ovarian cancer risk in African-American	10	Q Okay. And the rest, disagree.
11	women and are consistent with localized chronic	11	A Yeah.
12	inflammation in the ovary due to particulates	12	Q Okay. I think that's clear, especially
13	that travel through a direct transvaginal route."	13	with explanation.
14	MS. CURRY:	14	34, "It is plausible that perineal
15	Object to the form.	15	talc, and other particulate, in parens, that
16	A Disagree.	16	reaches the endometrial cavity, fallopian tubes,
17	MS. THOMPSON:	17	ovaries and peritoneum, may elicit a foreign
18	Q 33, "Biologic credibility for an	18	body-type reaction and inflammatory response
19	association would be strengthened by an animal	19	that, in some exposed women, may progress to
20	model, but an experiment capturing all of the	20	epithelial cancers."
21	potential factors in the 'human' model would be	21	MS. CURRY:
22	very difficult. These elements include	22	Object to the form.
23	chronicity of the exposure, anatomic and	23	A I disagree with that.
24	physiologic uniqueness of women, effects of	24	MS. THOMPSON:
	Page 131		Page 133
1	pregnancy and potential spread through coitus."	1	Q 35, "Epidemiologic evidence implicates
2	Agree or disagree?	2	chronic inflammation as a central mechanism in
3	MS. CURRY:	3	the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer, the most
4	Object to the form.	4	lethal gynecologic cancer among women in the
5	A This is in relationship to talc?	5	United States."
6		1	Office States.
0	MS. THOMPSON:	6	MS. CURRY:
7	MS. THOMPSON: Q Yes.		
		6	MS. CURRY:
7	Q Yes.	6 7	MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
7 8	Q Yes. A Okay.	6 7 8	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:
7 8 9 10 11	 Q Yes. A Okay. Q Talc and ovarian cancer. A Yeah, yeah. Okay. It's a two-part issue, unfortunately. 	6 7 8 9	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll assume that you don't agree with the last A Right. Most lethal?
7 8 9 10	 Q Yes. A Okay. Q Talc and ovarian cancer. A Yeah, yeah. Okay. It's a two-part issue, unfortunately. I mean, I think it would be strengthened by an 	6 7 8 9 10	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll assume that you don't agree with the last A Right. Most lethal? Q part of that? But the first part?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q Yes. A Okay. Q Talc and ovarian cancer. A Yeah, yeah. Okay. It's a two-part issue, unfortunately. I mean, I think it would be strengthened by an animal model. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll assume that you don't agree with the last A Right. Most lethal? Q part of that? But the first part? A I would disagree with this. Yeah.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q Yes. A Okay. Q Talc and ovarian cancer. A Yeah, yeah. Okay. It's a two-part issue, unfortunately. I mean, I think it would be strengthened by an animal model. Q And if you if you'd if you'd like 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll assume that you don't agree with the last A Right. Most lethal? Q part of that? But the first part? A I would disagree with this. Yeah. Q 36, "Findings on talc and endometriosis
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q Yes. A Okay. Q Talc and ovarian cancer. A Yeah, yeah. Okay. It's a two-part issue, unfortunately. I mean, I think it would be strengthened by an animal model. Q And if you if you'd if you'd like to divide that up into two sections, that would 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll assume that you don't agree with the last A Right. Most lethal? Q part of that? But the first part? A I would disagree with this. Yeah. Q 36, "Findings on talc and endometriosis are consistent with previous findings and are
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q Yes. A Okay. Q Talc and ovarian cancer. A Yeah, yeah. Okay. It's a two-part issue, unfortunately. I mean, I think it would be strengthened by an animal model. Q And if you if you'd if you'd like to divide that up into two sections, that would be that's fine. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll assume that you don't agree with the last A Right. Most lethal? Q part of that? But the first part? A I would disagree with this. Yeah. Q 36, "Findings on talc and endometriosis are consistent with previous findings and are compatible with a hypothesis that these factors
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q Yes. A Okay. Q Talc and ovarian cancer. A Yeah, yeah. Okay. It's a two-part issue, unfortunately. I mean, I think it would be strengthened by an animal model. Q And if you if you'd if you'd like to divide that up into two sections, that would be that's fine. A Okay. Well, I okay. That's 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll assume that you don't agree with the last A Right. Most lethal? Q part of that? But the first part? A I would disagree with this. Yeah. Q 36, "Findings on talc and endometriosis are consistent with previous findings and are compatible with a hypothesis that these factors increase the risk of ovarian cancer and that
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Yes. A Okay. Q Talc and ovarian cancer. A Yeah, yeah. Okay. It's a two-part issue, unfortunately. I mean, I think it would be strengthened by an animal model. Q And if you if you'd if you'd like to divide that up into two sections, that would be that's fine. A Okay. Well, I okay. That's yeah. I think I think it would be	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll assume that you don't agree with the last A Right. Most lethal? Q part of that? But the first part? A I would disagree with this. Yeah. Q 36, "Findings on talc and endometriosis are consistent with previous findings and are compatible with a hypothesis that these factors increase the risk of ovarian cancer and that inflammation and that inflammation may be a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Yes. A Okay. Q Talc and ovarian cancer. A Yeah, yeah. Okay. It's a two-part issue, unfortunately. I mean, I think it would be strengthened by an animal model. Q And if you if you'd if you'd like to divide that up into two sections, that would be that's fine. A Okay. Well, I okay. That's yeah. I think I think it would be strengthened by an animal model.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll assume that you don't agree with the last A Right. Most lethal? Q part of that? But the first part? A I would disagree with this. Yeah. Q 36, "Findings on talc and endometriosis are consistent with previous findings and are compatible with a hypothesis that these factors increase the risk of ovarian cancer and that inflammation and that inflammation may be a common pathway."
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Yes. A Okay. Q Talc and ovarian cancer. A Yeah, yeah. Okay. It's a two-part issue, unfortunately. I mean, I think it would be strengthened by an animal model. Q And if you if you'd if you'd like to divide that up into two sections, that would be that's fine. A Okay. Well, I okay. That's yeah. I think I think it would be strengthened by an animal model. Q Okay. So	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll assume that you don't agree with the last A Right. Most lethal? Q part of that? But the first part? A I would disagree with this. Yeah. Q 36, "Findings on talc and endometriosis are consistent with previous findings and are compatible with a hypothesis that these factors increase the risk of ovarian cancer and that inflammation and that inflammation may be a common pathway." MS. CURRY:
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Yes. A Okay. Q Talc and ovarian cancer. A Yeah, yeah. Okay. It's a two-part issue, unfortunately. I mean, I think it would be strengthened by an animal model. Q And if you if you'd if you'd like to divide that up into two sections, that would be that's fine. A Okay. Well, I okay. That's yeah. I think I think it would be strengthened by an animal model. Q Okay. So A "Experiment capturing all the potential	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll assume that you don't agree with the last A Right. Most lethal? Q part of that? But the first part? A I would disagree with this. Yeah. Q 36, "Findings on talc and endometriosis are consistent with previous findings and are compatible with a hypothesis that these factors increase the risk of ovarian cancer and that inflammation and that inflammation may be a common pathway." MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Yes. A Okay. Q Talc and ovarian cancer. A Yeah, yeah. Okay. It's a two-part issue, unfortunately. I mean, I think it would be strengthened by an animal model. Q And if you if you'd if you'd like to divide that up into two sections, that would be that's fine. A Okay. Well, I okay. That's yeah. I think I think it would be strengthened by an animal model. Q Okay. So A "Experiment capturing all the potential would be difficult."	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll assume that you don't agree with the last A Right. Most lethal? Q part of that? But the first part? A I would disagree with this. Yeah. Q 36, "Findings on talc and endometriosis are consistent with previous findings and are compatible with a hypothesis that these factors increase the risk of ovarian cancer and that inflammation and that inflammation may be a common pathway." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Yes. A Okay. Q Talc and ovarian cancer. A Yeah, yeah. Okay. It's a two-part issue, unfortunately. I mean, I think it would be strengthened by an animal model. Q And if you if you'd if you'd like to divide that up into two sections, that would be that's fine. A Okay. Well, I okay. That's yeah. I think I think it would be strengthened by an animal model. Q Okay. So A "Experiment capturing all the potential	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q And I'll assume that you don't agree with the last A Right. Most lethal? Q part of that? But the first part? A I would disagree with this. Yeah. Q 36, "Findings on talc and endometriosis are consistent with previous findings and are compatible with a hypothesis that these factors increase the risk of ovarian cancer and that inflammation and that inflammation may be a common pathway." MS. CURRY: Object to the form.

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

	Page 134		Page 136
1	A 37. Right.	1	inflammation and an increased risk of ovarian
2	Q "Chronic inflammation has been proposed	2	cancer. Other specific inflammatory factors have
3	as the possible causal mechanism that explains	3	also been associated with ovarian cancer."
4	the observed association between certain risk	4	MS. CURRY:
5	factors, such as use of talcum powder (talc) in	5	Object to the form.
6	the pelvic region and epithelial ovarian cancer."	6	A I agree on that.
7	MS. CURRY:	7	MS. THOMPSON:
8	Object to the form.	8	Q 42, "The patency of the female tract
9	A That's been proposed; right? I would	9	and the nature of ovarian cancer as a surface
10	agree.	10	epithelial (mesothelial lesion) make the ovary a
11	MS. THOMPSON:	11	target for foreign body carcinogenesis."
12	Q And you would disagree that that is a	12	MS. CURRY:
13	possible cause of mechanism, I assume.	13	Object to the form.
14	A Correct.	14	MS. THOMPSON:
15	Q 38, "Talc particles can induce an	15	Q Agree or disagree?
16	inflammatory response in vivo, which may be	16	A Disagree.
17		17	
	important in ovarian cancer risk. Normal ovarian		Q 43, "Inflammation has been suggested to
18	cells treated with talc are more likely to	18	be a major factor leading to epithelial ovarian
19	undergo cell proliferation and neoplastic	19	cancer. For example, epidemiologic data have
20	transformation, and cellular generation of	20	shown that asbestos and talc exposure increased
21	reactive oxygen species increases with increasing	21	ovarian cancer risk."
22	exposure to talc."	22	MS. CURRY:
23	MS. CURRY:	23	Object to the form.
24	Object to the form.	24	A Disagree.
	Page 135		Page 137
1	A I disagree with that.	1	MS. THOMPSON:
2	MS. THOMPSON:	2	Q 44, "Studies have found" "also found
3	Q 39, "A growing body of epidemiologic	3	that endometrio-"
4	evidence suggests that factors causing epithelial	4	Let's leave out the "also," since I
5	inflammation are involved in ovarian	5	don't know what that refers to.
6	carcinogenesis. Such factors include asbestos	6	"Studies have found that endometriosis,
7	and talc exposures, endometriosis and pelvic	7	pelvic inflammatory disease, and mumps viral
8	inflammatory disease (PID)."	8	infection are positively associated with ovarian
9	MS. CURRY:	9	cancer risk. In contrast, tubal ligations and
10	Object to the form.	10	hysterectomies, which are thought to reduce the
11	A Disagree with that.	11	exposure of the OSE to environmental inflammation
12	MS. THOMPSON:	12	initiators have been shown to reduce the risk of
13	Q 40, "Direct induction of inflammation	13	ovarian cancer."
14	as a result of endometriosis, talc, and asbestos	14	MS. CURRY:
15	exposure, and PID, as well as ovulation itself,	15	Object to the form.
16	may act to promote ovarian tumorigenesis."	16	A I agree on that.
17	Agree or disagree?	17	MS. THOMPSON:
18	MS. CURRY:	18	Q 45, "It has been noted that the
ΤΟ		19	ovulatory process itself resembles an
10	Object to the form.		
19 20		20	inflammatory reaction, with leukocytic
20	A Disagree.	21	infiltration the release of it : i i
20 21	MS. THOMPSON:	21	infiltration, the release of nitric oxide and
20 21 22	MS. THOMPSON: Q 41, regarding Inflammation. "Studies	22	inflammatory cytokines, basal dilation, DNA
20 21	MS. THOMPSON:		

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

	Page 138		Page 140
1	Object to the form.	1	Q 51, "For baby powder users, it is habit
2	MS THOMPSON:	2	that developed at one point and stays regularly."
3	Q Agree or disagree?	3	MS. CURRY:
4	A I would agree on that.	4	Object to the form.
5	Q 46, "The latency period of more	5	A Don't know.
6	advanced, malignant epithelial ovarian cancer	6	MS. THOMPSON:
7	could be estimated to be approximately 30 to 40	7	Q 52, "In order to achieve statistical
8	years."	8	significance in a prospective study, we need a
9	MS. CURRY:	9	much larger cohort. For example, we will need to
10	Form.	10	study upwards of 200,000 women for ten years."
11	A I don't know that. Sorry. I don't	11	MS. CURRY:
12	know.	12	Object to the form.
13	MS. THOMPSON:	13	A I disagree.
14	Q "If the magnitude of the association is	14	MS. THOMPSON:
15	to be estimated with precision, it is important	15	Q You disagree.
16	that consortia are developed and expanded in	16	53, "Given inherent limitation of
17	order to generate the appropriate sample size."	17	cohort studies, it is not surprising that we have
18	And this is in regard to talcum powder	18	not been able to confirm the case-control studies
19	in association with ovarian cancer.	19	with prospective studies, but this does not mean
20	MS. CURRY:	20	that the case-control studies were wrong."
21	Object to the form.	21	MS. CURRY:
22	A Don't know.	22	Object to the form.
23	MS. THOMPSON:	23	A Disagree.
24	Q 48, "Neither prospective study"	24	MS. THOMPSON:
	Page 139		Page 141
1	meaning Gertig or Houghton "confirmed the	1	Q Agree or disagree?
2	association of talc use and ovarian cancer raised	2	A Disagree.
3	by the case-control studies, but neither study	3	Q 54, "It is unlikely that the
4	was powered to detect a risk of 1.2 and	4	association between talc and ovarian cancer is
5		l –	1 , 6 1 1 1 1 1 1
_	therefore, we cannot exclude the possibility."	5	due to confounding, and so it is fair to say that
6	Agree or disagree?	6	if there is a statistically robust relationship
7	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY:	6 7	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry.
7 8	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	6 7 8	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry. I'm gonna start all over.
7 8 9	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree.	6 7 8 9	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry. I'm gonna start all over. "It is unlikely that the association
7 8 9 10	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON:	6 7 8 9 10	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry. I'm gonna start all over. "It is unlikely that the association between talc and ovarian cancer is due to
7 8 9 10 11	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 49, "An odds ratio of 1.2 or 1.3 has no	6 7 8 9 10 11	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry. I'm gonna start all over. "It is unlikely that the association between talc and ovarian cancer is due to confounding, and so it is fair to say that if
7 8 9 10 11 12	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 49, "An odds ratio of 1.2 or 1.3 has no meaningful clinical impact on a patient."	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry. I'm gonna start all over. "It is unlikely that the association between talc and ovarian cancer is due to confounding, and so it is fair to say that if there is a statistically robust relationship
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 49, "An odds ratio of 1.2 or 1.3 has no meaningful clinical impact on a patient." MS. CURRY:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry. I'm gonna start all over. "It is unlikely that the association between talc and ovarian cancer is due to confounding, and so it is fair to say that if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer, it is likely
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 49, "An odds ratio of 1.2 or 1.3 has no meaningful clinical impact on a patient." MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry. I'm gonna start all over. "It is unlikely that the association between talc and ovarian cancer is due to confounding, and so it is fair to say that if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer, it is likely to be causal (albeit with intermediate factors
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 49, "An odds ratio of 1.2 or 1.3 has no meaningful clinical impact on a patient." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Don't know.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry. I'm gonna start all over. "It is unlikely that the association between talc and ovarian cancer is due to confounding, and so it is fair to say that if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer, it is likely to be causal (albeit with intermediate factors such as inflammation)."
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 49, "An odds ratio of 1.2 or 1.3 has no meaningful clinical impact on a patient." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Don't know. MS. THOMPSON:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry. I'm gonna start all over. "It is unlikely that the association between talc and ovarian cancer is due to confounding, and so it is fair to say that if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer, it is likely to be causal (albeit with intermediate factors such as inflammation)." Agree or disagree?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 49, "An odds ratio of 1.2 or 1.3 has no meaningful clinical impact on a patient." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q "There are design studies with"	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry. I'm gonna start all over. "It is unlikely that the association between talc and ovarian cancer is due to confounding, and so it is fair to say that if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer, it is likely to be causal (albeit with intermediate factors such as inflammation)." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY:
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 49, "An odds ratio of 1.2 or 1.3 has no meaningful clinical impact on a patient." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q "There are design studies with" sorry.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry. I'm gonna start all over. "It is unlikely that the association between talc and ovarian cancer is due to confounding, and so it is fair to say that if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer, it is likely to be causal (albeit with intermediate factors such as inflammation)." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 49, "An odds ratio of 1.2 or 1.3 has no meaningful clinical impact on a patient." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q "There are design studies with" sorry. 50, "There are design issues with every	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry. I'm gonna start all over. "It is unlikely that the association between talc and ovarian cancer is due to confounding, and so it is fair to say that if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer, it is likely to be causal (albeit with intermediate factors such as inflammation)." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 49, "An odds ratio of 1.2 or 1.3 has no meaningful clinical impact on a patient." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q "There are design studies with" sorry. 50, "There are design issues with every study, both case-controls and cohort studies."	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry. I'm gonna start all over. "It is unlikely that the association between talc and ovarian cancer is due to confounding, and so it is fair to say that if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer, it is likely to be causal (albeit with intermediate factors such as inflammation)." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON:
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 49, "An odds ratio of 1.2 or 1.3 has no meaningful clinical impact on a patient." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q "There are design studies with" sorry. 50, "There are design issues with every study, both case-controls and cohort studies." MS. CURRY:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry. I'm gonna start all over. "It is unlikely that the association between talc and ovarian cancer is due to confounding, and so it is fair to say that if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer, it is likely to be causal (albeit with intermediate factors such as inflammation)." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 55, "Among many epidemiologic
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 49, "An odds ratio of 1.2 or 1.3 has no meaningful clinical impact on a patient." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q "There are design studies with" sorry. 50, "There are design issues with every study, both case-controls and cohort studies." MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry. I'm gonna start all over. "It is unlikely that the association between talc and ovarian cancer is due to confounding, and so it is fair to say that if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer, it is likely to be causal (albeit with intermediate factors such as inflammation)." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 55, "Among many epidemiologic variables, no confounders for the association
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 49, "An odds ratio of 1.2 or 1.3 has no meaningful clinical impact on a patient." MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q "There are design studies with" sorry. 50, "There are design issues with every study, both case-controls and cohort studies." MS. CURRY:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer" sorry. I'm gonna start all over. "It is unlikely that the association between talc and ovarian cancer is due to confounding, and so it is fair to say that if there is a statistically robust relationship between talc use and ovarian cancer, it is likely to be causal (albeit with intermediate factors such as inflammation)." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 55, "Among many epidemiologic

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

	Page 142		Page 144
1	Object to the form.	1	Object to the form.
2	A No opinion.	2	A I agree on that.
3	MS. THOMPSON:	3	MS. THOMPSON:
4	Q 56, "There is a consistent association	4	Q 61, "The gold standard for translating
5	between talc and ovarian cancer that appears	5	epidemiologic case-controlled or cohort
6	unlikely to be explained by recall or	6	observational studies into a clinical meaningful
7	confounding."	7	data relies on laboratory-derived experiments in
8	Agree or disagree?	8	vitro or in vivo."
9	MS. CURRY:	9	MS. CURRY:
10	Object to the form.	10	Object to the form.
11	A Disagree.	11	A I disagree with that.
12	MS. THOMPSON:	12	MS. THOMPSON:
13	Q 57, "The meta-analyses of the available	13	Q On what basis?
14	human studies in the peer-reviewed literature	14	A The it depends upon the
15	indicate a consistent and statistically	15	epidemiologic date that that we're talking about.
16	significant positive association between perineal	16	Q In other words, if the epidemiologic
17	exposure to tale and ovarian cancer."	17	data isn't strong enough, in your opinion, then
18	MS. CURRY:	18	doing in vitro or in vivo studies don't provide
19	Object to the form.	19	clinically meaningful data? Is that
20	A Disagree.	20	MS. CURRY:
21	MS. THOMPSON:	21	Object to the form.
22	Q You disagree.	22	A It's actually it's actually the
23	58, "In studies where the exposure is	23	other way around. So I think if it's a weak
24	simple (e.g., never versus ever use), recall bias	24	association, then the laboratory data becomes
	ompre (e.g., never versus ever use), recuir enus		accomment, then the meeting and coome
	D 142		
	Page 143		Page 145
1	is unlikely to be an important source of bias."	1	Page 145 that much more important for biologic
1 2		1 2	
	is unlikely to be an important source of bias."		that much more important for biologic
2	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree?	2	that much more important for biologic plausibility.
2 3	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY:	2 3	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney
2 3 4	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's
2 3 4 5	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion.	2 3 4 5	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge.
2 3 4 5 6	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just
2 3 4 5 6 7	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that an issue that you would be	2 3 4 5 6 7	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just with the tale statement. Is it a tale statement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that an issue that you would be inclined to to ask an epidemiologist?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just with the talc statement. Is it a talc statement? MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that an issue that you would be inclined to to ask an epidemiologist? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just with the talc statement. Is it a talc statement? MS. THOMPSON: Q Uh-huh. I just want to make just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that an issue that you would be inclined to to ask an epidemiologist? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just with the talc statement. Is it a talc statement? MS. THOMPSON: Q Uh-huh. I just want to make just want to make sure that I understand the the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that an issue that you would be inclined to to ask an epidemiologist? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'd like to see the I'd like to see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just with the tale statement. Is it a tale statement? MS. THOMPSON: Q Uh-huh. I just want to make just want to make sure that I understand the the reason for your disagreement. But if you feel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that an issue that you would be inclined to to ask an epidemiologist? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'd like to see the I'd like to see the study that it's based on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just with the tale statement. Is it a tale statement? MS. THOMPSON: Q Uh-huh. I just want to make just want to make sure that I understand the the reason for your disagreement. But if you feel like it's explained, I'm good.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that an issue that you would be inclined to to ask an epidemiologist? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'd like to see the I'd like to see the study that it's based on. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just with the talc statement. Is it a talc statement? MS. THOMPSON: Q Uh-huh. I just want to make just want to make sure that I understand the the reason for your disagreement. But if you feel like it's explained, I'm good. A And again, I it's sort of the broad
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that an issue that you would be inclined to to ask an epidemiologist? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'd like to see the I'd like to see the study that it's based on. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. 59, "Available data are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just with the talc statement. Is it a talc statement? MS. THOMPSON: Q Uh-huh. I just want to make just want to make sure that I understand the the reason for your disagreement. But if you feel like it's explained, I'm good. A And again, I it's sort of the broad view that if if the if the epidemiologic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that an issue that you would be inclined to to ask an epidemiologist? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'd like to see the I'd like to see the study that it's based on. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. 59, "Available data are indicative of a causal effect." And again,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just with the talc statement. Is it a talc statement? MS. THOMPSON: Q Uh-huh. I just want to make just want to make sure that I understand the the reason for your disagreement. But if you feel like it's explained, I'm good. A And again, I it's sort of the broad view that if if the if the epidemiologic case control and cohort studies are so powerful
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that an issue that you would be inclined to to ask an epidemiologist? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'd like to see the I'd like to see the study that it's based on. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. 59, "Available data are indicative of a causal effect." And again, referring to talc and ovarian cancer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just with the tale statement. Is it a tale statement? MS. THOMPSON: Q Uh-huh. I just want to make just want to make sure that I understand the the reason for your disagreement. But if you feel like it's explained, I'm good. A And again, I it's sort of the broad view that if if the if the epidemiologic case control and cohort studies are so powerful with a huge effect, then the biologic experiments
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that an issue that you would be inclined to to ask an epidemiologist? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'd like to see the I'd like to see the study that it's based on. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. 59, "Available data are indicative of a causal effect." And again, referring to talc and ovarian cancer. MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just with the tale statement. Is it a tale statement? MS. THOMPSON: Q Uh-huh. I just want to make just want to make sure that I understand the the reason for your disagreement. But if you feel like it's explained, I'm good. A And again, I it's sort of the broad view that if if the if the epidemiologic case control and cohort studies are so powerful with a huge effect, then the biologic experiments and lab become less important.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that an issue that you would be inclined to to ask an epidemiologist? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'd like to see the I'd like to see the study that it's based on. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. 59, "Available data are indicative of a causal effect." And again, referring to talc and ovarian cancer. MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just with the talc statement. Is it a talc statement? MS. THOMPSON: Q Uh-huh. I just want to make just want to make sure that I understand the the reason for your disagreement. But if you feel like it's explained, I'm good. A And again, I it's sort of the broad view that if if the if the epidemiologic case control and cohort studies are so powerful with a huge effect, then the biologic experiments and lab become less important. The other way around, which is really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that an issue that you would be inclined to to ask an epidemiologist? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'd like to see the I'd like to see the study that it's based on. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. 59, "Available data are indicative of a causal effect." And again, referring to talc and ovarian cancer. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just with the talc statement. Is it a talc statement? MS. THOMPSON: Q Uh-huh. I just want to make just want to make sure that I understand the the reason for your disagreement. But if you feel like it's explained, I'm good. A And again, I it's sort of the broad view that if if the if the epidemiologic case control and cohort studies are so powerful with a huge effect, then the biologic experiments and lab become less important. The other way around, which is really what we're dealing with with talc where the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that an issue that you would be inclined to to ask an epidemiologist? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'd like to see the I'd like to see the study that it's based on. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. 59, "Available data are indicative of a causal effect." And again, referring to talc and ovarian cancer. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just with the talc statement. Is it a talc statement? MS. THOMPSON: Q Uh-huh. I just want to make just want to make sure that I understand the the reason for your disagreement. But if you feel like it's explained, I'm good. A And again, I it's sort of the broad view that if if the if the epidemiologic case control and cohort studies are so powerful with a huge effect, then the biologic experiments and lab become less important. The other way around, which is really what we're dealing with with talc where the epidemiologic data I think is not compelling, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that an issue that you would be inclined to to ask an epidemiologist? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'd like to see the I'd like to see the study that it's based on. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. 59, "Available data are indicative of a causal effect." And again, referring to talc and ovarian cancer. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 60, "The data supporting the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just with the tale statement. Is it a tale statement? MS. THOMPSON: Q Uh-huh. I just want to make just want to make sure that I understand the the reason for your disagreement. But if you feel like it's explained, I'm good. A And again, I it's sort of the broad view that if if the if the epidemiologic case control and cohort studies are so powerful with a huge effect, then the biologic experiments and lab become less important. The other way around, which is really what we're dealing with with tale where the epidemiologic data I think is not compelling, the biologic plausibility becomes more important.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is unlikely to be an important source of bias." Agree or disagree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No opinion. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that an issue that you would be inclined to to ask an epidemiologist? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'd like to see the I'd like to see the study that it's based on. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. 59, "Available data are indicative of a causal effect." And again, referring to talc and ovarian cancer. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Disagree. MS. THOMPSON: Q 60, "The data supporting the association of talc to the development of ovarian	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that much more important for biologic plausibility. If it has you know, if it's chimney sweeps or lung cancer with smoking, then that's clinically meaningful. Those effects are huge. That's what I'm I'm not associating this just with the tale statement. Is it a tale statement? MS. THOMPSON: Q Uh-huh. I just want to make just want to make sure that I understand the the reason for your disagreement. But if you feel like it's explained, I'm good. A And again, I it's sort of the broad view that if if the if the epidemiologic case control and cohort studies are so powerful with a huge effect, then the biologic experiments and lab become less important. The other way around, which is really what we're dealing with with tale where the epidemiologic data I think is not compelling, the biologic plausibility becomes more important. And it sort of gets back into the Bradford Hill.

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

	Page 146		Page 148
1	A In terms of value.	1	Q Are you familiar with the term and I
2	Q the importance of it?	2	believe this is more in the toxicological
3	A Yeah.	3	literature of a complete carcinogen?
4	Q Okay. Got it.	4	A I would
5	62, "Mineral talc occurs naturally in a	5	Q Does that have a meaning to you?
6	platy, flat form, but may also occur as	6	A Yeah. I've seen that described.
7	asbestiform fibers, which describes its physical	7	Frankly, I can only I can only sort of guess
8	form and does not imply the presence of asbestos.	8	what they mean by that. My guess is a complete
9	The purer forms, approximately 90 percent mineral	9	carcinogen, putting out there for the discussion
10	talc, are used for" oops "are used for	10	between you and me is what I'm describing as the
11	cosmetic and hygiene products, including baby	11	classic initiation molecule.
12	powders and feminine hygiene products."	12	Q IARC describes do I have it? Would
13	MS. CURRY:	13	you look at Exhibit 6, which is the IARC? I just
14	Object to the form.	14	wanted to look at their definition of
15	MS. THOMPSON:	15	carcinogenesis and see whether you would agree
16	Q Agree or disagree or no opinion?	16	with it or not.
17	A No opinion.	17	A Is it in the preamble?
18	Q That's it. I'll think of some new	18	Q It's in the preamble. And if I can't
19	questions.	19	find it, we may come back to that later.
20	A I feel like I just took my boards.	20	Because I can't remember where it is.
21	Q Dr. Birrer, how do you define a	21	Let's come back to that.
22	carcinogen?	22	A It's a big preamble.
23	A That's an agent or substance which	23	Q Lots of methodology.
24	causes or induces cancer.	24	Are you familiar with the Hanahan paper
	Dage 147		
1	Page 147	1	
1	Q Do you include effect on the promotion	1	from 2011 "Hallmarks of Cancer"?
2	and progression of cancer as well in a when	2	A It's a global sort of review. Yes.
3	you're considering carcinogenicity?	3	Q A big review
4 5	MS. CURRY:	4 5	A Big. O article?
6	Object to the form.	6	
_	A So historically and there's been a	1	A Is it
7	lot of work on this for decades carcinogens	7	Q Do you know do you know Dr. Hanahan
8	have been usually been associated with	8	or know of Dr. Hanahan?
9	initiation. So this is a substance just to	9	A I know of him.
10	you an example. Paint it on to a mouse skin, and	10	Q And it's Hanahan and Weinberg?
11	you develop tumors above statistically	11	A Weinberg, yeah. Yeah.
12	significantly above background.	12	Q Let me go ahead and mark that.
13	Tumor promoters don't do that. But	13	A Okay.
14	when you combine the tumor promoter with the	14	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 10
15	carcinogen, instead of getting the 10 tumors, now	15	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
16	you get a hundred. So promotion is a little bit	16	MS. THOMPSON:
17	different. That's the historic perspective.	17	Make sure those don't have my markings
18	You know, we've come a long way since	18	on it.
19	then, and I think it's gotten even more complex,	19	A It would be easier for me if the
20	that there are tumor promoters that work by	20	markings were there.
21	transcriptional factors. So that's not genetic changes in the tumor, in the cells. Carcinogens	21 22	MS. THOMPSON: Q Exhibit 10. And you agree that this
	changes in the timor in the calls. Caroinogens	- フラ	Q Exhibit 10. And you agree that this
22	<u> </u>		
	usually work that way, where you're getting a permanent genetic change.	23	article describes the hallmarks of cancer in a general sense; right?

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

	Page 150		Page 152
1	A Correct.	1	Characteristics."
2	Q And it's a review article in Cell. Are	2	And it says, the first sentence, "An
3	you familiar with that journal?	3	increasing body of research suggests that two
4	A I am.	4	additional hallmarks of cancer are involved in
5	Q Have you published in that journal?	5	the pathogenesis of some and perhaps all
6	Probably.	6	cancers."
7	A I wished I had published more in that	7	I'm gonna skip down to the to the
8	journal. Yeah.	8	last sentence in that description.
9	Q And it's the title of the article is	9	"Inflammation"
10	"The Hallmarks of Cancer: The Next Generation."	10	A You're in the figure legend?
11	But in the top right hand, it says, "Leading edge	11	Q In the figure legend.
12	review." So that would be a review article for a	12	"Inflammation by innate immune cells
13	general audience. Would you agree?	13	designed to fight infections and heal wounds can
14	A Yes. General audience of scientists,	14	instead result in their inadvertent support of
15	yeah. Because it's pretty sophisticated.	15	multiple hallmark capabilities, thereby
16	Q Agree.	16	manifesting the now widely appreciated tumor
17	And it describes the hallmarks of	17	promoting consequences of inflammatory
18	cancer generally. These do not specifically	18	responses."
19	apply to ovarian cancer in in the	19	Would you agree with that statement, in
20	introduction. I'm starting on the third	20	a general sense?
21	sentence. "They include sustaining proliferative	21	A Yes.
22	signaling, evading growth suppressors, resisting	22	MS. CURRY:
23	cell death, enabling replicative"	23	Object to the form.
24	A Third line of you're in the abstract	24	A Sorry.
	De 22 151		Dama 153
	Page 151	1	Page 153
1	or in the introduction?	1	MS. THOMPSON:
2	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the	2	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill?
2	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract.	2 3	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this?
2 3 4	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay.	2 3 4	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that.
2 3 4 5	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an	2 3 4 5	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting	2 3 4 5 6	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with
2 3 4 5 6 7	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in this paper, "They include sustaining	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill? A I believe we're on two. I can't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in this paper, "They include sustaining proliferative signalling, evading growth	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill? A I believe we're on two. I can't remember.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in this paper, "They include sustaining proliferative signalling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill? A I believe we're on two. I can't remember. Q And she is a well-renowned cancer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in this paper, "They include sustaining proliferative signalling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling replicative immortality, enduing angiogenesis,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill? A I believe we're on two. I can't remember. Q And she is a well-renowned cancer biologist. Would you agree?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in this paper, "They include sustaining proliferative signalling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling replicative immortality, enduing angiogenesis, and activating invasin and metathesis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill? A I believe we're on two. I can't remember. Q And she is a well-renowned cancer biologist. Would you agree? A I would agree.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in this paper, "They include sustaining proliferative signalling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling replicative immortality, enduing angiogenesis, and activating invasin and metathesis. "Underlining these hallmarks are genome	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill? A I believe we're on two. I can't remember. Q And she is a well-renowned cancer biologist. Would you agree? A I would agree. MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in this paper, "They include sustaining proliferative signalling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling replicative immortality, enduing angiogenesis, and activating invasin and metathesis. "Underlining these hallmarks are genome instability which generates the genetic diversity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill? A I believe we're on two. I can't remember. Q And she is a well-renowned cancer biologist. Would you agree? A I would agree. MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in this paper, "They include sustaining proliferative signalling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling replicative immortality, enduing angiogenesis, and activating invasin and metathesis. "Underlining these hallmarks are genome instability which generates the genetic diversity that expedites their acquisition and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill? A I believe we're on two. I can't remember. Q And she is a well-renowned cancer biologist. Would you agree? A I would agree. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in this paper, "They include sustaining proliferative signalling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling replicative immortality, enduing angiogenesis, and activating invasin and metathesis. "Underlining these hallmarks are genome instability which generates the genetic diversity that expedites their acquisition and inflammation, which fosters multiple hallmark	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill? A I believe we're on two. I can't remember. Q And she is a well-renowned cancer biologist. Would you agree? A I would agree. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in this paper, "They include sustaining proliferative signalling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling replicative immortality, enduing angiogenesis, and activating invasin and metathesis. "Underlining these hallmarks are genome instability which generates the genetic diversity that expedites their acquisition and inflammation, which fosters multiple hallmark functions."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill? A I believe we're on two. I can't remember. Q And she is a well-renowned cancer biologist. Would you agree? A I would agree. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in this paper, "They include sustaining proliferative signalling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling replicative immortality, enduing angiogenesis, and activating invasin and metathesis. "Underlining these hallmarks are genome instability which generates the genetic diversity that expedites their acquisition and inflammation, which fosters multiple hallmark functions." Would you agree with that statement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill? A I believe we're on two. I can't remember. Q And she is a well-renowned cancer biologist. Would you agree? A I would agree. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna mark as Exhibit 11 an article
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in this paper, "They include sustaining proliferative signalling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling replicative immortality, enduing angiogenesis, and activating invasin and metathesis. "Underlining these hallmarks are genome instability which generates the genetic diversity that expedites their acquisition and inflammation, which fosters multiple hallmark functions." Would you agree with that statement from this article?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill? A I believe we're on two. I can't remember. Q And she is a well-renowned cancer biologist. Would you agree? A I would agree. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna mark as Exhibit 11 an article written by Dr. Balkwill.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in this paper, "They include sustaining proliferative signalling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling replicative immortality, enduing angiogenesis, and activating invasin and metathesis. "Underlining these hallmarks are genome instability which generates the genetic diversity that expedites their acquisition and inflammation, which fosters multiple hallmark functions." Would you agree with that statement from this article? A I think as a general statement, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill? A I believe we're on two. I can't remember. Q And she is a well-renowned cancer biologist. Would you agree? A I would agree. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna mark as Exhibit 11 an article written by Dr. Balkwill. Have you seen this article, Dr. Birrer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in this paper, "They include sustaining proliferative signalling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling replicative immortality, enduing angiogenesis, and activating invasin and metathesis. "Underlining these hallmarks are genome instability which generates the genetic diversity that expedites their acquisition and inflammation, which fosters multiple hallmark functions." Would you agree with that statement from this article? A I think as a general statement, yes. Q And the article, as you described, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill? A I believe we're on two. I can't remember. Q And she is a well-renowned cancer biologist. Would you agree? A I would agree. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna mark as Exhibit 11 an article written by Dr. Balkwill. Have you seen this article, Dr. Birrer? A I'm actually not familiar with this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in this paper, "They include sustaining proliferative signalling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling replicative immortality, enduing angiogenesis, and activating invasin and metathesis. "Underlining these hallmarks are genome instability which generates the genetic diversity that expedites their acquisition and inflammation, which fosters multiple hallmark functions." Would you agree with that statement from this article? A I think as a general statement, yes. Q And the article, as you described, is quite technical and and goes on for a while.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill? A I believe we're on two. I can't remember. Q And she is a well-renowned cancer biologist. Would you agree? A I would agree. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna mark as Exhibit 11 an article written by Dr. Balkwill. Have you seen this article, Dr. Birrer? A I'm actually not familiar with this. But I know Fran's work pretty well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or in the introduction? Q I'm in the sorry. I'm in the abstract. A Okay. Q It sort of seemed more like an introduction than an abstract to me. So starting again. Talking about the hallmarks described in this paper, "They include sustaining proliferative signalling, evading growth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling replicative immortality, enduing angiogenesis, and activating invasin and metathesis. "Underlining these hallmarks are genome instability which generates the genetic diversity that expedites their acquisition and inflammation, which fosters multiple hallmark functions." Would you agree with that statement from this article? A I think as a general statement, yes. Q And the article, as you described, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you familiar with Dr. Balkwill? A We're done with this? Q We're done with that. A Fran? Fran Balkwill? Yes. Q And I believe you published with Dr. Balkwill? A I believe we're on two. I can't remember. Q And she is a well-renowned cancer biologist. Would you agree? A I would agree. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 11 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna mark as Exhibit 11 an article written by Dr. Balkwill. Have you seen this article, Dr. Birrer? A I'm actually not familiar with this.

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

1 Q look through it. And this is also a 2 review article. 3 A Uh-huh. 4 Q And and this article is in is in 5 The Lancet. Correct? 6 A Correct. 7 Q And is we've already mentioned that 8 Dr. Balkwill is well regarded. 9 Is The Lancet a well-regarded journal? 10 A Yes. 11 MS. CURRY: 12 Object to the form. 13 Moreover cancer suscep 4 deletion or inhibition of inflammation inhibits development of exp 8 inflammation may provide to inflam	susceptibility and with functional atory cytokine genes, and lammatory cytokines, perimental cancer. the 'match that me types of the 'fuel that feeds the age, but do you
2 review article. 3 A Uh-huh. 4 Q And and this article is in is in 5 The Lancet. Correct? 6 A Correct. 7 Q And is we've already mentioned that 8 Dr. Balkwill is well regarded. 9 Is The Lancet a well-regarded journal? 10 A Yes. 11 MS. CURRY: 12 Object to the form. 13 Moreover cancer suscep 4 generally agree with the state of the form. 14 generally agree with the state of the form. 15 to mount an effective host at a more and an effective host at a severity may be associated and an effective host at a severity may be associated and a severity may be associ	susceptibility and with functional atory cytokine genes, and lammatory cytokines, perimental cancer. the 'match that me types of the 'fuel that feeds the age, but do you
4 Q And and this article is in is in 5 The Lancet. Correct? 6 A Correct. 7 Q And is we've already mentioned that 8 Dr. Balkwill is well regarded. 9 Is The Lancet a well-regarded journal? 10 A Yes. 11 MS. CURRY: 12 Object to the form. 13 MS. THOMPSON: 14 Q Is it one of the most respected 15 journals, would you say? 16 MS. CURRY: 16 MS. CURRY: 17 severity may be associated of polymorphisms of inflammation of inflammation of inflammation of inflammation of inflammation of expending polymorphisms of inflammation of inflammation of inflammation of inflammation of expending polymorphisms of inflammation of inflammation of inflammation of inflammation of expending polymorphisms of inflammation of inflammation of inflammation of inflammation of expending polymorphisms of inflammation of inflammation of inflammation of expending polymorphisms of inflammation of infl	with functional atory cytokine genes, and lammatory cytokines, perimental cancer. the 'match that me types of the 'fuel that feeds the age, but do you
The Lancet. Correct? A Correct. Q And is we've already mentioned that B Dr. Balkwill is well regarded. Is The Lancet a well-regarded journal? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it one of the most respected MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. CURRY: Object to the most respected MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: Object to the form. Dr. Balkwill? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	atory cytokine genes, and lammatory cytokines, perimental cancer. the 'match that me types of the 'fuel that feeds the
6 A Correct. 7 Q And is we've already mentioned that 8 Dr. Balkwill is well regarded. 9 Is The Lancet a well-regarded journal? 10 A Yes. 11 MS. CURRY: 12 Object to the form. 13 MS. THOMPSON: 14 Q Is it one of the most respected 15 journals, would you say? 16 MS. CURRY: 17 deletion or inhibition of inf. 7 inhibits development of exp. 8 "If genetic damage is 9 lights the fire' of cancer, son inflammation may provide to the fire' of cancer, son inflammation may provide to the flames." 10 That was a long passed generally agree with the state of the most respected to the form. 11 Dr. Balkwill? 12 MS. CURRY: 13 MS. CURRY: 14 Object to the form.	dammatory cytokines, berimental cancer. the 'match that me types of the 'fuel that feeds the age, but do you
7 Q And is we've already mentioned that 8 Dr. Balkwill is well regarded. 9 Is The Lancet a well-regarded journal? 10 A Yes. 11 MS. CURRY: 12 Object to the form. 13 MS. THOMPSON: 14 Q Is it one of the most respected 15 journals, would you say? 16 MS. CURRY: 17 inhibits development of exp 18 "If genetic damage is 19 lights the fire' of cancer, sor 10 inflammation may provide to flames." 11 flames." 12 That was a long passage with the state of the most respected 14 Dr. Balkwill? 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form.	the 'match that me types of the 'fuel that feeds the age, but do you
8 Dr. Balkwill is well regarded. 9 Is The Lancet a well-regarded journal? 10 A Yes. 11 MS. CURRY: 12 Object to the form. 13 MS. THOMPSON: 14 Q Is it one of the most respected 15 journals, would you say? 16 MS. CURRY: 17 Genetic damage is 9 lights the fire' of cancer, sor inflammation may provide to inflammation may provide to inflames." 10 That was a long passage with the statement of the most respected in the provided in the provided inflammation may provide to inflammatio	the 'match that me types of the 'fuel that feeds the age, but do you
9 Is The Lancet a well-regarded journal? 10 A Yes. 11 MS. CURRY: 12 Object to the form. 13 MS. THOMPSON: 14 Q Is it one of the most respected 15 journals, would you say? 16 MS. CURRY: 17 Ights the fire' of cancer, so inflammation may provide to flames." 18 If ames." 19 Ights the fire' of cancer, so inflammation may provide to flames." 10 Inflammation may provide to flames." 11 Ights the fire' of cancer, so inflammation may provide to flames." 12 That was a long passage with the state of the form. 13 Ights the fire' of cancer, so inflammation may provide to flames." 14 Object to the form.	ne types of the 'fuel that feeds the age, but do you
10 A Yes. 11 MS. CURRY: 12 Object to the form. 13 MS. THOMPSON: 14 Q Is it one of the most respected 15 journals, would you say? 16 MS. CURRY: 10 inflammation may provide to inflammation may	the 'fuel that feeds the age, but do you
11 MS. CURRY: 12 Object to the form. 13 MS. THOMPSON: 14 Q Is it one of the most respected 15 journals, would you say? 16 MS. CURRY: 17 If ames." 18 generally agree with the state of the most respected 19 Dr. Balkwill? 10 MS. CURRY: 11 flames." 12 That was a long passed generally agree with the state of the most respected of the	age, but do you
12 Object to the form. 13 MS. THOMPSON: 14 Q Is it one of the most respected 15 journals, would you say? 16 MS. CURRY: 17 That was a long passar generally agree with the star part of the most respected 18 Dr. Balkwill? 19 MS. CURRY: 10 Object to the form.	
13 MS. THOMPSON: 14 Q Is it one of the most respected 15 journals, would you say? 16 MS. CURRY: 17 generally agree with the star of the star of the most respected 18 Dr. Balkwill? 19 MS. CURRY: 10 Object to the form.	
14 Q Is it one of the most respected 15 journals, would you say? 16 MS. CURRY: 17 Dr. Balkwill? 18 MS. CURRY: 19 Object to the form.	tomount lare
 journals, would you say? MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: Object to the form. 	tement by
16 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form.	
17 Object to the form. 17 A I do.	
18 A It's not as good as Cell. 18 MS. THOMPSON:	
19 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q And then look down	on that same page to
20 Q Oh. I won't tell them you said that. 20 panel 1.	
But, generally generally speaking 21 A Uh-huh.	
22 A Yes. 22 Q And the title of that	panel, for lack
23 Q physicians and scientists would 23 of better word, is "Some As	sociations Between
24 recognize The Lancet? 24 Inflammation and Cancer R	isk." Right?
Page 155	Page 157
1 A It's well read it's well read and 1 A 901. Got it.	
2 it's it has a substantial impact factor. 2 Q And under "Malign	nancy," it lists
3 Q And we don't know in this situation 3 various types of cancer in	which there's
4 whether Dr. Balkwill do you know 4 association between inflan	nmation and cancer risk.
5 Dr. Mantovani, the second author on this paper? 5 Correct?	
6 A No. I don't recognize him. 6 A Correct.	
7 Q We don't know whether this article was 7 Q And one of them	one of them is
8 invited or submitted, but, regardless, certainly 8 ovarian; right?	
9 the readers of Lancet would look to Dr. Balkwill 9 A I see it.	
10 as being an expert to discuss inflammation in 10 Q And in the under	the inflammatory
11 cancer; correct? 11 stimulus/condition, it lists	pelvic inflammatory
12 MS. CURRY: 12 disease, talc, tissue remode	eling.
13 Object to the form. 13 Do you agree that D	r. Balkwill, at
14 A Correct. 14 least in 2001, believed tha	t talc was an
15 MS. THOMPSON: 15 inflammatory stimulus and	
16 Q So reading in in the abstract, which 16 association with ovarian co	ancer?
17 looks like an introduction to me again, but 17 MS. CURRY:	
reading the abstract, "This article reviews" 18 Object to the form.	
19 second line "This article reviews the links 19 A Yeah. So, again, the	
between cancer and inflammation and discusses the 20 a recurring theme in the se	
21 implications of these links for cancer prevention 21 if Fran I haven't talked t	
22 and treatment. We suggest that the inflammatory 22 review. I don't know if Fr	
23 cells and cytokines found in tumors are more 23 got it wrong or, more likel	
24 likely to contribute to tumor growth, 24 article. So you include ev	erything, even though

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

	Page 158		Page 160
1	she may not feel really strongly about that. So	1	them to say, okay, this has been studied
2	it's a little hard to tell.	2	epidemiologically and in other situations. So I
3	MS. THOMPSON:	3	think I think that's what you're grappling
4	Q But you would agree that both both	4	with. It's a review article. So these things
5	Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not include	5	show up.
6	something in a review article for which there was	6	Q Okay. So so there are two
7	no evidence?	7	possibilities
8	MS. CURRY:	8	A Uh-huh.
9	Object to the form.	9	Q it sounds like. Either Dr. Balkwill
10	A Again, it depends on how they're	10	got it wrong
11	proposing it; that there has been there has	11	A Uh-huh.
12	there have been reports associating PID, talc	12	Q or because this was a review
13	I don't know what tissue remodeling is, although	13	article, she was reporting evidence that was in
14	that is probably the most reasonable but PID	14	the literature that she felt that readers of this
15	and talc as associated with a risk for ovarian	15	article should be aware of.
16	cancer. That's a true statement. I don't and	16	A Correct. Don't tell her I said the
17	the reason we're here today is because I reviewed	17	former.
18	that literature and I don't believe the	18	MS. CURRY:
19	conclusion.	19	Object to the form of the question.
20	But you could put it into review.	20	MS. THOMPSON:
21	That's that's the nature of a review article.	21	Q Okay. I I I will do that for
22	We all put things in that we feel the reader	22	you, Dr. Birrer.
23	needs to see to get a full understanding of	23	A Uh-huh.
24	science, but we don't necessarily we're not	24	Q And and this paper is not recent,
	Page 159		Page 161
1	convinced.	1	you will agree?
2	MS. THOMPSON:	_	•
		2	A 2010?
3	Q Well, but but back to my question,	3	A 2010? Q 2001.
3 4	Q Well, but but back to my question, which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet		
		3	Q 2001.
4	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet	3 4	Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay.
4 5	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence.	3 4 5	Q 2001.A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay.Q Are you aware of anything that
4 5 6	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence. MS. CURRY:	3 4 5 6	 Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay. Q Are you aware of anything that Johnson & Johnson did in 2001 to address this
4 5 6 7	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence. MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	3 4 5 6 7	Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay. Q Are you aware of anything that Johnson & Johnson did in 2001 to address this idea of Dr. Balkwill and others, including
4 5 6 7 8	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't agree with that.	3 4 5 6 7 8	Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay. Q Are you aware of anything that Johnson & Johnson did in 2001 to address this idea of Dr. Balkwill and others, including Dr. Ness, that talc may be causing ovarian cancer
4 5 6 7 8 9	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't agree with that. MS. THOMPSON:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay. Q Are you aware of anything that Johnson & Johnson did in 2001 to address this idea of Dr. Balkwill and others, including Dr. Ness, that talc may be causing ovarian cancer through an inflammatory process?
4 5 6 7 8 9	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't agree with that. MS. THOMPSON: Q You think they would put something in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay. Q Are you aware of anything that Johnson & Johnson did in 2001 to address this idea of Dr. Balkwill and others, including Dr. Ness, that talc may be causing ovarian cancer through an inflammatory process? MS. CURRY:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't agree with that. MS. THOMPSON: Q You think they would put something in that they did not believe there was any evidence	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay. Q Are you aware of anything that Johnson & Johnson did in 2001 to address this idea of Dr. Balkwill and others, including Dr. Ness, that talc may be causing ovarian cancer through an inflammatory process? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't agree with that. MS. THOMPSON: Q You think they would put something in that they did not believe there was any evidence to support?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay. Q Are you aware of anything that Johnson & Johnson did in 2001 to address this idea of Dr. Balkwill and others, including Dr. Ness, that talc may be causing ovarian cancer through an inflammatory process? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A In 2000 in 2001?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't agree with that. MS. THOMPSON: Q You think they would put something in that they did not believe there was any evidence to support? MS. CURRY:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay. Q Are you aware of anything that Johnson & Johnson did in 2001 to address this idea of Dr. Balkwill and others, including Dr. Ness, that talc may be causing ovarian cancer through an inflammatory process? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A In 2000 in 2001? MS. THOMPSON:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't agree with that. MS. THOMPSON: Q You think they would put something in that they did not believe there was any evidence to support? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay. Q Are you aware of anything that Johnson & Johnson did in 2001 to address this idea of Dr. Balkwill and others, including Dr. Ness, that talc may be causing ovarian cancer through an inflammatory process? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A In 2000 in 2001? MS. THOMPSON: Q Right.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't agree with that. MS. THOMPSON: Q You think they would put something in that they did not believe there was any evidence to support? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, it depends on how you define	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay. Q Are you aware of anything that Johnson & Johnson did in 2001 to address this idea of Dr. Balkwill and others, including Dr. Ness, that talc may be causing ovarian cancer through an inflammatory process? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A In 2000 in 2001? MS. THOMPSON: Q Right. Did Johnson & Johnson respond to what
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't agree with that. MS. THOMPSON: Q You think they would put something in that they did not believe there was any evidence to support? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, it depends on how you define that. So when you say "no evidence," you mean no epidemiologic studies that have ever shown an association. We know that's not true. There	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay. Q Are you aware of anything that Johnson & Johnson did in 2001 to address this idea of Dr. Balkwill and others, including Dr. Ness, that talc may be causing ovarian cancer through an inflammatory process? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A In 2000 in 2001? MS. THOMPSON: Q Right. Did Johnson & Johnson respond to what at least is reported as being in the literature
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't agree with that. MS. THOMPSON: Q You think they would put something in that they did not believe there was any evidence to support? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, it depends on how you define that. So when you say "no evidence," you mean no epidemiologic studies that have ever shown an	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay. Q Are you aware of anything that Johnson & Johnson did in 2001 to address this idea of Dr. Balkwill and others, including Dr. Ness, that talc may be causing ovarian cancer through an inflammatory process? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A In 2000 in 2001? MS. THOMPSON: Q Right. Did Johnson & Johnson respond to what at least is reported as being in the literature in Lancet? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't agree with that. MS. THOMPSON: Q You think they would put something in that they did not believe there was any evidence to support? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, it depends on how you define that. So when you say "no evidence," you mean no epidemiologic studies that have ever shown an association. We know that's not true. There	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay. Q Are you aware of anything that Johnson & Johnson did in 2001 to address this idea of Dr. Balkwill and others, including Dr. Ness, that talc may be causing ovarian cancer through an inflammatory process? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A In 2000 in 2001? MS. THOMPSON: Q Right. Did Johnson & Johnson respond to what at least is reported as being in the literature in Lancet? MS. CURRY:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't agree with that. MS. THOMPSON: Q You think they would put something in that they did not believe there was any evidence to support? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, it depends on how you define that. So when you say "no evidence," you mean no epidemiologic studies that have ever shown an association. We know that's not true. There have been some. So there is some evidence. It's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay. Q Are you aware of anything that Johnson & Johnson did in 2001 to address this idea of Dr. Balkwill and others, including Dr. Ness, that talc may be causing ovarian cancer through an inflammatory process? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A In 2000 in 2001? MS. THOMPSON: Q Right. Did Johnson & Johnson respond to what at least is reported as being in the literature in Lancet? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't agree with that. MS. THOMPSON: Q You think they would put something in that they did not believe there was any evidence to support? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, it depends on how you define that. So when you say "no evidence," you mean no epidemiologic studies that have ever shown an association. We know that's not true. There have been some. So there is some evidence. It's the totality of the evidence that I don't	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay. Q Are you aware of anything that Johnson & Johnson did in 2001 to address this idea of Dr. Balkwill and others, including Dr. Ness, that talc may be causing ovarian cancer through an inflammatory process? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A In 2000 in 2001? MS. THOMPSON: Q Right. Did Johnson & Johnson respond to what at least is reported as being in the literature in Lancet? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not aware of that.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	which I think was Dr. Balkwill and The Lancet would not have put this in with no evidence. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I don't agree with that. MS. THOMPSON: Q You think they would put something in that they did not believe there was any evidence to support? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Again, it depends on how you define that. So when you say "no evidence," you mean no epidemiologic studies that have ever shown an association. We know that's not true. There have been some. So there is some evidence. It's the totality of the evidence that I don't believe.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q 2001. A 2001. Uh-huh. Yeah. Okay. Q Are you aware of anything that Johnson & Johnson did in 2001 to address this idea of Dr. Balkwill and others, including Dr. Ness, that talc may be causing ovarian cancer through an inflammatory process? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A In 2000 in 2001? MS. THOMPSON: Q Right. Did Johnson & Johnson respond to what at least is reported as being in the literature in Lancet? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not aware of that. MS. THOMPSON:

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

	Page 162		Page 164
1	MS. THOMPSON:	1	A Where are you now?
2	Q Oh, there it is.	2	Q I'm turning to page 2, 1604 in the
3	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 12	3	introduction section.
4	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	4	A Uh-huh.
5	MS. THOMPSON:	5	Q The second paragraph reads "Under a
6	Q Exhibit 12 is going to be another	6	sustained environmental stress, ROS R-O-S
7	article another review article by Dr. Reuter	7	are produced over a long time, and thus
8	and authors. Oh, we need to sorry. Make sure	8	significant damage may occur to cell structure
9	that's not my copy.	9	and functions and may induce somatic mutations
10	A This is mine?	10	and neoplastic transformation.
11	Q That's yours, yeah.	11	"Indeed, cancer initiation and
12	Are you familiar with the journal of	12	progression have been linked to oxidative stress
13	Free Radical Biology in Medicine?	13	by increasing DNA mutations or inducing DNA
14	A I am familiar. Not something I publish	14	damage, genome instability, and cell
15	in much.	15	proliferation."
16	Q And probably doesn't have quite the	16	Would you agree with that sentence in a
17	reputation of The Lancet or Cell?	17	general sense?
18	A I don't think so.	18	MS. CURRY:
19	Q But regardless, it's peer-reviewed.	19	Object to the form.
20	A Uh-huh.	20	A I'm just looking at the references.
21	Q Are you familiar with any of these	21	MS. THOMPSON:
22	authors?	22	Q And take a moment if you need to do
23	A Not firsthand. Aggarwal I may have	23	that.
24	heard about, but not, firsthand, no.	24	A Sure.
	Page 163		Page 165
1	Q And reading and the title of this	1	I think as a general statement, I
2			i tillik as a general statement. I
	review article is "Oxidative stress,	2	
3	review article is "Oxidative stress, inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?"		wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I
3 4	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?"	2	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah.
		2 3	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I
4	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right?	2 3 4	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry.
4 5	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last	2 3 4 5	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead.
4 5 6	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last couple of sentences starting with "How oxidative	2 3 4 5 6	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010;
4 5 6 7	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last	2 3 4 5 6 7	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010; correct?
4 5 6 7 8	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last couple of sentences starting with "How oxidative stress activates inflammatory pathways leading to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010; correct? A Correct.
4 5 6 7 8 9	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last couple of sentences starting with "How oxidative stress activates inflammatory pathways leading to a transformation of a normal cell to tumor cell,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010; correct? A Correct. Q And looking at Table 2, a partial list
4 5 6 7 8 9	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last couple of sentences starting with "How oxidative stress activates inflammatory pathways leading to a transformation of a normal cell to tumor cell, tumor cell survival, proliferation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010; correct? A Correct. Q And looking at Table 2, a partial list of cancers that have been linked to reactive
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last couple of sentences starting with "How oxidative stress activates inflammatory pathways leading to a transformation of a normal cell to tumor cell, tumor cell survival, proliferation, chemoresistance, radioresistance, invasion,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010; correct? A Correct. Q And looking at Table 2, a partial list of cancers that have been linked to reactive oxygen species, and under that list is ovarian
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last couple of sentences starting with "How oxidative stress activates inflammatory pathways leading to a transformation of a normal cell to tumor cell, tumor cell survival, proliferation, chemoresistance, radioresistance, invasion, angiogenesis, and stem cell survival is the focus	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010; correct? A Correct. Q And looking at Table 2, a partial list of cancers that have been linked to reactive oxygen species, and under that list is ovarian cancer.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last couple of sentences starting with "How oxidative stress activates inflammatory pathways leading to a transformation of a normal cell to tumor cell, tumor cell survival, proliferation, chemoresistance, radioresistance, invasion, angiogenesis, and stem cell survival is the focus of this review. Overall, observations to date	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010; correct? A Correct. Q And looking at Table 2, a partial list of cancers that have been linked to reactive oxygen species, and under that list is ovarian cancer. Would you agree that in 2010 ovarian
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last couple of sentences starting with "How oxidative stress activates inflammatory pathways leading to a transformation of a normal cell to tumor cell, tumor cell survival, proliferation, chemoresistance, radioresistance, invasion, angiogenesis, and stem cell survival is the focus of this review. Overall, observations to date suggest that oxidative stress, chronic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010; correct? A Correct. Q And looking at Table 2, a partial list of cancers that have been linked to reactive oxygen species, and under that list is ovarian cancer. Would you agree that in 2010 ovarian cancer had been linked to reactive oxygen
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last couple of sentences starting with "How oxidative stress activates inflammatory pathways leading to a transformation of a normal cell to tumor cell, tumor cell survival, proliferation, chemoresistance, radioresistance, invasion, angiogenesis, and stem cell survival is the focus of this review. Overall, observations to date suggest that oxidative stress, chronic inflammation, and cancer are closely linked."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010; correct? A Correct. Q And looking at Table 2, a partial list of cancers that have been linked to reactive oxygen species, and under that list is ovarian cancer. Would you agree that in 2010 ovarian cancer had been linked to reactive oxygen species?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last couple of sentences starting with "How oxidative stress activates inflammatory pathways leading to a transformation of a normal cell to tumor cell, tumor cell survival, proliferation, chemoresistance, radioresistance, invasion, angiogenesis, and stem cell survival is the focus of this review. Overall, observations to date suggest that oxidative stress, chronic inflammation, and cancer are closely linked." Would you agree with that statement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010; correct? A Correct. Q And looking at Table 2, a partial list of cancers that have been linked to reactive oxygen species, and under that list is ovarian cancer. Would you agree that in 2010 ovarian cancer had been linked to reactive oxygen species? MS. CURRY:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last couple of sentences starting with "How oxidative stress activates inflammatory pathways leading to a transformation of a normal cell to tumor cell, tumor cell survival, proliferation, chemoresistance, radioresistance, invasion, angiogenesis, and stem cell survival is the focus of this review. Overall, observations to date suggest that oxidative stress, chronic inflammation, and cancer are closely linked." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010; correct? A Correct. Q And looking at Table 2, a partial list of cancers that have been linked to reactive oxygen species, and under that list is ovarian cancer. Would you agree that in 2010 ovarian cancer had been linked to reactive oxygen species? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last couple of sentences starting with "How oxidative stress activates inflammatory pathways leading to a transformation of a normal cell to tumor cell, tumor cell survival, proliferation, chemoresistance, radioresistance, invasion, angiogenesis, and stem cell survival is the focus of this review. Overall, observations to date suggest that oxidative stress, chronic inflammation, and cancer are closely linked." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010; correct? A Correct. Q And looking at Table 2, a partial list of cancers that have been linked to reactive oxygen species, and under that list is ovarian cancer. Would you agree that in 2010 ovarian cancer had been linked to reactive oxygen species? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. This was a little more
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last couple of sentences starting with "How oxidative stress activates inflammatory pathways leading to a transformation of a normal cell to tumor cell, tumor cell survival, proliferation, chemoresistance, radioresistance, invasion, angiogenesis, and stem cell survival is the focus of this review. Overall, observations to date suggest that oxidative stress, chronic inflammation, and cancer are closely linked." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010; correct? A Correct. Q And looking at Table 2, a partial list of cancers that have been linked to reactive oxygen species, and under that list is ovarian cancer. Would you agree that in 2010 ovarian cancer had been linked to reactive oxygen species? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. This was a little more complicated in the sense I'm not sure why every
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last couple of sentences starting with "How oxidative stress activates inflammatory pathways leading to a transformation of a normal cell to tumor cell, tumor cell survival, proliferation, chemoresistance, radioresistance, invasion, angiogenesis, and stem cell survival is the focus of this review. Overall, observations to date suggest that oxidative stress, chronic inflammation, and cancer are closely linked." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yes. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010; correct? A Correct. Q And looking at Table 2, a partial list of cancers that have been linked to reactive oxygen species, and under that list is ovarian cancer. Would you agree that in 2010 ovarian cancer had been linked to reactive oxygen species? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. This was a little more complicated in the sense I'm not sure why every case was not listed because reactive oxygen
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last couple of sentences starting with "How oxidative stress activates inflammatory pathways leading to a transformation of a normal cell to tumor cell, tumor cell survival, proliferation, chemoresistance, radioresistance, invasion, angiogenesis, and stem cell survival is the focus of this review. Overall, observations to date suggest that oxidative stress, chronic inflammation, and cancer are closely linked." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yes. MS. THOMPSON: Q In a general sense, in a review	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010; correct? A Correct. Q And looking at Table 2, a partial list of cancers that have been linked to reactive oxygen species, and under that list is ovarian cancer. Would you agree that in 2010 ovarian cancer had been linked to reactive oxygen species? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. This was a little more complicated in the sense I'm not sure why every case was not listed because reactive oxygen species are present in essentially every cell in
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	inflammation, and cancer. How are they linked?" Right? A Correct. Q Reading in the abstract, the last couple of sentences starting with "How oxidative stress activates inflammatory pathways leading to a transformation of a normal cell to tumor cell, tumor cell survival, proliferation, chemoresistance, radioresistance, invasion, angiogenesis, and stem cell survival is the focus of this review. Overall, observations to date suggest that oxidative stress, chronic inflammation, and cancer are closely linked." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yes. MS. THOMPSON: Q In a general sense, in a review article?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wouldn't I would not disagree with that. I think that's yeah. Q Sorry. A Go ahead. Q And this article was published in 2010; correct? A Correct. Q And looking at Table 2, a partial list of cancers that have been linked to reactive oxygen species, and under that list is ovarian cancer. Would you agree that in 2010 ovarian cancer had been linked to reactive oxygen species? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. This was a little more complicated in the sense I'm not sure why every case was not listed because reactive oxygen species are present in essentially every cell in the body. So it's a it's an odd table in that

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	other cancers. And, then, too, what they reference is 51, which is a really odd reference. "Loss of Mkp3 mediated by oxidative stress enhances tumor genicity and chemoresistance of ovarian cancer	1 2 3	Object to the form. A Oza and Vergote are Vergote is a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	51, which is a really odd reference. "Loss of Mkp3 mediated by oxidative stress enhances tumor		•
4 5 6 7 8 9 9	Mkp3 mediated by oxidative stress enhances tumor	3	
5 6 7 8 9			surgeon and very much clinical. I don't think he
6 7 8 9	genicity and chemoresistance of ovarian cancer	4	does any work in the lab. Oza is developmental
6 7 8 9	genicity and elicinoresistance of ovarian cancer	5	therapeutics clinical. Charlie is the scientist
8 9	cells."	6	here.
9	Hardly a paper I mean, I'm	7	MS. THOMPSON:
9	extrapolating the title. Hardly a paper that	8	Q Okay. And I think
	would say that reactive oxygen species is	9	A Yeah.
	critical to the development of ovarian cancer.	10	Q at least with this review article,
	That's chemoresistance. That's that's at the	11	it was meant to address
12	end of natural history, so	12	A Everything.
	MS. THOMPSON:	13	Q all all aspects
14	Q But at least the authors in this	14	A Right.
15	peer-reviewed review article thought appropriate	15	Q from my reading of it.
	to list ovarian cancer under one of the cancers	16	A And I think Stephanie works for Amit, I
	that have been linked to reactive oxygen species;	17	think.
	right?	18	Q So these are well-regarded
	A It's there.	19	A Uh-huh.
20	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 13	20	Q scientists and experts in ovarian
21	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	21	cancer. You would agree?
22	MS. THOMPSON:	22	MS. CURRY:
	Q I'm marking as Exhibit 13 another	23	Object to the form.
	review article from Lancet. This one, a little	24	A Yes.
	Page 167		Page 169
	more current.	1	MS. THOMPSON:
2	Have you seen this article, Dr. Birrer?	2	Q And this is a review article, as we
	A I know the I know the authors, but I	3	said, just published in Lancet within March
	haven't actually	4	23rd, so within the last week.
	Q Oh. Did I give you a highlighted	5	Have you seen this article?
	A I I don't think so.	6	A This one?
	Q Okay.	7	Q Yes.
	A It would be helpful if it was	8	A No. Just the last week.
	highlighted.	9	Q Let's look in the first section,
	Q It would be helpful to me also.	10	Epidemiology and Risk Factors. And the last
11	That's okay.	11	sentence, "Risk factors for EOC include the
12	And, in fact, these I think three of	12	number of lifetime of ovulations (absence of
	the four authors you have published with. Does	13	pregnancy), early age of menarche and late age at
	that sound right?	14	menopause, family history of EOC, smoking, benign
15	A Ignace, Charlie, Amit, I know all of	15	gynecological conditions, including
	them. I don't know Stephanie.	16	endometriosis endometriosis, polycystic ovary
	Q I think that was the one that I did not	17	disease and pelvic inflammatory disease, and
18	see on on your CV as one of your coauthors.	18	potentially use of talcum powder."
19	And this review article and you	19	Would you agree that at least the
	would assume that well, we don't have to	20	authors thought that the use of talcum powder is
	assume are Dr. Gourley, Dr. Vergote and	21	potentially a risk factor for EOC?
	Dr. Oza considered experts in the field of	22	MS. CURRY:
	epithelial ovarian cancer?	23	Object to the form.
24	MS. CURRY:	24	A And, again, this is a review. So I

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

	Page 170		Page 172
1	think they're trying to be inclusive. And I	1	Q So the authors, if they were reporting
2	don't actually know that any of them believe	2	on the potential risk of talcum powder use in
3	that.	3	ovarian cancer chose to cite Penninkilampi as a
4	MS. THOMPSON:	4	source as the source for that information;
5	Q So would would they would they	5	correct?
6	have would it be the two options again, either	6	A They reference it.
7	they're wrong	7	Q And you would assume they would choose
8	A (Nods affirmatively.)	8	the most authoritative article that was available
9	Q or that they're just reporting on	9	in the literature?
10	what the literature states?	10	MS. CURRY:
11	A (Nods affirmatively.)	11	Object to the form.
12	MS. CURRY:	12	MS. THOMPSON:
13	Object to the form.	13	Q Wouldn't you?
14	A Yeah. I think it extends beyond	14	A I would not assume that.
15	talcum, too, to be honest with you. I don't I	15	Q You would assume they'd pick something
16	don't consider smoking to be a strong risk for	16	that wasn't as authoritative? There's something
17	ovarian cancer. And PID, I don't either.	17	else they could have picked?
18	So and I don't know of many of my	18	MS. CURRY:
19	I mean, we don't we don't want our patients	19	Object to the form.
20	smoking. But I don't know of many of the	20	A They may have they may have picked
21	gynecologic oncologists I work with who that's	21	that because it was one of the more recent
22	on their that's on their risk list.	22	meta-analyses, and so it was convenient. And
23	MS. THOMPSON:	23	it's flawed. We can go over if you'd like.
24	Q Even for mucinous?	24	MS. THOMPSON:
	Page 171		Page 173
1	A Well, now you're gonna get complicated	1	Q Well, I'm just saying these authors
2	on me because, you know, there are people that	2	picked that to to support the statement in
3	don't think there are mucinous tumors of the	3	their review article in The Lancet that the use
4	ovary. Bob Kirkman is one of them, and that is	4	of talcum powder is potentially a risk factor for
5	all GI.	5	ovarian cancer.
6	So I think I don't think it's all	6	A Well, I would agree that they picked
7	that relevant because it's such a rare tumor.	7	that reference. I disagree that that's because
8	Q And the citation for the reference	8	they thought it was the most authoritative
9	that	9	article. It is one of the more recent, and, so,
10	A 8?	10	therefore, a lot of the other papers would be
11	Q a risk factor potentially would	11	included in it. So it's a convenient place to
12	could be the use of talcum powder is the	12	steer a reader.
13	Penninkilampi meta-analysis; right?	13	Q Do you think they'd pick it if they
	A That's referenced in 8, yes.	14 15	thought it was flawed?
14	0 0 41 4 4 4 4	1 15	MS. CURRY:
15	Q So at least the authors, the reviewers,		Object to the Comm
15 16	the editors of the journal felt that the most	16	Object to the form.
15 16 17	the editors of the journal felt that the most authoritative source would be that Penninkilampi	16 17	A Probably if if it was seriously
15 16 17 18	the editors of the journal felt that the most authoritative source would be that Penninkilampi meta-analysis. Would you agree?	16 17 18	A Probably if if it was seriously flawed, I don't think they would have picked it.
15 16 17 18 19	the editors of the journal felt that the most authoritative source would be that Penninkilampi meta-analysis. Would you agree? MS. CURRY:	16 17 18 19	A Probably if if it was seriously flawed, I don't think they would have picked it. Yeah.
15 16 17 18 19 20	the editors of the journal felt that the most authoritative source would be that Penninkilampi meta-analysis. Would you agree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	16 17 18 19 20	A Probably if if it was seriously flawed, I don't think they would have picked it. Yeah. MS. THOMPSON:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the editors of the journal felt that the most authoritative source would be that Penninkilampi meta-analysis. Would you agree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Say that again. I'm sorry.	16 17 18 19 20 21	A Probably if if it was seriously flawed, I don't think they would have picked it. Yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And would you agree, also, that the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the editors of the journal felt that the most authoritative source would be that Penninkilampi meta-analysis. Would you agree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Say that again. I'm sorry. MS. THOMPSON:	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Probably if if it was seriously flawed, I don't think they would have picked it. Yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And would you agree, also, that the reviewers would not have included an article that
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the editors of the journal felt that the most authoritative source would be that Penninkilampi meta-analysis. Would you agree? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Say that again. I'm sorry.	16 17 18 19 20 21	A Probably if if it was seriously flawed, I don't think they would have picked it. Yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And would you agree, also, that the

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

	Page 174		Page 176
1	Object to the form.	1	lunch?
2	A Again, it's a little bit having been	2	MS. CURRY:
3	involved in these processes, to be perfectly	3	We actually did order in lunch. I'm
4	frank, you get a review article with a review of	4	not sure if we if you want to take a quick
5	147 references, you're not gonna go through them	5	break, I can check on the estimated time of
6	all. So I don't know I can say with any	6	arrival.
7	authority that the reviewers looked at this and	7	MS. THOMPSON:
8	said, gee, they picked the one talc paper that is	8	Sure. Or we can just keep going until
9	really spectacular.	9	we get word. Whatever
10	MS. THOMPSON:	10	A Or we could just finish.
11	Q Okay. So there were but there	11	MR. MIZGALA:
12	there were no	12	I second that.
13	A The review, and and it's true for	13	MS. GARBER:
14	the editor too.	14	You guys keep going. I'll check.
15	Q Okay. So at least there were no red	15	MS. THOMPSON:
16	flags in front of the reviewers and the editor	16	Are you telling me you're not having
17	when they saw the Penninkilampi article cited for	17	fun? I think he liked the test.
18	that reference?	18	THE WITNESS:
19	MS. CURRY:	19	Yeah. It would have been nice to have
20	Object to the form.	20	the little box the little circles you could
21	A I	21	fill in. You know.
		22	MS. THOMPSON:
22	MS. THOMPSON:	23	
23	Q That would cause them to	24	And then I could just put it in the
24	A I don't know they noticed it.	24	computer.
	Page 175		Page 177
1	Q Okay. But the editors selected that	1	THE WITNESS:
2	article; correct?	2	No mumbling? Sorry.
3	MS. CURRY:	۱ ،	MS. CURRY:
		3	MS. CURRY:
4	Object to the form.	4	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told,
4 5	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:		
	· ·	4	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told,
5	MS. THOMPSON:	4 5	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're
5 6	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The	4 5 6	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point.
5 6 7	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The	4 5 6 7	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point. MS. THOMPSON:
5 6 7 8	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The Q The authors. A The authors selected it.	4 5 6 7 8	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Birrer, do you want to take a break
5 6 7 8 9	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The Q The authors. A The authors selected it.	4 5 6 7 8 9	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Birrer, do you want to take a break for lunch or do you want to go another 15 or 20
5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The Q The authors. A The authors selected it. Q Sorry. A Not not the editors. Correct.	4 5 6 7 8 9	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Birrer, do you want to take a break for lunch or do you want to go another 15 or 20 minutes? THE WITNESS:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The Q The authors. A The authors selected it. Q Sorry. A Not not the editors. Correct. Q Thank you. I meant to say authors.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Birrer, do you want to take a break for lunch or do you want to go another 15 or 20 minutes?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The Q The authors. A The authors selected it. Q Sorry. A Not not the editors. Correct. Q Thank you. I meant to say authors. A And, again, I would just emphasize it	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Birrer, do you want to take a break for lunch or do you want to go another 15 or 20 minutes? THE WITNESS: Going would be fine. MS. THOMPSON:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The Q The authors. A The authors selected it. Q Sorry. A Not not the editors. Correct. Q Thank you. I meant to say authors. A And, again, I would just emphasize it says "potentially use of talcum powder."	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Birrer, do you want to take a break for lunch or do you want to go another 15 or 20 minutes? THE WITNESS: Going would be fine.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The Q The authors. A The authors selected it. Q Sorry. A Not not the editors. Correct. Q Thank you. I meant to say authors. A And, again, I would just emphasize it says "potentially use of talcum powder." Q That's right.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Birrer, do you want to take a break for lunch or do you want to go another 15 or 20 minutes? THE WITNESS: Going would be fine. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A Yeah.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The Q The authors. A The authors selected it. Q Sorry. A Not not the editors. Correct. Q Thank you. I meant to say authors. A And, again, I would just emphasize it says "potentially use of talcum powder." Q That's right. A Okay.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Birrer, do you want to take a break for lunch or do you want to go another 15 or 20 minutes? THE WITNESS: Going would be fine. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The Q The authors. A The authors selected it. Q Sorry. A Not not the editors. Correct. Q Thank you. I meant to say authors. A And, again, I would just emphasize it says "potentially use of talcum powder." Q That's right. A Okay. Q And at least in this statement, the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Birrer, do you want to take a break for lunch or do you want to go another 15 or 20 minutes? THE WITNESS: Going would be fine. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Let's let's look at the IARC 93, the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The Q The authors. A The authors selected it. Q Sorry. A Not not the editors. Correct. Q Thank you. I meant to say authors. A And, again, I would just emphasize it says "potentially use of talcum powder." Q That's right. A Okay. Q And at least in this statement, the reference to talcum powder as potentially a risk	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Birrer, do you want to take a break for lunch or do you want to go another 15 or 20 minutes? THE WITNESS: Going would be fine. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Let's let's look at the IARC 93, the one that A Uh-huh.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The Q The authors. A The authors selected it. Q Sorry. A Not not the editors. Correct. Q Thank you. I meant to say authors. A And, again, I would just emphasize it says "potentially use of talcum powder." Q That's right. A Okay. Q And at least in this statement, the reference to talcum powder as potentially a risk factor did not separate out the subtypes. It's	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Birrer, do you want to take a break for lunch or do you want to go another 15 or 20 minutes? THE WITNESS: Going would be fine. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Let's let's look at the IARC 93, the one that A Uh-huh. Q addresses the nonasbestiform talc.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The Q The authors. A The authors selected it. Q Sorry. A Not not the editors. Correct. Q Thank you. I meant to say authors. A And, again, I would just emphasize it says "potentially use of talcum powder." Q That's right. A Okay. Q And at least in this statement, the reference to talcum powder as potentially a risk factor did not separate out the subtypes. It's referring to EOC; correct?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Birrer, do you want to take a break for lunch or do you want to go another 15 or 20 minutes? THE WITNESS: Going would be fine. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Let's let's look at the IARC 93, the one that A Uh-huh. Q addresses the nonasbestiform talc. And turning to page 277 in the exposure data
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The Q The authors. A The authors selected it. Q Sorry. A Not not the editors. Correct. Q Thank you. I meant to say authors. A And, again, I would just emphasize it says "potentially use of talcum powder." Q That's right. A Okay. Q And at least in this statement, the reference to talcum powder as potentially a risk factor did not separate out the subtypes. It's referring to EOC; correct? A I that's the way I would read it,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Birrer, do you want to take a break for lunch or do you want to go another 15 or 20 minutes? THE WITNESS: Going would be fine. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Let's let's look at the IARC 93, the one that A Uh-huh. Q addresses the nonasbestiform talc. And turning to page 277 in the exposure data introduction
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The Q The authors. A The authors selected it. Q Sorry. A Not not the editors. Correct. Q Thank you. I meant to say authors. A And, again, I would just emphasize it says "potentially use of talcum powder." Q That's right. A Okay. Q And at least in this statement, the reference to talcum powder as potentially a risk factor did not separate out the subtypes. It's referring to EOC; correct? A I that's the way I would read it, right.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Birrer, do you want to take a break for lunch or do you want to go another 15 or 20 minutes? THE WITNESS: Going would be fine. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Let's let's look at the IARC 93, the one that A Uh-huh. Q addresses the nonasbestiform talc. And turning to page 277 in the exposure data introduction A Uh-huh. Do you want to use mine?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Q For whatever reason? A The Q The authors. A The authors selected it. Q Sorry. A Not not the editors. Correct. Q Thank you. I meant to say authors. A And, again, I would just emphasize it says "potentially use of talcum powder." Q That's right. A Okay. Q And at least in this statement, the reference to talcum powder as potentially a risk factor did not separate out the subtypes. It's referring to EOC; correct? A I that's the way I would read it,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Okay. So the lunch, I was just told, is actually here. So it's up to you when you're in a good breaking point. MS. THOMPSON: Dr. Birrer, do you want to take a break for lunch or do you want to go another 15 or 20 minutes? THE WITNESS: Going would be fine. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A Yeah. Q Let's let's look at the IARC 93, the one that A Uh-huh. Q addresses the nonasbestiform talc. And turning to page 277 in the exposure data introduction

45 (Pages 174 to 177)

	Page 178		Page 180
1	nonasbestiform talc?	1	was well, that there was limited evidence in
2	MS. CURRY:	2	humans for the carcinogenicity in peroneal use of
3	Object to the form.	3	talcum powder body product. Is that what IARC
4	MS. THOMPSON:	4	concluded?
5	Q Oh, there it is. And let's just read	5	A That's in 6.1, the second one. Yes.
6	along in that third paragraph.	6	Q Right.
7	A Okay.	7	And there is limited evidence in
8	Q "Asbestiform talc fibers are very long	8	experimental animals; right?
9	and thin and occur in parallel bundles that are	9	A 6.2. Yes.
10	easily separated from one another by hand	10	Q And in the rationale, the authors
11	pressure." And asbestos no. Just strike	11	state, third paragraph, "For peroneal use of
12	that.	12	talcum-based body power, many case-control
13	You're you're not an expert in the	13	studies of ovarian cancer found a modest but an
14	different types of asbestos or talc in its	14	unusually consistent excessive risk, although the
15	different	15	impact of bias and potential confounding could
16	A I'm learning	16	not be ruled out."
17	Q Are you?	17	Is is that your understanding of the
18	A I'm learning a lot.	18	conclusions?
19	Q I well, I don't want to ask those	19	A That's what they concluded.
20	questions to you later because then you'll be an	20	Q And
21	expert.	21	A We're done with IARC?
22	Let's let's go to the conclusions of	22	Q We're done with IARC.
23	IARC. We've already established that IARC used a	23	And you also looked at the Health
24	pretty extensive methodology in reaching their	24	Canada Assessment; right?
	Page 179		Page 181
1	conclusions; right?	1	A Yes.
2	MS. CURRY:	2	Q And we agreed that the methodology that
3	Object to the form.	3	Health Canada applied for for their
4	A Yes.	4	determination was also extensive; right?
5	MS. THOMPSON:	5	MS. CURRY:
6	Q And in your in your opinion, IARC	6	Object to the form.
7	got got it wrong; right?	7	A They were systematic and thorough. I
8	MS. CURRY:	8	think it was pretty complicated, yeah.
9	Object to the form.	9	MS. THOMPSON:
10	A I think the net and I let me just	10	Q And what's your understanding of the
11	summarize. I agree that they did a thorough sort	11	conclusions reached by the Health Canada?
12	of process here. In the end, what they	12	MS. CURRY:
13	concluded, I think, was was wrong. If I	13	Object to the form.
			A CONTRACTOR OF
14	recall correctly, it's 2B.	14	MS. THOMPSON:
14 15	MS. THOMPSON:	15	Q Scientists.
14 15 16	MS. THOMPSON: Q That's right.	15 16	Q Scientists.A Well, they concluded that there was a
14 15 16 17	MS. THOMPSON: Q That's right. A Was the classification.	15 16 17	Q Scientists.A Well, they concluded that there was a low risk of harm to the environment from talc.
14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMPSON: Q That's right. A Was the classification. Q But 2B does not mean that it's not	15 16 17 18	Q Scientists.A Well, they concluded that there was a low risk of harm to the environment from talc.Q Is that what you came away with?
14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. THOMPSON: Q That's right. A Was the classification. Q But 2B does not mean that it's not carcinogenic, does it?	15 16 17 18 19	 Q Scientists. A Well, they concluded that there was a low risk of harm to the environment from talc. Q Is that what you came away with? A Well, it was in the third paragraph.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. THOMPSON: Q That's right. A Was the classification. Q But 2B does not mean that it's not carcinogenic, does it? A Means it's possible carcinogenic. I	15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q Scientists. A Well, they concluded that there was a low risk of harm to the environment from talc. Q Is that what you came away with? A Well, it was in the third paragraph. So it was important to note that. But they did
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Q That's right. A Was the classification. Q But 2B does not mean that it's not carcinogenic, does it? A Means it's possible carcinogenic. I think that's by definition.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Scientists. A Well, they concluded that there was a low risk of harm to the environment from talc. Q Is that what you came away with? A Well, it was in the third paragraph. So it was important to note that. But they did conclude that talc meets one of the criteria.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Q That's right. A Was the classification. Q But 2B does not mean that it's not carcinogenic, does it? A Means it's possible carcinogenic. I think that's by definition. Q Right.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Scientists. A Well, they concluded that there was a low risk of harm to the environment from talc. Q Is that what you came away with? A Well, it was in the third paragraph. So it was important to note that. But they did conclude that talc meets one of the criteria. That was Section 64. And so they concluded that
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. THOMPSON: Q That's right. A Was the classification. Q But 2B does not mean that it's not carcinogenic, does it? A Means it's possible carcinogenic. I think that's by definition. Q Right. And and in this situation, the	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Scientists. A Well, they concluded that there was a low risk of harm to the environment from talc. Q Is that what you came away with? A Well, it was in the third paragraph. So it was important to note that. But they did conclude that talc meets one of the criteria. That was Section 64. And so they concluded that it potentially presented a health risk to
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Q That's right. A Was the classification. Q But 2B does not mean that it's not carcinogenic, does it? A Means it's possible carcinogenic. I think that's by definition. Q Right.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Scientists. A Well, they concluded that there was a low risk of harm to the environment from talc. Q Is that what you came away with? A Well, it was in the third paragraph. So it was important to note that. But they did conclude that talc meets one of the criteria. That was Section 64. And so they concluded that

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

	Page 182	Page 184
1	Q And do you think it was just to	1 Q executive summary.
2	Canadians?	2 A Yeah. Uh-huh.
3	A Well, that's the way they quoted it.	3 Q "Given that there is potential for
4	Q And	4 peroneal exposure to talc from the use of various
5	A In fact, the statement is "may	5 self-care products, for example, body powder,
6	constitute a danger in Canada to health"	6 baby powder, diaper and rash creams, gentle
7	"human health" "human life or health."	7 antiperspirants and deodorants, body wipes, bath
8	Q And they also made the well, let's	8 bombs, a potential concern for human health has
9	read beginning on page little little 3, i	9 been identified."
10	iii?	10 Correct?
11	A I'm sorry. Where are you?	11 A I agree with that.
12	Q Little little roman numeral 3.	12 Q And is it your opinion that Health
13	A Three? Yeah.	Canada got it wrong also?
14	Q Is your understanding that the that	14 MS. CURRY:
15	Health Canada found that the available data were	15 Object to the form.
16	indicative of a causal effect?	16 A So it's interesting. When I reviewed
17	A Where are you reading?	this was again, this is a very recent looks
18	Q I was just asking you what your	18 like December 2018 decision by Health Canada
19	understanding was.	19 based upon a huge body of literature, which I had
20	MS. CURRY:	reviewed and come to a different conclusion.
21	Object to the form.	So there really was not very much new
22	A I'm not sure that they actually found	data to draw this conclusion. So, you know,
23	causal effects.	again, I think very much like IARC, I think they
24	MS. THOMPSON:	24 got it wrong.
	Page 183	Page 18
1	Q Okay. Well, let's let's read	1 MS. THOMPSON:
	2 01111/1 11010 1010 1010	11151 11151111 5 5 1 11
2	beginning the paragraph with "The	2 O And you don't think that this is a
2 3	beginning the paragraph with "The meta-analyses."	2 Q And you don't think that this is a 3 situation where scientists can look at the same
3	meta-analyses."	3 situation where scientists can look at the same
3 4	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah.	3 situation where scientists can look at the same4 data and and make different conclusions?
3 4 5	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available	 3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No.
3 4 5 6	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature"	 3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No. 6 MS. CURRY:
3 4 5 6 7	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep.	 situation where scientists can look at the same data and and make different conclusions? A No. MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
3 4 5 6	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep. Q "indicate a statistically	 situation where scientists can look at the same data and and make different conclusions? A No. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:
3 4 5 6 7 8	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep. Q "indicate a statistically significant positive association between perineal	 3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Do you have any reason to believe that
3 4 5 6 7 8	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep. Q "indicate a statistically	 3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Do you have any reason to believe that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep. Q "indicate a statistically significant positive association between perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer. Further,	3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Do you have any reason to believe that 10 the scientists who worked on this project were
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep. Q "indicate a statistically significant positive association between perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer. Further, available data are indicative of a causal	3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Do you have any reason to believe that 10 the scientists who worked on this project were 11 unreasonable?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep. Q "indicate a statistically significant positive association between perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer. Further, available data are indicative of a causal effect." A Uh-huh.	3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Do you have any reason to believe that 10 the scientists who worked on this project were 11 unreasonable? 12 MS. CURRY: 13 Object to the form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep. Q "indicate a statistically significant positive association between perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer. Further, available data are indicative of a causal effect."	3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Do you have any reason to believe that 10 the scientists who worked on this project were 11 unreasonable? 12 MS. CURRY: 13 Object to the form. 14 A Other than the fact they drew the wrong
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep. Q "indicate a statistically significant positive association between perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer. Further, available data are indicative of a causal effect." A Uh-huh. Q So they did	3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Do you have any reason to believe that 10 the scientists who worked on this project were 11 unreasonable? 12 MS. CURRY: 13 Object to the form. 14 A Other than the fact they drew the wrong
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep. Q "indicate a statistically significant positive association between perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer. Further, available data are indicative of a causal effect." A Uh-huh. Q So they did A (Nods affirmatively.)	3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Do you have any reason to believe that 10 the scientists who worked on this project were 11 unreasonable? 12 MS. CURRY: 13 Object to the form. 14 A Other than the fact they drew the wrong 15 conclusion here, I know nothing else about them
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep. Q "indicate a statistically significant positive association between perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer. Further, available data are indicative of a causal effect." A Uh-huh. Q So they did A (Nods affirmatively.) Q determine that it was indicative of	3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Do you have any reason to believe that 10 the scientists who worked on this project were 11 unreasonable? 12 MS. CURRY: 13 Object to the form. 14 A Other than the fact they drew the wrong 15 conclusion here, I know nothing else about them 16 so
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep. Q "indicate a statistically significant positive association between perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer. Further, available data are indicative of a causal effect." A Uh-huh. Q So they did A (Nods affirmatively.) Q determine that it was indicative of a causal effect; right?	3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Do you have any reason to believe that 10 the scientists who worked on this project were 11 unreasonable? 12 MS. CURRY: 13 Object to the form. 14 A Other than the fact they drew the wrong 15 conclusion here, I know nothing else about them 16 so 17 MS. THOMPSON:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep. Q "indicate a statistically significant positive association between perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer. Further, available data are indicative of a causal effect." A Uh-huh. Q So they did A (Nods affirmatively.) Q determine that it was indicative of a causal effect; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Do you have any reason to believe that 10 the scientists who worked on this project were 11 unreasonable? 12 MS. CURRY: 13 Object to the form. 14 A Other than the fact they drew the wrong 15 conclusion here, I know nothing else about them 16 so 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 Q You don't have any reason to believe
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep. Q "indicate a statistically significant positive association between perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer. Further, available data are indicative of a causal effect." A Uh-huh. Q So they did A (Nods affirmatively.) Q determine that it was indicative of a causal effect; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Do you have any reason to believe that 10 the scientists who worked on this project were 11 unreasonable? 12 MS. CURRY: 13 Object to the form. 14 A Other than the fact they drew the wrong 15 conclusion here, I know nothing else about them 16 so 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 Q You don't have any reason to believe 19 they were incompetent?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep. Q "indicate a statistically significant positive association between perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer. Further, available data are indicative of a causal effect." A Uh-huh. Q So they did A (Nods affirmatively.) Q determine that it was indicative of a causal effect; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That's what they said, yes. It's not	3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Do you have any reason to believe that 10 the scientists who worked on this project were 11 unreasonable? 12 MS. CURRY: 13 Object to the form. 14 A Other than the fact they drew the wrong 15 conclusion here, I know nothing else about them 16 so 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 Q You don't have any reason to believe 19 they were incompetent? 20 MS. CURRY:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep. Q "indicate a statistically significant positive association between perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer. Further, available data are indicative of a causal effect." A Uh-huh. Q So they did A (Nods affirmatively.) Q determine that it was indicative of a causal effect; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That's what they said, yes. It's not referenced, but	3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Do you have any reason to believe that 10 the scientists who worked on this project were 11 unreasonable? 12 MS. CURRY: 13 Object to the form. 14 A Other than the fact they drew the wrong 15 conclusion here, I know nothing else about them 16 so 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 Q You don't have any reason to believe 19 they were incompetent? 20 MS. CURRY: 21 Object to the form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	meta-analyses." A Where are you? Oh, the yeah. Q "The meta-analyses of the available human studies in the peer-reviewed literature" A Yep. Q "indicate a statistically significant positive association between perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer. Further, available data are indicative of a causal effect." A Uh-huh. Q So they did A (Nods affirmatively.) Q determine that it was indicative of a causal effect; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That's what they said, yes. It's not referenced, but MS. THOMPSON:	3 situation where scientists can look at the same 4 data and and make different conclusions? 5 A No. 6 MS. CURRY: 7 Object to the form. 8 MS. THOMPSON: 9 Q Do you have any reason to believe that 10 the scientists who worked on this project were 11 unreasonable? 12 MS. CURRY: 13 Object to the form. 14 A Other than the fact they drew the wrong 15 conclusion here, I know nothing else about them 16 so 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 Q You don't have any reason to believe 19 they were incompetent? 20 MS. CURRY: 21 Object to the form. 22 A No.

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

1	Page 186		Page 188
	they weren't good scientists?	1	A In terms of peer review, scientific
2	MS. CURRY:	2	peer review?
3	Object to the form.	3	Q Correct.
4	A I don't really have a lot of knowledge	4	A I can't say that definitively.
5	of them. If I could actually find the list of	5	Q If you'll look at the and the copy
6	individuals who made this decision I don't	6	that I'm looking at doesn't have page numbers, so
7	think it's published.	7	that's why it's I'm
8	MS. THOMPSON:	8	A Roughly.
9	Q And did you this was done under the	9	 Q making it difficult.
10	auspices, I believe, of the Minister of Health.	10	But if you look at the big bold
11	A Uh-huh.	11	introduction that comes right after the synopsis,
12	Q You don't know the Minister of Health	12	it should be about the it may be the little
13	in Canada, do you?	13	numbers.
14	A I don't.	14	A Introduction?
15	Q Or know that he would or she would	15	Q Yeah.
16	not be competent?	16	And the very bottom of that page, I'm
17	MS. CURRY:	17	reading "The human health portion of this
18	Object to the form.	18	assessment has undergone external peer review
19	A I have no direct evidence for that.	19	and/or consultation?"
20	MS. THOMPSON:	20	Doesn't does the assessment, at
21	Q Do you take any issue with the weight	21	least, state that it underwent peer review and
22	of the evidence methodology that Health Canada	22	consultation?
23	applied?	23	A It states that. I don't quite I
24	A No.	24	don't honestly know what that means.
	Page 187		Page 189
1	Q Only that they came up with the wrong	1	Q Okay.
2	conclusion; right?	2	A And the public comment period, of
3	A Correct.	3	course, is just a governmental response.
4	Q And this assessment, like IARC, was	4	Q Do you know if Johnson & Johnson has
5	based on talc cosmetic-grade talc and not on	5	submitted comments to Health Canada?
6	potential impurities such as asbestos. Is that	6	MS. CURRY:
7	also your understanding?	7	Object to the form.
8	MS. CURRY:	8	A Not that I know of.
9	Object to the form.	9	MS. THOMPSON:
10	A That is my understanding. So, you	10	Q Have you submitted comments to Health
11	know, again, it's it's the same epi data. The	11	Canada
12	epi data is focused on talcum powder. So that	12	A No.
13	that applies here, too.	13	Q with your opinions?
14	MS. THOMPSON:	14	A No.
15	Q And is it your understanding that the	15	Q Do you intend to submit any opinions to
16	human health portion of the Health Canada	16	Health Canada?
17	assessment went through a peer-review process?	17	A I doubt it.
18	MS. CURRY:	18	Q You are are you aware that talc used
19	Object to the form.	19	as a dry powder lubricant on condoms was
	MS. THOMPSON:	20	substituted with cornstarch in the 1990s?
20	Q With external reviewers.	21	A I believe I am familiar with that.
20 21	-		
21 22	A I didn't see that described.	22	Q Do you know why?
21	A I didn't see that described. Q So you don't know one way or the other whether it went through a review process?	22 23 24	Q Do you know why?A No.Q Do you know that dusting diaphragms,

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 50 of 165 PageID: 82837 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 190		Page 192
1	the practice of dusting diaphragms with talcum	1	Q Are you aware of the differences
2	powder was abandoned approximately the same time?	2	between cornstarch and talc?
3	MS. CURRY:	3	MS. CURRY:
4	Object to the form.	4	Object to the form.
5	A Yes.	5	A In terms of biochemical and physical
6	MS. THOMPSON:	6	differences?
7	Q Do you know why?	7	MS. THOMPSON:
8	A No.	8	Q Sure. Let's start there.
9	Q Was it for concerns about inflammatory	9	A Yeah. I don't think I can list them
10	and cancer effects?	10	all. But certainly cornstarch is a biologic
11	MS. CURRY:	11	agent, it's a carbohydrate, and talc is a
12	Object to the form.	12	mineral.
13	A Could have been. I don't can't	13	We've already talked a little bit about
14	quote that.	14	the size of particles in talcum powder and it's
15	MS. THOMPSON:	15	exceedingly variable. So it's a little hard to
16	Q Were you aware that FDA banned has	16	compare those two particles. But I would think
17	banned powder examination and surgical gloves?	17	that starch would be more homogeneous and of a
18	A Yes.	18	different size.
19	Q Do you know why?	19	And then, you know, biochemical
20	A That was based upon the concern about	20	differences are substantial. I mean, this is a
21	the generation of fibrosis.	21	carbohydrate, which can be broken down by certain
22	Q And other inflammatory processes in	22	enzymes, has, you know, a firm structure to it.
23	the in the peritoneal cavity?	23	Tale, as a mineral, forms suspensions.
24	MS. CURRY:	24	It is not soluble. Starch is more soluble. So
	Page 191		Page 193
1	Object to the form.	1	there's differences.
2	A I would define I would define that	2	Q So, in general terms, cornstarch would
3	as fibrosis, if not inflammatory.	3	typically be absorbed or metabolized by the body?
4	MS. THOMPSON:	4	MS. CURRY:
5	Q Do you consider granulomas an	5	Object to the form.
6	inflammatory response?	6	MS. THOMPSON:
7	A It's in the characterization of chronic	7	Q Would you agree?
8	inflammation, yes.	8	A Absorbed or there's it would
9	Q Are adhesions an inflammatory response?	9	certainly be more likely, I think, than a
10	A Not necessarily.	10	mineral, yeah.
11	Q And they would be an acute response	11	Q Whereas the mineral, once it's there,
12	if if they were caused by an inflammatory	12	is expected to remain there; correct?
13	reaction?	13	MS. CURRY:
14	MS. CURRY:	14	Object to the form.
15	Object to the form.	15	A It's a little hard to tell because then
	A So adhesions are, you know, essentially	16	there are other mechanisms remove particulate
16		17	matters; right? So macrophages come along and
16 17	scar tissue and fibrosis. The etiology of it is		they phagocytize them. That macrophage then may
	pretty broad. Some of it could be chronic	18	
17		18 19	travel somewhere else and then essentially
17 18	pretty broad. Some of it could be chronic		
17 18 19	pretty broad. Some of it could be chronic inflammation. Some of it could be acute	19	travel somewhere else and then essentially
17 18 19 20	pretty broad. Some of it could be chronic inflammation. Some of it could be acute inflammation. And I would not even rule out the	19 20	travel somewhere else and then essentially deposit it in a way that the mineral the
17 18 19 20 21	pretty broad. Some of it could be chronic inflammation. Some of it could be acute inflammation. And I would not even rule out the possibility that general wound healing would give	19 20 21	travel somewhere else and then essentially deposit it in a way that the mineral the mineral particle could be removed. So so it's

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

	Page 194		Page 196
1	distant organs?	1	know that.
2	A So there is some data, I believe, in	2	Q So you know you we know that
3	animal studies that high concentrations of talc,	3	asbestos fibers can reach the peritoneal cavity;
4	either in the pleural cavity or in intratracheal	4	correct?
5	injections can end up in what	5	A Yes.
6	And I think I put them in the expert	6	Q And and let me just understand
7	report; for instance, the spleen.	7	you what you're opining today is that we just
8	Q And ovaries? Can they occur in the	8	don't know how they get there?
9	ovaries?	9	MS. CURRY:
10	A So if you look at the literature you	10	Object to the form.
11	know, and I went through in pretty big detail	11	A I don't know. So so I think one of
12	nobody's looked. So there's no reproductive	12	the hypotheses that after asbestos again,
13	organs in any of those studies. At least the	13	I'm not I wasn't asked to explore asbestos in
14	ones that I have looked at. So I don't think we	14	great detail. This is more my medical training
15	know, and I don't think we could assume that.	15	speaking.
16	Q Can talc fibers enter the peritoneal	16	But as people inhaled asbestos, these
17	cavity?	17	particles would work their way out into the
18	MS. CURRY:	18	pleural cavity
19	Object to the form.	19	MS. THOMPSON:
20	A Again, we're back to this mineral	20	Q So
21	structure, and I'm not going to be able to	21	A which is where they would do their
22	comment on that.	22	badness. And then, there is a hypothesis
23	MS. THOMPSON:	23	connection between the pleural cavity and the
24	Q And how about asbestos fibers?	24	peritoneal cavity.
21	7 And now about assessos fisers.		perioneal cavity.
	Page 195		Page 197
1	A Well, asbestos exposure can, of course,	1	Q So direct penetration of the fiber
2	give rise to mesothelioma and can give rise to	2	through the pleura?
3	peritoneal mesotheliomas. So it's got to get	3	A The diaphragm's are pretty secure
4	there from somewhere.	4	structures, so it's a little bit I can't say,
5	Q Do you have an opinion as to whether	5	hey, here's the pathway. But that's the
6	asbestos fibers can get to the peritoneal cavity	6	supposition.
7	through peritoneal exposure and migration through	7	Q Okay.
8	the genital tract?	8	A Okay.
9	MS. CURRY:	9	Q Do you are you aware of any
10	Object to the form.	10	epidemiologic or other studies that have linked
11	A I don't have any data on that.	11	the use of perineal cornstarch with ovarian
12	MS. THOMPSON:	12	cancer?
13	Q So you have no opinion.	13	MS. CURRY:
	A I would say analogous with the	14	Object to the form.
14	<i>5</i>	15	A Perineal cornstarch with ovarian
14 15	migration data that there's not a lot of evidence	1 73	
	migration data that there's not a lot of evidence things are migrating retrograde. So and I		
15	things are migrating retrograde. So and I	16	cancer?
15 16 17	things are migrating retrograde. So and I think although I don't think those experiments	16 17	cancer? MS. THOMPSON:
15 16 17 18	things are migrating retrograde. So and I think although I don't think those experiments have been done with asbestos in mind and we	16 17 18	cancer? MS. THOMPSON: Q Correct. Let me phrase that
15 16 17 18 19	things are migrating retrograde. So and I think although I don't think those experiments have been done with asbestos in mind and we know that asbestos can travel with high	16 17 18 19	cancer? MS. THOMPSON: Q Correct. Let me phrase that differently just so it's clear.
15 16 17 18 19 20	things are migrating retrograde. So and I think although I don't think those experiments have been done with asbestos in mind and we know that asbestos can travel with high insulation [sic] you know, inhalation of	16 17 18 19 20	cancer? MS. THOMPSON: Q Correct. Let me phrase that differently just so it's clear. A Okay.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	things are migrating retrograde. So and I think although I don't think those experiments have been done with asbestos in mind and we know that asbestos can travel with high insulation [sic] you know, inhalation of asbestos can get in the pleural cavity. It gets	16 17 18 19 20 21	cancer? MS. THOMPSON: Q Correct. Let me phrase that differently just so it's clear. A Okay. Q Are you aware of any studies that link
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	things are migrating retrograde. So and I think although I don't think those experiments have been done with asbestos in mind and we know that asbestos can travel with high insulation [sic] you know, inhalation of asbestos can get in the pleural cavity. It gets there from somewhere. It's got to be inside the	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	cancer? MS. THOMPSON: Q Correct. Let me phrase that differently just so it's clear. A Okay. Q Are you aware of any studies that link the perineal use of cornstarch products with
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	things are migrating retrograde. So and I think although I don't think those experiments have been done with asbestos in mind and we know that asbestos can travel with high insulation [sic] you know, inhalation of asbestos can get in the pleural cavity. It gets	16 17 18 19 20 21	cancer? MS. THOMPSON: Q Correct. Let me phrase that differently just so it's clear. A Okay. Q Are you aware of any studies that link

50 (Pages 194 to 197)

	Page 198		Page 200
1	Object to the form.	1	summary on the following page, one, purpose and
2	A Therapeutically or just accidentally?	2	coverage of the final rule, and the last
3	MS. THOMPSON:	3	paragraph or the last sentence of the first
4	Q Um as a substitute for talcum	4	paragraph says, "However, the use of powder on
5	powder. If a woman is using corn a	5	medical gloves presents numerous risks to
6	cornstarch-based perineal dusting powder, are you	6	patients and healthcare workers, including
7	aware of any studies that have linked that usage	7	inflammation, granulomas and respiratory allergic
8	to ovarian cancer?	8	reaction."
9	A Not that I no.	9	Does that at least state what the FDA
10	Q Do you agree that I might go ahead	10	considers the reasons for the removal of talcum
11	and go back to that that the FDA, mark it	11	powder from surgical gloves?
12	as	12	A Yes, it does.
13	A The letter?	13	Q Are you aware that Health Canada
14	Q The letter.	14	determined that the migration of talc particles
15	I know. But I don't have my stickers.	15	to the ovaries from perineal use was a plausible
16	MS. THOMPSON:	16	or is a plausible mechanism for the detection of
17	My fault; not yours.	17	talc in the ovaries?
18	THE COURT REPORTER:	18	MS. CURRY:
19	Okay.	19	Object to the form.
20	MS. THOMPSON:	20	A I believe they did. You're
21	Shall we do another few just to get us	21	MS. THOMPSON:
22	to lunch?	22	Q And you do you disagree with the
23	THE COURT REPORTER:	23	determination that Health Canada reached
24	I forget what number we're on.	24	regarding the the migration of talc particles
	Page 199		Page 201
1	MS. THOMPSON:	1	to the ovaries being a plausible mechanism for
2	We're on	2	the detection of talc in ovaries?
3	MS. EVERETT:	3	A Yes, I do.
4	14.	4	Q In your report, you state that the
5	MG THOMBOOM		
1	MS. THOMPSON:	5	migration is contrary to basic anatomy and common
6	14.	5 6	sense, I believe.
	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS		sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion?
6 7 8	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	6 7 8	sense, I believe.
6 7 8 9	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON:	6 7	sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion? A Where are you reading? Back to my report?
6 7 8	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm going to go ahead and mark the FDA	6 7 8	sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion? A Where are you reading? Back to my report? Q I have to get your report out.
6 7 8 9 10 11	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm going to go ahead and mark the FDA announcement on the banning of of talcum	6 7 8 9 10 11	sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion? A Where are you reading? Back to my report? Q I have to get your report out. A Yeah. That's get that out there.
6 7 8 9 10 11	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm going to go ahead and mark the FDA announcement on the banning of of talcum powder just so we can see what they actually did	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion? A Where are you reading? Back to my report? Q I have to get your report out. A Yeah. That's get that out there. Q His expert report.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm going to go ahead and mark the FDA announcement on the banning of of talcum powder just so we can see what they actually did say about the reasons.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion? A Where are you reading? Back to my report? Q I have to get your report out. A Yeah. That's get that out there. Q His expert report. And in the under "Migration" on page
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm going to go ahead and mark the FDA announcement on the banning of of talcum powder just so we can see what they actually did say about the reasons. And	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion? A Where are you reading? Back to my report? Q I have to get your report out. A Yeah. That's get that out there. Q His expert report. And in the under "Migration" on page 5, "Supposed Presence of Talc in Ovaries."
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm going to go ahead and mark the FDA announcement on the banning of of talcum powder just so we can see what they actually did say about the reasons. And A This is for gloves. For gloves.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion? A Where are you reading? Back to my report? Q I have to get your report out. A Yeah. That's get that out there. Q His expert report. And in the under "Migration" on page 5, "Supposed Presence of Talc in Ovaries." A Ah. Okay. Yep.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm going to go ahead and mark the FDA announcement on the banning of of talcum powder just so we can see what they actually did say about the reasons. And A This is for gloves. For gloves. Surgical gloves.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion? A Where are you reading? Back to my report? Q I have to get your report out. A Yeah. That's get that out there. Q His expert report. And in the under "Migration" on page 5, "Supposed Presence of Talc in Ovaries." A Ah. Okay. Yep. Q And Health Canada's conclusion was that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm going to go ahead and mark the FDA announcement on the banning of of talcum powder just so we can see what they actually did say about the reasons. And A This is for gloves. For gloves. Surgical gloves. Q Examination and surgical gloves.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion? A Where are you reading? Back to my report? Q I have to get your report out. A Yeah. That's get that out there. Q His expert report. And in the under "Migration" on page 5, "Supposed Presence of Talc in Ovaries." A Ah. Okay. Yep. Q And Health Canada's conclusion was that the migration of talc particles to the ovaries
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm going to go ahead and mark the FDA announcement on the banning of of talcum powder just so we can see what they actually did say about the reasons. And A This is for gloves. For gloves. Surgical gloves. Q Examination and surgical gloves. A Yeah.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion? A Where are you reading? Back to my report? Q I have to get your report out. A Yeah. That's get that out there. Q His expert report. And in the under "Migration" on page 5, "Supposed Presence of Talc in Ovaries." A Ah. Okay. Yep. Q And Health Canada's conclusion was that the migration of talc particles to the ovaries from perineal use is a plausible mechanism for
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm going to go ahead and mark the FDA announcement on the banning of of talcum powder just so we can see what they actually did say about the reasons. And A This is for gloves. For gloves. Surgical gloves. Q Examination and surgical gloves. A Yeah. Q And just in the bottom part of the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion? A Where are you reading? Back to my report? Q I have to get your report out. A Yeah. That's get that out there. Q His expert report. And in the under "Migration" on page 5, "Supposed Presence of Talc in Ovaries." A Ah. Okay. Yep. Q And Health Canada's conclusion was that the migration of talc particles to the ovaries from perineal use is a plausible mechanism for the detection of talc to the ovaries.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm going to go ahead and mark the FDA announcement on the banning of of talcum powder just so we can see what they actually did say about the reasons. And A This is for gloves. For gloves. Surgical gloves. Q Examination and surgical gloves. A Yeah. Q And just in the bottom part of the right-hand side of the first page, "Banned	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion? A Where are you reading? Back to my report? Q I have to get your report out. A Yeah. That's get that out there. Q His expert report. And in the under "Migration" on page 5, "Supposed Presence of Talc in Ovaries." A Ah. Okay. Yep. Q And Health Canada's conclusion was that the migration of talc particles to the ovaries from perineal use is a plausible mechanism for the detection of talc to the ovaries. But at least your opinion is that the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm going to go ahead and mark the FDA announcement on the banning of of talcum powder just so we can see what they actually did say about the reasons. And A This is for gloves. For gloves. Surgical gloves. Q Examination and surgical gloves. A Yeah. Q And just in the bottom part of the right-hand side of the first page, "Banned Devices; Powdered Surgeon's Gloves, Powdered	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion? A Where are you reading? Back to my report? Q I have to get your report out. A Yeah. That's get that out there. Q His expert report. And in the under "Migration" on page 5, "Supposed Presence of Talc in Ovaries." A Ah. Okay. Yep. Q And Health Canada's conclusion was that the migration of talc particles to the ovaries from perineal use is a plausible mechanism for the detection of talc to the ovaries. But at least your opinion is that the presence of talc in the ovaries cannot be
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm going to go ahead and mark the FDA announcement on the banning of of talcum powder just so we can see what they actually did say about the reasons. And A This is for gloves. For gloves. Surgical gloves. Q Examination and surgical gloves. A Yeah. Q And just in the bottom part of the right-hand side of the first page, "Banned Devices; Powdered Surgeon's Gloves, Powdered Patient Examination Gloves, and Absorbable Powder	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion? A Where are you reading? Back to my report? Q I have to get your report out. A Yeah. That's get that out there. Q His expert report. And in the under "Migration" on page 5, "Supposed Presence of Talc in Ovaries." A Ah. Okay. Yep. Q And Health Canada's conclusion was that the migration of talc particles to the ovaries from perineal use is a plausible mechanism for the detection of talc to the ovaries. But at least your opinion is that the presence of talc in the ovaries cannot be explained by migration. Is that right?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm going to go ahead and mark the FDA announcement on the banning of of talcum powder just so we can see what they actually did say about the reasons. And A This is for gloves. For gloves. Surgical gloves. Q Examination and surgical gloves. A Yeah. Q And just in the bottom part of the right-hand side of the first page, "Banned Devices; Powdered Surgeon's Gloves, Powdered Patient Examination Gloves, and Absorbable Powder For Lubricating on a Surgeon's Glove."	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion? A Where are you reading? Back to my report? Q I have to get your report out. A Yeah. That's get that out there. Q His expert report. And in the under "Migration" on page 5, "Supposed Presence of Talc in Ovaries." A Ah. Okay. Yep. Q And Health Canada's conclusion was that the migration of talc particles to the ovaries from perineal use is a plausible mechanism for the detection of talc to the ovaries. But at least your opinion is that the presence of talc in the ovaries cannot be explained by migration. Is that right? A Well, the studies that I looked at here
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	14. (DEPOSITION NUMBER 14 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm going to go ahead and mark the FDA announcement on the banning of of talcum powder just so we can see what they actually did say about the reasons. And A This is for gloves. For gloves. Surgical gloves. Q Examination and surgical gloves. A Yeah. Q And just in the bottom part of the right-hand side of the first page, "Banned Devices; Powdered Surgeon's Gloves, Powdered Patient Examination Gloves, and Absorbable Powder	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sense, I believe. Do you still hold that opinion? A Where are you reading? Back to my report? Q I have to get your report out. A Yeah. That's get that out there. Q His expert report. And in the under "Migration" on page 5, "Supposed Presence of Talc in Ovaries." A Ah. Okay. Yep. Q And Health Canada's conclusion was that the migration of talc particles to the ovaries from perineal use is a plausible mechanism for the detection of talc to the ovaries. But at least your opinion is that the presence of talc in the ovaries cannot be explained by migration. Is that right?

51 (Pages 198 to 201)

	Page 202		Page 204
1	ovary, and there were some control patients, I	1	A I think they were mystified and they
2	believe, with breast cancer where they looked at	2	tried to argue that the reason why they found
3	the ovary.	3	talc in everybody
4	And these these studies have been	4	MS. THOMPSON:
5	around for a while. I've reviewed them multiple	5	Q Dr. Birrer, sorry.
6	times, and they're just seriously flawed, from my	6	My question was: Do you know what the
7	perspective. So I don't know that you can	7	authors concluded?
8	conclude that. But these are these are just	8	A I'm saying it.
9	the studies that show the presence of talc in	9	Q That's "yes" or "no."
10	specimens. It's not the next line of evidence,	10	A Oh.
11	which is actual variety of human human	11	Q Do you know what the authors concluded?
12	experiments, if you will, which are also	12	MS. CURRY:
13	seriously flawed.	13	Object to the form.
14	So, you know, I essentially reviewed	14	A Yes.
15	all of that and came to the conclusion you can't	15	MS. THOMPSON:
16	conclude anything. There's no convincing data.	16	Q What did the authors conclude?
17	Health Canada came to a different conclusion.	17	A So I think they were mystified. And
18	Q And is that because Health Canada got	18	so
19	it wrong again, or is that because scientists can	19	Q No. Did the authors where do you
20	come to different conclusions when reviewing the	20	see in the paper that the authors were mystified?
21	same data?	21	A Because
22	MS. CURRY:	22	MS. CURRY:
23	Object to the form.	23	Let him finish and don't cut him off.
24	A Based on my review on this, they got it	24	MS. THOMPSON:
	The Based on my review on this, they got it		WB. ITTOWN BOTY.
	Page 203		
	rage 203		Page 205
1	wrong.	1	Page 205 Not when he's not answering my
1 2	wrong. MS. THOMPSON:	1 2	Not when he's not answering my question.
	wrong.		Not when he's not answering my
2	wrong. MS. THOMPSON:	2	Not when he's not answering my question.
2 3	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report.	2 3	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS:
2 3 4	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh.	2 3 4	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep
2 3 4 5	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study?	2 3 4 5	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors	2 3 4 5 6	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep
2 3 4 5 6 7	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word. MS. THOMPSON: I asked where in the paper did the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word. MS. THOMPSON: I asked where in the paper did the authors say they were mystified, and he needs to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Do you MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word. MS. THOMPSON: I asked where in the paper did the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word. MS. THOMPSON: I asked where in the paper did the authors say they were mystified, and he needs to explain that. MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Do you MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word. MS. THOMPSON: I asked where in the paper did the authors say they were mystified, and he needs to explain that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Do you MS. THOMPSON: Q This is the paper regarding the talc presence in A Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word. MS. THOMPSON: I asked where in the paper did the authors say they were mystified, and he needs to explain that. MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Do you MS. THOMPSON: Q This is the paper regarding the talc presence in A Right. Q ovaries from the Heller paper.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word. MS. THOMPSON: I asked where in the paper did the authors say they were mystified, and he needs to explain that. MS. CURRY: You haven't even marked the paper. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Do you MS. THOMPSON: Q This is the paper regarding the talc presence in A Right. Q ovaries from the Heller paper. MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word. MS. THOMPSON: I asked where in the paper did the authors say they were mystified, and he needs to explain that. MS. CURRY: You haven't even marked the paper. You are asking him based on his expert report, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Do you MS. THOMPSON: Q This is the paper regarding the talc presence in A Right. Q ovaries from the Heller paper. MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word. MS. THOMPSON: I asked where in the paper did the authors say they were mystified, and he needs to explain that. MS. CURRY: You haven't even marked the paper. You are asking him based on his expert report, and he's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Do you MS. THOMPSON: Q This is the paper regarding the talc presence in A Right. Q ovaries from the Heller paper. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So just to summarize real quick	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word. MS. THOMPSON: I asked where in the paper did the authors say they were mystified, and he needs to explain that. MS. CURRY: You haven't even marked the paper. You are asking him based on his expert report, and he's MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Do you MS. THOMPSON: Q This is the paper regarding the talc presence in A Right. Q ovaries from the Heller paper. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So just to summarize real quick MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word. MS. THOMPSON: I asked where in the paper did the authors say they were mystified, and he needs to explain that. MS. CURRY: You haven't even marked the paper. You are asking him based on his expert report, and he's MS. THOMPSON: I didn't ask him on the basis of his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Do you MS. THOMPSON: Q This is the paper regarding the talc presence in A Right. Q ovaries from the Heller paper. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So just to summarize real quick MS. THOMPSON: Q No. Not asking that question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word. MS. THOMPSON: I asked where in the paper did the authors say they were mystified, and he needs to explain that. MS. CURRY: You haven't even marked the paper. You are asking him based on his expert report, and he's MS. THOMPSON: I didn't ask him on the basis of his expert report. I asked him on the basis of his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Do you MS. THOMPSON: Q This is the paper regarding the talc presence in A Right. Q ovaries from the Heller paper. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So just to summarize real quick MS. THOMPSON: Q No. Not asking that question. Do you know what the Heller authors	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word. MS. THOMPSON: I asked where in the paper did the authors say they were mystified, and he needs to explain that. MS. CURRY: You haven't even marked the paper. You are asking him based on his expert report, and he's MS. THOMPSON: I didn't ask him on the basis of his expert report. I asked him on the basis of his knowledge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Do you MS. THOMPSON: Q This is the paper regarding the talc presence in A Right. Q ovaries from the Heller paper. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So just to summarize real quick MS. THOMPSON: Q No. Not asking that question. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded on the basis of their study?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word. MS. THOMPSON: I asked where in the paper did the authors say they were mystified, and he needs to explain that. MS. CURRY: You haven't even marked the paper. You are asking him based on his expert report, and he's MS. THOMPSON: I didn't ask him on the basis of his expert report. I asked him on the basis of his knowledge. I'll mark the Heller paper 15.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Do you MS. THOMPSON: Q This is the paper regarding the talc presence in A Right. Q ovaries from the Heller paper. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So just to summarize real quick MS. THOMPSON: Q No. Not asking that question. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded on the basis of their study? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word. MS. THOMPSON: I asked where in the paper did the authors say they were mystified, and he needs to explain that. MS. CURRY: You haven't even marked the paper. You are asking him based on his expert report, and he's MS. THOMPSON: I didn't ask him on the basis of his expert report. I asked him on the basis of his knowledge. I'll mark the Heller paper 15. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 15 WAS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	wrong. MS. THOMPSON: Q Regarding the Heller paper A Uh-huh. Q let's just go back to your report. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded from their study? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Do you MS. THOMPSON: Q This is the paper regarding the talc presence in A Right. Q ovaries from the Heller paper. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So just to summarize real quick MS. THOMPSON: Q No. Not asking that question. Do you know what the Heller authors concluded on the basis of their study?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Not when he's not answering my question. THE WITNESS: Well, I MS. CURRY: He's trying to answer it. You keep cutting him off at every word. MS. THOMPSON: I asked where in the paper did the authors say they were mystified, and he needs to explain that. MS. CURRY: You haven't even marked the paper. You are asking him based on his expert report, and he's MS. THOMPSON: I didn't ask him on the basis of his expert report. I asked him on the basis of his knowledge. I'll mark the Heller paper 15. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 15 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

52 (Pages 202 to 205)

1	Page 206		Page 208
1	the authors were mystified? Yes or no?	1	Q Is that your opinion?
2	A I think they were confused by the lack	2	A Say that again.
3	of association.	3	Q It's not that scientists can come to
4	Q Do you see where the authors were	4	different conclusions. It's that the 12 experts
5	mystified?	5	who state the same conclusions as the authors of
6	MS. CURRY:	6	the paper are wrong and you're right?
7	Object to the form.	7	MS. CURRY:
8	MS. THOMPSON:	8	Object to the form.
9	Q There's nowhere where the authors say	9	MS. THOMPSON:
10	they were mystified, is there, Dr. Birrer?	10	Q Is that a correct statement?
11	MS. CURRY:	11	A Correct.
12	Object to the form.	12	Q One of your criticisms of the Cramer
13	MS. THOMPSON:	13	paper from 2007 that detected talc in lymph nodes
14	Q I'll withdraw the question.	14	was that it was a case report; correct?
15	A Okay.	15	A Correct.
16	Q Let's just go to the conclusions.	16	Q And you've published with Dr. Cramer;
17	"Conclusions: The detection of talc in	17	correct?
18	all ovaries demonstrates that it can reach the	18	A I don't think I'm on papers with
19	upper genital tract."	19	Dr. Cramer.
20	Is that what the authors of the Heller	20	Q And have you seen the paper that was
21	paper conclude?	21	published recently of a series of cases in which
22	A Yes.	22	talc was detected in the lymph nodes?
23	Q And yet you're critical of the	23	MS. CURRY:
24	plaintiffs' experts because they conclude the	24	Object to the form.
	Page 207		Page 209
1	same thing that the authors of the paper	1	A Do you have an author?
2	conclude; right?	2	MS. THOMPSON:
3	MS. CURRY:	3	Q Same authors.
4	Object to the form.	4	
		1 4	A So Dr. Cramer
5	MS. THOMPSON:	5	A So Dr. Cramer Q The lead author is McDonald, but from
5 6		l .	
		5	Q The lead author is McDonald, but from
б	Q In fact, I well, go ahead and	5 6	Q The lead author is McDonald, but from Cramer's lab
6 7	Q In fact, I well, go ahead and answer.	5 6 7	Q The lead author is McDonald, but from Cramer's lab A I have seen it.
6 7 8	Q In fact, I well, go ahead and answer.A Well, I'm critical of the paper and the	5 6 7 8	 Q The lead author is McDonald, but from Cramer's lab A I have seen it. Q and Welch. You've seen it?
6 7 8 9	Q In fact, I well, go ahead and answer.A Well, I'm critical of the paper and the experts who agreed with it.	5 6 7 8 9	 Q The lead author is McDonald, but from Cramer's lab A I have seen it. Q and Welch. You've seen it? A Uh-huh.
6 7 8 9 10	 Q In fact, I well, go ahead and answer. A Well, I'm critical of the paper and the experts who agreed with it. Q And I I think there were no fewer 	5 6 7 8 9	 Q The lead author is McDonald, but from Cramer's lab A I have seen it. Q and Welch. You've seen it? A Uh-huh. Q And is it your understanding that the
6 7 8 9 10 11	Q In fact, I well, go ahead and answer. A Well, I'm critical of the paper and the experts who agreed with it. Q And I I think there were no fewer than 12 experts that you think were wrong on	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q The lead author is McDonald, but from Cramer's lab A I have seen it. Q and Welch. You've seen it? A Uh-huh. Q And is it your understanding that the authors I'll mark the McDonald paper Exhibit
6 7 8 9 10 11	Q In fact, I well, go ahead and answer. A Well, I'm critical of the paper and the experts who agreed with it. Q And I I think there were no fewer than 12 experts that you think were wrong on this; right?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q The lead author is McDonald, but from Cramer's lab A I have seen it. Q and Welch. You've seen it? A Uh-huh. Q And is it your understanding that the authors I'll mark the McDonald paper Exhibit 16.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q In fact, I well, go ahead and answer. A Well, I'm critical of the paper and the experts who agreed with it. Q And I I think there were no fewer than 12 experts that you think were wrong on this; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A If that's the number of experts that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q The lead author is McDonald, but from Cramer's lab A I have seen it. Q and Welch. You've seen it? A Uh-huh. Q And is it your understanding that the authors I'll mark the McDonald paper Exhibit 16. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 16 WAS
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q In fact, I well, go ahead and answer. A Well, I'm critical of the paper and the experts who agreed with it. Q And I I think there were no fewer than 12 experts that you think were wrong on this; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q The lead author is McDonald, but from Cramer's lab A I have seen it. Q and Welch. You've seen it? A Uh-huh. Q And is it your understanding that the authors I'll mark the McDonald paper Exhibit 16. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 16 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q In fact, I well, go ahead and answer. A Well, I'm critical of the paper and the experts who agreed with it. Q And I I think there were no fewer than 12 experts that you think were wrong on this; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A If that's the number of experts that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q The lead author is McDonald, but from Cramer's lab A I have seen it. Q and Welch. You've seen it? A Uh-huh. Q And is it your understanding that the authors I'll mark the McDonald paper Exhibit 16. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 16 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it your understanding that the authors specifically controlled for any
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q In fact, I well, go ahead and answer. A Well, I'm critical of the paper and the experts who agreed with it. Q And I I think there were no fewer than 12 experts that you think were wrong on this; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A If that's the number of experts that agreed to it, then, yeah. I agree on that.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q The lead author is McDonald, but from Cramer's lab A I have seen it. Q and Welch. You've seen it? A Uh-huh. Q And is it your understanding that the authors I'll mark the McDonald paper Exhibit 16. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 16 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it your understanding that the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q In fact, I well, go ahead and answer. A Well, I'm critical of the paper and the experts who agreed with it. Q And I I think there were no fewer than 12 experts that you think were wrong on this; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A If that's the number of experts that agreed to it, then, yeah. I agree on that. MS. THOMPSON:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q The lead author is McDonald, but from Cramer's lab A I have seen it. Q and Welch. You've seen it? A Uh-huh. Q And is it your understanding that the authors I'll mark the McDonald paper Exhibit 16. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 16 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it your understanding that the authors specifically controlled for any
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q In fact, I well, go ahead and answer. A Well, I'm critical of the paper and the experts who agreed with it. Q And I I think there were no fewer than 12 experts that you think were wrong on this; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A If that's the number of experts that agreed to it, then, yeah. I agree on that. MS. THOMPSON: Q And it's not that scientists can come	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q The lead author is McDonald, but from Cramer's lab A I have seen it. Q and Welch. You've seen it? A Uh-huh. Q And is it your understanding that the authors I'll mark the McDonald paper Exhibit 16. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 16 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it your understanding that the authors specifically controlled for any possibility of contamination?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q In fact, I well, go ahead and answer. A Well, I'm critical of the paper and the experts who agreed with it. Q And I I think there were no fewer than 12 experts that you think were wrong on this; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A If that's the number of experts that agreed to it, then, yeah. I agree on that. MS. THOMPSON: Q And it's not that scientists can come to different conclusions. It's that 12 experts	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q The lead author is McDonald, but from Cramer's lab A I have seen it. Q and Welch. You've seen it? A Uh-huh. Q And is it your understanding that the authors I'll mark the McDonald paper Exhibit 16. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 16 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it your understanding that the authors specifically controlled for any possibility of contamination? MS. CURRY:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q In fact, I well, go ahead and answer. A Well, I'm critical of the paper and the experts who agreed with it. Q And I I think there were no fewer than 12 experts that you think were wrong on this; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A If that's the number of experts that agreed to it, then, yeah. I agree on that. MS. THOMPSON: Q And it's not that scientists can come to different conclusions. It's that 12 experts who state the same conclusions as the authors of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q The lead author is McDonald, but from Cramer's lab A I have seen it. Q and Welch. You've seen it? A Uh-huh. Q And is it your understanding that the authors I'll mark the McDonald paper Exhibit 16. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 16 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it your understanding that the authors specifically controlled for any possibility of contamination? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q In fact, I well, go ahead and answer. A Well, I'm critical of the paper and the experts who agreed with it. Q And I I think there were no fewer than 12 experts that you think were wrong on this; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A If that's the number of experts that agreed to it, then, yeah. I agree on that. MS. THOMPSON: Q And it's not that scientists can come to different conclusions. It's that 12 experts who state the same conclusions as the authors of the paper are wrong and you're right?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Cramer's lab A I have seen it. Q and Welch. You've seen it? A Uh-huh. Q And is it your understanding that the authors I'll mark the McDonald paper Exhibit 16. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 16 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it your understanding that the authors specifically controlled for any possibility of contamination? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A No. That's not my understanding.

53 (Pages 206 to 209)

	Page 210		Page 212
1	said that since talc can be a surface contaminant	1	MS. CURRY:
2	from tissue collection preparation, digestion	2	Object to the form.
3	measurements may be influenced by contamination.	3	A So they they observe they observe
4	Instead, because they preserve anatomic landmarks	4	large amounts of contamination. They argue that
5	and permit identification of particles in cells	5	with their technology, they can tell whether some
6	and tissues polarized light microscopy and in	6	is surface and some is internal, in lymph nodes.
7	situ SEM-EDX are recommended to assess talc in	7	MS. THOMPSON:
8	lymph nodes.	8	Q And they determined that some was
9	And that's the methodology that the	9	internal; right?
10	authors, the researchers, performed to assure	10	A I believe so.
11	themselves that this finding was not due to	11	Q Probably have another, what, five
12	contamination; right?	12	minutes and then lunch, or I can do it after we
13	MS. CURRY:	13	come back.
14	Object to the form.	14	MS. CURRY:
15	A You are reading correctly.	15	Is that okay with you?
16	MS. THOMPSON:	16	A That's okay.
17	Q I didn't even read that.	17	MS. CURRY:
18	A Oh.	18	Is that okay with the court reporter?
19	Q I came up with that	19	THE COURT REPORTER:
20	A Oh. I thought you were looking at the	20	That's fine. Yes.
21	paper.	21	THE WITNESS:
22	Q Well, I must be right, then.	22	You all right? I'll stop mumbling.
23	A I mean, they they observe I read	23	MS. THOMPSON:
24	this I'll read it. "In conclusion, talc	24	Q Okay. I want to go over just a few of
	Page 211		Page 213
1	contamination in the surface of surgical	1	your criticisms of plaintiffs' experts. And
2	pathology specimens of is common."	2	let's start with Dr. Clarke-Pearson. I believe
3	Q Except and I didn't have a question	3	that you have met Dr. Clarke-Pearson and know him
4	on the table.	4	by reputation, at least; correct?
5	A Okay.	5	A I have.
6	Q So I'll object to that as being	6	Q He's a past president, I believe, of
7	nonresponsive to a question.	7	SGO; correct?
8	Except the whole purpose of this study	8	A Correct.
9	was to, number one, expand on the case report	9	Q And department chair at University of
10	that was published earlier; right?	10	North Carolina, recently retired; correct?
11	MS. CURRY:	11	A Correct.
12	Object to the form.	12	Q And and you actually wrote the
13	A I don't see that. It's another study.	13	criticism here of Dr. Clarke-Pearson?
1	MS. THOMPSON:	14	A Correct.
14		15	Q And that's your language?
14 15	Q Okay.	1 -	
	Q Okay. A Yeah.	16	A Uh-huh.
15			A Uh-huh. Q Okay. Let's just read through that.
15 16	A Yeah.	16	
15 16 17	A Yeah. Q But this had a series of 22 cases;	16 17	Q Okay. Let's just read through that.
15 16 17 18	A Yeah. Q But this had a series of 22 cases; right?	16 17 18	Q Okay. Let's just read through that. "Dr. Clarke-Pearson analogizes to the migration
15 16 17 18 19	A Yeah. Q But this had a series of 22 cases; right? A Twenty-two cases, correct.	16 17 18 19	Q Okay. Let's just read through that. "Dr. Clarke-Pearson analogizes to the migration of sperm" and this is considering the
15 16 17 18 19 20	A Yeah. Q But this had a series of 22 cases; right? A Twenty-two cases, correct. Q And and the authors concluded that	16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. Let's just read through that. "Dr. Clarke-Pearson analogizes to the migration of sperm" and this is considering the migration of talc particles "into tubes after
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yeah. Q But this had a series of 22 cases; right? A Twenty-two cases, correct. Q And and the authors concluded that by by using the techniques that they used in	16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. Let's just read through that. "Dr. Clarke-Pearson analogizes to the migration of sperm" and this is considering the migration of talc particles "into tubes after coitus. It is rather surprising to hear this

54 (Pages 210 to 213)

	Page 214		Page 216
1	A I looked at his expert report.	1	A Are they dead dead or
2	Q Including his references?	2	Q Do you think dead sperm may be motile?
3	A I probably would have paged through it,	3	Do you know any too much about reproductive
4	yeah. Yep.	4	physiology?
5	Q "The obvious difficulty with this line	5	MS. CURRY:
6	of reasoning is the fact that spermatozoa are	6	Object to the form.
7	motile and have evolved under millions of years	7	A A fair amount, yeah.
8	to be able to migrate under their own control to	8	MS. THOMPSON:
9	increase the potential to fertilize the egg.	9	Q And you don't know whether dead sperm
10	This mode of transport is not consistent with a	10	would be motile or not?
11	talc particle."	11	A So how are you defining that?
12	Did you look at Dr. Pearson's citation	12	They're they're they've decayed? They're
13	that describes the movement of dead sperm and	13	broken down
14	tale particles through that upper genital tract?	14	Q Yes.
15	MS. CURRY:	15	A or the flagella is not moving?
16	Object to the form.	16	Q The flagella is not moving in a dead
17	A Yeah. I didn't see the I didn't see	17	sperm.
18	the reference on dead sperm. But	18	A Okay.
19	MS. THOMPSON:	19	Q Is it?
20	Q If if there was a reference that	20	A I guess as you are specifically
21	dead sperm moved through and moved through quite	21	defining
22	easily, then your statement that it's not	22	Q Are you arguing me with me?
23	analogous because spermatozoa are motile is	23	A Can I answer?
24	incorrect, isn't it?	24	MS. CURRY:
	Page 215		Page 217
1	MS. CURRY:	1	I'm sorry. You can each just take
2			
4	Object to the form.	2	turns. Just please let her get her question out.
3	Object to the form. A Well, I have to see the paper, and I	2 3	turns. Just please let her get her question out. MS. THOMPSON:
	*		
3	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I	3	MS. THOMPSON:
3 4	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details.	3 4	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm
3 4 5	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON:	3 4 5	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not?
3 4 5 6	 A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON: Q Assume with me that there is evidence 	3 4 5 6	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not? A I would think most of the time they
3 4 5 6 7	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON: Q Assume with me that there is evidence published in the peer-reviewed literature that	3 4 5 6 7	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not? A I would think most of the time they would not be motile.
3 4 5 6 7 8	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON: Q Assume with me that there is evidence published in the peer-reviewed literature that dead sperm and sperm particles move through the	3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not? A I would think most of the time they would not be motile. Q Okay. And would you agree that a sperm
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON: Q Assume with me that there is evidence published in the peer-reviewed literature that dead sperm and sperm particles move through the upper genital tract, then your statement that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not? A I would think most of the time they would not be motile. Q Okay. And would you agree that a sperm particle for example, if the flagellum is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON: Q Assume with me that there is evidence published in the peer-reviewed literature that dead sperm and sperm particles move through the upper genital tract, then your statement that it's not analogous because spermatozoa are motile	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not? A I would think most of the time they would not be motile. Q Okay. And would you agree that a sperm particle for example, if the flagellum is broken off, would you agree that would not be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON: Q Assume with me that there is evidence published in the peer-reviewed literature that dead sperm and sperm particles move through the upper genital tract, then your statement that it's not analogous because spermatozoa are motile would be incorrect; right?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not? A I would think most of the time they would not be motile. Q Okay. And would you agree that a sperm particle for example, if the flagellum is broken off, would you agree that would not be motile, a sperm particle?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON: Q Assume with me that there is evidence published in the peer-reviewed literature that dead sperm and sperm particles move through the upper genital tract, then your statement that it's not analogous because spermatozoa are motile would be incorrect; right? MS. CURRY:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not? A I would think most of the time they would not be motile. Q Okay. And would you agree that a sperm particle for example, if the flagellum is broken off, would you agree that would not be motile, a sperm particle? MS. CURRY:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON: Q Assume with me that there is evidence published in the peer-reviewed literature that dead sperm and sperm particles move through the upper genital tract, then your statement that it's not analogous because spermatozoa are motile would be incorrect; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not? A I would think most of the time they would not be motile. Q Okay. And would you agree that a sperm particle for example, if the flagellum is broken off, would you agree that would not be motile, a sperm particle? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON: Q Assume with me that there is evidence published in the peer-reviewed literature that dead sperm and sperm particles move through the upper genital tract, then your statement that it's not analogous because spermatozoa are motile would be incorrect; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So these sperm would be put on the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not? A I would think most of the time they would not be motile. Q Okay. And would you agree that a sperm particle for example, if the flagellum is broken off, would you agree that would not be motile, a sperm particle? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Motile, moving under its own
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON: Q Assume with me that there is evidence published in the peer-reviewed literature that dead sperm and sperm particles move through the upper genital tract, then your statement that it's not analogous because spermatozoa are motile would be incorrect; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So these sperm would be put on the perineum like a dusting?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not? A I would think most of the time they would not be motile. Q Okay. And would you agree that a sperm particle for example, if the flagellum is broken off, would you agree that would not be motile, a sperm particle? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Motile, moving under its own MS. THOMPSON:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON: Q Assume with me that there is evidence published in the peer-reviewed literature that dead sperm and sperm particles move through the upper genital tract, then your statement that it's not analogous because spermatozoa are motile would be incorrect; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So these sperm would be put on the perineum like a dusting? MS. THOMPSON:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not? A I would think most of the time they would not be motile. Q Okay. And would you agree that a sperm particle for example, if the flagellum is broken off, would you agree that would not be motile, a sperm particle? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Motile, moving under its own MS. THOMPSON: Q Moving on its own.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON: Q Assume with me that there is evidence published in the peer-reviewed literature that dead sperm and sperm particles move through the upper genital tract, then your statement that it's not analogous because spermatozoa are motile would be incorrect; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So these sperm would be put on the perineum like a dusting? MS. THOMPSON: Q No.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not? A I would think most of the time they would not be motile. Q Okay. And would you agree that a sperm particle for example, if the flagellum is broken off, would you agree that would not be motile, a sperm particle? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Motile, moving under its own MS. THOMPSON: Q Moving on its own. A Yeah. I think it's unlikely.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON: Q Assume with me that there is evidence published in the peer-reviewed literature that dead sperm and sperm particles move through the upper genital tract, then your statement that it's not analogous because spermatozoa are motile would be incorrect; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So these sperm would be put on the perineum like a dusting? MS. THOMPSON: Q No. A Okay.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not? A I would think most of the time they would not be motile. Q Okay. And would you agree that a sperm particle for example, if the flagellum is broken off, would you agree that would not be motile, a sperm particle? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Motile, moving under its own MS. THOMPSON: Q Moving on its own. A Yeah. I think it's unlikely. Q Do you know the size of the head of a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON: Q Assume with me that there is evidence published in the peer-reviewed literature that dead sperm and sperm particles move through the upper genital tract, then your statement that it's not analogous because spermatozoa are motile would be incorrect; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So these sperm would be put on the perineum like a dusting? MS. THOMPSON: Q No. A Okay. Q I'm just saying it's your statement	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not? A I would think most of the time they would not be motile. Q Okay. And would you agree that a sperm particle for example, if the flagellum is broken off, would you agree that would not be motile, a sperm particle? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Motile, moving under its own MS. THOMPSON: Q Moving on its own. A Yeah. I think it's unlikely. Q Do you know the size of the head of a sperm?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON: Q Assume with me that there is evidence published in the peer-reviewed literature that dead sperm and sperm particles move through the upper genital tract, then your statement that it's not analogous because spermatozoa are motile would be incorrect; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So these sperm would be put on the perineum like a dusting? MS. THOMPSON: Q No. A Okay. Q I'm just saying it's your statement that that is the reason would be incorrect.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not? A I would think most of the time they would not be motile. Q Okay. And would you agree that a sperm particle for example, if the flagellum is broken off, would you agree that would not be motile, a sperm particle? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Motile, moving under its own MS. THOMPSON: Q Moving on its own. A Yeah. I think it's unlikely. Q Do you know the size of the head of a sperm? A No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Well, I have to see the paper, and I don't know the details. MS. THOMPSON: Q Assume with me that there is evidence published in the peer-reviewed literature that dead sperm and sperm particles move through the upper genital tract, then your statement that it's not analogous because spermatozoa are motile would be incorrect; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So these sperm would be put on the perineum like a dusting? MS. THOMPSON: Q No. A Okay. Q I'm just saying it's your statement that that is the reason would be incorrect. A I so	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you not know whether dead sperm would be motile or not? A I would think most of the time they would not be motile. Q Okay. And would you agree that a sperm particle for example, if the flagellum is broken off, would you agree that would not be motile, a sperm particle? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Motile, moving under its own MS. THOMPSON: Q Moving on its own. A Yeah. I think it's unlikely. Q Do you know the size of the head of a sperm? A No. Q If the reason that Dr. Clarke-Pearson

55 (Pages 214 to 217)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 57 of 165 PageID: 82844

Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 218		Page 220
1	particle. If your reason for saying that opinion	1	Object to the form.
2	is incorrect is that sperm are motile, then that	2	A Yeah, I don't know what
3	reasoning is incorrect, isn't it?	3	MS. THOMPSON:
4	MS. CURRY:	4	Q Those are your words. Are
5	Object to the form.	5	Dr. Clarke-Pearson's opinions contrary to
6	A Well, I think in the way it's expressed	6	knowledge of basic anatomy?
7	here, that, obviously, it doesn't mean I mean,	7	MS. CURRY:
8	it makes no sense to apply to spermatozoa, which	8	Object to the form.
9	are mobile. But if you're telling me there's a	9	A Where are you reading?
10	reference for dead sperm, then the question	10	MS. THOMPSON:
11	becomes what's in that reference? So these	11	Q Well, for right now I was just in the
12	MS. THOMPSON:	12	first paragraph of "Hypothesized migration of
13	Q Okay.	13	talc to ovaries."
14	A dead sperm were deposited into the	14	A What page? Is it on my report?
15	uterus after coitus and	15	Q Page 7.
16	Q We're just talking we're not talking	16	A Okay.
17	about coitus.	17	Oh. So you're relating that statement
18	Is it plausible to you	18	to Clarke-Pearson?
19	A Okay.	19	Q Well, I believe you say that all the
20	Q that a woman who has talcum on her	20	experts have have a theory that's contrary to
21	perineum	21	basic anatomy and common sense.
22	A Uh-huh.	22	A No. What that refers to, I think, is
23	Q could have coitus and the talcum	23	the fact that you're putting you're dusting
24	powder on the perineum could be placed in the	24	the perineum many times, most of the times, in
	Page 219		
	1490 219		Page 223
1	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible?	1	Page 223 woman who's vertical, and this concept is that
1 2		1 2	
	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible?		woman who's vertical, and this concept is that
2	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that.	2	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that tale and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than
2	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether	2 3	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that tale and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than
2 3 4	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible?	2 3 4	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that tale and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because
2 3 4 5	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist.	2 3 4 5	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that tale and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said.
2 3 4 5 6	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist. Q Well, maybe take off your scientist hat. Is it plausible that a woman who has talcum powder on her perineum and has sex, that the	2 3 4 5 6	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that talc and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said. Now, if you want to go through each individual study, I'm happy to do that because there are methodologic flaws in them. But that
2 3 4 5 6 7	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist. Q Well, maybe take off your scientist hat. Is it plausible that a woman who has talcum	2 3 4 5 6 7	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that talc and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said. Now, if you want to go through each individual study, I'm happy to do that because there are methodologic flaws in them. But that statement does not relate directly to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist. Q Well, maybe take off your scientist hat. Is it plausible that a woman who has talcum powder on her perineum and has sex, that the talcum powder could be forced into the vagina? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that tale and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said. Now, if you want to go through each individual study, I'm happy to do that because there are methodologic flaws in them. But that statement does not relate directly to Dr. Clarke-Pearson. If it did, it would be under
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist. Q Well, maybe take off your scientist hat. Is it plausible that a woman who has talcum powder on her perineum and has sex, that the talcum powder could be forced into the vagina? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that talc and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said. Now, if you want to go through each individual study, I'm happy to do that because there are methodologic flaws in them. But that statement does not relate directly to Dr. Clarke-Pearson. If it did, it would be under his name.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist. Q Well, maybe take off your scientist hat. Is it plausible that a woman who has talcum powder on her perineum and has sex, that the talcum powder could be forced into the vagina? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that talc and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said. Now, if you want to go through each individual study, I'm happy to do that because there are methodologic flaws in them. But that statement does not relate directly to Dr. Clarke-Pearson. If it did, it would be under his name. Q But you talk generally about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist. Q Well, maybe take off your scientist hat. Is it plausible that a woman who has talcum powder on her perineum and has sex, that the talcum powder could be forced into the vagina? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it plausible?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that talc and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said. Now, if you want to go through each individual study, I'm happy to do that because there are methodologic flaws in them. But that statement does not relate directly to Dr. Clarke-Pearson. If it did, it would be under his name. Q But you talk generally about plaintiffs' experts, too. And do you think that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist. Q Well, maybe take off your scientist hat. Is it plausible that a woman who has talcum powder on her perineum and has sex, that the talcum powder could be forced into the vagina? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it plausible? A Sexual intercourse?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that talc and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said. Now, if you want to go through each individual study, I'm happy to do that because there are methodologic flaws in them. But that statement does not relate directly to Dr. Clarke-Pearson. If it did, it would be under his name. Q But you talk generally about plaintiffs' experts, too. And do you think that you have a better understanding of female anatomy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist. Q Well, maybe take off your scientist hat. Is it plausible that a woman who has talcum powder on her perineum and has sex, that the talcum powder could be forced into the vagina? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it plausible? A Sexual intercourse? Q Sexual intercourse, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that talc and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said. Now, if you want to go through each individual study, I'm happy to do that because there are methodologic flaws in them. But that statement does not relate directly to Dr. Clarke-Pearson. If it did, it would be under his name. Q But you talk generally about plaintiffs' experts, too. And do you think that you have a better understanding of female anatomy than Dr. Clarke-Pearson?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist. Q Well, maybe take off your scientist hat. Is it plausible that a woman who has talcum powder on her perineum and has sex, that the talcum powder could be forced into the vagina? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it plausible? A Sexual intercourse? Q Sexual intercourse, yes. A Yes. Just getting specifics.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that talc and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said. Now, if you want to go through each individual study, I'm happy to do that because there are methodologic flaws in them. But that statement does not relate directly to Dr. Clarke-Pearson. If it did, it would be under his name. Q But you talk generally about plaintiffs' experts, too. And do you think that you have a better understanding of female anatomy than Dr. Clarke-Pearson? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist. Q Well, maybe take off your scientist hat. Is it plausible that a woman who has talcum powder on her perineum and has sex, that the talcum powder could be forced into the vagina? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it plausible? A Sexual intercourse? Q Sexual intercourse, yes. A Yes. Just getting specifics. Yeah. I mean, I I think the way	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that talc and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said. Now, if you want to go through each individual study, I'm happy to do that because there are methodologic flaws in them. But that statement does not relate directly to Dr. Clarke-Pearson. If it did, it would be under his name. Q But you talk generally about plaintiffs' experts, too. And do you think that you have a better understanding of female anatomy than Dr. Clarke-Pearson? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist. Q Well, maybe take off your scientist hat. Is it plausible that a woman who has talcum powder on her perineum and has sex, that the talcum powder could be forced into the vagina? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it plausible? A Sexual intercourse? Q Sexual intercourse, yes. A Yes. Just getting specifics. Yeah. I mean, I I think the way you're hypothesizing it, I suppose there's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that talc and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said. Now, if you want to go through each individual study, I'm happy to do that because there are methodologic flaws in them. But that statement does not relate directly to Dr. Clarke-Pearson. If it did, it would be under his name. Q But you talk generally about plaintiffs' experts, too. And do you think that you have a better understanding of female anatomy than Dr. Clarke-Pearson? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Dr. Clarke-Pearson's pretty good with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist. Q Well, maybe take off your scientist hat. Is it plausible that a woman who has talcum powder on her perineum and has sex, that the talcum powder could be forced into the vagina? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it plausible? A Sexual intercourse? Q Sexual intercourse, yes. A Yes. Just getting specifics. Yeah. I mean, I I think the way you're hypothesizing it, I suppose there's a possibility.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that talc and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said. Now, if you want to go through each individual study, I'm happy to do that because there are methodologic flaws in them. But that statement does not relate directly to Dr. Clarke-Pearson. If it did, it would be under his name. Q But you talk generally about plaintiffs' experts, too. And do you think that you have a better understanding of female anatomy than Dr. Clarke-Pearson? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Dr. Clarke-Pearson's pretty good with female anatomy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist. Q Well, maybe take off your scientist hat. Is it plausible that a woman who has talcum powder on her perineum and has sex, that the talcum powder could be forced into the vagina? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it plausible? A Sexual intercourse? Q Sexual intercourse, yes. A Yes. Just getting specifics. Yeah. I mean, I I think the way you're hypothesizing it, I suppose there's a possibility. Q So if those things are possible and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that talc and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said. Now, if you want to go through each individual study, I'm happy to do that because there are methodologic flaws in them. But that statement does not relate directly to Dr. Clarke-Pearson. If it did, it would be under his name. Q But you talk generally about plaintiffs' experts, too. And do you think that you have a better understanding of female anatomy than Dr. Clarke-Pearson? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Dr. Clarke-Pearson's pretty good with female anatomy. MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist. Q Well, maybe take off your scientist hat. Is it plausible that a woman who has talcum powder on her perineum and has sex, that the talcum powder could be forced into the vagina? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it plausible? A Sexual intercourse? Q Sexual intercourse, yes. A Yes. Just getting specifics. Yeah. I mean, I I think the way you're hypothesizing it, I suppose there's a possibility. Q So if those things are possible and plausible, then you really don't think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that talc and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said. Now, if you want to go through each individual study, I'm happy to do that because there are methodologic flaws in them. But that statement does not relate directly to Dr. Clarke-Pearson. If it did, it would be under his name. Q But you talk generally about plaintiffs' experts, too. And do you think that you have a better understanding of female anatomy than Dr. Clarke-Pearson? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Dr. Clarke-Pearson's pretty good with female anatomy. MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you think you have a better
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist. Q Well, maybe take off your scientist hat. Is it plausible that a woman who has talcum powder on her perineum and has sex, that the talcum powder could be forced into the vagina? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it plausible? A Sexual intercourse? Q Sexual intercourse, yes. A Yes. Just getting specifics. Yeah. I mean, I I think the way you're hypothesizing it, I suppose there's a possibility. Q So if those things are possible and plausible, then you really don't think Dr. Clarke-Pearson's opinions are unreasonable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	woman who's vertical, and this concept is that somehow that talc and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said. Now, if you want to go through each individual study, I'm happy to do that because there are methodologic flaws in them. But that statement does not relate directly to Dr. Clarke-Pearson. If it did, it would be under his name. Q But you talk generally about plaintiffs' experts, too. And do you think that you have a better understanding of female anatomy than Dr. Clarke-Pearson? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Dr. Clarke-Pearson's pretty good with female anatomy. MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you think you have a better understanding than Dr. Clarke-Pearson of female
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	vagina forcefully? Is that plausible? A I don't have any data on that. Q Do you have to have data to say whether or not that's plausible? A I am a scientist. Q Well, maybe take off your scientist hat. Is it plausible that a woman who has talcum powder on her perineum and has sex, that the talcum powder could be forced into the vagina? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is it plausible? A Sexual intercourse? Q Sexual intercourse, yes. A Yes. Just getting specifics. Yeah. I mean, I I think the way you're hypothesizing it, I suppose there's a possibility. Q So if those things are possible and plausible, then you really don't think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	somehow that talc and dust essentially ascends into the ovary. And I think that more often than not lacks common sense and basic anatomy because of what I just said. Now, if you want to go through each individual study, I'm happy to do that because there are methodologic flaws in them. But that statement does not relate directly to Dr. Clarke-Pearson. If it did, it would be under his name. Q But you talk generally about plaintiffs' experts, too. And do you think that you have a better understanding of female anatomy than Dr. Clarke-Pearson? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Dr. Clarke-Pearson's pretty good with female anatomy. MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you think you have a better

56 (Pages 218 to 221)

	Page 222		Page 224
1	Object to the form.	1	Q Do you think he would know it, what's
2	A No. I think he would be more versed in	2	published in literature?
3	that.	3	MS. CURRY:
4	MS. THOMPSON:	4	Object to the form.
5	Q And and you've just testified that	5	A He might.
6	we're not just talking about a woman standing up	6	MS. THOMPSON:
7	and putting dusting powder and the ascension. We	7	Q So you're certainly not opining today
8	are talking about the possibility, in your words,	8	that you have a better understanding than
9	that powder could be on the perineum and	9	Dr. Clarke-Pearson of materials that can travel
10	introduced in the vagina forcefully with sexual	10	retrograde through the upper genital tract, do
11	intercourse; right?	11	you?
12	A Well, yes	12	MS. CURRY:
13	MS. CURRY:	13	Object to the form.
14	Object to the form.	14	A Oh, I disagree with that.
15	A We just had that conversation. I mean,	15	MS. THOMPSON:
16	again, it's hypothetical. Yeah.	16	Q You think you do have a better
17	MS. THOMPSON:	17	understanding than Dr. Clarke-Pearson regarding
18	Q Okay. Agreed. I mean, I agree that's	18	whether or not particles can travel through the
19	your opinion.	19	upper genital tract?
20	And how about a woman who applies	20	MS. CURRY:
21	talcum powder to a sanitary napkin? Is it	21	Object to the form.
22	possible that the talcum powder would be	22	A Based upon my analysis of these papers,
23	introduced in the vagina through menstrual flow?	23	yes.
24	A Through menstrual	24	MS. THOMPSON:
	Page 223		Page 225
1	MS. CURRY:	1	Q Well, you certainly didn't know about
2			
	Object to the form.	2	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you?
3	Object to the form. A Not that I know of. I don't have any	2 3	
			dead sperm and sperm particles, did you?
3	A Not that I know of. I don't have any	3	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY:
3 4	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that.	3 4	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
3 4 5	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON:	3 4 5	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper.
3 4 5 6	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that you don't think it's	3 4 5 6	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you don't know about you don't
3 4 5 6 7	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that you don't think it's possible?	3 4 5 6 7	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you don't know about you don't
3 4 5 6 7 8	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that you don't think it's possible? A Again, from from it's	3 4 5 6 7 8	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you don't know about you don't know how many what percentage of women have retrograde menstruation, which is a classic paper
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that you don't think it's possible? A Again, from from it's interesting. So if menstrual flow coming out of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you don't know about you don't know how many what percentage of women have retrograde menstruation, which is a classic paper
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that you don't think it's possible? A Again, from from it's interesting. So if menstrual flow coming out of the vagina with a sanitary napkin, the talc then	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you don't know about you don't know how many what percentage of women have retrograde menstruation, which is a classic paper in gynecology gynecology? You don't know that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that you don't think it's possible? A Again, from from it's interesting. So if menstrual flow coming out of the vagina with a sanitary napkin, the talc then gets into the vagina up to the ovaries. It	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you don't know about you don't know how many what percentage of women have retrograde menstruation, which is a classic paper in gynecology gynecology? You don't know that percentage, do you?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that you don't think it's possible? A Again, from from it's interesting. So if menstrual flow coming out of the vagina with a sanitary napkin, the talc then gets into the vagina up to the ovaries. It doesn't make a lot of sense to me.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you don't know about you don't know how many what percentage of women have retrograde menstruation, which is a classic paper in gynecology gynecology? You don't know that percentage, do you? MS. CURRY:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that you don't think it's possible? A Again, from from it's interesting. So if menstrual flow coming out of the vagina with a sanitary napkin, the talc then gets into the vagina up to the ovaries. It doesn't make a lot of sense to me. Q What percentage of women have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you don't know about you don't know how many what percentage of women have retrograde menstruation, which is a classic paper in gynecology gynecology? You don't know that percentage, do you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that you don't think it's possible? A Again, from from it's interesting. So if menstrual flow coming out of the vagina with a sanitary napkin, the talc then gets into the vagina up to the ovaries. It doesn't make a lot of sense to me. Q What percentage of women have retrograde menstruation on a on a given	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you don't know about you don't know how many what percentage of women have retrograde menstruation, which is a classic paper in gynecology gynecology? You don't know that percentage, do you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I can't quote you that percentage.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that you don't think it's possible? A Again, from from it's interesting. So if menstrual flow coming out of the vagina with a sanitary napkin, the talc then gets into the vagina up to the ovaries. It doesn't make a lot of sense to me. Q What percentage of women have retrograde menstruation on a on a given period?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you don't know about you don't know how many what percentage of women have retrograde menstruation, which is a classic paper in gynecology gynecology? You don't know that percentage, do you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I can't quote you that percentage. MS. THOMPSON:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that you don't think it's possible? A Again, from from it's interesting. So if menstrual flow coming out of the vagina with a sanitary napkin, the talc then gets into the vagina up to the ovaries. It doesn't make a lot of sense to me. Q What percentage of women have retrograde menstruation on a on a given period? A I don't understand what you mean by	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you don't know about you don't know how many what percentage of women have retrograde menstruation, which is a classic paper in gynecology gynecology? You don't know that percentage, do you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I can't quote you that percentage. MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you know that women oftentimes use
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that you don't think it's possible? A Again, from from it's interesting. So if menstrual flow coming out of the vagina with a sanitary napkin, the talc then gets into the vagina up to the ovaries. It doesn't make a lot of sense to me. Q What percentage of women have retrograde menstruation on a on a given period? A I don't understand what you mean by that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you don't know about you don't know how many what percentage of women have retrograde menstruation, which is a classic paper in gynecology gynecology? You don't know that percentage, do you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I can't quote you that percentage. MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you know that women oftentimes use baby powder at bedtime?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that you don't think it's possible? A Again, from from it's interesting. So if menstrual flow coming out of the vagina with a sanitary napkin, the talc then gets into the vagina up to the ovaries. It doesn't make a lot of sense to me. Q What percentage of women have retrograde menstruation on a on a given period? A I don't understand what you mean by that. Q Do you think Dr. Clarke-Pearson	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you don't know about you don't know how many what percentage of women have retrograde menstruation, which is a classic paper in gynecology gynecology? You don't know that percentage, do you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I can't quote you that percentage. MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you know that women oftentimes use baby powder at bedtime? MS. CURRY:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that you don't think it's possible? A Again, from from it's interesting. So if menstrual flow coming out of the vagina with a sanitary napkin, the talc then gets into the vagina up to the ovaries. It doesn't make a lot of sense to me. Q What percentage of women have retrograde menstruation on a on a given period? A I don't understand what you mean by that. Q Do you think Dr. Clarke-Pearson probably knows that percentage?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you don't know about you don't know how many what percentage of women have retrograde menstruation, which is a classic paper in gynecology gynecology? You don't know that percentage, do you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I can't quote you that percentage. MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you know that women oftentimes use baby powder at bedtime? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that you don't think it's possible? A Again, from from it's interesting. So if menstrual flow coming out of the vagina with a sanitary napkin, the talc then gets into the vagina up to the ovaries. It doesn't make a lot of sense to me. Q What percentage of women have retrograde menstruation on a on a given period? A I don't understand what you mean by that. Q Do you think Dr. Clarke-Pearson probably knows that percentage? MS. CURRY:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you don't know about you don't know how many what percentage of women have retrograde menstruation, which is a classic paper in gynecology gynecology? You don't know that percentage, do you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I can't quote you that percentage. MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you know that women oftentimes use baby powder at bedtime? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I guess that's possible.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Not that I know of. I don't have any data for that. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is that you don't think it's possible? A Again, from from it's interesting. So if menstrual flow coming out of the vagina with a sanitary napkin, the talc then gets into the vagina up to the ovaries. It doesn't make a lot of sense to me. Q What percentage of women have retrograde menstruation on a on a given period? A I don't understand what you mean by that. Q Do you think Dr. Clarke-Pearson probably knows that percentage? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	dead sperm and sperm particles, did you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it's one paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you don't know about you don't know how many what percentage of women have retrograde menstruation, which is a classic paper in gynecology gynecology? You don't know that percentage, do you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I can't quote you that percentage. MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you know that women oftentimes use baby powder at bedtime? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I guess that's possible. MS. THOMPSON:

57 (Pages 222 to 225)

	Page 226		Page 228
1	Object to the form.	1	Object to the form.
2	A They may have put it on in an upright	2	A Yeah.
3	position.	3	The problem I have with that is I'm not
4	MS. THOMPSON:	4	sure what direction the pressure is in, because
5	Q And do you agree that women could have	5	obviously if you give oxytocin at the time of
6	powder on the perineum and use a tampon?	6	pregnancy after the delivery, expels the
7	MS. CURRY:	7	placenta, so some of that pressure's going to
8	Object to the form.	8	come down.
9	A I assume that's possible, yes.	9	And, then, too, the radioactive studies
10	MS. THOMPSON:	10	are really problematic because a lot of times the
11	Q And wouldn't it be possible that powder	11	label will come off of the microsphere. So you
12	on a tampon could be introduced into the vagina?	12	don't quite know where it's going.
13	MS. CURRY:	13	MS. THOMPSON:
14	Object to the form.	14	Q At what points in a female's in a
15	A It's possible.	15	woman's cycle are oxytocin levels the highest?
16	MS. THOMPSON:	16	A I can't quote you that.
17	Q And what what did Dr. Kunz, K-U-N-Z,	17	Q Would that be a question for
18	describe in an article regarding how particles	18	Dr. Clarke-Pearson?
19	and substances are transported to the upper	19	MS. CURRY:
20	genital tract?	20	Object to the form.
21	A So that's the peristaltic pump.	21	A He probably would know.
22	Q And describe that for me.	22	MS. THOMPSON:
23	A Yeah. So they went and looked at the	23	Q And are you aware of the studies
24	contractions they, first of all, tried to	24	showing that not only sperm particles and dead
	Page 227		Page 229
1	measure the pressure in the uterus based on this	1	sperm move through the upper genital tract but
2	contraction, and they used actually ultrasound to	2	even motile sperm move at a much faster rate than
3	do it, which is an indirect measure, of course.	3	would be predicted strictly based on their
4	Don't know really what the pressure is.	4	self-generated motility?
_			
5	Based upon finding that, then they went	5	MS. CURRY:
5 6	Based upon finding that, then they went on to, if I recall correctly, use micro	5 6	MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
6	on to, if I recall correctly, use micro	6	Object to the form.
6 7	on to, if I recall correctly, use micro radiolabeled microspheres to do a word I can't pronounce hysterosalpingoscintigraphy, whatever.	6 7 8 9	Object to the form. A Yeah. I actually recall seeing that in a study. MS. THOMPSON:
6 7 8 9 10	on to, if I recall correctly, use micro radiolabeled microspheres to do a word I can't pronounce hysterosalpingoscintigraphy, whatever. Q I can't either.	6 7 8 9 10	Object to the form. A Yeah. I actually recall seeing that in a study. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you aware that motile sperm
6 7 8 9 10 11	on to, if I recall correctly, use micro radiolabeled microspheres to do a word I can't pronounce hysterosalpingoscintigraphy, whatever. Q I can't either. A Yeah. And the idea was if I recall	6 7 8 9 10 11	Object to the form. A Yeah. I actually recall seeing that in a study. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you aware that motile sperm preferentially go to the side where ovulation has
6 7 8 9 10 11	on to, if I recall correctly, use micro radiolabeled microspheres to do a word I can't pronounce hysterosalpingoscintigraphy, whatever. Q I can't either. A Yeah. And the idea was if I recall correctly, the idea of that whole study was	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Object to the form. A Yeah. I actually recall seeing that in a study. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you aware that motile sperm preferentially go to the side where ovulation has occurred?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	on to, if I recall correctly, use micro radiolabeled microspheres to do a word I can't pronounce hysterosalpingoscintigraphy, whatever. Q I can't either. A Yeah. And the idea was if I recall correctly, the idea of that whole study was actually for I think fertility and pregnancy.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Object to the form. A Yeah. I actually recall seeing that in a study. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you aware that motile sperm preferentially go to the side where ovulation has occurred? A That, I'm not I can't quote you
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	on to, if I recall correctly, use micro radiolabeled microspheres to do a word I can't pronounce hysterosalpingoscintigraphy, whatever. Q I can't either. A Yeah. And the idea was if I recall correctly, the idea of that whole study was actually for I think fertility and pregnancy. And the idea was that they then saw this	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Object to the form. A Yeah. I actually recall seeing that in a study. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you aware that motile sperm preferentially go to the side where ovulation has occurred? A That, I'm not I can't quote you that. I don't know.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on to, if I recall correctly, use micro radiolabeled microspheres to do a word I can't pronounce hysterosalpingoscintigraphy, whatever. Q I can't either. A Yeah. And the idea was if I recall correctly, the idea of that whole study was actually for I think fertility and pregnancy. And the idea was that they then saw this radioactivity up in the areas and drew the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Object to the form. A Yeah. I actually recall seeing that in a study. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you aware that motile sperm preferentially go to the side where ovulation has occurred? A That, I'm not I can't quote you that. I don't know. Q So that would probably be another
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on to, if I recall correctly, use micro radiolabeled microspheres to do a word I can't pronounce hysterosalpingoscintigraphy, whatever. Q I can't either. A Yeah. And the idea was if I recall correctly, the idea of that whole study was actually for I think fertility and pregnancy. And the idea was that they then saw this radioactivity up in the areas and drew the conclusion that there is contraction to the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Object to the form. A Yeah. I actually recall seeing that in a study. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you aware that motile sperm preferentially go to the side where ovulation has occurred? A That, I'm not I can't quote you that. I don't know. Q So that would probably be another question for one of the gynecologists or
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on to, if I recall correctly, use micro radiolabeled microspheres to do a word I can't pronounce hysterosalpingoscintigraphy, whatever. Q I can't either. A Yeah. And the idea was if I recall correctly, the idea of that whole study was actually for I think fertility and pregnancy. And the idea was that they then saw this radioactivity up in the areas and drew the conclusion that there is contraction to the uterus and that they were hypothesizing that the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Object to the form. A Yeah. I actually recall seeing that in a study. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you aware that motile sperm preferentially go to the side where ovulation has occurred? A That, I'm not I can't quote you that. I don't know. Q So that would probably be another question for one of the gynecologists or MS. CURRY:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on to, if I recall correctly, use micro radiolabeled microspheres to do a word I can't pronounce hysterosalpingoscintigraphy, whatever. Q I can't either. A Yeah. And the idea was if I recall correctly, the idea of that whole study was actually for I think fertility and pregnancy. And the idea was that they then saw this radioactivity up in the areas and drew the conclusion that there is contraction to the uterus and that they were hypothesizing that the particles then were going up the tubes of the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Object to the form. A Yeah. I actually recall seeing that in a study. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you aware that motile sperm preferentially go to the side where ovulation has occurred? A That, I'm not I can't quote you that. I don't know. Q So that would probably be another question for one of the gynecologists or MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on to, if I recall correctly, use micro radiolabeled microspheres to do a word I can't pronounce hysterosalpingoscintigraphy, whatever. Q I can't either. A Yeah. And the idea was if I recall correctly, the idea of that whole study was actually for I think fertility and pregnancy. And the idea was that they then saw this radioactivity up in the areas and drew the conclusion that there is contraction to the uterus and that they were hypothesizing that the particles then were going up the tubes of the ovaries.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Object to the form. A Yeah. I actually recall seeing that in a study. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you aware that motile sperm preferentially go to the side where ovulation has occurred? A That, I'm not I can't quote you that. I don't know. Q So that would probably be another question for one of the gynecologists or MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on to, if I recall correctly, use micro radiolabeled microspheres to do a word I can't pronounce hysterosalpingoscintigraphy, whatever. Q I can't either. A Yeah. And the idea was if I recall correctly, the idea of that whole study was actually for I think fertility and pregnancy. And the idea was that they then saw this radioactivity up in the areas and drew the conclusion that there is contraction to the uterus and that they were hypothesizing that the particles then were going up the tubes of the ovaries. Q So it facilitates movement through	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Object to the form. A Yeah. I actually recall seeing that in a study. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you aware that motile sperm preferentially go to the side where ovulation has occurred? A That, I'm not I can't quote you that. I don't know. Q So that would probably be another question for one of the gynecologists or MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q gynecologic oncologists? Would you
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on to, if I recall correctly, use micro radiolabeled microspheres to do a word I can't pronounce hysterosalpingoscintigraphy, whatever. Q I can't either. A Yeah. And the idea was if I recall correctly, the idea of that whole study was actually for I think fertility and pregnancy. And the idea was that they then saw this radioactivity up in the areas and drew the conclusion that there is contraction to the uterus and that they were hypothesizing that the particles then were going up the tubes of the ovaries. Q So it facilitates movement through the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Object to the form. A Yeah. I actually recall seeing that in a study. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you aware that motile sperm preferentially go to the side where ovulation has occurred? A That, I'm not I can't quote you that. I don't know. Q So that would probably be another question for one of the gynecologists or MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q gynecologic oncologists? Would you agree?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on to, if I recall correctly, use micro radiolabeled microspheres to do a word I can't pronounce hysterosalpingoscintigraphy, whatever. Q I can't either. A Yeah. And the idea was if I recall correctly, the idea of that whole study was actually for I think fertility and pregnancy. And the idea was that they then saw this radioactivity up in the areas and drew the conclusion that there is contraction to the uterus and that they were hypothesizing that the particles then were going up the tubes of the ovaries. Q So it facilitates movement through the A Yeah.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Object to the form. A Yeah. I actually recall seeing that in a study. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you aware that motile sperm preferentially go to the side where ovulation has occurred? A That, I'm not I can't quote you that. I don't know. Q So that would probably be another question for one of the gynecologists or MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q gynecologic oncologists? Would you agree? A They they would have that, and their
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on to, if I recall correctly, use micro radiolabeled microspheres to do a word I can't pronounce hysterosalpingoscintigraphy, whatever. Q I can't either. A Yeah. And the idea was if I recall correctly, the idea of that whole study was actually for I think fertility and pregnancy. And the idea was that they then saw this radioactivity up in the areas and drew the conclusion that there is contraction to the uterus and that they were hypothesizing that the particles then were going up the tubes of the ovaries. Q So it facilitates movement through the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Object to the form. A Yeah. I actually recall seeing that in a study. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are you aware that motile sperm preferentially go to the side where ovulation has occurred? A That, I'm not I can't quote you that. I don't know. Q So that would probably be another question for one of the gynecologists or MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q gynecologic oncologists? Would you agree?

58 (Pages 226 to 229)

	Page 230		Page 232
1	Q Let's break for lunch.	1	study?
2	VIDEOGRAPHER:	2	MS. CURRY:
3	Off the record at 12:55 p m.	3	Object to the form.
4	(Lunch recess.)	4	A No. I'd have to go through them. Do
5	VIDEOGRAPHER:	5	you have them?
6	We're back on the record at 2:02 p m.	6	MS. THOMPSON:
7	MS. THOMPSON:	7	Q We're not gonna go through the 40
8	Q Dr. Birrer, I think we established this	8	studies, but
9	morning that it is your opinion that the genital	9	At least sitting here today, you can't
10	use of talcum powder is not a risk factor for	10	think of one right offhand, can you?
11	ovarian cancer; right?	11	A I'm happy to go through the studies.
12	A I'm sorry. Say that say that again.	12	Q Okay. Is it your opinion that genital
13	Q It's your opinion that talcum powder is	13	talcum powder use has been proven to be a safe
14	not a risk factor for ovarian cancer; right?		practice?
15		14	MS. CURRY:
16	A The use of talcum powder? O Yes.	15	
		16	Object to the form.
17	A Correct.	17	A We discussed that this morning. There
18	Q Can you point me to any article can	18	is no data I know that it's an unsafe practice.
19	you point me to an article that specifically	19	That's a review of the literature. And, so,
20	states genital talcum powder use is not a risk	20	it's I think in that context it's safe.
21	factor for for ovarian cancer?	21	MS. THOMPSON:
22	MS. CURRY:	22	Q In your previous or did you look at
23	Object to the form.	23	websites when you prepared your report this time
24	A That genital talcum powder use is not a	24	regarding talcum powder exposure and the risk for
	Page 231		Page 233
1	risk factor? I mean, if you look at the a lot	1	ovarian cancer?
2	of the case-control studies, about 40 percent of	2	MS. CURRY:
3	them are negative and	3	Object to the form.
4	MS. THOMPSON:	4	A Other than PubMed?
5	Q Well and by negative, you mean not	5	MS. THOMPSON:
6	statistically significant; right?	6	Q Right.
7	A (Nods affirmatively.) Negative. And	7	Like the American Cancer Society or NCI
8	cohort studies aren't either. And and,	8	or any websites.
9	actually, that and the cohort studies have	9	A Not for this one.
10	been sort of analyzed, reanalyzed in multiple	10	Q Had you looked at them before?
11	meta-analysis, and so they're all negative.	11	MS. CURRY:
12	Q But my question was: Did any of those	12	Object to the form.
13	studies conclude talcum powder is not a risk	13	A I think in the previous depositions, I
14	factor for ovarian cancer?	14	reported looking at one or two of them. I'd have
15	MS. CURRY:	15	to go back and look at that.
16	Object to the form.	16	MS. THOMPSON:
	A So there are studies that don't show a	17	Q Okay.
17	significant association between talcum use and	18	A Yeah.
17 18			Q And I think the American Cancer Society
18		l lu	And I dill American Cancer Society
18 19	MS. THOMPSON:	19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18 19 20	MS. THOMPSON: Q But I'm looking for	20	website was one of those that you looked at.
18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Q But I'm looking for A and ovarian cancer.	20 21	website was one of those that you looked at. Correct?
18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMPSON: Q But I'm looking for A and ovarian cancer. Q the statement that genital use of	20 21 22	website was one of those that you looked at. Correct? A Could be.
18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Q But I'm looking for A and ovarian cancer.	20 21	website was one of those that you looked at. Correct?

59 (Pages 230 to 233)

	Page 234		Page 236
1	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 17	1	talcum powder does not increase risk, are they?
2	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	2	MS. CURRY:
3	MS. THOMPSON:	3	Object to the form.
4	Q Does that look familiar?	4	A Say again.
5	A That looks like American Cancer	5	MS. THOMPSON:
6	Society's website. Because I see the logo.	6	Q They're not saying that talcum powder
7	Q And and would you use this statement	7	use does not increase cancer risk, do they?
8	on the American Cancer Society website to be	8	A I don't see that stated.
9	support for your opinion that talcum powder use	9	Q And and they say there is some
10	is not a risk factor for ovarian cancer?	10	suggestion of a possible increase in ovarian
11	A Is not a risk factor? Is not?	11	cancer risk; right?
12	Q Is not.	12	A Well, the statement I see is "It's not
13	A I wouldn't refer to this, no.	13	clear if consumer products containing talcum
14	Q Do you think that's what this document	14	increase cancer risks." That's pretty specific.
15	states?	15	Q They're saying it's not clear. It's
16	A I don't think this it doesn't seem	16	not saying it's not a risk, is it?
17	to me, based on what the ACS is saying they	17	MS. CURRY:
18	report that their findings are mixed, with some	18	Object to the form.
19	studies reporting a slightly increased risk and	19	A They're saying they don't know.
20	some reporting no increase.	20	MS. THOMPSON:
21	Q So the American Cancer Society, on	21	Q Right. And then the recommendation, by
22	their website, states that IARC has classified	22	the American Cancer Society, would be "Until more
23	tale that contains asbestos as carcinogenic to	23	information is available, people concerned about
24	humans; right?	24	using talcum powder may want to avoid or limit
	Page 235		Page 237
1			
	A You're on page 3?	1	their use of consumer products that contain it."
2	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6.	1 2	But you think any recommendation of
	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah.		But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct?
2 3 4	 Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from 	2 3 4	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5	 Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal 	2 3 4 5	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not 	2 3 4 5 6	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet? Q The second bullet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for whatever reason, may want to avoid or limit their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet? Q The second bullet. And then the third bullet is the IARC 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for whatever reason, may want to avoid or limit their use of consumer products that contain it and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet? Q The second bullet. And then the third bullet is the IARC that states that the perineal genital use of talc	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for whatever reason, may want to avoid or limit their use of consumer products that contain it and implies that it's the stress of knowing they're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet? Q The second bullet. And then the third bullet is the IARC that states that the perineal genital use of talc powder talc-based body powder is possibly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for whatever reason, may want to avoid or limit their use of consumer products that contain it and implies that it's the stress of knowing they're using it because of what they've interpreted. It
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet? Q The second bullet. And then the third bullet is the IARC that states that the perineal genital use of talc powder talc-based body powder is possibly carcinic carcinogenic to humans. That's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for whatever reason, may want to avoid or limit their use of consumer products that contain it and implies that it's the stress of knowing they're using it because of what they've interpreted. It doesn't really make any conclusions about talcum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet? Q The second bullet. And then the third bullet is the IARC that states that the perineal genital use of talc powder talc-based body powder is possibly carcinic carcinogenic to humans. That's the 2B classification; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for whatever reason, may want to avoid or limit their use of consumer products that contain it and implies that it's the stress of knowing they're using it because of what they've interpreted. It doesn't really make any conclusions about talcum powder.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet? Q The second bullet. And then the third bullet is the IARC that states that the perineal genital use of talc powder talc-based body powder is possibly carcinic carcinogenic to humans. That's the 2B classification; right? A 2B.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for whatever reason, may want to avoid or limit their use of consumer products that contain it and implies that it's the stress of knowing they're using it because of what they've interpreted. It doesn't really make any conclusions about talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet? Q The second bullet. And then the third bullet is the IARC that states that the perineal genital use of talc powder talc-based body powder is possibly carcinic carcinogenic to humans. That's the 2B classification; right? A 2B. Q And then it states that the US National	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for whatever reason, may want to avoid or limit their use of consumer products that contain it and implies that it's the stress of knowing they're using it because of what they've interpreted. It doesn't really make any conclusions about talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are there any medical benefits that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet? Q The second bullet. And then the third bullet is the IARC that states that the perineal genital use of talc powder talc-based body powder is possibly carcinic carcinogenic to humans. That's the 2B classification; right? A 2B. Q And then it states that the US National Toxicology Program, NTB, has not fully reviewed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for whatever reason, may want to avoid or limit their use of consumer products that contain it and implies that it's the stress of knowing they're using it because of what they've interpreted. It doesn't really make any conclusions about talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are there any medical benefits that you're aware of from the genital use of talcum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet? Q The second bullet. And then the third bullet is the IARC that states that the perineal genital use of talc powder talc-based body powder is possibly carcinic carcinogenic to humans. That's the 2B classification; right? A 2B. Q And then it states that the US National Toxicology Program, NTB, has not fully reviewed talc with or without asbestos as a possible	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for whatever reason, may want to avoid or limit their use of consumer products that contain it and implies that it's the stress of knowing they're using it because of what they've interpreted. It doesn't really make any conclusions about talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are there any medical benefits that you're aware of from the genital use of talcum powder?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet? Q The second bullet. And then the third bullet is the IARC that states that the perineal genital use of talc powder talc-based body powder is possibly carcinic carcinogenic to humans. That's the 2B classification; right? A 2B. Q And then it states that the US National Toxicology Program, NTB, has not fully reviewed talc with or without asbestos as a possible carcinogen; right? That's what it says.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for whatever reason, may want to avoid or limit their use of consumer products that contain it and implies that it's the stress of knowing they're using it because of what they've interpreted. It doesn't really make any conclusions about talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are there any medical benefits that you're aware of from the genital use of talcum powder? A Well, I think it's generally used to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet? Q The second bullet. And then the third bullet is the IARC that states that the perineal genital use of talc powder talc-based body powder is possibly carcinic carcinogenic to humans. That's the 2B classification; right? A 2B. Q And then it states that the US National Toxicology Program, NTB, has not fully reviewed talc with or without asbestos as a possible carcinogen; right? That's what it says. A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for whatever reason, may want to avoid or limit their use of consumer products that contain it and implies that it's the stress of knowing they're using it because of what they've interpreted. It doesn't really make any conclusions about talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are there any medical benefits that you're aware of from the genital use of talcum powder? A Well, I think it's generally used to absorb absorb fluid. It's a lot of women
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet? Q The second bullet. And then the third bullet is the IARC that states that the perineal genital use of talc powder talc-based body powder is possibly carcinic carcinogenic to humans. That's the 2B classification; right? A 2B. Q And then it states that the US National Toxicology Program, NTB, has not fully reviewed talc with or without asbestos as a possible carcinogen; right? That's what it says. A Correct. Q And, then, as as you said, the ACS	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for whatever reason, may want to avoid or limit their use of consumer products that contain it and implies that it's the stress of knowing they're using it because of what they've interpreted. It doesn't really make any conclusions about talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are there any medical benefits that you're aware of from the genital use of talcum powder? A Well, I think it's generally used to absorb absorb fluid. It's a lot of women like it. It's a body image issue. You know, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet? Q The second bullet. And then the third bullet is the IARC that states that the perineal genital use of talc powder talc-based body powder is possibly carcinic carcinogenic to humans. That's the 2B classification; right? A 2B. Q And then it states that the US National Toxicology Program, NTB, has not fully reviewed talc with or without asbestos as a possible carcinogen; right? That's what it says. A Correct. Q And, then, as as you said, the ACS states it's not clear if consumer products	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for whatever reason, may want to avoid or limit their use of consumer products that contain it and implies that it's the stress of knowing they're using it because of what they've interpreted. It doesn't really make any conclusions about talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are there any medical benefits that you're aware of from the genital use of talcum powder? A Well, I think it's generally used to absorb absorb fluid. It's a lot of women like it. It's a body image issue. You know, so I think those issues and again, I treat a lot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet? Q The second bullet. And then the third bullet is the IARC that states that the perineal genital use of talc powder talc-based body powder is possibly carcinic carcinogenic to humans. That's the 2B classification; right? A 2B. Q And then it states that the US National Toxicology Program, NTB, has not fully reviewed talc with or without asbestos as a possible carcinogen; right? That's what it says. A Correct. Q And, then, as as you said, the ACS states it's not clear if consumer products containing talcum powder increase cancer risk.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for whatever reason, may want to avoid or limit their use of consumer products that contain it and implies that it's the stress of knowing they're using it because of what they've interpreted. It doesn't really make any conclusions about talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are there any medical benefits that you're aware of from the genital use of talcum powder? A Well, I think it's generally used to absorb absorb fluid. It's a lot of women like it. It's a body image issue. You know, so I think those issues and again, I treat a lot of women with ovarian cancer are important.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Yeah. 30 yeah, 3 of 6. A Yeah. Q And then based on the lack of data from human studies and unlimited data in lab animal studies, IARC classified inhaled talc not containing asbestos as not classifiable; right? A The second bullet? Q The second bullet. And then the third bullet is the IARC that states that the perineal genital use of talc powder talc-based body powder is possibly carcinic carcinogenic to humans. That's the 2B classification; right? A 2B. Q And then it states that the US National Toxicology Program, NTB, has not fully reviewed talc with or without asbestos as a possible carcinogen; right? That's what it says. A Correct. Q And, then, as as you said, the ACS states it's not clear if consumer products	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	But you think any recommendation of that kind is not indicated; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it depends on how you read that. I mean, I think what they're suggesting is that people concerned about using talcum powder, for whatever reason, may want to avoid or limit their use of consumer products that contain it and implies that it's the stress of knowing they're using it because of what they've interpreted. It doesn't really make any conclusions about talcum powder. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are there any medical benefits that you're aware of from the genital use of talcum powder? A Well, I think it's generally used to absorb absorb fluid. It's a lot of women like it. It's a body image issue. You know, so I think those issues and again, I treat a lot

60 (Pages 234 to 237)

	Page 238		Page 240
1	Are there any medical benefits to the	1	A Again, you asked me the question about
2	genital use of talcum powder?	2	do I think there's some medical benefit. I
3	MS. CURRY:	3	the answer is yes. I mean
4	Object to the form.	4	MS. THOMPSON:
5	A That is a medical use?	5	Q But that's never been published
6	MS. THOMPSON:	6	anywhere that you're aware of, has it?
7	Q Are there any benefits, is the	7	MS. CURRY:
8	question.	8	Object to the form.
9	A Yeah.	9	A As I said before, I I can't quote
10	MS. CURRY:	10	you that.
11	Object to the form.	11	MS. THOMPSON:
12	MS. THOMPSON:	12	Q Is it have you seen in the medical
13	Q Where are where are those benefits	13	literature that there are no benefits, medical
14	reported?	14	benefits from the use of talcum powder in the
15	A That's quality of life.	15	genital area?
16	Q Where in the medical literature can you	16	MS. CURRY:
17	show a report that describes medical benefits	17	Object to the form.
18	from the genital use of talcum powder?	18	A I don't think I've actually seen that.
19	A Well, it's not in and again, I	19	MS. THOMPSON:
20	didn't review that for this expert report, so	20	Q Would you be surprised if there are
21	but you're asking me.	21	references in numerous articles that say because
22	Q When you if you're trying to make a	22	there are no medical benefits of talcum powder
23	risk assessment, wouldn't you know if you're	23	use, it's not recommended?
24	weighing the benefits versus the potential risks?	24	MS. CURRY:
	Page 239		Page 241
1	A Well, I evaluated the risks, and there	1	01: 4 4- 41 6
2			Object to the form.
	are none.	2	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to
3	are none. Q So you just evaluated the risk and		
		2	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to
3	Q So you just evaluated the risk and	2 3	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them.
3 4	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there	2 3 4	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON:
3 4 5	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not.	2 3 4 5	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature
3 4 5 6	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit	2 3 4 5 6	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women
3 4 5 6 7	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder?
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm sorry. Go ahead. I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A Can you repeat that? I the cough.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm sorry. Go ahead. I'm sorry. Yeah. My benefit would be based upon	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A Can you repeat that? I the cough. Q Have you seen in the medical literature
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm sorry. Go ahead. I'm sorry. Yeah. My benefit would be based upon my own experience. It's not necessarily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A Can you repeat that? I the cough. Q Have you seen in the medical literature that where cornstarch products are recommended
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm sorry. Go ahead. I'm sorry. Yeah. My benefit would be based upon my own experience. It's not necessarily published in medical literature.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A Can you repeat that? I the cough. Q Have you seen in the medical literature that where cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A You know, I haven't seen the I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm sorry. Go ahead. I'm sorry. Yeah. My benefit would be based upon my own experience. It's not necessarily published in medical literature. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A Can you repeat that? I the cough. Q Have you seen in the medical literature that where cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm sorry. Go ahead. I'm sorry. Yeah. My benefit would be based upon my own experience. It's not necessarily published in medical literature. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. Well, that would certainly be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A Can you repeat that? I the cough. Q Have you seen in the medical literature that where cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A You know, I haven't seen the I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm sorry. Go ahead. I'm sorry. Yeah. My benefit would be based upon my own experience. It's not necessarily published in medical literature. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. Well, that would certainly be anecdotal, wouldn't it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A Can you repeat that? I the cough. Q Have you seen in the medical literature that where cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A You know, I haven't seen the I haven't seen the medical literature recommending cornstarch over talcum. But I have seen I've seen discussions about women who use cornstarch.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm sorry. Go ahead. I'm sorry. Yeah. My benefit would be based upon my own experience. It's not necessarily published in medical literature. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. Well, that would certainly be anecdotal, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A Can you repeat that? I the cough. Q Have you seen in the medical literature that where cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A You know, I haven't seen the I haven't seen the medical literature recommending cornstarch over talcum. But I have seen I've seen discussions about women who use cornstarch. Q And again, there have never been any
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm sorry. Go ahead. I'm sorry. Yeah. My benefit would be based upon my own experience. It's not necessarily published in medical literature. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. Well, that would certainly be anecdotal, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A Can you repeat that? I the cough. Q Have you seen in the medical literature that where cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A You know, I haven't seen the I haven't seen the medical literature recommending cornstarch over talcum. But I have seen I've seen discussions about women who use cornstarch.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm sorry. Go ahead. I'm sorry. Yeah. My benefit would be based upon my own experience. It's not necessarily published in medical literature. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. Well, that would certainly be anecdotal, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, you know, I've got a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A Can you repeat that? I the cough. Q Have you seen in the medical literature that where cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A You know, I haven't seen the I haven't seen the medical literature recommending cornstarch over talcum. But I have seen I've seen discussions about women who use cornstarch. Q And again, there have never been any risks that you're aware of into related to the genital use of cornstarch products and the link
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm sorry. Go ahead. I'm sorry. Yeah. My benefit would be based upon my own experience. It's not necessarily published in medical literature. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. Well, that would certainly be anecdotal, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, you know, I've got a lot of experience. MS. THOMPSON: Q It's still anecdotal, isn't it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A Can you repeat that? I the cough. Q Have you seen in the medical literature that where cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A You know, I haven't seen the I haven't seen the medical literature recommending cornstarch over talcum. But I have seen I've seen discussions about women who use cornstarch. Q And again, there have never been any risks that you're aware of into related to the genital use of cornstarch products and the link with ovarian cancer; right?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm sorry. Go ahead. I'm sorry. Yeah. My benefit would be based upon my own experience. It's not necessarily published in medical literature. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. Well, that would certainly be anecdotal, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, you know, I've got a lot of experience. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A Can you repeat that? I the cough. Q Have you seen in the medical literature that where cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A You know, I haven't seen the I haven't seen the medical literature recommending cornstarch over talcum. But I have seen I've seen discussions about women who use cornstarch. Q And again, there have never been any risks that you're aware of into related to the genital use of cornstarch products and the link with ovarian cancer; right? A I don't know of any.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm sorry. Go ahead. I'm sorry. Yeah. My benefit would be based upon my own experience. It's not necessarily published in medical literature. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. Well, that would certainly be anecdotal, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, you know, I've got a lot of experience. MS. THOMPSON: Q It's still anecdotal, isn't it, Dr. Birrer? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A Can you repeat that? I the cough. Q Have you seen in the medical literature that where cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A You know, I haven't seen the I haven't seen the medical literature recommending cornstarch over talcum. But I have seen I've seen discussions about women who use cornstarch. Q And again, there have never been any risks that you're aware of into related to the genital use of cornstarch products and the link with ovarian cancer; right? A I don't know of any. Q You mentioned earlier this morning the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q So you just evaluated the risk and it it wouldn't matter to you whether there were benefits or not. A Well, my benefit MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm sorry. Go ahead. I'm sorry. Yeah. My benefit would be based upon my own experience. It's not necessarily published in medical literature. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. Well, that would certainly be anecdotal, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, you know, I've got a lot of experience. MS. THOMPSON: Q It's still anecdotal, isn't it, Dr. Birrer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I'd be happy to I'd be happy to review them. MS. THOMPSON: Q Have you seen in the medical literature that cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A Can you repeat that? I the cough. Q Have you seen in the medical literature that where cornstarch products are recommended if women choose to use a dusting powder over talcum powder? A You know, I haven't seen the I haven't seen the medical literature recommending cornstarch over talcum. But I have seen I've seen discussions about women who use cornstarch. Q And again, there have never been any risks that you're aware of into related to the genital use of cornstarch products and the link with ovarian cancer; right? A I don't know of any.

61 (Pages 238 to 241)

	Page 242		Page 244
1	Medicine as a as a possibly the most	1	Q I'll give it to you in a minute.
2	reputable source of credible information.	2	A Okay.
3	Would did I describe that sort of	3	Q I just want to ask you a few questions
4	correctly?	4	first.
5	MS. CURRY:	5	Why did you decline to review?
6	Object to the form.	6	A I was too busy.
7	A I don't recall saying it's the most,	7	Q Okay. Because it was a big book?
8	but I used it in context of comparing IARC, if I	8	A It's monstrous.
9	recall correctly, versus some other sort of pure	9	Q However, several of the authors have
10	scientific professional organization, which I	10	been coauthors with you on on papers. Is one
11	would include the National Academy to be that.	11	of them Dr. Karlan?
12	MS. THOMPSON:	12	A I believe I've been on papers with
13	Q Okay. Fair enough.	13	Beth. And I think Anil Sood was on there, too.
14	And I'm sure you're familiar with the	14	THE COURT REPORTER:
15	treatise it's actually came out in book	15	Excuse me?
16	form of the study by the Institute of	16	THE WITNESS:
17	Medicine, I believe, at that time, on ovarian	17	Anil Sood, S-O-O-D.
18	cancer?	18	MS. THOMPSON:
19	A Yes.	19	Q And Ronald Alvarez Alvarez published
20	Q Did you participate at all in that	20	with you, I think?
21	study?	21	A I believe so.
22	A They asked me to review it.	22	Q Dr. Karlan's published with you.
23	Q You were one of the reviewers?	23	A (Nods affirmatively.)
24	A They asked me to review it.	24	Q Dr. Levine has published with you?
	Page 243		Page 245
1	Q Oh.	1	A Doug and I are on a couple of papers,
2	A I declined.	2	yeah.
3	Q They asked you to review it and you did	3	Q Doug Levine?
4	not review it. That explains it, because I	4	A Yeah.
5	didn't see your name on the list.	5	Q Dr. Odunsi, Kunle Odunsi
6	And that was published in 2016?	6	A Kunle. Kunle.
7	A Uh-huh.	7	Q has published with you. And
8	Q And what was your understanding of the	8	Dr. Sood you mentioned; right?
9	purpose of that study?	9	And Dr is it Tworoger or
10	MS. CURRY:	10	A Two Twergger?
11	Object to the form.	11	Q Two Twoauger?
12	A It I you know, I think it was	12	A T-W-O-G-E-R [sic].
13	this is it's just medicine undertakes this	13	Q Has published with you?
14	periodically for large topics, and that was one	14	A I think so, yes. I'd have to check
15	of them, to sort of summarize the state of the	15	that.
16	science.	16	Q So you were, I would say, well
17	MS. THOMPSON:	17	represented on the
18	Q And the in fact, the committee that	18	MS. CURRY:
19	did the study was a committee on the state of the	19	Object to the form.
20	science in ovarian cancer research; is that	20	A Well, I know them.
21	correct? So you called	21	MS. THOMPSON:
22	A This is the one by Beth Karlan?	22	Q on the author list?
23	Q Yeah.	23	MS. CURRY:
24	A Yeah.	24	Object to the form.

62 (Pages 242 to 245)

	Page 246		Page 248
1	MS. THOMPSON:	1	A Correct.
2	Q And and I assume you would agree	2	Q The State of the Science authors state,
3	with me that the committee to report on the state	3	under "Inflammation," "Studies of the
4	of the science of ovarian cancer research was	4	inflammatory marker C-reactive protein suggest a
5	selected because of their expertise in the area;	5	possible association between inflammation and
6	correct?	6	increased risk of ovarian cancer," citing OC and
7	A Yes.	7	Poole.
8	MS. CURRY:	8	"Other specific inflammatory factors
9	Object to the form.	9	have also been associated with ovarian cancer."
10	MS. THOMPSON:	10	Do you agree that the authors of this
11	Q And, as we mentioned, this study was	11	treatise reported that there's a possible
12	under the auspices of the National Academy of	12	association between inflammation and increased
13	Science, Medicine and Engineering, Institute of	13	risk for ovarian cancer?
14	Medicine, I believe, originally; correct?	14	A Well, on these on these two
15	A Correct.	15	sentences, I think they accurately stated,
16	Q And is it your understanding that this	16	"suggests association." And then they refer I
17	study was also supported by the CDC?	17	don't these two papers, I can't directly quote
18	A That, I don't know.	18	you. I mean
19	Q All right. Let me just go ahead and	19	Q And I and I'm not
20	give it to you.	20	A Yeah.
21	A Yeah.	21	Q suggesting that they do anything
22	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 18 WAS	22	other than suggest the possible association.
23	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	23	A Right.
24	MS. THOMPSON:	24	Q I'm not trying to read more into it.
1	Page 247 Q Exhibit 18 I'm marking as Ovarian	1	Page 249 A Okay.
2	Cancers, Evolving Paradigms in Research and Care.	2	Q And then they describe "A meta-analysis
3	And this is not the entire book, but it is the	3	reported that exposure to asbestos was associated
4	entire chapter that we're going to look at, which	4	with a 77 percent increased risk of ovarian
5	is "Prevention and Early Detection," Chapter 3.	5	cancer mortality," citing Carmargo.
6	And if you look on page little ix, page	6	Are you familiar with that paper?
7	9, preface	7	A I am familiar with that. That's the
8	A 9? 9?	8	occasional exposure, if I recall correctly.
9	Q Little nine.	9	Q And "The International Agency For
10	A Yeah.	10	Research on Cancer determined that there was
11	Q Yeah. The the first sentence, "This	11	sufficient evidence to support a causal
12	congressionally mandated report sponsored by the	12	relationship between asbestos exposure and
	Centers For Disease Control and Prevention	13	ovarian cancer."
13		1	
14	assesses the state of research on ovarian cancers	14	So the authors of this treatise include
14 15	assesses the state of research on ovarian cancers from multiple perspectives and by multiple	14 15	exposure to asbestos and its association with
14 15 16	assesses the state of research on ovarian cancers from multiple perspectives and by multiple disciplines."	15 16	exposure to asbestos and its association with ovarian cancer in the Inflammation section of
14 15 16 17	assesses the state of research on ovarian cancers from multiple perspectives and by multiple disciplines." So do you agree that the Center For	15 16 17	exposure to asbestos and its association with ovarian cancer in the Inflammation section of of risk factors; right?
14 15 16 17 18	assesses the state of research on ovarian cancers from multiple perspectives and by multiple disciplines." So do you agree that the Center For Disease Control sponsored the study?	15 16 17 18	exposure to asbestos and its association with ovarian cancer in the Inflammation section of of risk factors; right? A Say that again? Sorry. For asbestos?
14 15 16 17 18	assesses the state of research on ovarian cancers from multiple perspectives and by multiple disciplines." So do you agree that the Center For Disease Control sponsored the study? A Correct.	15 16 17 18 19	exposure to asbestos and its association with ovarian cancer in the Inflammation section of of risk factors; right? A Say that again? Sorry. For asbestos? Q The authors of this treatise include
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	assesses the state of research on ovarian cancers from multiple perspectives and by multiple disciplines." So do you agree that the Center For Disease Control sponsored the study? A Correct. Q If you'll turn to page I don't have	15 16 17 18 19 20	exposure to asbestos and its association with ovarian cancer in the Inflammation section of of risk factors; right? A Say that again? Sorry. For asbestos? Q The authors of this treatise include exposure to asbestos and its association with
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	assesses the state of research on ovarian cancers from multiple perspectives and by multiple disciplines." So do you agree that the Center For Disease Control sponsored the study? A Correct. Q If you'll turn to page I don't have pages on my copy. Page 110. Under the section	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	exposure to asbestos and its association with ovarian cancer in the Inflammation section of of risk factors; right? A Say that again? Sorry. For asbestos? Q The authors of this treatise include exposure to asbestos and its association with ovarian cancer in the Inflammation section of
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	assesses the state of research on ovarian cancers from multiple perspectives and by multiple disciplines." So do you agree that the Center For Disease Control sponsored the study? A Correct. Q If you'll turn to page I don't have pages on my copy. Page 110. Under the section heading "Inflammation." And this is in a larger	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	exposure to asbestos and its association with ovarian cancer in the Inflammation section of of risk factors; right? A Say that again? Sorry. For asbestos? Q The authors of this treatise include exposure to asbestos and its association with ovarian cancer in the Inflammation section of risk factors; right?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	assesses the state of research on ovarian cancers from multiple perspectives and by multiple disciplines." So do you agree that the Center For Disease Control sponsored the study? A Correct. Q If you'll turn to page I don't have pages on my copy. Page 110. Under the section	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	exposure to asbestos and its association with ovarian cancer in the Inflammation section of of risk factors; right? A Say that again? Sorry. For asbestos? Q The authors of this treatise include exposure to asbestos and its association with ovarian cancer in the Inflammation section of

63 (Pages 246 to 249)

	Page 250		Page 252
1	studies of talc use which is chemically similar	1	one else anywhere in the literature to question
2	to asbestos and can cause an inflammatory	2	even this, I don't agree with.
3	response."	3	MS. THOMPSON:
4	Do you agree with that statement?	4	Q Okay. So you so you disagree with
5	A I I actually hesitate a little on	5	the authors including that statement in in
6	that because I'm not so sure that that's a	6	this treatise?
7	temporal relationship, that it was the asbestos	7	A I just think it's not defined. They
8	association that then led to the investigation of	8	defined it, then I would have felt a lot better.
9	talc. I don't know, when Dan Cramer published	9	Can cause granulomas inflammatory response. That
10	his first paper, that's what was driving him.	10	would have been more accurate.
11	Q Do you have any other disagreement with	11	Q I can understand that you think it
12	the the statement other than whether it led to	12	should have been defined better.
13	the studies of talc use?	13	A Yeah.
14	MS. CURRY:	14	Q But do you agree with the statement
15	Object to the form.	15	that's in this treatise, or disagree?
16	A I don't know. Again, we've covered	16	MS. CURRY:
17	this. I'm not a mineralogist, so I don't know	17	Object to the form.
18	the similarity issues. And inflammatory response	18	A No opinion.
19	is not defined. So other than that, it's fine.	19	MS. THOMPSON:
20	MS. THOMPSON:	20	Q But you'll agree that at least these
21	Q Well, the authors let's take out the	21	experts thought it was worthwhile putting the
22	asbestos and say "Talc can cause inflammatory	22	statement in this State of the Science treatise
23	response." Do you agree or disagree with that?	23	on ovarian cancer published in 2016; right?
24	A Well, inflammation is a broad issue and	24	MS. CURRY:
	Page 251		Page 253
1	it's very relevant to this debate, which is are	1	Object to the form.
2	we talking granulomas, acute, chronic but	2	A Yeah. Apparently.
3	nongranuloma? I think that's a big issue.	3	MS. THOMPSON:
4	Q Well, these were the authors that were	4	Q Do you know Jason Wright?
5	selected because of their expertise to do a State	5	A Division head at Columbia?
6	of the Science treatise at the behest of the	6	Q Yes.
7	National Academy of Science and CDC.	7	A I do know Jason. Not I know him by
8	I'm just asking you do you agree with	8	reputation. I don't think I've ever actually met
9	the statement "Talc can cause an inflammatory	9	him.
10	response"?	10	Q And what is his reputation?
10 11	MS. CURRY:	11	Q And what is his reputation?A I think he's got a good reputation
	MS. CURRY: Object to the form.		Q And what is his reputation? A I think he's got a good reputation running his division, and he's a good surgeon.
11	MS. CURRY:	11	 Q And what is his reputation? A I think he's got a good reputation running his division, and he's a good surgeon. Q Have you ever published with Jason
11 12 13 14	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A And and I'm I'm answering it. MS. THOMPSON:	11 12	Q And what is his reputation? A I think he's got a good reputation running his division, and he's a good surgeon. Q Have you ever published with Jason Wright?
11 12 13 14 15	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A And and I'm I'm answering it. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you say you don't know? You can't	11 12 13 14 15	 Q And what is his reputation? A I think he's got a good reputation running his division, and he's a good surgeon. Q Have you ever published with Jason Wright? A I don't believe so.
11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A And and I'm I'm answering it. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you say you don't know? You can't agree or disagree? Is that what you're saying?	11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q And what is his reputation? A I think he's got a good reputation running his division, and he's a good surgeon. Q Have you ever published with Jason Wright? A I don't believe so. Q You're right. That was a trick
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A And and I'm I'm answering it. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you say you don't know? You can't agree or disagree? Is that what you're saying? MS. CURRY:	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And what is his reputation? A I think he's got a good reputation running his division, and he's a good surgeon. Q Have you ever published with Jason Wright? A I don't believe so. Q You're right. That was a trick question.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A And and I'm I'm answering it. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you say you don't know? You can't agree or disagree? Is that what you're saying? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And what is his reputation? A I think he's got a good reputation running his division, and he's a good surgeon. Q Have you ever published with Jason Wright? A I don't believe so. Q You're right. That was a trick question. I'm gonna mark
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A And and I'm I'm answering it. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you say you don't know? You can't agree or disagree? Is that what you're saying? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A The inflammation is not defined. I	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q And what is his reputation? A I think he's got a good reputation running his division, and he's a good surgeon. Q Have you ever published with Jason Wright? A I don't believe so. Q You're right. That was a trick question. I'm gonna mark MS. CURRY:
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A And and I'm I'm answering it. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you say you don't know? You can't agree or disagree? Is that what you're saying? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A The inflammation is not defined. I don't know if the similarity between asbestos and	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And what is his reputation? A I think he's got a good reputation running his division, and he's a good surgeon. Q Have you ever published with Jason Wright? A I don't believe so. Q You're right. That was a trick question. I'm gonna mark MS. CURRY: I should have objected.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A And and I'm I'm answering it. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you say you don't know? You can't agree or disagree? Is that what you're saying? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A The inflammation is not defined. I don't know if the similarity between asbestos and talc. So other than that, I think it's fine.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And what is his reputation? A I think he's got a good reputation running his division, and he's a good surgeon. Q Have you ever published with Jason Wright? A I don't believe so. Q You're right. That was a trick question. I'm gonna mark MS. CURRY: I should have objected. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 19
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A And and I'm I'm answering it. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you say you don't know? You can't agree or disagree? Is that what you're saying? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A The inflammation is not defined. I don't know if the similarity between asbestos and talc. So other than that, I think it's fine. But the the the implication that all of the	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And what is his reputation? A I think he's got a good reputation running his division, and he's a good surgeon. Q Have you ever published with Jason Wright? A I don't believe so. Q You're right. That was a trick question. I'm gonna mark MS. CURRY: I should have objected. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 19 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A And and I'm I'm answering it. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you say you don't know? You can't agree or disagree? Is that what you're saying? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A The inflammation is not defined. I don't know if the similarity between asbestos and talc. So other than that, I think it's fine. But the the the implication that all of the ovarian cancer experts are on this on this	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q And what is his reputation? A I think he's got a good reputation running his division, and he's a good surgeon. Q Have you ever published with Jason Wright? A I don't believe so. Q You're right. That was a trick question. I'm gonna mark MS. CURRY: I should have objected. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 19 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON:
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A And and I'm I'm answering it. MS. THOMPSON: Q And you say you don't know? You can't agree or disagree? Is that what you're saying? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A The inflammation is not defined. I don't know if the similarity between asbestos and talc. So other than that, I think it's fine. But the the the implication that all of the	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q And what is his reputation? A I think he's got a good reputation running his division, and he's a good surgeon. Q Have you ever published with Jason Wright? A I don't believe so. Q You're right. That was a trick question. I'm gonna mark MS. CURRY: I should have objected. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 19 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

64 (Pages 250 to 253)

	Page 254		Page 256
1	Jason Wright's as Exhibit Number 19.	1	THE WITNESS:
2	Sorry. I thought I gave you mine.	2	Oh, leaving you in the dust? Sorry.
3	THE WITNESS:	3	And then the use UKC talc studies,
4	We're done with IM?	4	it really pales in comparison because and I
5	MS. THOMPSON:	5	looked at Penninkilampi pretty carefully. It
6	Q Yeah, I think so. And this was an	6	kind of revisited all of the previous data. I
7	article published in not an article. It's	7	think I I would assume that Jason doesn't
8	a under a practice issue, which I think is an	8	necessarily keep up with this literature, so when
9	ongoing column, basically, in The Green Journal.	9	it came out, he looked at it and said, ah, it's a
10	What's The Green Journal?	10	meta-analysis. But it doesn't bring much to the
11	A OB-GYN, I think?	11	table, I think.
12	Q And is that the journal that the	12	MS. THOMPSON:
13	journal that's published under the ACOG auspices?	13	Q Well, you're obviously speculating as
14	A I believe so.	14	to Dr. Wright's reasoning, because neither
15	Q Are you a member of ACOG?	15	neither one of us knows. But at least Dr. Wright
16	A No.	16	chose to include this as one of the four best
17	Q And this was published in December of	17	articles regarding ovarian cancer in the past
18	2018, about six months ago. And was titled "Best	18	year published in 2018; right?
19	Articles From the Past Year." And the second	19	MS. CURRY:
20	article listed out of four and these were	20	Object to the form.
21	what's new in ovarian cancer is the	21	A Well, I think he I think he I
22	Penninkilampi article published in Epidemiology.	22	think he exposed his reasoning a little bit by
23	A Uh-huh.	23	the last sentence in the first paragraph. "The
24	Q And Dr. Wright concludes that, bottom	24	possible association with talcum and brain cancer
			Page 257
1	line, "Perineal application of talc is associated	1	has attracted media attention, resulting in a
2	with a small increased risk of ovarian cancer."	2	number of lawsuits."
3	Do you disagree with that conclusion by	3	So I think that's part of the reason he
4	Dr. Wright?	4	feels this is relevant. Doesn't bring a lot of
5	MS. CURRY:	5	science.
6	Object to the form.	6	MS. THOMPSON:
7	A That's his I'm trying to figure out	7	Q Well, I don't think it was meant to
8	where you're reading. It's the bottom-line	8	bring science. He was choosing this article for
9	statement?	9	its its relevance for the readership of the
10	MS. THOMPSON:	10	American College of OB-GYN journal; correct?
11	Q Bottom line, yes.	11	MS. CURRY:
12	A Yeah, I would disagree with that.	12	Object to the form.
i	Q Do you disagree with it the	13	A I would agree with that.
13		14	MS. THOMPSON:
13 14	inclusion of the Penninkilampi meta-analysis as	1 7.4	
	inclusion of the Penninkilampi meta-analysis as one of the best articles from the past year?	15	O Do you have an opinion as to whether
14	inclusion of the Penninkilampi meta-analysis as one of the best articles from the past year? MS. CURRY:	15	Q Do you have an opinion as to whether talc, the mineral talc, is inert?
14 15	one of the best articles from the past year? MS. CURRY:		Q Do you have an opinion as to whether talc, the mineral talc, is inert? MS. CURRY:
14 15 16	one of the best articles from the past year? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	15 16	talc, the mineral talc, is inert? MS. CURRY:
14 15 16 17 18	one of the best articles from the past year? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A You know, it's interesting. I would,	15 16 17 18	talc, the mineral talc, is inert? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
14 15 16 17	one of the best articles from the past year? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A You know, it's interesting. I would, actually. I when when you compare it to	15 16 17	talc, the mineral talc, is inert? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A You have to define "inert."
14 15 16 17 18	one of the best articles from the past year? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A You know, it's interesting. I would, actually. I when when you compare it to Aerial Three and the Carbon Inhibitors and the	15 16 17 18 19 20	talc, the mineral talc, is inert? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A You have to define "inert." MS. THOMPSON:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	one of the best articles from the past year? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A You know, it's interesting. I would, actually. I when when you compare it to Aerial Three and the Carbon Inhibitors and the hypothermic intraperineal chemotherapy, which was	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	talc, the mineral talc, is inert? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A You have to define "inert." MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you have an opinion as to whether
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	one of the best articles from the past year? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A You know, it's interesting. I would, actually. I when when you compare it to Aerial Three and the Carbon Inhibitors and the hypothermic intraperineal chemotherapy, which was a New England Journal paper	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	talc, the mineral talc, is inert? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A You have to define "inert." MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you have an opinion as to whether the mineral talc, if it occurs in pure form
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	one of the best articles from the past year? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A You know, it's interesting. I would, actually. I when when you compare it to Aerial Three and the Carbon Inhibitors and the hypothermic intraperineal chemotherapy, which was	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	talc, the mineral talc, is inert? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A You have to define "inert." MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you have an opinion as to whether

65 (Pages 254 to 257)

	Page 258		Page 260
1	Object to the form.	1	MS. CURRY:
2	A Chemically inert, meaning again, I'm	2	Sorry.
3	struggling with this, that it it it can	3	A That, I don't think I could say with
4	enter into chemical reaction with other	4	confidence.
5	substances.	5	MS. THOMPSON:
6	MS. THOMPSON:	6	Q So even though talc used for
7	Q I'd just seen that phrase used, so I	7	pleurodesis is biologically is not
8	wanted to see if you had an understanding of what	8	biologically inert, you wouldn't be able to say
9	it meant and and whether it's that	9	whether baby powder was or not?
10	statement would be true.	10	A Well, we
11		11	MS. CURRY:
	A I really would need if if you've		
12	seen it said, do you have it so I can look at it?	12	Object to the form.
13	Q I've seen it by your your fellow	13	A Well, we didn't put baby powder into
14	experts.	14	the pleural cavities of patients, so we really
15	A And and what was the context? There	15	haven't done that.
16	must have been a context.	16	MS. THOMPSON:
17	Q And the context was talc is chemically	17	Q Would you have any reason to suspect
18	inert. Would you have an opinion on that?	18	that baby powder would behave in a less
19	MS. CURRY:	19	biologically active manner than the talc used in
20	Object to the form.	20	pleurodesis?
21	A I think I would say no opinion right	21	MS. CURRY:
22	now.	22	Object to the form.
23	MS. THOMPSON:	23	A Well, the talc you know, the talc
24	Q Okay. Is it biologically inert?	24	used in pleurodesis is and I'm putting
	Page 259		Page 261
1	MS. CURRY:	1	quotations around this relatively pure, and
2	Object to the form.	2	it's gonna be different than the baby powder.
3	MS. THOMPSON:	3	But if you're asking me is talc in baby powder, I
4	Q Pure mineral talc. If pure talc	4	think we can agree on that. And, so, by analogy,
5	existed.	5	I would expect some biologic activity.
6	MS. CURRY:	6	MS. THOMPSON:
7	Object to the form.	7	Q Okay.
8	A Huh?	8	A Okay.
9	Okay.	9	Q And same for Shower to Shower?
10	That's another difficult one. I mean,	10	MS. CURRY:
11	I think that we know talc is used for	11	Object to the form.
12	pleurodesis. So that's is that a biologic	12	A Actually don't even know I've never
13	process? I think it probably would qualify. So	13	seen a Shower to Shower container, but it's the
14	I wouldn't call it inert from that standpoint.	14	product; right?
15	MS. THOMPSON:	15	MS. THOMPSON:
16	Q And you're not gonna get me to argue	16	Q Do you know what's in Shower to Shower?
Τ0	with that.	17	A I'm assuming it's analogous to baby
17			powder.
17		18	1
17 18	A I don't think so.	19	O If well, would would that oninion
17 18 19	A I don't think so.Q Would that opinion apply to Johnson's	19	Q If well, would would that opinion apply to fibrous tale?
17 18 19 20	A I don't think so. Q Would that opinion apply to Johnson's baby powder?	19 20	apply to fibrous tale?
17 18 19 20 21	A I don't think so.Q Would that opinion apply to Johnson's baby powder?MS. CURRY:	19 20 21	apply to fibrous talc? MS. CURRY:
17 18 19 20 21 22	A I don't think so. Q Would that opinion apply to Johnson's baby powder? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	19 20 21 22	apply to fibrous talc? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
17 18 19 20 21	A I don't think so.Q Would that opinion apply to Johnson's baby powder?MS. CURRY:	19 20 21	apply to fibrous talc? MS. CURRY:

66 (Pages 258 to 261)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 68 of 165 PageID: 82855 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 262		Page 264
1	that.	1	A It sounds like it, yes. Habit. It's a
2	MS. THOMPSON:	2	different definition of habit than I'm used to.
3	Q Do you know what fibrous talc is?	3	MS. THOMPSON:
4	A I'm not sure I can really define it.	4	Q And I think you probably recall when we
5	Q And it's your understanding that	5	were discussing Health Canada, they were also
6	fibrous talc or talc with asbestiform fibers is	6	referring to tale, nonasbestiform tale; right?
7	specifically excluded from the IARC 2010	7	MS. CURRY:
8	monograph? Correct?	8	Object to the form.
9	A Say that again, please.	9	A I believe so.
10		10	MS. THOMPSON:
11		11	
12	little bit. Is it your understanding that		Q And in the let's go ahead and mark the 2012 IARC that relates to asbestos.
	fibrous tale or tale with asbestiform fibers is	12	
13	specifically excluded from the IARC 2010	13	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 20
14	monograph?	14	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
15	MS. CURRY:	15	MS. THOMPSON:
16	Object to the form.	16	Q That'd be Exhibit 20. And on the first
17	A So that's asbestiform fibers or	17	page, 219, "The conclusions" reading in the
18	asbestos?	18	first paragraph "The conclusions reached in
19	MS. THOMPSON:	19	this monograph about asbestos and its
20	Q Asbestiform fibers. Is there a	20	carcinogenic risk apply to these six type of
21	difference between fibrous talc and talc with	21	fibers wherever they are found, and that includes
22	asbestiform fibers?	22	talc-containing asbestiform fibers."
23	MS. CURRY:	23	A Yes.
24	Object to the form.	24	Q Is that your understanding of this?
	D 062		
	Page 263		Page 265
1		1	Page 265 A I see that. Yeah.
1 2	A Again, I I that's not in my area	1 2	
			A I see that. Yeah.
2	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON:	2	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY:
2 3	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise.	2 3	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No.	2 3 4	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the
2 3 4 5	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know	2 3 4 5	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not?	2 3 4 5 6 7	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos?
2 3 4 5 6	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not? A I have no opinion.	2 3 4 5 6	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not? A I have no opinion. Q And well, we can look at the 2010	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not? A I have no opinion. Q And well, we can look at the 2010 A Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not asbestiform but asbestos?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not? A I have no opinion. Q And well, we can look at the 2010 A Uh-huh. Q monograph to to clarify that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not asbestiform but asbestos? MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not? A I have no opinion. Q And well, we can look at the 2010 A Uh-huh. Q monograph to to clarify that. So on page 277	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not asbestiform but asbestos? MS. THOMPSON: Q Asbestiform, it talc with asbestos
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not? A I have no opinion. Q And well, we can look at the 2010 A Uh-huh. Q monograph to to clarify that. So on page 277 A Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not asbestiform but asbestos? MS. THOMPSON: Q Asbestiform, it talc with asbestos is talc with asbestos.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not? A I have no opinion. Q And well, we can look at the 2010 A Uh-huh. Q monograph to to clarify that. So on page 277 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form" reading in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not asbestiform but asbestos? MS. THOMPSON: Q Asbestiform, it talc with asbestos is talc with asbestos. A Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not? A I have no opinion. Q And well, we can look at the 2010 A Uh-huh. Q monograph to to clarify that. So on page 277 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form" reading in paragraph 3	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not asbestiform but asbestos? MS. THOMPSON: Q Asbestiform, it talc with asbestos is talc with asbestos. A Okay. Q Talc with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not? A I have no opinion. Q And well, we can look at the 2010 A Uh-huh. Q monograph to to clarify that. So on page 277 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form" reading in paragraph 3 A Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not asbestiform but asbestos? MS. THOMPSON: Q Asbestiform, it talc with asbestos is talc with asbestos. A Okay. Q Talc with A So it wouldn't change it wouldn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not? A I have no opinion. Q And well, we can look at the 2010 A Uh-huh. Q monograph to to clarify that. So on page 277 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form" reading in paragraph 3 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form as true mineral	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not asbestiform but asbestos? MS. THOMPSON: Q Asbestiform, it talc with asbestos is talc with asbestos. A Okay. Q Talc with A So it wouldn't change it wouldn't change my view.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not? A I have no opinion. Q And well, we can look at the 2010 A Uh-huh. Q monograph to to clarify that. So on page 277 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form" reading in paragraph 3 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form as true mineral fibers that are asbestiform. Asbestiform	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not asbestiform but asbestos? MS. THOMPSON: Q Asbestiform, it talc with asbestos is talc with asbestos. A Okay. Q Talc with A So it wouldn't change it wouldn't change my view. Q Okay. And what about baby powder that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not? A I have no opinion. Q And well, we can look at the 2010 A Uh-huh. Q monograph to to clarify that. So on page 277 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form" reading in paragraph 3 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form as true mineral fibers that are asbestiform. Asbestiform describes the pattern of growth of a mineral that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not asbestiform but asbestos? MS. THOMPSON: Q Asbestiform, it talc with asbestos is talc with asbestos. A Okay. Q Talc with A So it wouldn't change it wouldn't change my view. Q Okay. And what about baby powder that contains heavy metals like chromium, nickle, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not? A I have no opinion. Q And well, we can look at the 2010 A Uh-huh. Q monograph to to clarify that. So on page 277 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form" reading in paragraph 3 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form as true mineral fibers that are asbestiform. Asbestiform describes the pattern of growth of a mineral that is referred to as a habit."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not asbestiform but asbestos? MS. THOMPSON: Q Asbestiform, it talc with asbestos is talc with asbestos. A Okay. Q Talc with A So it wouldn't change it wouldn't change my view. Q Okay. And what about baby powder that contains heavy metals like chromium, nickle, and cobalt?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not? A I have no opinion. Q And well, we can look at the 2010 A Uh-huh. Q monograph to to clarify that. So on page 277 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form" reading in paragraph 3 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form as true mineral fibers that are asbestiform. Asbestiform describes the pattern of growth of a mineral that is referred to as a habit." And you would agree that that is not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not asbestiform but asbestos? MS. THOMPSON: Q Asbestiform, it talc with asbestos is talc with asbestos. A Okay. Q Talc with A So it wouldn't change it wouldn't change my view. Q Okay. And what about baby powder that contains heavy metals like chromium, nickle, and cobalt? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not? A I have no opinion. Q And well, we can look at the 2010 A Uh-huh. Q monograph to to clarify that. So on page 277 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form" reading in paragraph 3 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form as true mineral fibers that are asbestiform. Asbestiform describes the pattern of growth of a mineral that is referred to as a habit." And you would agree that that is not the same as talc with asbestos; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not asbestiform but asbestos? MS. THOMPSON: Q Asbestiform, it talc with asbestos is talc with asbestos. A Okay. Q Talc with A So it wouldn't change it wouldn't change my view. Q Okay. And what about baby powder that contains heavy metals like chromium, nickle, and cobalt? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Again, I I that's not in my area of expertise. MS. THOMPSON: Q So you don't know A No. Q whether there's any difference or not? A I have no opinion. Q And well, we can look at the 2010 A Uh-huh. Q monograph to to clarify that. So on page 277 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form" reading in paragraph 3 A Uh-huh. Q "Talc may also form as true mineral fibers that are asbestiform. Asbestiform describes the pattern of growth of a mineral that is referred to as a habit." And you would agree that that is not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I see that. Yeah. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your opinions regarding the biological activity of baby powder apply as well to baby powder that contains asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not asbestiform but asbestos? MS. THOMPSON: Q Asbestiform, it talc with asbestos is talc with asbestos. A Okay. Q Talc with A So it wouldn't change it wouldn't change my view. Q Okay. And what about baby powder that contains heavy metals like chromium, nickle, and cobalt? MS. CURRY:

67 (Pages 262 to 265)

	Page 266		Page 268
1	Q And what about baby powder with	1	A Okay. Okay. Thank you.
2	chemicals that are either possible or known	2	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 21 WAS
3	carcinogens, like styrene, coumarin, eugenol,	3	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
4	D'Limonine, p-Cresol, muscutone or benzophenone.	4	MS. THOMPSON:
5	MS. CURRY:	5	Q This is Exhibit 21, "Asbestos Exposure
6	Object to the form.	6	and Ovarian Fiber Burden."
7	MS. THOMPSON:	7	Have you seen this paper, Dr. Birrer?
8	Q Would it change your opinion regarding	8	A So I don't think let me I don't
9	the biologic activity of baby powder?	9	think I reviewed this. Let me just check.
10	A Well, looking at the biologic activity	10	Well, it was on my list. I must have.
11	of baby powder, based upon what I reviewed, the	11	Q And again, just going to the
12	answer is no because it doesn't matter what's in	12	conclusions of these authors, the last paragraph
13	that. We looked at the biologic activity.	13	in the abstract.
14	Q So it doesn't matter to you whether	14	A Uh-huh.
15	there are known carcinogens in baby powder?	15	Q "This study demonstrates that asbestos
16	MS. CURRY:	16	can reach the ovary. Although the number of
17	Object to the form.	17	subjects is small, asbestos appears to be present
18	A Well, based upon the studies, then we	18	in ovarian tissue more frequently and in higher
19	would have seen convincing evidence of biologic	19	amounts in women with a documentable exposure
20	causality. We didn't.	20	history."
21	MS. THOMPSON:	21	Do you agree that was the conclusion of
22	Q And you're referring to the	22	the authors?
23	epidemiology studies?	23	A That's what they state.
24	MS. CURRY:	24	Q And on page 438, last paragraph, "The
	Page 267		Page 269
1	Object to the form.	1	fact that exposure to a husband is more
2	A I'm referring to all of it.	2	significant than exposure to a father suggests a
3	MS. THOMPSON:	3	possible role for sexual contact as the
4	Q Would the presence of known carcinogens	4	transporting vector for asbestos fibers."
5	provide a plausible mechanism? MS. CURRY:	5	Would you agree that if sexual if
6 7		6	sexual contact was a transporting vector, that
	Object to the form.	7	the fibers would enter the peritoneal cavity and
8	A Mechanisms for for what? MS. THOMPSON:	8	ovaries through the vagina? MS. CURRY:
9 10		9	
11	Q For possible carcinogenesis. MS. CURRY:	11	Object to the form. A Just ask that once more, please.
12	Object to the form.	12	MS. THOMPSON:
14	Object to the form.	14	
	A Rut we didn't see coroing conocia	1 1 2	O That ween't a year good exection The
13	A But we didn't see carcinogenesis.	13	Q That wasn't a very good question. The
13 14	There's no plausible biologic association or	14	problem is I don't know exactly how to make it
13 14 15	There's no plausible biologic association or so I'm not sure what we're designing a mechanism	14 15	problem is I don't know exactly how to make it better.
13 14 15 16	There's no plausible biologic association or so I'm not sure what we're designing a mechanism for.	14 15 16	problem is I don't know exactly how to make it better. If if the authors are proposing
13 14 15 16 17	There's no plausible biologic association or so I'm not sure what we're designing a mechanism for. MS. THOMPSON:	14 15 16 17	problem is I don't know exactly how to make it better. If if the authors are proposing sexual contact as a possible means for
13 14 15 16 17 18	There's no plausible biologic association or so I'm not sure what we're designing a mechanism for. MS. THOMPSON: Q And are you familiar with the Heller	14 15 16 17 18	problem is I don't know exactly how to make it better. If if the authors are proposing sexual contact as a possible means for transporting the asbestos fibers into into the
13 14 15 16 17 18	There's no plausible biologic association or so I'm not sure what we're designing a mechanism for. MS. THOMPSON: Q And are you familiar with the Heller paper regarding the finding of asbestos in human	14 15 16 17 18 19	problem is I don't know exactly how to make it better. If if the authors are proposing sexual contact as a possible means for transporting the asbestos fibers into into the ovaries, would wouldn't you assume that that
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	There's no plausible biologic association or so I'm not sure what we're designing a mechanism for. MS. THOMPSON: Q And are you familiar with the Heller paper regarding the finding of asbestos in human ovaries?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	problem is I don't know exactly how to make it better. If if the authors are proposing sexual contact as a possible means for transporting the asbestos fibers into into the ovaries, would wouldn't you assume that that would be via a vaginal route?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There's no plausible biologic association or so I'm not sure what we're designing a mechanism for. MS. THOMPSON: Q And are you familiar with the Heller paper regarding the finding of asbestos in human ovaries? A The Heller paper	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	problem is I don't know exactly how to make it better. If if the authors are proposing sexual contact as a possible means for transporting the asbestos fibers into into the ovaries, would wouldn't you assume that that would be via a vaginal route? A Yeah, I wouldn't assume that. I think
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There's no plausible biologic association or so I'm not sure what we're designing a mechanism for. MS. THOMPSON: Q And are you familiar with the Heller paper regarding the finding of asbestos in human ovaries? A The Heller paper Q 1996?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	problem is I don't know exactly how to make it better. If if the authors are proposing sexual contact as a possible means for transporting the asbestos fibers into into the ovaries, would wouldn't you assume that that would be via a vaginal route? A Yeah, I wouldn't assume that. I think one of the challenges here is that there are more
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There's no plausible biologic association or so I'm not sure what we're designing a mechanism for. MS. THOMPSON: Q And are you familiar with the Heller paper regarding the finding of asbestos in human ovaries? A The Heller paper	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	problem is I don't know exactly how to make it better. If if the authors are proposing sexual contact as a possible means for transporting the asbestos fibers into into the ovaries, would wouldn't you assume that that would be via a vaginal route? A Yeah, I wouldn't assume that. I think

68 (Pages 266 to 269)

	Page 270		Page 272
1	contact with their husband in terms of	1	Correct?
2	Q But that's not the question I'm asking.	2	A So it's household contact with men who
3	I'm saying if sexual contact is a	3	had fairly high exposure. So I think you can
4	transporting vector, wouldn't you assume that	4	probably assume it was a substantial amount of
5	that would be through a vaginal route, not	5	exposure.
6	inhalation or some other way?	6	Q What's your basis for assuming that
7	MS. CURRY:	7	it's a substantial amount of exposure?
8	Object to the form.	8	A Well, these men, if they're working in
9	A If if sexual activity was the	9	the asbestos area, are going to be covered with
10	mechanism of transport, is that what you're	10	it. That's been shown, which is unfortunate,
11	saying?	11	but, yeah.
12	MS. THOMPSON:	12	Q Can you point me to any study that
13	Q Right.	13	compares how much exposure there would be in a
14	A Yeah.	14	talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on
15	It's kind of a non sequitur. I mean,	15	her perineum daily or twice daily for for
16	you're making the assumption sexual contact, and	16	decades?
17	then you're asking, well, if that's it if	17	MS. CURRY:
18	that's the mode of transmission, is that the mode	18	Object to the form.
19	of transmission. Well, then, you've already	19	A Well, this is not tale. This is not
20	assumed it, so so I could	20	talc; this is asbestos.
21	Q Okay. I just wanted to make sure	21	MS. THOMPSON:
22	you're assuming it because the authors don't	22	Q I know. That's a separate question.
23	specifically say, you know, the the asbestos	23	It's not in the article.
24	comes from a perineal exposure	24	A Okay. Can you ask that again?
	Page 271		Page 273
1	A Well, they're making yeah. They're	1	Q Can you point me to any study that
2			
	making that distinction between a daughter and	2	
3	making that distinction between a daughter and Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make	2 3	compares how much exposure there would be in a
	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make		
3	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that.	3	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on
3 4	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make	3 4	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades?
3 4 5	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study,	3 4 5	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY:
3 4 5 6	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study, the women with a positive exposure history had	3 4 5 6	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
3 4 5 6 7	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study, the women with a positive exposure history had asbestos detected in their ovaries more	3 4 5 6 7	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. I don't think that's been asked
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study, the women with a positive exposure history had asbestos detected in their ovaries more frequently and in higher counts."	3 4 5 6 7 8	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. I don't think that's been asked and qualified. So it's difficult.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study, the women with a positive exposure history had asbestos detected in their ovaries more frequently and in higher counts." If that did indeed happen, that would	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. I don't think that's been asked and qualified. So it's difficult. MS. THOMPSON:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study, the women with a positive exposure history had asbestos detected in their ovaries more frequently and in higher counts." If that did indeed happen, that would argue against any kind of laboratory	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. I don't think that's been asked and qualified. So it's difficult. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is the fact that asbestos causes
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study, the women with a positive exposure history had asbestos detected in their ovaries more frequently and in higher counts." If that did indeed happen, that would argue against any kind of laboratory contamination, wouldn't it?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. I don't think that's been asked and qualified. So it's difficult. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is the fact that asbestos causes pleural and peritoneal mesothelioma relevant to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study, the women with a positive exposure history had asbestos detected in their ovaries more frequently and in higher counts." If that did indeed happen, that would argue against any kind of laboratory contamination, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. I don't think that's been asked and qualified. So it's difficult. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is the fact that asbestos causes pleural and peritoneal mesothelioma relevant to whether or not talcum powder can cause ovarian
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study, the women with a positive exposure history had asbestos detected in their ovaries more frequently and in higher counts." If that did indeed happen, that would argue against any kind of laboratory contamination, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. I don't think that's been asked and qualified. So it's difficult. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is the fact that asbestos causes pleural and peritoneal mesothelioma relevant to whether or not talcum powder can cause ovarian cancer?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study, the women with a positive exposure history had asbestos detected in their ovaries more frequently and in higher counts." If that did indeed happen, that would argue against any kind of laboratory contamination, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm just checking the numbers. I'm sorry. 9 of 13 household, 6 of 17 and about	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. I don't think that's been asked and qualified. So it's difficult. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is the fact that asbestos causes pleural and peritoneal mesothelioma relevant to whether or not talcum powder can cause ovarian cancer? MS. CURRY:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study, the women with a positive exposure history had asbestos detected in their ovaries more frequently and in higher counts." If that did indeed happen, that would argue against any kind of laboratory contamination, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm just checking the numbers. I'm sorry.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. I don't think that's been asked and qualified. So it's difficult. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is the fact that asbestos causes pleural and peritoneal mesothelioma relevant to whether or not talcum powder can cause ovarian cancer? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study, the women with a positive exposure history had asbestos detected in their ovaries more frequently and in higher counts." If that did indeed happen, that would argue against any kind of laboratory contamination, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm just checking the numbers. I'm sorry. 9 of 13 household, 6 of 17 and about	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. I don't think that's been asked and qualified. So it's difficult. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is the fact that asbestos causes pleural and peritoneal mesothelioma relevant to whether or not talcum powder can cause ovarian cancer? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not to the data that I and the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study, the women with a positive exposure history had asbestos detected in their ovaries more frequently and in higher counts." If that did indeed happen, that would argue against any kind of laboratory contamination, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm just checking the numbers. I'm sorry. 9 of 13 household, 6 of 17 and about one out of one out of 17.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. I don't think that's been asked and qualified. So it's difficult. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is the fact that asbestos causes pleural and peritoneal mesothelioma relevant to whether or not talcum powder can cause ovarian cancer? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not to the data that I and the studies that I reviewed.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study, the women with a positive exposure history had asbestos detected in their ovaries more frequently and in higher counts." If that did indeed happen, that would argue against any kind of laboratory contamination, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm just checking the numbers. I'm sorry. 9 of 13 household, 6 of 17 and about one out of one out of 17. So, you know, I think I think it's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. I don't think that's been asked and qualified. So it's difficult. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is the fact that asbestos causes pleural and peritoneal mesothelioma relevant to whether or not talcum powder can cause ovarian cancer? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not to the data that I and the studies that I reviewed. MS. THOMPSON:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study, the women with a positive exposure history had asbestos detected in their ovaries more frequently and in higher counts." If that did indeed happen, that would argue against any kind of laboratory contamination, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm just checking the numbers. I'm sorry. 9 of 13 household, 6 of 17 and about one out of one out of 17. So, you know, I think I think it's fair to say that laboratory contamination should	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. I don't think that's been asked and qualified. So it's difficult. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is the fact that asbestos causes pleural and peritoneal mesothelioma relevant to whether or not talcum powder can cause ovarian cancer? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not to the data that I and the studies that I reviewed. MS. THOMPSON: Q And I don't think this was clear to me
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study, the women with a positive exposure history had asbestos detected in their ovaries more frequently and in higher counts." If that did indeed happen, that would argue against any kind of laboratory contamination, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm just checking the numbers. I'm sorry. 9 of 13 household, 6 of 17 and about one out of one out of 17. So, you know, I think I think it's fair to say that laboratory contamination should be more equal in all groups. It doesn't	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. I don't think that's been asked and qualified. So it's difficult. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is the fact that asbestos causes pleural and peritoneal mesothelioma relevant to whether or not talcum powder can cause ovarian cancer? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not to the data that I and the studies that I reviewed. MS. THOMPSON: Q And I don't think this was clear to me this morning. How does asbestos get to the peritoneum, in your opinion?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Yeah, they are. I just wanted to make sure we are understanding that. And in the conclusions, "In our study, the women with a positive exposure history had asbestos detected in their ovaries more frequently and in higher counts." If that did indeed happen, that would argue against any kind of laboratory contamination, wouldn't it? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm just checking the numbers. I'm sorry. 9 of 13 household, 6 of 17 and about one out of one out of 17. So, you know, I think I think it's fair to say that laboratory contamination should be more equal in all groups. It doesn't completely eliminate it, but	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	compares how much exposure there would be in a talc mine versus a woman using talcum powder on her perineum daily or twice daily for decades? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. I don't think that's been asked and qualified. So it's difficult. MS. THOMPSON: Q Is the fact that asbestos causes pleural and peritoneal mesothelioma relevant to whether or not talcum powder can cause ovarian cancer? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Not to the data that I and the studies that I reviewed. MS. THOMPSON: Q And I don't think this was clear to me this morning. How does asbestos get to the

69 (Pages 270 to 273)

	Page 274		Page 276
1	MS. THOMPSON:	1	women who are massively exposed?
2	Q Or do you not know?	2	A I think that's the epidemiologic data
3	A Well, I I summarized my	3	I'm aware of.
4	understanding as not being necessarily an	4	Q You're not aware of the epidemiology
5	asbestos expert, but my clinical experience,	5	that includes household or domestic exposure?
6	which is asbestos, obviously, is a risk factor	6	MS. CURRY:
7	for mesothelioma and for lung cancer. If it's	7	Object to the form.
8	inhaled, then it's it's transiting to the	8	A Secondary exposures?
9	pleural cavity, which is where, then, it's	9	MS. THOMPSON:
10	inducing mesothelioma.	10	Q Correct.
11	And then there are peritoneal	11	A Yeah. Yeah. I know that. I know that
12	mesotheliomas. And I don't honestly think we	12	a little bit less than the initial occupational
13	know precisely how it gets there. There is	13	exposure. Most most of that came from the
14	there is some evidence that pleural activities	14	Army.
15	can communicate with peritoneal activities. And	15	Q And you'll agree that you don't have
16	the example I'd give you on that is if one has	16	any literature that compares what that exposure
17	malignant ascites, fluid in the peritoneal	17	would be compared to an exposure with someone
18	cavity, it frequently ends up in the pleural	18	using talcum powder on their genitals for
19	cavities.	19	A I agree.
20	So so but you've got diaphragm	20	Q for an extended period of time?
21	there with parietal pleura covering it. So	21	A Yes.
22	exactly how that happens, I don't know.	22	Q So I want to understand. You don't
23	Q Is migration or transport through the	23	know whether asbestos fibers can migrate or be
24	genital tract of asbestos a plausible mechanism	24	transported up the genital tract, but you're
	Page 2/3		Page 277
1		1	
1 2	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity?	1 2	confident that tale cannot. Is that right?
1 2 3	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY:	2	confident that tale cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY:
2	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.		confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't
2 3 4	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of	2 3 4	confident that tale cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I
2 3 4 5	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean,	2 3 4 5	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital
2 3 4 5 6	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean, I think it's it's agreed upon that those women	2 3 4 5 6 7	confident that tale cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers.
2 3 4 5 6 7	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean,	2 3 4 5 6	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers. In my opinion, no, we don't know that. And the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean, I think it's it's agreed upon that those women had massive exposures. So MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers. In my opinion, no, we don't know that. And the data we have from talc suggests, no, that doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean, I think it's it's agreed upon that those women had massive exposures. So MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers. In my opinion, no, we don't know that. And the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean, I think it's it's agreed upon that those women had massive exposures. So MS. THOMPSON: Q What what's your basis for saying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers. In my opinion, no, we don't know that. And the data we have from talc suggests, no, that doesn't happen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean, I think it's it's agreed upon that those women had massive exposures. So MS. THOMPSON: Q What what's your basis for saying those women had massive exposures?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers. In my opinion, no, we don't know that. And the data we have from talc suggests, no, that doesn't happen. MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean, I think it's it's agreed upon that those women had massive exposures. So MS. THOMPSON: Q What what's your basis for saying those women had massive exposures? A Well, my impression is that in gas mask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers. In my opinion, no, we don't know that. And the data we have from talc suggests, no, that doesn't happen. MS. THOMPSON: Q Still not clear.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean, I think it's it's agreed upon that those women had massive exposures. So MS. THOMPSON: Q What what's your basis for saying those women had massive exposures? A Well, my impression is that in gas mask manufacturing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers. In my opinion, no, we don't know that. And the data we have from talc suggests, no, that doesn't happen. MS. THOMPSON: Q Still not clear. So asbestos, you don't know; but talc,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean, I think it's it's agreed upon that those women had massive exposures. So MS. THOMPSON: Q What what's your basis for saying those women had massive exposures? A Well, my impression is that in gas mask manufacturing And, of course, this is in the second	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers. In my opinion, no, we don't know that. And the data we have from talc suggests, no, that doesn't happen. MS. THOMPSON: Q Still not clear. So asbestos, you don't know; but talc, you know it doesn't. Is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean, I think it's it's agreed upon that those women had massive exposures. So MS. THOMPSON: Q What what's your basis for saying those women had massive exposures? A Well, my impression is that in gas mask manufacturing And, of course, this is in the second world war.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers. In my opinion, no, we don't know that. And the data we have from talc suggests, no, that doesn't happen. MS. THOMPSON: Q Still not clear. So asbestos, you don't know; but talc, you know it doesn't. Is that right? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean, I think it's it's agreed upon that those women had massive exposures. So MS. THOMPSON: Q What what's your basis for saying those women had massive exposures? A Well, my impression is that in gas mask manufacturing And, of course, this is in the second world war. there wasn't really an appreciation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers. In my opinion, no, we don't know that. And the data we have from talc suggests, no, that doesn't happen. MS. THOMPSON: Q Still not clear. So asbestos, you don't know; but talc, you know it doesn't. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean, I think it's it's agreed upon that those women had massive exposures. So MS. THOMPSON: Q What what's your basis for saying those women had massive exposures? A Well, my impression is that in gas mask manufacturing And, of course, this is in the second world war. there wasn't really an appreciation how bad asbestos is. And, so, they got exposed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers. In my opinion, no, we don't know that. And the data we have from talc suggests, no, that doesn't happen. MS. THOMPSON: Q Still not clear. So asbestos, you don't know; but talc, you know it doesn't. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would say, you know, if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean, I think it's it's agreed upon that those women had massive exposures. So MS. THOMPSON: Q What what's your basis for saying those women had massive exposures? A Well, my impression is that in gas mask manufacturing And, of course, this is in the second world war. there wasn't really an appreciation how bad asbestos is. And, so, they got exposed to certainly levels that, you know, average	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers. In my opinion, no, we don't know that. And the data we have from talc suggests, no, that doesn't happen. MS. THOMPSON: Q Still not clear. So asbestos, you don't know; but talc, you know it doesn't. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would say, you know, if you if you want to pursue that, then I would say,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean, I think it's it's agreed upon that those women had massive exposures. So MS. THOMPSON: Q What what's your basis for saying those women had massive exposures? A Well, my impression is that in gas mask manufacturing And, of course, this is in the second world war. there wasn't really an appreciation how bad asbestos is. And, so, they got exposed to certainly levels that, you know, average people would not. And even even in towns that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers. In my opinion, no, we don't know that. And the data we have from talc suggests, no, that doesn't happen. MS. THOMPSON: Q Still not clear. So asbestos, you don't know; but talc, you know it doesn't. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would say, you know, if you if you want to pursue that, then I would say, based upon the talc data, which has actually been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean, I think it's it's agreed upon that those women had massive exposures. So MS. THOMPSON: Q What what's your basis for saying those women had massive exposures? A Well, my impression is that in gas mask manufacturing And, of course, this is in the second world war. there wasn't really an appreciation how bad asbestos is. And, so, they got exposed to certainly levels that, you know, average people would not. And even even in towns that had cement factories and issues like that, those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers. In my opinion, no, we don't know that. And the data we have from talc suggests, no, that doesn't happen. MS. THOMPSON: Q Still not clear. So asbestos, you don't know; but talc, you know it doesn't. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would say, you know, if you if you want to pursue that, then I would say, based upon the talc data, which has actually been examined, that it's unlikely that asbestos is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean, I think it's it's agreed upon that those women had massive exposures. So MS. THOMPSON: Q What what's your basis for saying those women had massive exposures? A Well, my impression is that in gas mask manufacturing And, of course, this is in the second world war. there wasn't really an appreciation how bad asbestos is. And, so, they got exposed to certainly levels that, you know, average people would not. And even even in towns that had cement factories and issues like that, those studies were really not all that positive. But the gas masks are. Q Is it your opinion that the studies	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers. In my opinion, no, we don't know that. And the data we have from talc suggests, no, that doesn't happen. MS. THOMPSON: Q Still not clear. So asbestos, you don't know; but talc, you know it doesn't. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would say, you know, if you if you want to pursue that, then I would say, based upon the talc data, which has actually been examined, that it's unlikely that asbestos is going up through the genital tract.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for asbestos getting into the peritoneal cavity? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah, I don't I don't know the answer to that. The increased incidence of ovarian cancer in asbestos-exposed women, I mean, I think it's it's agreed upon that those women had massive exposures. So MS. THOMPSON: Q What what's your basis for saying those women had massive exposures? A Well, my impression is that in gas mask manufacturing And, of course, this is in the second world war. there wasn't really an appreciation how bad asbestos is. And, so, they got exposed to certainly levels that, you know, average people would not. And even even in towns that had cement factories and issues like that, those studies were really not all that positive. But the gas masks are.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	confident that talc cannot. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, that's part of the reason I don't think asbestos we can't say that. If I remember, the question was can can the genital tract be an explanation for the asbestos fibers. In my opinion, no, we don't know that. And the data we have from talc suggests, no, that doesn't happen. MS. THOMPSON: Q Still not clear. So asbestos, you don't know; but talc, you know it doesn't. Is that right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would say, you know, if you if you want to pursue that, then I would say, based upon the talc data, which has actually been examined, that it's unlikely that asbestos is going up through the genital tract. MS. THOMPSON:

70 (Pages 274 to 277)

	Page 278		Page 280
1	ovaries?	1	lot more data for if it's something to do with
2	A Correct.	2	genital transport than you do for other other
3	Q And what is your explanation for	3	methods, but
4	household members of asbestos working workers	4	A Well, I am a scientist.
5	having an increased risk of ovarian cancer and	5	MS. CURRY:
6	mesothelioma?	6	Object to the form.
7	MS. CURRY:	7	MS. THOMPSON:
8	Object to the form.	8	Q Well, it's selective science.
9	A Well, again, not being an asbestos	9	MS. CURRY:
10	expert, but I would assume this is inhalation,	10	Object to the form and argumentative.
11	much like other exposures to asbestos, and then	11	MS. THOMPSON:
12	absorption through the lung parenchyma and	12	Q If you are advising a patient, could
13	ultimately through this pleural perineal process.	13	you reassure her that talcum powder containing
14	MS. THOMPSON:	14	asbestos is safe to use on the perineum?
15	Q But it's your opinion that the transfer	15	A It's it's an irrelevant issue.
16	or migration of the fibers through coitus is not	16	Q Okay. Patient says, Dr. Birrer, is it
17	plausible?	17	safe for me to continue using baby powder on the
18	MS. CURRY:	18	per on my perineum. And your answer would
19	Object to the form.	19	be?
20	A I don't know the data for that.	20	A Yes.
21	MS. THOMPSON:	21	Q And if assuming that baby powder
22	Q Well, you don't know data for the other	22	is is shown to contain asbestos, would your
23	routes either, do you?	23	advice be the same?
24	MS. CURRY:	24	MS. CURRY:
1	Page 279 Object to the form	1	Page 281
1 2	Object to the form.	1 2	Page 281 Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:
	Object to the form.		Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:
2	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for,	2	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:
2	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got	2 3	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same?
2 3 4	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and	2 3 4	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical?
2 3 4 5	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON: Q We're talking about household exposure.	2 3 4 5 6	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah. A Powder is the is is then
2 3 4 5 6 7	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON: Q We're talking about household exposure.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah. A Powder is the is is then determined to have asbestos?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON: Q We're talking about household exposure. A But again, that's data to tell us,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah. A Powder is the is is then determined to have asbestos? Q Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON: Q We're talking about household exposure. A But again, that's data to tell us, under the extreme conditions, where and how that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah. A Powder is the is is then determined to have asbestos? Q Correct. A Again, so is the question am I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON: Q We're talking about household exposure. A But again, that's data to tell us, under the extreme conditions, where and how that might migrate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah. A Powder is the is is then determined to have asbestos? Q Correct. A Again, so is the question am I recommending a patient use asbestos?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON: Q We're talking about household exposure. A But again, that's data to tell us, under the extreme conditions, where and how that might migrate. Q Well, but you don't believe Heller, who proposed that sexual transmission was a plausible route for for the asbestos fibers in contacts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah. A Powder is the is is then determined to have asbestos? Q Correct. A Again, so is the question am I recommending a patient use asbestos? Q Yeah. That's the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON: Q We're talking about household exposure. A But again, that's data to tell us, under the extreme conditions, where and how that might migrate. Q Well, but you don't believe Heller, who proposed that sexual transmission was a plausible	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah. A Powder is the is is then determined to have asbestos? Q Correct. A Again, so is the question am I recommending a patient use asbestos? Q Yeah. That's the question. A Yeah. No, I wouldn't do that. Q Did you read Dr. Longo's report? A You know, that came up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON: Q We're talking about household exposure. A But again, that's data to tell us, under the extreme conditions, where and how that might migrate. Q Well, but you don't believe Heller, who proposed that sexual transmission was a plausible route for for the asbestos fibers in contacts to have a higher incidence of ovarian cancer in perineal mesothelioma; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah. A Powder is the is is then determined to have asbestos? Q Correct. A Again, so is the question am I recommending a patient use asbestos? Q Yeah. That's the question. A Yeah. No, I wouldn't do that. Q Did you read Dr. Longo's report? A You know, that came up. Can you do you have a copy of it to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON: Q We're talking about household exposure. A But again, that's data to tell us, under the extreme conditions, where and how that might migrate. Q Well, but you don't believe Heller, who proposed that sexual transmission was a plausible route for for the asbestos fibers in contacts to have a higher incidence of ovarian cancer in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah. A Powder is the is is then determined to have asbestos? Q Correct. A Again, so is the question am I recommending a patient use asbestos? Q Yeah. That's the question. A Yeah. No, I wouldn't do that. Q Did you read Dr. Longo's report? A You know, that came up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON: Q We're talking about household exposure. A But again, that's data to tell us, under the extreme conditions, where and how that might migrate. Q Well, but you don't believe Heller, who proposed that sexual transmission was a plausible route for for the asbestos fibers in contacts to have a higher incidence of ovarian cancer in perineal mesothelioma; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah. A Powder is the is is then determined to have asbestos? Q Correct. A Again, so is the question am I recommending a patient use asbestos? Q Yeah. That's the question. A Yeah. No, I wouldn't do that. Q Did you read Dr. Longo's report? A You know, that came up. Can you do you have a copy of it to refresh my memory? Q I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON: Q We're talking about household exposure. A But again, that's data to tell us, under the extreme conditions, where and how that might migrate. Q Well, but you don't believe Heller, who proposed that sexual transmission was a plausible route for for the asbestos fibers in contacts to have a higher incidence of ovarian cancer in perineal mesothelioma; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, they didn't say that. They	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah. A Powder is the is is then determined to have asbestos? Q Correct. A Again, so is the question am I recommending a patient use asbestos? Q Yeah. That's the question. A Yeah. No, I wouldn't do that. Q Did you read Dr. Longo's report? A You know, that came up. Can you do you have a copy of it to refresh my memory?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON: Q We're talking about household exposure. A But again, that's data to tell us, under the extreme conditions, where and how that might migrate. Q Well, but you don't believe Heller, who proposed that sexual transmission was a plausible route for for the asbestos fibers in contacts to have a higher incidence of ovarian cancer in perineal mesothelioma; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah. A Powder is the is is then determined to have asbestos? Q Correct. A Again, so is the question am I recommending a patient use asbestos? Q Yeah. That's the question. A Yeah. No, I wouldn't do that. Q Did you read Dr. Longo's report? A You know, that came up. Can you do you have a copy of it to refresh my memory? Q I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON: Q We're talking about household exposure. A But again, that's data to tell us, under the extreme conditions, where and how that might migrate. Q Well, but you don't believe Heller, who proposed that sexual transmission was a plausible route for for the asbestos fibers in contacts to have a higher incidence of ovarian cancer in perineal mesothelioma; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, they didn't say that. They	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah. A Powder is the is is then determined to have asbestos? Q Correct. A Again, so is the question am I recommending a patient use asbestos? Q Yeah. That's the question. A Yeah. No, I wouldn't do that. Q Did you read Dr. Longo's report? A You know, that came up. Can you do you have a copy of it to refresh my memory? Q I do. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 22 WAS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON: Q We're talking about household exposure. A But again, that's data to tell us, under the extreme conditions, where and how that might migrate. Q Well, but you don't believe Heller, who proposed that sexual transmission was a plausible route for for the asbestos fibers in contacts to have a higher incidence of ovarian cancer in perineal mesothelioma; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, they didn't say that. They didn't say that. They said it's possible.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah. A Powder is the is is then determined to have asbestos? Q Correct. A Again, so is the question am I recommending a patient use asbestos? Q Yeah. That's the question. A Yeah. No, I wouldn't do that. Q Did you read Dr. Longo's report? A You know, that came up. Can you do you have a copy of it to refresh my memory? Q I do. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 22 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON: Q We're talking about household exposure. A But again, that's data to tell us, under the extreme conditions, where and how that might migrate. Q Well, but you don't believe Heller, who proposed that sexual transmission was a plausible route for for the asbestos fibers in contacts to have a higher incidence of ovarian cancer in perineal mesothelioma; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, they didn't say that. They didn't say that. They said it's possible. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah. A Powder is the is is then determined to have asbestos? Q Correct. A Again, so is the question am I recommending a patient use asbestos? Q Yeah. That's the question. A Yeah. No, I wouldn't do that. Q Did you read Dr. Longo's report? A You know, that came up. Can you do you have a copy of it to refresh my memory? Q I do. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 22 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Object to the form. A Well, there's a lot of literature for, you know, shipyard builders where they got exposed to asbestos. They get both pleural and perineal mesothelioma. MS. THOMPSON: Q We're talking about household exposure. A But again, that's data to tell us, under the extreme conditions, where and how that might migrate. Q Well, but you don't believe Heller, who proposed that sexual transmission was a plausible route for for the asbestos fibers in contacts to have a higher incidence of ovarian cancer in perineal mesothelioma; right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, they didn't say that. They didn't say that. They didn't say that. They said it's possible. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A So this is a hypothetical? Q Yeah. A Powder is the is is then determined to have asbestos? Q Correct. A Again, so is the question am I recommending a patient use asbestos? Q Yeah. That's the question. A Yeah. No, I wouldn't do that. Q Did you read Dr. Longo's report? A You know, that came up. Can you do you have a copy of it to refresh my memory? Q I do. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 22 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna mark Exhibit 22 is

71 (Pages 278 to 281)

	Page 282		Page 284
1	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 23 WAS	1	telling a patient it was safe to use baby powder
2	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	2	on her genitals if it contained if two-thirds
3	MS. THOMPSON:	3	of the bottles contained asbestos?
4	Q Do you remember seeing these reports?	4	MS. CURRY:
5	MS. CURRY:	5	Object to the form.
6	Do you have an extra copy?	6	A You know, again, I'm gonna emphasize
7	MS. THOMPSON:	7	this. My review of the data suggests that
8	I do.	8	that those products are not a risk for ovarian
9	MS. CURRY:	9	cancer.
10	Thank you.	10	MS. THOMPSON:
11	A It's not on my list.	11	Q I I'm clear
12	MS. THOMPSON:	12	A Regardless of what the hypothetical is.
13	Q Did you ask to see any testing on	13	Q I'm clear on that.
14	Johnson's baby powder to see if it contained	14	A Okay.
15	asbestos?	15	Q But but this is not really even a
16	A No, I did not. I think I came across	16	hypothetical. This is testing that has shown
17	this, actually, previously, but not in this one.	17	two-thirds of the baby powder samples contain
18	Q And understanding that you're well,	18	asbestos.
19	I assume that you're not an expert in asbestos	19	Do would you still feel good about
20	testing; right?	20	advising a patient that it's safe?
21	A Correct.	21	MS. CURRY:
22	Q Assuming that and if you want to	22	Object to the form.
23	read the report, we can go off the record.	23	A I would I would tell them that based
24	But assuming that Dr. Longo found	24	on my review of the literature, extensive review
	Page 283		Page 285
1	between 60 and 70 percent of bottles, historical	1	of the literature, it is a safe product.
2	samples provided by Johnson & Johnson over	2	
3			MS. THOMPSON:
J	decades to contain asbestos, would that impact	3	MS. THOMPSON: Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is
4			
	decades to contain asbestos, would that impact how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's	3	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is
4	how you would advise a patient who says,	3 4	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY:
4 5	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's	3 4 5	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos?
4 5 6	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's baby powder on my perineum?	3 4 5 6	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:
4 5 6 7	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's baby powder on my perineum? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	3 4 5 6 7	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same?
4 5 6 7 8	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's baby powder on my perineum? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same?
4 5 6 7 8 9	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's baby powder on my perineum? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So, again, this this gets to the	3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A I would I would you know, I would
4 5 6 7 8 9	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's baby powder on my perineum? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So, again, this this gets to the point of having reviewed all the literature in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A I would I would you know, I would say, again, it doesn't matter if that's the way
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's baby powder on my perineum? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So, again, this this gets to the point of having reviewed all the literature in terms of the product, Shower to Shower, Johnson & Johnson's baby powder, as increasing	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A I would I would you know, I would say, again, it doesn't matter if that's the way the product was used. And it was careful studies.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's baby powder on my perineum? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So, again, this this gets to the point of having reviewed all the literature in terms of the product, Shower to Shower,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A I would I would you know, I would say, again, it doesn't matter if that's the way the product was used. And it was careful studies.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's baby powder on my perineum? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So, again, this this gets to the point of having reviewed all the literature in terms of the product, Shower to Shower, Johnson & Johnson's baby powder, as increasing the risk for ovarian cancer showing biological	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A I would I would you know, I would say, again, it doesn't matter if that's the way the product was used. And it was careful studies. Q Have you seen any studies from Johnson & Johnson regarding their asbestos
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's baby powder on my perineum? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So, again, this this gets to the point of having reviewed all the literature in terms of the product, Shower to Shower, Johnson & Johnson's baby powder, as increasing the risk for ovarian cancer showing biological plausibility. Careful review of that literature has	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A I would I would you know, I would say, again, it doesn't matter if that's the way the product was used. And it was careful studies. Q Have you seen any studies from
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's baby powder on my perineum? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So, again, this this gets to the point of having reviewed all the literature in terms of the product, Shower to Shower, Johnson & Johnson's baby powder, as increasing the risk for ovarian cancer showing biological plausibility.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A I would I would you know, I would say, again, it doesn't matter if that's the way the product was used. And it was careful studies. Q Have you seen any studies from Johnson & Johnson regarding their asbestos testing? A I haven't seen that.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's baby powder on my perineum? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So, again, this this gets to the point of having reviewed all the literature in terms of the product, Shower to Shower, Johnson & Johnson's baby powder, as increasing the risk for ovarian cancer showing biological plausibility. Careful review of that literature has shown nothing. So whether there's asbestos in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A I would I would you know, I would say, again, it doesn't matter if that's the way the product was used. And it was careful studies. Q Have you seen any studies from Johnson & Johnson regarding their asbestos testing?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's baby powder on my perineum? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So, again, this this gets to the point of having reviewed all the literature in terms of the product, Shower to Shower, Johnson & Johnson's baby powder, as increasing the risk for ovarian cancer showing biological plausibility. Careful review of that literature has shown nothing. So whether there's asbestos in there or not, I don't know. MS. THOMPSON:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A I would I would you know, I would say, again, it doesn't matter if that's the way the product was used. And it was careful studies. Q Have you seen any studies from Johnson & Johnson regarding their asbestos testing? A I haven't seen that. Q Were you shown any testing results from
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's baby powder on my perineum? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So, again, this this gets to the point of having reviewed all the literature in terms of the product, Shower to Shower, Johnson & Johnson's baby powder, as increasing the risk for ovarian cancer showing biological plausibility. Careful review of that literature has shown nothing. So whether there's asbestos in there or not, I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would would it give you pause?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A I would I would you know, I would say, again, it doesn't matter if that's the way the product was used. And it was careful studies. Q Have you seen any studies from Johnson & Johnson regarding their asbestos testing? A I haven't seen that. Q Were you shown any testing results from Johnson & Johnson? A No.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's baby powder on my perineum? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So, again, this this gets to the point of having reviewed all the literature in terms of the product, Shower to Shower, Johnson & Johnson's baby powder, as increasing the risk for ovarian cancer showing biological plausibility. Careful review of that literature has shown nothing. So whether there's asbestos in there or not, I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would would it give you pause? MS. CURRY:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A I would I would you know, I would say, again, it doesn't matter if that's the way the product was used. And it was careful studies. Q Have you seen any studies from Johnson & Johnson regarding their asbestos testing? A I haven't seen that. Q Were you shown any testing results from Johnson & Johnson? A No. Q Were you shown any testing results from
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's baby powder on my perineum? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So, again, this this gets to the point of having reviewed all the literature in terms of the product, Shower to Shower, Johnson & Johnson's baby powder, as increasing the risk for ovarian cancer showing biological plausibility. Careful review of that literature has shown nothing. So whether there's asbestos in there or not, I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would would it give you pause? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A I would I would you know, I would say, again, it doesn't matter if that's the way the product was used. And it was careful studies. Q Have you seen any studies from Johnson & Johnson regarding their asbestos testing? A I haven't seen that. Q Were you shown any testing results from Johnson & Johnson? A No. Q Were you shown any testing results from defense experts as to whether baby powder
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	how you would advise a patient who says, Dr. Birrer, is it safe for me to use Johnson's baby powder on my perineum? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So, again, this this gets to the point of having reviewed all the literature in terms of the product, Shower to Shower, Johnson & Johnson's baby powder, as increasing the risk for ovarian cancer showing biological plausibility. Careful review of that literature has shown nothing. So whether there's asbestos in there or not, I don't know. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would would it give you pause? MS. CURRY:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q And what if they said, Dr. Birrer, is that true even if it does contain asbestos? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q Would your answer be the same? A I would I would you know, I would say, again, it doesn't matter if that's the way the product was used. And it was careful studies. Q Have you seen any studies from Johnson & Johnson regarding their asbestos testing? A I haven't seen that. Q Were you shown any testing results from Johnson & Johnson? A No. Q Were you shown any testing results from

72 (Pages 282 to 285)

	Page 286		Page 288
1	A Not that I recall, although, as I said	1	A No, I didn't. I see the litigation ad.
2	before, in the expert witness reports, the ones	2	MS. THOMPSON:
3	that involved minerals in asbestos, I went	3	Q Okay. I'm gonna give you I'm gonna
4	through them fairly rapidly.	4	mark as Exhibit 24 a report call it an article
5	MS. THOMPSON:	5	because it's titled "News" from BMJ. And
6	Q Do you know if any defense experts even	6	what's BMJ?
7	performed any testing as to whether there was	7	A I don't know. I was gonna ask you.
8	asbestos in baby powder?	8	Q Oh. British Medical Journal. You've
9	A No.	9	heard of the British Medical Journal?
10	Q Do you know did you see that	10	A Yes. I thought it was Birmingham.
11	Dr. Longo also tested for talc fibers, so-called	11	Q I that was another trick question.
12	fibrous talc?	12	I said it was a news report from a medical
13	MS. CURRY:	13	journal.
14	Object to the form.	14	And you can take a minute to look
15	A Fibrous talc. I can't quote you that,	15	through that
16	but I'll rely on you.	16	A Please.
17	MS. THOMPSON:	17	Q since you haven't seen the news
18	Q Dr. Longo found and, you know, feel	18	reports.
19	free to look to that summary virtually every	19	So you'll, I think, agree with me that
20	Johnson's baby powder and Shower to Shower sample	20	the editors didn't come to any conclusions as to
21	provided from historical samples contained talc	21	whether or not baby powder caused ovarian cancer
22	fibers. The same answer as to asbestos; it	22	right?
23	doesn't matter?	23	A Correct.
24	MS. CURRY:	24	Q But they the editors of the journal
	Page 287		Page 289
_		1	
1	Object to the form.	1	at least thought it important to to report the
1 2	Object to the form. A There again, these products that he's	1 2	at least thought it important to to report the claims that baby powder may contain asbestos;
2	A There again, these products that he's	2	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos;
2	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the	2 3	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct?
2 3 4	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the	2 3 4	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing.	2 3 4 5	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing. The inflammatory theory is inconsistent. So to	2 3 4 5 6	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think they thought this would be of
2 3 4 5 6 7	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing. The inflammatory theory is inconsistent. So to say anything other than that this is a safe	2 3 4 5 6 7	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think they thought this would be of interest to the readership. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agreed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing. The inflammatory theory is inconsistent. So to say anything other than that this is a safe product, I think, is inappropriate. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are are you aware of news reports	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think they thought this would be of interest to the readership. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agreed. And you don't think the editors would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing. The inflammatory theory is inconsistent. So to say anything other than that this is a safe product, I think, is inappropriate. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are are you aware of news reports over the past two or three months of the presence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think they thought this would be of interest to the readership. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agreed. And you don't think the editors would have published this news report if it wasn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing. The inflammatory theory is inconsistent. So to say anything other than that this is a safe product, I think, is inappropriate. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are are you aware of news reports over the past two or three months of the presence of asbestos in baby powder and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think they thought this would be of interest to the readership. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agreed. And you don't think the editors would have published this news report if it wasn't based on what they considered credible evidence,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing. The inflammatory theory is inconsistent. So to say anything other than that this is a safe product, I think, is inappropriate. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are are you aware of news reports over the past two or three months of the presence of asbestos in baby powder and Johnson & Johnson's knowledge of the asbestos in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think they thought this would be of interest to the readership. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agreed. And you don't think the editors would have published this news report if it wasn't based on what they considered credible evidence, would you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing. The inflammatory theory is inconsistent. So to say anything other than that this is a safe product, I think, is inappropriate. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are are you aware of news reports over the past two or three months of the presence of asbestos in baby powder and Johnson & Johnson's knowledge of the asbestos in baby powder?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think they thought this would be of interest to the readership. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agreed. And you don't think the editors would have published this news report if it wasn't based on what they considered credible evidence, would you? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing. The inflammatory theory is inconsistent. So to say anything other than that this is a safe product, I think, is inappropriate. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are are you aware of news reports over the past two or three months of the presence of asbestos in baby powder and Johnson & Johnson's knowledge of the asbestos in baby powder? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think they thought this would be of interest to the readership. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agreed. And you don't think the editors would have published this news report if it wasn't based on what they considered credible evidence, would you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing. The inflammatory theory is inconsistent. So to say anything other than that this is a safe product, I think, is inappropriate. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are are you aware of news reports over the past two or three months of the presence of asbestos in baby powder and Johnson & Johnson's knowledge of the asbestos in baby powder? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think they thought this would be of interest to the readership. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agreed. And you don't think the editors would have published this news report if it wasn't based on what they considered credible evidence, would you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I would I would not agree with that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing. The inflammatory theory is inconsistent. So to say anything other than that this is a safe product, I think, is inappropriate. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are are you aware of news reports over the past two or three months of the presence of asbestos in baby powder and Johnson & Johnson's knowledge of the asbestos in baby powder? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think they thought this would be of interest to the readership. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agreed. And you don't think the editors would have published this news report if it wasn't based on what they considered credible evidence, would you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I would I would not agree with that statement. I think they would they might not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing. The inflammatory theory is inconsistent. So to say anything other than that this is a safe product, I think, is inappropriate. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are are you aware of news reports over the past two or three months of the presence of asbestos in baby powder and Johnson & Johnson's knowledge of the asbestos in baby powder? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 24	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think they thought this would be of interest to the readership. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agreed. And you don't think the editors would have published this news report if it wasn't based on what they considered credible evidence, would you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I would I would not agree with that statement. I think they would they might not agree with any of this or the role of talcum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing. The inflammatory theory is inconsistent. So to say anything other than that this is a safe product, I think, is inappropriate. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are are you aware of news reports over the past two or three months of the presence of asbestos in baby powder and Johnson & Johnson's knowledge of the asbestos in baby powder? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 24 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think they thought this would be of interest to the readership. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agreed. And you don't think the editors would have published this news report if it wasn't based on what they considered credible evidence, would you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I would I would not agree with that statement. I think they would they might not agree with any of this or the role of talcum powder or asbestos, but but they felt their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing. The inflammatory theory is inconsistent. So to say anything other than that this is a safe product, I think, is inappropriate. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are are you aware of news reports over the past two or three months of the presence of asbestos in baby powder and Johnson & Johnson's knowledge of the asbestos in baby powder? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 24 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think they thought this would be of interest to the readership. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agreed. And you don't think the editors would have published this news report if it wasn't based on what they considered credible evidence, would you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I would I would not agree with that statement. I think they would they might not agree with any of this or the role of talcum powder or asbestos, but but they felt their readership would be interested in this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing. The inflammatory theory is inconsistent. So to say anything other than that this is a safe product, I think, is inappropriate. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are are you aware of news reports over the past two or three months of the presence of asbestos in baby powder and Johnson & Johnson's knowledge of the asbestos in baby powder? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 24 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q You haven't seen any news reports about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think they thought this would be of interest to the readership. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agreed. And you don't think the editors would have published this news report if it wasn't based on what they considered credible evidence, would you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I would I would not agree with that statement. I think they would they might not agree with any of this or the role of talcum powder or asbestos, but but they felt their readership would be interested in this. MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing. The inflammatory theory is inconsistent. So to say anything other than that this is a safe product, I think, is inappropriate. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are are you aware of news reports over the past two or three months of the presence of asbestos in baby powder and Johnson & Johnson's knowledge of the asbestos in baby powder? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 24 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q You haven't seen any news reports about asbestos in baby powder?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think they thought this would be of interest to the readership. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agreed. And you don't think the editors would have published this news report if it wasn't based on what they considered credible evidence, would you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I would I would not agree with that statement. I think they would they might not agree with any of this or the role of talcum powder or asbestos, but but they felt their readership would be interested in this. MS. THOMPSON: Q So BMJ has become the National Enquired
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A There again, these products that he's analyzing have been used for years. We have the epi data. It's unconvincing. We've got the biologic data. It's definitely unconvincing. The inflammatory theory is inconsistent. So to say anything other than that this is a safe product, I think, is inappropriate. MS. THOMPSON: Q Are are you aware of news reports over the past two or three months of the presence of asbestos in baby powder and Johnson & Johnson's knowledge of the asbestos in baby powder? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I'm not. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 24 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q You haven't seen any news reports about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	claims that baby powder may contain asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think they thought this would be of interest to the readership. MS. THOMPSON: Q Agreed. And you don't think the editors would have published this news report if it wasn't based on what they considered credible evidence, would you? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I would I would not agree with that statement. I think they would they might not agree with any of this or the role of talcum powder or asbestos, but but they felt their readership would be interested in this. MS. THOMPSON:

73 (Pages 286 to 289)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 75 of 165 PageID: 82862 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 290		Page 292
1	Object to the form.	1	conclusions. You're a physician and you see this
2	A Medical journals are not above some	2	article. Might it be something that you would be
3	editorial latitude.	3	interested in so you could advise your patients
4	MS. THOMPSON:	4	accordingly?
5	Q And why would the readers be	5	MS. CURRY:
6	interested?	6	Object to the form.
7	MS. CURRY:	7	A Definitely not.
8	Object to the form.	8	MS. THOMPSON:
9	A Well, I think there there is major	9	Q And you would not give a medical
10	litigation involved. There are a number of court	10	journal any credit that doctors might want to
11	cases. The FDA has weighed in a little bit. And	11	advise their patients that baby powder contains
12	then there are, quote, internal documents. All	12	asbestos?
13	of that is, for lack of a better word, you know,	13	MS. CURRY:
14	scientists are looking for things to excite their	14	Object to the form.
15	lives, so this is entertainment.	15	A I think they do a reasonable job of
16	MS. THOMPSON:	16	simply reporting what is happening. And they
17	Q Might it be that BMJ thought their	17	talk about they talk about internal documents.
18	doctors would want to tell patients about this	18	Those are essentially impossible to assess. They
19	information?	19	talk about the New York Times. Not a scientific
20	MS. CURRY:	20	organization. There is some hearsay from the
21	Object to the form.	21	FDA. And then they they out line the court
22	MR. MIZGALA:	22	case. I wouldn't I would not take this and
23	So now you're	23	translate it into some recommendation for a
24	MS. THOMPSON:	24	patient.
	Page 291		Page 293
1	Q Just a hunch. Just a hunch.	1	MS. THOMPSON:
2	MR. MIZGALA:	2	Q So it wouldn't be any different from
3	Now you're asking him to speculate.	3	reading a story about the Kardashians in BMJ
4	You've been doing this the whole deposition.	4	MS. CURRY:
5	MS. GARBER:	5	Object to the form.
6	I don't think we're doing speaking	6	MS. THOMPSON:
7	objections. So the objection is to form.	7	Q Is that what you're saying?
8	MR. MIZGALA:	8	A You want an answer to that?
9	Yeah. But she's gone to task for	9	Q Sure. It was a question.
10	speculating earlier, and she's doing the same	10	A Yeah, it's different.
11	thing.	11	Q Okay. Thanks.
12	MS. GARBER:	12	A It's about tale.
13	Okay. The objection is to form. You	13	Q Are you aware that concerns have been
14	know that. Let's follow the rules.	14	raised about the safety of pleurodesis?
15	A Say again.	15	MS. CURRY:
16	MS. THOMPSON:	16	Object to the form.
17	Q You're a physician that reads journals.	17	A So, actually, my understanding of
18	A Uh-huh.	18	pleurodesis, at least in the relationship of talc
19	Q As a physician, let's we're going to	19	in ovarian cancer, there's essentially no
20	take a hypothetical that you're not involved in	20	evidence linking the two. But let me let me
21	talcum powder litigation. Okay?	21	see what you're referring to.
	A Uh-huh.	22	MS. THOMPSON:
2.2	11 On hun.	1 22	
22 23	And you haven't done this thorough	23	O Well I was inst let me ask
22 23 24	Q And you haven't done this thorough review that you have done to come to your	23 24	Q Well, I was just let me ask questions first.

74 (Pages 290 to 293)

	Page 294		Page 296
1	A Uh-huh.	1	stating that talc is asbestos-free should not
2	Q And that was: Are you aware that	2	release us from a responsibility to the patient,
3	concerns have been raised about the safety of	3	especially when safe alternatives are available."
4	pleurodesis?	4	And the picture is of a talc fiber
5	MS. CURRY:	5	found in a pleurodesis talc.
6	Object to the form.	6	Does that cause you any concern?
7	A No.	7	MS. CURRY:
8	MS. THOMPSON:	8	Object to the form.
9	Q And have you been are you aware	9	A It doesn't. To be fair, the entire
10	no, you're not aware of any concerns at all.	10	my my impression is, although I don't do I
11	Let me go ahead and give you Exhibit	11	do pleurodesis for cancer patients, in which
12	25.	12	case, unfortunately, longevity makes this whole
13	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 25	13	issue moot. But we've moved away from talc for
14	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	14	other reasons. It's painful. It doesn't work
15	MS. THOMPSON:	15	all the time. We have better agents. So that
16	Q And this is a letter to the editor.	16	kind of makes this moot.
17	I	17	But, you know, again I think you
18	A Uh-huh.	18	pointed out appropriately. It's they're
19	Q I understand that. It's not a	19	entitled to their opinions. It's a single
20	formal study, per se.	20	article it's a single letter, and the studies
21	MS. CURRY:	21	addressing this are very limited. So I think
22	Do you have an extra copy?	22	I think they're making fairly bold statements
23	MS. THOMPSON:	23	on not a lot of data.
24	Yeah, I do.	24	MS. THOMPSON:
	Page 295		
1	Q Do you know Dr I think it's Ghio.	1	Q But you'll agree that this was out of
2	I don't know how it's pronounced. Do you know	2	the context of any litigation about baby powder;
3	Ghio and Dr. Roggli?	3	correct?
4	A I don't know either of them.	4	MS. CURRY:
5	Q And I'll let you read through this.	5	Object to the form.
6	Let's just read that I'm gonna read the last	6	A I would agree on that.
7	paragraph and get your thoughts.	7	MS. THOMPSON:
8	A Okay.	8	Q What's your understanding of the
9	Q "The assertion that contemporary	9	mechanism by which asbestos causes cancer?
10	purified preparations of talc do not contain	10	MS. CURRY:
11	asbestos, therefore eliminating a risk of	11	Object to the form.
12	mesothelioma, should be closely examined prior to	12	A Again, I'm not necessarily an expert on
13	its acceptance for clinical application. The	13	this. The association and the risk factor's very
14	methodology used to confirm the lack of	14	clear. I think the present theory and I would
15	asbestiform materials in a finished product,	15	put it as a theory is this is a substance that
16	(i.e., X-ray diffraction, optical microscopy, and	16	essentially doesn't dissolve, stays there, or at
17	electron microcopy techniques) and its	17	least is very long-lasting, and then, under those
18	sensitivity must be provided. Even if the	18	circumstances, causes effectively the
	product is "asbestos-free," the mechanism of	19	transformation of cells that it is in close
	product is aspesios-nee, the meenanism of	20	contact with. And that's it includes, of
19	=		contact with. And that 8 it includes, 01
19 20	cancer induction by asbestos (i.e.,		
19 20 21	cancer induction by asbestos (i.e., metal-catalyzed radical generation) is similarly	21	course, lung cancer per se, but also mesothelioma
19 20 21 22	cancer induction by asbestos (i.e., metal-catalyzed radical generation) is similarly pertinent to talc and the occurrence of fibrous	21 22	course, lung cancer per se, but also mesothelioma where these particles will sort of stay in the
19 20 21	cancer induction by asbestos (i.e., metal-catalyzed radical generation) is similarly	21	course, lung cancer per se, but also mesothelioma

75 (Pages 294 to 297)

	Page 298		Page 300
1	Q Is there anything in that description	1	because I wasn't asked to review that, and and
2	that you gave that would be different for talc?	2	my experience is in lung cancer.
3	MS. CURRY:	3	That process, I think, is still is
4	Object to the form.	4	still questionable. And and because of that,
5	A Well	5	that that process may be specifically
6	MS. THOMPSON:	6	associated with asbestos. So to extrapolate that
7	Q And we're speaking in general terms.	7	to some other molecule that, oh, by the way, it
8	MS. CURRY:	8	hangs around for a while, is not acceptable.
9	Object to the form.	9	Q So I understand that you apparently
10	A Talc doesn't do this; right?	10	were not asked to consider asbestos. You're a
11	MS. THOMPSON:	11	scientist; right?
12	Q Well, no. Let's go back.	12	A Yes.
13	You would agree that talc essentially	13	Q Did you not have any curiosity about
14	doesn't dissolve also; correct?	14	what effects the presence of asbestos in baby
15	MS. CURRY:	15	powder would have?
16	Object to the form.	16	MS. CURRY:
17	A It's a mineral.	17	Object to the form.
18	MS. THOMPSON:	18	A To be honest, that wasn't the way I
19	Q And it stays there; correct?	19	approached it. I approached it by looking
20	MS. CURRY:	20	specifically from the talc standpoint.
21	Object to the form.	21	MS. THOMPSON:
22	A Well, I don't know if it stays there as	22	Q Okay.
23	long as asbestos. You know, if you look at the	23	A And and the studies and then looking
24	pleurodesis patients, there's really essentially	24	at that objectively. And, again, we get back to
	Page 299		Page 301
_			
1	no increase in ovarian cancer.	1	this issue of really looking at epidemiologic
1 2	no increase in ovarian cancer. MS. THOMPSON:	1 2	this issue of really looking at epidemiologic studies, just use powder, and then some of the
2	MS. THOMPSON:	2	studies, just use powder, and then some of the
2	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that	2	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used
2 3 4	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life	2 3 4	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are
2 3 4 5	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years.	2 3 4 5	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that
2 3 4 5 6	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years. MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that are in there that are causing a problem and
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years. MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that are in there that are causing a problem and carcinogenic, it would have shown up in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I said in the ones I treat. But in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that are in there that are causing a problem and carcinogenic, it would have shown up in the studies.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I said in the ones I treat. But in chronic heart failure, those patients have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that are in there that are causing a problem and carcinogenic, it would have shown up in the studies. Q Do you know that initially in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I said in the ones I treat. But in chronic heart failure, those patients have been followed up to 40 years.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that are in there that are causing a problem and carcinogenic, it would have shown up in the studies. Q Do you know that initially in the studies, asbestos, no one could prove that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I said in the ones I treat. But in chronic heart failure, those patients have been followed up to 40 years. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that are in there that are causing a problem and carcinogenic, it would have shown up in the studies. Q Do you know that initially in the studies, asbestos, no one could prove that asbestos was carcinogenic?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I said in the ones I treat. But in chronic heart failure, those patients have been followed up to 40 years. MS. THOMPSON: Q I would like to see that study, but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that are in there that are causing a problem and carcinogenic, it would have shown up in the studies. Q Do you know that initially in the studies, asbestos, no one could prove that asbestos was carcinogenic? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I said in the ones I treat. But in chronic heart failure, those patients have been followed up to 40 years. MS. THOMPSON: Q I would like to see that study, but we'll do that another day. How's that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that are in there that are causing a problem and carcinogenic, it would have shown up in the studies. Q Do you know that initially in the studies, asbestos, no one could prove that asbestos was carcinogenic? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I said in the ones I treat. But in chronic heart failure, those patients have been followed up to 40 years. MS. THOMPSON: Q I would like to see that study, but we'll do that another day. How's that? A I don't know if I'd like another day.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that are in there that are causing a problem and carcinogenic, it would have shown up in the studies. Q Do you know that initially in the studies, asbestos, no one could prove that asbestos was carcinogenic? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, no one could prove smoking was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I said in the ones I treat. But in chronic heart failure, those patients have been followed up to 40 years. MS. THOMPSON: Q I would like to see that study, but we'll do that another day. How's that? A I don't know if I'd like another day. Q Let's say or your next comment,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that are in there that are causing a problem and carcinogenic, it would have shown up in the studies. Q Do you know that initially in the studies, asbestos, no one could prove that asbestos was carcinogenic? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, no one could prove smoking was carcinogenic either. It takes time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I said in the ones I treat. But in chronic heart failure, those patients have been followed up to 40 years. MS. THOMPSON: Q I would like to see that study, but we'll do that another day. How's that? A I don't know if I'd like another day. Q Let's say or your next comment, or at least it's very long-lasting. You would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that are in there that are causing a problem and carcinogenic, it would have shown up in the studies. Q Do you know that initially in the studies, asbestos, no one could prove that asbestos was carcinogenic? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, no one could prove smoking was carcinogenic either. It takes time. MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I said in the ones I treat. But in chronic heart failure, those patients have been followed up to 40 years. MS. THOMPSON: Q I would like to see that study, but we'll do that another day. How's that? A I don't know if I'd like another day. Q Let's say or your next comment, or at least it's very long-lasting. You would agree that with that for talc; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that are in there that are causing a problem and carcinogenic, it would have shown up in the studies. Q Do you know that initially in the studies, asbestos, no one could prove that asbestos was carcinogenic? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, no one could prove smoking was carcinogenic either. It takes time. MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, there's two examples then. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 26
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I said in the ones I treat. But in chronic heart failure, those patients have been followed up to 40 years. MS. THOMPSON: Q I would like to see that study, but we'll do that another day. How's that? A I don't know if I'd like another day. Q Let's say or your next comment, or at least it's very long-lasting. You would agree that with that for talc; right? A Uh-huh. Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that are in there that are causing a problem and carcinogenic, it would have shown up in the studies. Q Do you know that initially in the studies, asbestos, no one could prove that asbestos was carcinogenic? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, no one could prove smoking was carcinogenic either. It takes time. MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, there's two examples then. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 26
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I said in the ones I treat. But in chronic heart failure, those patients have been followed up to 40 years. MS. THOMPSON: Q I would like to see that study, but we'll do that another day. How's that? A I don't know if I'd like another day. Q Let's say or your next comment, or at least it's very long-lasting. You would agree that with that for talc; right? A Uh-huh. Uh-huh. Q And, then, for asbestos, you say it causes effectively the transformation of cells	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that are in there that are causing a problem and carcinogenic, it would have shown up in the studies. Q Do you know that initially in the studies, asbestos, no one could prove that asbestos was carcinogenic? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, no one could prove smoking was carcinogenic either. It takes time. MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, there's two examples then. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 26 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I said in the ones I treat. But in chronic heart failure, those patients have been followed up to 40 years. MS. THOMPSON: Q I would like to see that study, but we'll do that another day. How's that? A I don't know if I'd like another day. Q Let's say or your next comment, or at least it's very long-lasting. You would agree that with that for talc; right? A Uh-huh. Uh-huh. Q And, then, for asbestos, you say it causes effectively the transformation of cells that it's in close contact with. But you don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that are in there that are causing a problem and carcinogenic, it would have shown up in the studies. Q Do you know that initially in the studies, asbestos, no one could prove that asbestos was carcinogenic? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, no one could prove smoking was carcinogenic either. It takes time. MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, there's two examples then. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 26 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm going to show you Exhibit 26, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, you've already told us that pleurodesis patients have typically a life expectancy of months, not years. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I said in the ones I treat. But in chronic heart failure, those patients have been followed up to 40 years. MS. THOMPSON: Q I would like to see that study, but we'll do that another day. How's that? A I don't know if I'd like another day. Q Let's say or your next comment, or at least it's very long-lasting. You would agree that with that for talc; right? A Uh-huh. Uh-huh. Q And, then, for asbestos, you say it causes effectively the transformation of cells	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	studies, just use powder, and then some of the studies biologically used it use those used those products. It you know, if there are if there are substance X, Y, Z, A, B, and C that are in there that are causing a problem and carcinogenic, it would have shown up in the studies. Q Do you know that initially in the studies, asbestos, no one could prove that asbestos was carcinogenic? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, no one could prove smoking was carcinogenic either. It takes time. MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, there's two examples then. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 26 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON:

76 (Pages 298 to 301)

	Page 302		Page 304
1	A I think we shared classmates about 20	1	Object to the form.
2	years ago.	2	MS. THOMPSON:
3	Q I I won't I won't go any further	3	Q That in vitro studies could be used to
4	with that one.	4	test that mechanism in EMPs?
5	The title of this study is "Mechanistic	5	A And she's
6	in vitro studies: What they have told us about	6	MS. CURRY:
7	carcinogenic properties of elongated mineral	7	Object to the form.
8	particles."	8	A she's well respected in this area.
9	I think we've already established that	9	MS. THOMPSON:
10	that's not a term that you're particularly	10	Q We're going to get to Saed's, Dr.
11	familiar with. But go ahead and take a minute to	11	Saed's work in a minute.
12	look at	12	A Okay.
13	A 26?	13	Q But wouldn't you agree that that's what
14	Q that paper.	14	Dr. Saed started testing in his in vitro studies?
15	And I'm going to just read from the	15	MS. CURRY:
16	abstract. "In vitro studies using target and	16	Object to the form.
17	effector cells of mineral-induced cancers have	17	A I think the expert report and the paper
18	been critical in determining the mechanisms of	18	that I read is within this spectrum.
19	pathogenesis as well as the properties"	19	MS. THOMPSON:
20	A Where are you?	20	Q And, just moving down a little bit,
21	Q The first sentence of the paper, in the	21	maybe two-thirds of the way down, "Comparative
22	abstract.	22	studies using chemical carcinogens showed that
23	A Oh, okay. Thank you.	23	chemical agents interacted directly with DNA;
24	Q "In vitro studies" we'll start over.	24	whereas, long EMPs appeared to be promoters of
	Page 303		Page 305
1	"In vitro studies using target and	1	cancer via a number of mechanisms, such as
2	effector cells of mineral-induced cancers have	2	inflammation, generation of oxidants and
3	been critical in determining the mechanisms of	3	instigation of cell division.
4	pathogenesis as well as the properties of	4	"The multitude of these signaling
5	elongated mineral particles, EMPs, important in	5	cascades and epigenetic mechanisms of both lung
6	eliciting these responses."	6	cancers and mesotheliomas have been most recently
7	Dr. Mossman is reporting that in vitro	7	studied in normal or telomerase immortalized
8	studies have been helpful in in determining	8	human cells."
9	this mechanism; right?	9	I believe she's saying and I'll ask
10	MS. CURRY:	10	you if it's correct that particles,
11	Object to the form.	11	particularly the elongated particles or fibers,
12	A Yeah, I think that's what she's saying.	12	have a different mechanism than what is usually
13	Yes.	13	thought of with chemical carcinogens.
14	MS. THOMPSON:	14	MS. CURRY:
15	Q Next sentence, "Historically, in vitro	15	Object to the form.
16	models of mutagenesis and immortalized cell lines	16	MS. THOMPSON:
17	were first used to test the theory that EMPs were	17	Q Is that a
18	mutagenic to cells, and genotoxicity, as defined	18	A I think that's
	as damage to DNA, often culminating in cell	19	Q reasonable interpretation?
19			A You know, again, we've been down this
19 20	death, was observed in a dose-dependent fashion	20	
19 20 21	as responses of many cell types to a number of	21	road a little bit. This is a review article, so
19 20 21 22	as responses of many cell types to a number of EMPs."	21 22	road a little bit. This is a review article, so she's kind of looking at it globally. But I
19 20 21	as responses of many cell types to a number of	21	road a little bit. This is a review article, so

77 (Pages 302 to 305)

	Page 306		Page 308
1	Q Thank you. I'm honored	1	MS. THOMPSON:
2	A Okay. We're done?	2	Q Would you agree that some scientists
3	Q to have kind of gotten it right.	3	tend to like one explanation or the other, and
4	A We're done?	4	the other scientists liking a different
5	Q No.	5	explanation more than the first one?
6	A No?	6	MS. CURRY:
7	Q But I'm gonna shave 10 minutes off for	7	Object to the form.
8	that compliment.	8	A I think that I think if you look at
9	And in the paragraph 2, "General	9	the investigators in this field, they'll come at
10	Concepts of Cancer Development," first	10	it, as their expertise, from one direction or the
11	paragraph	11	other.
12	MS. CURRY:	12	But, you know you know, Brook is
13	I'm sorry. The realtime is not	13	somebody who sees the big picture. I'd like to
14	(Off the record.)	14	think I do, too. So there's some of us who look
15	A I wouldn't we can we sort of edge	15	at the whole thing.
16	towards a break at some point?	16	MS. THOMPSON:
17	MS. THOMPSON:	17	Q Okay. That's a good explanation.
18	Q Yeah. Let's just go ahead and just	18	But there are scientists doing credible
19	finish almost finished, and then we'll come	19	work that are kind of in both camps?
20	back. That's a good good spot.	20	MS. CURRY:
21	(Technical difficulties with realtime.)	21	Object to the form.
22	MS. THOMPSON:	22	A I think that's fair.
23	Q Are we okay going forward for a couple	23	MS. THOMPSON:
24	questions without the realtime?	24	Q And then I'm going to that next page.
	Page 307		Page 309
1	A Yes.	1	I just have, I think, one more passage I'd like
2	Q So in number 2, "General Concepts of	2	to read from this paper and get get your
3	Cancer Development."	3	thoughts.
4	A Uh-huh.	4	The first full paragraph on the second
5	Q "The development and use of in vitro	5	page of the article, page 63, "The modern day
6	models over time has corresponded with the	6	definition of epigenetic mechanisms has evolved
7	evolution of research and knowledge on cancer	7	over time to encompass the fact that alterations
8	etiology in humans."	8	in the primary structure of DNA do not underlie
9	Would you agree with that statement?	9	most changes in the development of tumors.
10	A I think so, yes.	10	Accordingly, an epigenetic trait can be a stable
11	Q Next sentence, "While some scientists	11	inheritable phenotype resulting from changes in a
12	have suggested that the relative contributions of	12	chromosome without alteration in the DNA
13	DNA replications and mutations are overwhelming	13	sequence."
14	drivers of cancer risk, others argue that	14	Do you agree with that statement?
il .	armanimantal and architianami data naint to	15	MS. CURRY:
15	experimental and evolutionary data point to		
	tissue microenvironment and epigenetic changes as	16	Object to the form.
15		16 17	Object to the form. A It strikes me as a little overstated,
15 16	tissue microenvironment and epigenetic changes as		_
15 16 17	tissue microenvironment and epigenetic changes as being key to tumorigenesis."	17	A It strikes me as a little overstated,
15 16 17 18	tissue microenvironment and epigenetic changes as being key to tumorigenesis." Would you agree with that statement?	17 18	A It strikes me as a little overstated, particularly the first part, "epigenetic
15 16 17 18 19	tissue microenvironment and epigenetic changes as being key to tumorigenesis." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY:	17 18 19	A It strikes me as a little overstated, particularly the first part, "epigenetic mechanism evolved over time to encompass the fact
15 16 17 18 19 20	tissue microenvironment and epigenetic changes as being key to tumorigenesis." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	17 18 19 20	A It strikes me as a little overstated, particularly the first part, "epigenetic mechanism evolved over time to encompass the fact that alterations in the primary structure do not
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	tissue microenvironment and epigenetic changes as being key to tumorigenesis." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think it's a quantitative issue. So	17 18 19 20 21	A It strikes me as a little overstated, particularly the first part, "epigenetic mechanism evolved over time to encompass the fact that alterations in the primary structure do not underline most changes." That, I I'm not sure

78 (Pages 306 to 309)

	Page 310		Page 312
1	in others, a mutation would be more important.	1	So and then he did a fair amount of work on
2	Again, when we treat patients, as you	2	adhesion, pure adhesion.
3	know, we're sequencing everything, and that's not	3	MS. THOMPSON:
4	looking at epigenetics. It's looking at	4	Q And his adhesion work involved
5	mutations. Tumors are riddled with these things.	5	oxidative stress in adhesions, didn't it?
6	In fact, the problem that we face is what's the	6	A I think he would argue that. I
7	driver versus the passenger.	7	didn't it wasn't clear to me from my
8	MS. THOMPSON:	8	perspective. But that's a component of what he
9	Q So in a particular tumor, either	9	looked at. The unifying factor for me is that
10	mechanism well, it could be either mechanism	10	it's gynecologic.
11	or both in various amount of contribution. Is	11	Q Okay.
12	that a fair statement?	12	A Okay.
13	MS. CURRY:	13	Q And he has 234 peer-reviewed
14	Object to the form.	14	publications; correct? Oh, no. Take that back.
15	A I think it's a fair statement.	15	A 136, isn't it?
16	MS. THOMPSON:	16	Q 136. I was looking
17	Let's take a break.	17	A 136. Correct.
18	VIDEOGRAPHER:	18	Q What is oxidative stress?
19	Off the record at 3:26 p.m.	19	A Well, that's that's a biochemical
20	(OFF THE RECORD.)	20	state, if you will, within we we consider
21	VIDEOGRAPHER:	21	as biologists within cells. It exists in all
22		22	cells. And it's a balance between ox you
23	We're back on the record at 3:45 p m. MS. THOMPSON:	23	know, oxidizing effects and antioxidants.
24		24	As a term, oxidative, of course, it's a
24	Q Dr. Birrer, let's talk about Dr. Saed		ris a term, oxidative, or course, it's a
	Page 311		Page 313
1	and his research. Okay?	1	chemistry definition. But this one, I think what
2	A Okay.	2	he means by oxidative stress is it's or what
3	Q Did you look at Dr. Saed's CV?	3	you're implying is it's a biologic process.
4	A I did.	4	Okay?
5	Q I'll go ahead and mark that as exhibit	5	Q And is it fair to say that at least
6	27.	6	some scientists believe that oxidative stress
7	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 27 WAS	7	plays a role in the etiology of many types of
8	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	8	cancers?
9	A Thank you.	9	MS. CURRY:
10	MS. THOMPSON:	10	Object to the form.
11	Q And looking at his CV, would you agree	11	A I think it's safe to say oxidative
12	that the focus of his lab has been the study of	12	stress has been investigated and associated with
13	oxidative stress and its biological effects?	13	some cancers.
14	MS. CURRY:	14	MS. THOMPSON:
15	Object to the form.	15	Q Okay. Do you have an opinion on the
16	A Let me refresh my refresh my memory	16	role of oxidative stress in the initiation of
17	on this a little bit.	17	ovarian cancer?
18	So I think, you know, looking at, if I	18	A I think that's unresolved at this
19	recall correctly I would say that he one of	19	point. Most of the data that I know of for
0.0	his one of the components of what he looks at	20	oxidative stress, a lot of the data is in ovarian
20		0.1	tumors. They're already established.
20	is oxidative stress. If you look at his career,	21	tumors. They ie aready established.
	is oxidative stress. If you look at his career, he's been fairly broadly over a broad number of	21	Q Are would you say there are
21			
21 22	he's been fairly broadly over a broad number of	22	Q Are would you say there are

79 (Pages 310 to 313)

	Page 314		Page 316
1	Object to the form.	1	A Yeah.
2	A Would you define that, please?	2	Q Let's go to your report.
3	MS. THOMPSON:	3	A We're done with the CV?
4	Q The importance of oxidative stress in	4	Q I think so.
5	the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer.	5	A Are you going to the report or the
6	MS. CURRY:	6	paper?
7	Object to the form.	7	Q I'm going to your report first.
8	A I think it's an area of active	8	A Yeah. Okay.
9	investigation.	9	Q And then the report, I'll probably go
10	MS. THOMPSON:	10	to the this paper next.
11	Q Okay. So you would agree that	11	So in your report, going to page
12	researchers who believe that oxidative stress	12	actually, let's start on page 19.
13	plays a role in the initiation or progression of	13	A Uh-huh.
14	ovarian cancer are not unreasonable?	14	Q And you have the big heading, Section
15	MS. CURRY:	15	4
16	Object to the form.	16	A Uh-huh.
17	A It's a generalization that I can't	17	Q Dr. Saed's Plaintiff-Funded
18	comment on. Which researchers?	18	Research.
19	MS. THOMPSON:	19	Did you write that heading?
20	Q Okay. But they wouldn't automatically	20	A Yes.
21	be unreasonable?	21	Q What is the basis for calling
22	MS. CURRY:	22	Dr. Saed's research plaintiff-funded?
23	Object to the form.	23	A My understanding is after he submitted
24	A Because they believe	24	his the preprint said revealed,
21	A Because they believe		ins – the preprint said – revealed,
	Page 315		Page 317
1	Page 315 MS. THOMPSON:	1	Page 317 essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper,
1 2		1 2	
	MS. THOMPSON:		essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper,
2	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance	2	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a
2 3	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress.	2 3	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness.
2 3 4	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so.	2 3 4	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded
2 3 4 5	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be	2 3 4 5	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research?
2 3 4 5 6	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible?	2 3 4 5 6	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate issue, that there was money actually flowing into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate issue, that there was money actually flowing into his lab.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That would depend on the work they've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate issue, that there was money actually flowing into his lab. Q What what is your basis for saying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That would depend on the work they've done	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate issue, that there was money actually flowing into his lab. Q What what is your basis for saying there was money flowing into his lab?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That would depend on the work they've done MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate issue, that there was money actually flowing into his lab. Q What what is your basis for saying there was money flowing into his lab? A I think that's what we I saw in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That would depend on the work they've done MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate issue, that there was money actually flowing into his lab. Q What what is your basis for saying there was money flowing into his lab? A I think that's what we I saw in his let me see. Hang on his deposition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That would depend on the work they've done MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A in their experiments.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate issue, that there was money actually flowing into his lab. Q What what is your basis for saying there was money flowing into his lab? A I think that's what we I saw in his let me see. Hang on his deposition. Q What did his deposition say about that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That would depend on the work they've done MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A in their experiments. Q All right. And they wouldn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate issue, that there was money actually flowing into his lab. Q What what is your basis for saying there was money flowing into his lab? A I think that's what we I saw in his let me see. Hang on his deposition. Q What did his deposition say about that? A I'd have to refresh my memory. Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That would depend on the work they've done MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A in their experiments. Q All right. And they wouldn't automatically be uninformed. Would you agree	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate issue, that there was money actually flowing into his lab. Q What what is your basis for saying there was money flowing into his lab? A I think that's what we I saw in his let me see. Hang on his deposition. Q What did his deposition say about that? A I'd have to refresh my memory. Do you have it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That would depend on the work they've done MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A in their experiments. Q All right. And they wouldn't automatically be uninformed. Would you agree with that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate issue, that there was money actually flowing into his lab. Q What what is your basis for saying there was money flowing into his lab? A I think that's what we I saw in his let me see. Hang on his deposition. Q What did his deposition say about that? A I'd have to refresh my memory. Do you have it? Q Do you recall that the funding for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That would depend on the work they've done MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A in their experiments. Q All right. And they wouldn't automatically be uninformed. Would you agree with that? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate issue, that there was money actually flowing into his lab. Q What what is your basis for saying there was money flowing into his lab? A I think that's what we I saw in his let me see. Hang on his deposition. Q What did his deposition say about that? A I'd have to refresh my memory. Do you have it? Q Do you recall that the funding for the research came from his university lab funds and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That would depend on the work they've done MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A in their experiments. Q All right. And they wouldn't automatically be uninformed. Would you agree with that? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate issue, that there was money actually flowing into his lab. Q What what is your basis for saying there was money flowing into his lab? A I think that's what we I saw in his let me see. Hang on his deposition. Q What did his deposition say about that? A I'd have to refresh my memory. Do you have it? Q Do you recall that the funding for the research came from his university lab funds and that he was paid for his time as a consultant?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That would depend on the work they've done MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A in their experiments. Q All right. And they wouldn't automatically be uninformed. Would you agree with that? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate issue, that there was money actually flowing into his lab. Q What what is your basis for saying there was money flowing into his lab? A I think that's what we I saw in his let me see. Hang on his deposition. Q What did his deposition say about that? A I'd have to refresh my memory. Do you have it? Q Do you recall that the funding for the research came from his university lab funds and that he was paid for his time as a consultant? Does that sound right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That would depend on the work they've done MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A in their experiments. Q All right. And they wouldn't automatically be uninformed. Would you agree with that? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q It would depend?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate issue, that there was money actually flowing into his lab. Q What what is your basis for saying there was money flowing into his lab? A I think that's what we I saw in his let me see. Hang on his deposition. Q What did his deposition say about that? A I'd have to refresh my memory. Do you have it? Q Do you recall that the funding for the research came from his university lab funds and that he was paid for his time as a consultant? Does that sound right? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That would depend on the work they've done MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A in their experiments. Q All right. And they wouldn't automatically be uninformed. Would you agree with that? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q It would depend? A We need to look at their their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate issue, that there was money actually flowing into his lab. Q What what is your basis for saying there was money flowing into his lab? A I think that's what we I saw in his let me see. Hang on his deposition. Q What did his deposition say about that? A I'd have to refresh my memory. Do you have it? Q Do you recall that the funding for the research came from his university lab funds and that he was paid for his time as a consultant? Does that sound right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. THOMPSON: Q Because they believe in the importance of oxidative stress. A I don't think so. Q They wouldn't automatically be credible not credible? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That would depend on the work they've done MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. A in their experiments. Q All right. And they wouldn't automatically be uninformed. Would you agree with that? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q It would depend? A We need to look at their their scientific investigation to determine if they're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	essentially, nothing, and then the actual paper, I believe, said that he was that he was a consultant and an expert witness. Q Does that mean to you plaintiff-funded research? A Well, I mean, that was a separate issue, that there was money actually flowing into his lab. Q What what is your basis for saying there was money flowing into his lab? A I think that's what we I saw in his let me see. Hang on his deposition. Q What did his deposition say about that? A I'd have to refresh my memory. Do you have it? Q Do you recall that the funding for the research came from his university lab funds and that he was paid for his time as a consultant? Does that sound right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A I think I remember that the exchange

80 (Pages 314 to 317)

	Page 318		Page 320
1	from, and he couldn't answer that and said, well,	1	A Yeah.
2	I don't know. And the problem is	2	Q the published manuscript.
3	MS. THOMPSON:	3	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 28
4	Q That's that's just not right.	4	WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
5	A Okay. Can we look at it?	5	MS. THOMPSON:
6	Q And I don't have his deposition here.	6	Q Have you seen that?
7	But to put as your heading "Dr. Saed's	7	A I have seen this, yes.
8	Plaintiff-Funded Research" without really knowing	8	Q And you're talking about the conflict
9	the situation is doesn't sound like something	9	of interest statement; correct?
10	you would write in a paper.	10	A Yes.
11	MS. CURRY:	11	Q Doctor I'm sorry. Exhibit 28 is his
12	Object to the form.	12	manuscript.
13	A No.	13	And the declaration of conflicting
14	MS. THOMPSON:	14	interests.
15	Q Does it?	15	A Uh-huh.
16	A In a peer-review paper?	16	Q "Dr. Saed has served as a paid
17	Q Right.	17	consultant and expert witness in the talcum
18	A No. But this is not a peer-review	18	litigation."
19	paper.	19	Is is that a reason to make the
20	Q Well, did you not	20	heading of your report "Dr. Saed's
21	A The fact that he has plaintiff-funded	21	Plaintiff-Funded Research"?
22	research and hasn't really revealed it is a huge	22	MS. CURRY:
23	issue.	23	Object to the form.
24	Q What what's your basis for saying he	24	A Well, I think so I guess the
	Page 319		Page 321
1	hasn't revealed it?	1	question is: Is this accurate? This was not on
2	A It's not on the manuscript.	2	the preprint. This was not on the
3	Q The manuscript that's published?	3	MS. THOMPSON:
4	A Yeah.	4	Q This is what's published; right?
5	Q Well, let's look at the manuscript.	5	A That's not a preprint.
6	So is your criticism that it's not on	6	Q Do you know what correspondence
7	the manuscript or that it's plaintiff-funded	7	Dr. Saed or what what are you speaking of?
8	research?	8	The submission to
9	MS. CURRY:	9	A The paper was submitted to GYN ONC and
10	Object to the form.	10	rejected, and then the paper was submitted to
11	A Well, it's two. Yeah.	11	this is Reproductive Sciences. And those
12	MS. THOMPSON:	12	again, do we have a copy of that? I got the
13	Q Because there's nothing in that heading	13	preprint which stated which said none of that.
14	that says this research I just I just don't	14	Q Okay. We'll get to that in a minute.
15	understand the heading "Dr. Saed's	15	A This was only put on afterwards.
16	Plaintiff-Funded Research."	16	Q Do you have any do you have any
17	A So I think there's two components	17	knowledge of the conversations that Dr. Saed had
18	there. One is I think it is an issue that	18	with the editors of either journal as to what
19	that there's dollars flowing to do some of that	19	should go on his conflict of interest statement
20	research. I think that raises an issue of how	20	with the situation that he was in?
21	objective he is.	21	Do you have any knowledge of that
22	And then a second issue is at a minimum	22	whatsoever?
23	it should be revealed.	23	A Verbal conversations.
2.4	Q Now, this is	24	Q Written and verbal conversations.
24	2 11011, 1115 15	1	

81 (Pages 318 to 321)

	Page 322		Page 324
1	A So verbal conversations, I don't know.	1	actual research in the lab, is that
2	I'm not there. The written interactions between	2	A I can't quite
3	the journals, we had copies of.	3	MS. CURRY:
4	Q And you think what you saw was	4	Object to the form.
5	sufficient enough for you to state "Dr. Saed's	5	A I can't quite remember.
6	Plaintiff-Funded Research" in this report?	6	MS. THOMPSON:
7	A I think so, yeah. It's a big issue.	7	Q Okay.
8	Q Wouldn't a scientist want to look at	8	A But
9	the research before they call it plaintiff-funded	9	Q So
10	research?	10	A It was a big position.
11	MS. CURRY:	11	Q So do you think that heading is fair?
12	Object to the form.	12	A I think it is.
13	MS. THOMPSON:	13	Q Do you remember Dr. Saed's testimony
14	Q Doesn't that automatically indicate	14	that he would have been that he would have
15	that you think the research is biased?	15	been happy to do the same research had
16	A Well, again, I so as this document	16	Johnson & Johnson approached him on the same
17	evolved, I looked at the science and I I was	17	topic?
18	chagrinned. That then put this into context. I	18	MS. CURRY:
19	think I think it's a concern.	19	
20	Q Well, couldn't you have just said	20	Object to the form.
21	"Dr. Saed's Research" and then written your	1	A I can't remember. Do you have the
22	comments without making the heading	21	deposition?
23	"Plaintiff-Funded Research"?	22	MS. THOMPSON:
23 24	MS. CURRY:	23	Q I don't.
24	W.S. CORKT.	24	A Okay.
	Page 323		Page 325
1	Object to the form.	1	Q You don't remember that he said his
2	A I could have.	2	research would have been the same and he would
3	MS. THOMPSON:	3	have been willing to do it for Johnson & Johnson?
4	Q Isn't there plenty of research being	4	MS. CURRY:
5	done that's funded by various entities that's	5	Object to the form.
6	quality research?	6	A I can't remember it.
7	A So there's a broad spectrum of	7	MS. THOMPSON:
8	Q Answer my question. Isn't there a lot	8	Q To your knowledge, has
	of research that's being done funded by various	9	Johnson & Johnson approached any researcher about
9	į,	1	
9 10	entities that's quality research?	10	doing studies that would help understand whether
	entities that's quality research? A As a general statement?	10 11	doing studies that would help understand whether talcum powder has any molecular effects?
10 11	A As a general statement?	11	talcum powder has any molecular effects?
10 11 12	A As a general statement? Q Uh-huh.	11 12	talcum powder has any molecular effects? MS. CURRY:
10 11 12 13	A As a general statement? Q Uh-huh. A Yes.	11 12 13	talcum powder has any molecular effects? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
10 11 12 13 14	A As a general statement? Q Uh-huh. A Yes. Q Yes.	11 12 13 14	talcum powder has any molecular effects? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A He certainly didn't approach me. But
10 11 12 13 14 15	 A As a general statement? Q Uh-huh. A Yes. Q Yes. And funding has to come from somewhere; 	11 12 13 14 15	talcum powder has any molecular effects? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A He certainly didn't approach me. But I I think I recall in the past they've had a
10 11 12 13 14 15	 A As a general statement? Q Uh-huh. A Yes. Q Yes. And funding has to come from somewhere; correct? 	11 12 13 14 15 16	talcum powder has any molecular effects? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A He certainly didn't approach me. But I I think I recall in the past they've had a J & J-funded study, I think, which was
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A As a general statement? Q Uh-huh. A Yes. Q Yes. And funding has to come from somewhere; correct? MS. CURRY: 	11 12 13 14 15 16	talcum powder has any molecular effects? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A He certainly didn't approach me. But I I think I recall in the past they've had a J & J-funded study, I think, which was acknowledged on the paper.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A As a general statement? Q Uh-huh. A Yes. Q Yes. And funding has to come from somewhere; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	talcum powder has any molecular effects? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A He certainly didn't approach me. But I I think I recall in the past they've had a J & J-funded study, I think, which was acknowledged on the paper. MS. THOMPSON:
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A As a general statement? Q Uh-huh. A Yes. Q Yes. And funding has to come from somewhere; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Can't work without money.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	talcum powder has any molecular effects? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A He certainly didn't approach me. But I I think I recall in the past they've had a J & J-funded study, I think, which was acknowledged on the paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q A molecular study?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A As a general statement? Q Uh-huh. A Yes. Q Yes. And funding has to come from somewhere; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Can't work without money. MS. THOMPSON:	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	talcum powder has any molecular effects? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A He certainly didn't approach me. But I I think I recall in the past they've had a J & J-funded study, I think, which was acknowledged on the paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q A molecular study? A I can't say that.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A As a general statement? Q Uh-huh. A Yes. Q Yes. And funding has to come from somewhere; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Can't work without money. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, again, you may not remember this	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	talcum powder has any molecular effects? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A He certainly didn't approach me. But I I think I recall in the past they've had a J & J-funded study, I think, which was acknowledged on the paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q A molecular study? A I can't say that. Q If you had that, I would certainly like
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A As a general statement? Q Uh-huh. A Yes. Q Yes. And funding has to come from somewhere; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Can't work without money. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, again, you may not remember this from Dr. Saed's deposition, but his testimony	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	talcum powder has any molecular effects? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A He certainly didn't approach me. But I I think I recall in the past they've had a J & J-funded study, I think, which was acknowledged on the paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q A molecular study? A I can't say that. Q If you had that, I would certainly like to see it. So, to your knowledge,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A As a general statement? Q Uh-huh. A Yes. Q Yes. And funding has to come from somewhere; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Can't work without money. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, again, you may not remember this	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	talcum powder has any molecular effects? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A He certainly didn't approach me. But I I think I recall in the past they've had a J & J-funded study, I think, which was acknowledged on the paper. MS. THOMPSON: Q A molecular study? A I can't say that. Q If you had that, I would certainly like

82 (Pages 322 to 325)

	Page 326		Page 328
1	of talcum powder in cell culture?	1	A No.
2	A Outside the company, right?	2	Q Did you have any conversations by
3	Q How about inside the company?	3	email, text or phone with the editors or any
4	A I don't know. I don't know what goes	4	other representatives of the journal regarding
5	on there.	5	this paper?
6	Q Did you ask the attorneys	6	A No.
7	A No.	7	Q Did you have any conversations with
8	Q if Johnson & Johnson had done any	8	Johnson & Johnson regarding the manuscript while
9	studies that you could look at and	9	it was under review?
10	A No.	10	A No.
11	Q criticize in the same way you did	11	Q Did you have any conversations with any
12	Dr. Saed?	12	of the reviewers on the paper?
13	MS. CURRY:	13	A I don't know who the reviewers were.
14	Object to the form.	14	Q Okay.
15	A Well, I wouldn't rely on those, the	15	A Yeah.
16	internal documents. I would have to know the	16	Q But you have seen the reviewer comments
17	context.	17	from GYN Oncology; correct?
18	MS. THOMPSON:	18	A I did.
19	Q Well, can't you	19	Do we have a copy?
20	A But this is this is peer-reviewed.	20	MS. CURRY:
21	Q Can't you find the context of of	21	I think she's
22	what studies have been done by the company?	22	MS. THOMPSON:
23	A I think that would be hard.	23	Yeah, I'm
24	Q So it would be of no interest to you	24	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 29 WAS
	D 207		
	Page 327		Page 329
1	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson	1	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
2	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder	2	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON:
2	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells?	2	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29.
2 3 4	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct.	2 3 4	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal
2 3 4 5	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology.
2 3 4 5 6	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q When did you is the paper that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this it's the journal for SGO, the Society of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q When did you is the paper that we just marked as exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this it's the journal for SGO, the Society of Gynecologic Oncologists; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q When did you is the paper that we just marked as exhibit A 28.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this it's the journal for SGO, the Society of Gynecologic Oncologists; correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q When did you is the paper that we just marked as exhibit A 28. Q 28, was that paper peer-reviewed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this it's the journal for SGO, the Society of Gynecologic Oncologists; correct? A Correct. Q Did I give you a highlighted copy?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q When did you is the paper that we just marked as exhibit A 28. Q 28, was that paper peer-reviewed? A This is a peer-review journal.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this it's the journal for SGO, the Society of Gynecologic Oncologists; correct? A Correct. Q Did I give you a highlighted copy? A You did, actually. It's very helpful.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q When did you is the paper that we just marked as exhibit A 28. Q 28, was that paper peer-reviewed? A This is a peer-review journal. Q And when did you first see the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this it's the journal for SGO, the Society of Gynecologic Oncologists; correct? A Correct. Q Did I give you a highlighted copy? A You did, actually. It's very helpful. Q Let me switch that. I'm sure it was.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q When did you is the paper that we just marked as exhibit A 28. Q 28, was that paper peer-reviewed? A This is a peer-review journal. Q And when did you first see the unpublished manuscript?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this it's the journal for SGO, the Society of Gynecologic Oncologists; correct? A Correct. Q Did I give you a highlighted copy? A You did, actually. It's very helpful. Q Let me switch that. I'm sure it was. Actually, it probably wasn't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q When did you is the paper that we just marked as exhibit A 28. Q 28, was that paper peer-reviewed? A This is a peer-review journal. Q And when did you first see the unpublished manuscript? A I am gonna really I'm stretching on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this it's the journal for SGO, the Society of Gynecologic Oncologists; correct? A Correct. Q Did I give you a highlighted copy? A You did, actually. It's very helpful. Q Let me switch that. I'm sure it was. Actually, it probably wasn't. A I've seen these before.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q When did you is the paper that we just marked as exhibit A 28. Q 28, was that paper peer-reviewed? A This is a peer-review journal. Q And when did you first see the unpublished manuscript? A I am gonna really I'm stretching on this. I think it was about let's say a month	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this it's the journal for SGO, the Society of Gynecologic Oncologists; correct? A Correct. Q Did I give you a highlighted copy? A You did, actually. It's very helpful. Q Let me switch that. I'm sure it was. Actually, it probably wasn't. A I've seen these before. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 30 WAS)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q When did you is the paper that we just marked as exhibit A 28. Q 28, was that paper peer-reviewed? A This is a peer-review journal. Q And when did you first see the unpublished manuscript? A I am gonna really I'm stretching on this. I think it was about let's say a month or two before this.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this it's the journal for SGO, the Society of Gynecologic Oncologists; correct? A Correct. Q Did I give you a highlighted copy? A You did, actually. It's very helpful. Q Let me switch that. I'm sure it was. Actually, it probably wasn't. A I've seen these before. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 30 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q When did you is the paper that we just marked as exhibit A 28. Q 28, was that paper peer-reviewed? A This is a peer-review journal. Q And when did you first see the unpublished manuscript? A I am gonna really I'm stretching on this. I think it was about let's say a month or two before this. Q Okay. So a couple months ago?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this it's the journal for SGO, the Society of Gynecologic Oncologists; correct? A Correct. Q Did I give you a highlighted copy? A You did, actually. It's very helpful. Q Let me switch that. I'm sure it was. Actually, it probably wasn't. A I've seen these before. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 30 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q When did you is the paper that we just marked as exhibit A 28. Q 28, was that paper peer-reviewed? A This is a peer-review journal. Q And when did you first see the unpublished manuscript? A I am gonna really I'm stretching on this. I think it was about let's say a month or two before this. Q Okay. So a couple months ago? A Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this it's the journal for SGO, the Society of Gynecologic Oncologists; correct? A Correct. Q Did I give you a highlighted copy? A You did, actually. It's very helpful. Q Let me switch that. I'm sure it was. Actually, it probably wasn't. A I've seen these before. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 30 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q And then I'm gonna also, at the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q When did you is the paper that we just marked as exhibit A 28. Q 28, was that paper peer-reviewed? A This is a peer-review journal. Q And when did you first see the unpublished manuscript? A I am gonna really I'm stretching on this. I think it was about let's say a month or two before this. Q Okay. So a couple months ago? A Yeah. Q Do you review papers for Gynecologic	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this it's the journal for SGO, the Society of Gynecologic Oncologists; correct? A Correct. Q Did I give you a highlighted copy? A You did, actually. It's very helpful. Q Let me switch that. I'm sure it was. Actually, it probably wasn't. A I've seen these before. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 30 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q And then I'm gonna also, at the same time, give you Exhibit 30, which is the reviewer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q When did you is the paper that we just marked as exhibit A 28. Q 28, was that paper peer-reviewed? A This is a peer-review journal. Q And when did you first see the unpublished manuscript? A I am gonna really I'm stretching on this. I think it was about let's say a month or two before this. Q Okay. So a couple months ago? A Yeah. Q Do you review papers for Gynecologic Oncology?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this it's the journal for SGO, the Society of Gynecologic Oncologists; correct? A Correct. Q Did I give you a highlighted copy? A You did, actually. It's very helpful. Q Let me switch that. I'm sure it was. Actually, it probably wasn't. A I've seen these before. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 30 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q And then I'm gonna also, at the same time, give you Exhibit 30, which is the reviewer comments from Reproductive Sciences.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q When did you is the paper that we just marked as exhibit A 28. Q 28, was that paper peer-reviewed? A This is a peer-review journal. Q And when did you first see the unpublished manuscript? A I am gonna really I'm stretching on this. I think it was about let's say a month or two before this. Q Okay. So a couple months ago? A Yeah. Q Do you review papers for Gynecologic Oncology? A I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this it's the journal for SGO, the Society of Gynecologic Oncologists; correct? A Correct. Q Did I give you a highlighted copy? A You did, actually. It's very helpful. Q Let me switch that. I'm sure it was. Actually, it probably wasn't. A I've seen these before. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 30 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q And then I'm gonna also, at the same time, give you Exhibit 30, which is the reviewer comments from Reproductive Sciences. A All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	one way or the other whether Johnson & Johnson had done any molecular studies on talcum powder and its effect on on tissue or cells? A Correct. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Correct. MS. THOMPSON: Q When did you is the paper that we just marked as exhibit A 28. Q 28, was that paper peer-reviewed? A This is a peer-review journal. Q And when did you first see the unpublished manuscript? A I am gonna really I'm stretching on this. I think it was about let's say a month or two before this. Q Okay. So a couple months ago? A Yeah. Q Do you review papers for Gynecologic Oncology?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q I'm gonna go ahead and mark Exhibit 29. 29 will be the reviewer comments from the journal Gynecologic Oncology. A Uh-huh. Q And again, that journal is the journal or maybe we haven't discussed this it's the journal for SGO, the Society of Gynecologic Oncologists; correct? A Correct. Q Did I give you a highlighted copy? A You did, actually. It's very helpful. Q Let me switch that. I'm sure it was. Actually, it probably wasn't. A I've seen these before. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 30 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. THOMPSON: Q And then I'm gonna also, at the same time, give you Exhibit 30, which is the reviewer comments from Reproductive Sciences.

83 (Pages 326 to 329)

	Page 330		Page 332
1	mentioned; right?	1	Q Reading the letter to Dr. Saed:
2	A Yes. Difference in impact, but both	2	"Your paper, referenced above, has now
3	peer review.	3	been reviewed by at least two reviewers has
4	Q And they have a a different audience	4	now been reviewed by at least two experts in the
5	readership, too, wouldn't you agree?	5	field and the editors. Based on the reviewer
6	A I would agree, yes.	6	comments, we must inform you that while your work
7	MS. CURRY:	7	is not without merit, we are unable to accept
8	Do you have another copy of Exhibit 30?	8	your manuscript for publication in Gynecologic
9	MS. THOMPSON:	9	Oncology. In the last year we have seen a
10	Yes. I'm sorry.	10	significant increase in the number of manuscripts
11	MS. CURRY:	11	submitted to the journal, and, as a result, we
12	Thank you.	12	are now accepting less than 20 percent of the
13	MS. THOMPSON:	13	manuscripts submitted to the Gynecologic
14	That good?	14	Oncology."
15	MS. CURRY:	15	Certainly in that first paragraph there
16	Yes.	16	were there was no language that resembles this
17	MS. THOMPSON:	17	manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental
18	Q In your report, you make the statement	18	and analysis flaws, is there?
19	"Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious	19	A No.
20	methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws."	20	Q The second paragraph, "We have attached
21	A I'm sorry. Are you in the beginning of	21	the comments of the reviewers below in order for
22	this last paragraph of 19?	22	you to understand the basis for our decision. We
23	Q No.	23	hope that their thoughtful comments will help you
24	A No?	24	in your future studies and possibly with
	Page 331		Page 333
1	O Ide in a set I at mark it		
	Q It's in another spot. Let me find it.	1	submission to another journal.
2	A Maybe it's under the paper.	1 2	"Please note that a revised version of
2	A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24.		"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted
	A Maybe it's under the paper.Q Yeah. Page 24.A Yep. Yeah.	2	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology."
3	 A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has 	2 3	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that
3 4 5 6	 A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis 	2 3 4 5 6	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic,
3 4 5 6 7	 A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." 	2 3 4 5 6 7	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there?
3 4 5 6 7 8	 A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. Q Did you see any language to that effect 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No. Q And the reviewers actually encouraged
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. Q Did you see any language to that effect in the peer-reviewers' comments? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No. Q And the reviewers actually encouraged Dr. Saed to submit the article to another
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. Q Did you see any language to that effect in the peer-reviewers' comments? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No. Q And the reviewers actually encouraged Dr. Saed to submit the article to another journal; correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. Q Did you see any language to that effect in the peer-reviewers' comments? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No. Q And the reviewers actually encouraged Dr. Saed to submit the article to another journal; correct? A Well, this isn't the reviewer. This is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. Q Did you see any language to that effect in the peer-reviewers' comments? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A One second.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No. Q And the reviewers actually encouraged Dr. Saed to submit the article to another journal; correct? A Well, this isn't the reviewer. This is the editor.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. Q Did you see any language to that effect in the peer-reviewers' comments? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A One second. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No. Q And the reviewers actually encouraged Dr. Saed to submit the article to another journal; correct? A Well, this isn't the reviewer. This is the editor. Q The editor?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. Q Did you see any language to that effect in the peer-reviewers' comments? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A One second. MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, let me just ask you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No. Q And the reviewers actually encouraged Dr. Saed to submit the article to another journal; correct? A Well, this isn't the reviewer. This is the editor. Q The editor? A Yeah.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. Q Did you see any language to that effect in the peer-reviewers' comments? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A One second. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No. Q And the reviewers actually encouraged Dr. Saed to submit the article to another journal; correct? A Well, this isn't the reviewer. This is the editor. Q The editor? A Yeah. Q The editors?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. Q Did you see any language to that effect in the peer-reviewers' comments? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A One second. MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, let me just ask you. Did those words appear in the reviewer comments?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No. Q And the reviewers actually encouraged Dr. Saed to submit the article to another journal; correct? A Well, this isn't the reviewer. This is the editor. Q The editor? A Yeah. Q The editors? A Yeah. Q The editors? A Yeah. And this is boilerplate. You'd
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. Q Did you see any language to that effect in the peer-reviewers' comments? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A One second. MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, let me just ask you. Did those words appear in the reviewer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No. Q And the reviewers actually encouraged Dr. Saed to submit the article to another journal; correct? A Well, this isn't the reviewer. This is the editor. Q The editor? A Yeah. Q The editors? A Yeah. Q The editors? A Yeah. And this is boilerplate. You'd always get this. They're not
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. Q Did you see any language to that effect in the peer-reviewers' comments? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A One second. MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, let me just ask you. Did those words appear in the reviewer comments?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No. Q And the reviewers actually encouraged Dr. Saed to submit the article to another journal; correct? A Well, this isn't the reviewer. This is the editor. Q The editor? A Yeah. Q The editors? A Yeah. And this is boilerplate. You'd always get this. They're not Q Well, I'm just asking you for the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. Q Did you see any language to that effect in the peer-reviewers' comments? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A One second. MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, let me just ask you. Did those words appear in the reviewer comments? A No, I don't think so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No. Q And the reviewers actually encouraged Dr. Saed to submit the article to another journal; correct? A Well, this isn't the reviewer. This is the editor. Q The editor? A Yeah. Q The editors? A Yeah. Q The editors? A Yeah. And this is boilerplate. You'd always get this. They're not
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. Q Did you see any language to that effect in the peer-reviewers' comments? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A One second. MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, let me just ask you. Did those words appear in the reviewer comments? A No, I don't think so. Q Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No. Q And the reviewers actually encouraged Dr. Saed to submit the article to another journal; correct? A Well, this isn't the reviewer. This is the editor. Q The editor? A Yeah. Q The editors? A Yeah. And this is boilerplate. You'd always get this. They're not Q Well, I'm just asking you for the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. Q Did you see any language to that effect in the peer-reviewers' comments? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A One second. MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, let me just ask you. Did those words appear in the reviewer comments? A No, I don't think so. Q Okay. A Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No. Q And the reviewers actually encouraged Dr. Saed to submit the article to another journal; correct? A Well, this isn't the reviewer. This is the editor. Q The editor? A Yeah. Q The editors? A Yeah. And this is boilerplate. You'd always get this. They're not Q Well, I'm just asking you for the for what the what the letter says.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. Q Did you see any language to that effect in the peer-reviewers' comments? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A One second. MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, let me just ask you. Did those words appear in the reviewer comments? A No, I don't think so. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q So let's I want to actually go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No. Q And the reviewers actually encouraged Dr. Saed to submit the article to another journal; correct? A Well, this isn't the reviewer. This is the editor. Q The editor? A Yeah. Q The editors? A Yeah. And this is boilerplate. You'd always get this. They're not Q Well, I'm just asking you for the for what the what the letter says. A Yeah. Yeah.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Maybe it's under the paper. Q Yeah. Page 24. A Yep. Yeah. Q "Unsurprisingly, this manuscript has serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws." A Uh-huh. Q Did you see any language to that effect in the peer-reviewers' comments? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A One second. MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, let me just ask you. Did those words appear in the reviewer comments? A No, I don't think so. Q Okay. A Yeah. Q So let's I want to actually go through the reviewer comments. We'll start with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"Please note that a revised version of the current manuscript should not be submitted for another review to Gynecologic Oncology." There's certainly no language in that paragraph that resembles serious methodologic, experimental and analysis flaws, is there? A No. Q And the reviewers actually encouraged Dr. Saed to submit the article to another journal; correct? A Well, this isn't the reviewer. This is the editor. Q The editor? A Yeah. Q The editors? A Yeah. And this is boilerplate. You'd always get this. They're not Q Well, I'm just asking you for the for what the what the letter says. A Yeah. Yeah. Q "The critique of this letter in no way

84 (Pages 330 to 333)

	Page 334		Page 336
1	work to the journal."	1	MS. THOMPSON:
2	Is that what the letter from	2	Q Right.
3	Dr. Bristow, the editor says?	3	A Yeah.
4	A Correct.	4	Q "This is an important but controversial
5	Q And, in fact, Dr. Saed has published	5	topic in need of rigorous scientific inquiry."
6	several times in this journal previously.	6	Why is this a controversial topic, in
7	Are you aware of that?	7	your mind?
8	A Yeah. I believe so, yeah.	8	MS. CURRY:
9	Q So let's go ahead and go through the	9	Object to the form.
10	the reviewer comments. Reviewer number 1	10	MS. THOMPSON:
11	And, as you testified, you don't know	11	Q Or is it a controversial topic to you?
12	who these reviewers are; correct?	12	A I would assume they're referring to the
13	A I don't.	13	potential role of talc in ovarian cancer. But
14	Q Reviewer 1, in his summary of	14	I'm again, it's speculative.
15	Dr. Saed's paper, says "The stated objective of	15	Q Okay.
16	the study by Fletcher and colleagues is to	16	A I'm guessing.
17	determine the effects of talc on expression of	17	Q So you wouldn't know why it would be
18	key inflammatory and redox markers in ovarian	18	considered controversial?
19	cancer and normal cell lines. Normal ovarian and	19	MS. CURRY:
20	EOC cells were treated with various doses of talc	20	Object to the form.
21	for 48 hours. Levels of CA-125 and selected key	21	A No. Not not in no, vis-à-vis
22	redox enzymes were measured using realtime P	22	from what the reviewer's saying.
23	RT-PCR and ELISA."	23	MS. THOMPSON:
24	Is that an accurate statement of what	24	Q "The current in vitro study does"
	Page 335		
1	the objective of the study was?	1	reading on, "The current in vitro study does
2	MS. CURRY:	2	provide novel information, but there are also
3	Object to the form.	3	some important limitations described below."
4	A I think that's I think that's a	4	Would you agree that it's common to
5	little terse, but it covers the bases.	5	have a back-and-forth with a reviewer and author
6	MS. THOMPSON:	6	before publication of a paper?
7	Q And then beginning with the reviewer	7	MS. CURRY:
8	comments, reviewer number 1 says "Overall, this	8	Object to the form.
9	is a well-written manuscript and the conclusions	9	A Some papers are accepted de novo, but
10	are supported by the results."	10	it's unusual. Usually there are criticisms and,
11	Do you disagree with that comment by	11	then you'd have to revise. Sometimes if it's
12	reviewer number 1?	12	Cancer Cell, it goes back and forth for two
13	A That's very generous. I don't agree	13	years.
14	with it. Particularly the latter part.	14	MS. THOMPSON:
15	Q But at least that's what the	15	Q The reviewer number 1 in in the
16	reviewer	16	bullet point number 1, said "The significance of
17	A Correct.	17	the study would be greatly enhanced if a mouse
		18	model corroborated the cell line findings."
	O who was you would think was	1	
18	Q who was you would think was chosen because of their expertise in the field.	19	I WOULD I III QUESSING VOILTE GONNA
18 19	chosen because of their expertise in the field,	19 20	I would I'm guessing you're gonna agree with that statement?
18 19 20	chosen because of their expertise in the field, those are the reviewer comments regarding	20	agree with that statement?
18 19 20 21	chosen because of their expertise in the field, those are the reviewer comments regarding Dr. Saed's paper; correct?	20 21	agree with that statement? A I do.
18 19 20 21 22	chosen because of their expertise in the field, those are the reviewer comments regarding Dr. Saed's paper; correct? MS. CURRY:	20 21 22	agree with that statement? A I do. Q But you would also agree, I think, that
18 19 20 21	chosen because of their expertise in the field, those are the reviewer comments regarding Dr. Saed's paper; correct?	20 21	agree with that statement? A I do.

85 (Pages 334 to 337)

	Page 338		Page 340
1	A Frequently.	1	A I'm not done with my response.
2	MS. CURRY:	2	So let me finish the first statement.
3	Object to the form.	3	Q Okay.
4	MS. THOMPSON:	4	A I think if you could show a phenom
5	Q And what would the reasons for that be?	5	if you could show the biologic effects in a mouse
6	A It's usually easier.	6	model, then it's much stronger data, regardless
7	Q Less costly?	7	of the cell lines.
8	MS. CURRY:	8	I don't I would agree I don't think
9	Object to the form.	9	Dr. Saed said much about CA-125 being being
10	A By definition.	10	involved in ovarian cancer development, and
11	MS. THOMPSON:	11	that's the point. I don't understand, and I
12	Q And could be completed in less time?	12	think a lot of other of us who have looked at
13	MS. CURRY:	13	this, don't understand what the value is of the
14	Object to the form.	14	increase in CA-125.
15	A Usually, yeah.	15	Q Do you know that when Dr. Saed
16	MS. THOMPSON:	16	presented the initial data at the meeting, that
17	Q Do you do you have any idea or	17	the attendees requested that he perform CA-125
18	knowledge of what experiments Dr. Saed is	18	and that's why he performed it? Do you remember
19	currently doing in the in the area of talcum	19	seeing that in his deposition?
20	powder and its biologic effects?	20	MS. CURRY:
21	MS. CURRY:	21	Object to the form.
22	Object to the form.	22	A I didn't see that. Which meeting was
23	A I don't.	23	this? Do you know?
24	MS. THOMPSON:	24	MS. THOMPSON:
	Page 339		Page 341
1	Q In this reviewer's opinion, "The cell	1	Q SRI, 2018.
2	line studies alone and the increase in CA-125,	2	A Okay.
3	while intriguing, are not sufficiently	3	Q Society of Reproductive Investigators.
4	convincing."	4	A And did they indicate anybody
5	Would you agree with that statement?	5	indicate what the purpose of that was?
6	A Absolutely.	6	Q I can't tell you that.
7	Q And so a mouse model corroboration of	7	But, listen, I'm I'm just reading
8	the findings would be would enhance the	8	the reviewer's comments
9	results; correct?	9	A Yeah.
10	A Not from my perspective. And I'm not	10	Q without either one of us trying to
11	so sure this reviewer's implying that. I think	11	speculate on what he means.
12	there's a real question anything can be	12	But the statement is "The significance
13	interpreted from the cell line studies, and any	13	of this study would be greatly enhanced if a
14	increase in CA-125 is meaningless because CA-125	14	mouse model corroborated the cell line findings."
15	is a marker.	15	So there were cell line findings to be
16	So I think	16	corroborated; correct?
17	Q Well, wait a minute.	17	A Correct.
18	Did Dr. Saed say anything about	18	Q The reviewer number 1 also said "The
19	CA-125	19	significance of SNP alterations" that's SNP,
20	MS. CURRY:	20	all capitalized "should be further clarified."
21	Are you done with your response?	21	And I think you would agree with that;
22	MS. THOMPSON: Q being the significance with the	22	correct? MS. CURRY:
2.2		. / 1	IVES TILISIS Y
23			
23 24	findings?	24	Object to the form.

86 (Pages 338 to 341)

I strongly agree with that. S. THOMPSON: And the viewer reviewer commented, the first bulleted highlight, Oxidative Stress, as key mechanism to the initiation and orgenism of ovarian cancer is not supported by a investigation and should be omitted." Does the reviewer comment on why that build be that line should be omitted, other in it wasn't supported by this investigation that talcum powder? No. It would be speculative. It's as you read it. Okay. Do you know that that trually that exact statement has been published this same journal in the past by Dr. Saed and there? S. CURRY:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Object to the form. A And it's and it's I don't know just one comment that it's more detailed, which makes someone like me as a third party look at and say, well, they actually read the paper. I'd worry a little about if reviewer 1 didn't read it carefully enough. MS. THOMPSON: Q But you have no idea what he did? A I've been speculating all day. Q Okay. All right. And then the first sentence of reviewer number 2, "While the authors compellingly show changes in several key enzymes recognizing redox potential in cells exposed to talc, their data do not show, despite the author's claim, any evidence that these cells are transformed."
And the viewer reviewer commented, the first bulleted highlight, Oxidative Stress, a key mechanism to the initiation and ogression of ovarian cancer is not supported by a investigation and should be omitted." Does the reviewer comment on why that build be that line should be omitted, other in it wasn't supported by this investigation that talcum powder? No. It would be speculative. It's as you read it. Okay. Do you know that that trually that exact statement has been published this same journal in the past by Dr. Saed and hers? S. CURRY:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just one comment that it's more detailed, which makes someone like me as a third party look at and say, well, they actually read the paper. I'd worry a little about if reviewer 1 didn't read it carefully enough. MS. THOMPSON: Q But you have no idea what he did? A I've been speculating all day. Q Okay. All right. And then the first sentence of reviewer number 2, "While the authors compellingly show changes in several key enzymes recognizing redox potential in cells exposed to talc, their data do not show, despite the author's claim, any evidence that these cells are
the first bulleted highlight, Oxidative Stress, a key mechanism to the initiation and ogression of ovarian cancer is not supported by a investigation and should be omitted." Does the reviewer comment on why that buld be that line should be omitted, other in it wasn't supported by this investigation that talcum powder? No. It would be speculative. It's as you read it. Okay. Do you know that that that the exact statement has been published this same journal in the past by Dr. Saed and there? S. CURRY:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	makes someone like me as a third party look at and say, well, they actually read the paper. I'd worry a little about if reviewer 1 didn't read it carefully enough. MS. THOMPSON: Q But you have no idea what he did? A I've been speculating all day. Q Okay. All right. And then the first sentence of reviewer number 2, "While the authors compellingly show changes in several key enzymes recognizing redox potential in cells exposed to talc, their data do not show, despite the author's claim, any evidence that these cells are
a key mechanism to the initiation and ogression of ovarian cancer is not supported by a investigation and should be omitted." Does the reviewer comment on why that build be that line should be omitted, other in it wasn't supported by this investigation that talcum powder? No. It would be speculative. It's as you read it. Okay. Do you know that that that that exact statement has been published this same journal in the past by Dr. Saed and heres? S. CURRY:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and say, well, they actually read the paper. I'd worry a little about if reviewer 1 didn't read it carefully enough. MS. THOMPSON: Q But you have no idea what he did? A I've been speculating all day. Q Okay. All right. And then the first sentence of reviewer number 2, "While the authors compellingly show changes in several key enzymes recognizing redox potential in cells exposed to talc, their data do not show, despite the author's claim, any evidence that these cells are
ogression of ovarian cancer is not supported by a investigation and should be omitted." Does the reviewer comment on why that build be that line should be omitted, other in it wasn't supported by this investigation that talcum powder? No. It would be speculative. It's as you read it. Okay. Do you know that that that that exact statement has been published this same journal in the past by Dr. Saed and hers? S. CURRY:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	worry a little about if reviewer 1 didn't read it carefully enough. MS. THOMPSON: Q But you have no idea what he did? A I've been speculating all day. Q Okay. All right. And then the first sentence of reviewer number 2, "While the authors compellingly show changes in several key enzymes recognizing redox potential in cells exposed to talc, their data do not show, despite the author's claim, any evidence that these cells are
Does the reviewer comment on why that buld be that line should be omitted, other in it wasn't supported by this investigation that talcum powder? No. It would be speculative. It's as you read it. Okay. Do you know that that that that exact statement has been published this same journal in the past by Dr. Saed and hers? S. CURRY:	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	carefully enough. MS. THOMPSON: Q But you have no idea what he did? A I've been speculating all day. Q Okay. All right. And then the first sentence of reviewer number 2, "While the authors compellingly show changes in several key enzymes recognizing redox potential in cells exposed to talc, their data do not show, despite the author's claim, any evidence that these cells are
Does the reviewer comment on why that buld be that line should be omitted, other in it wasn't supported by this investigation that talcum powder? No. It would be speculative. It's as you read it. Okay. Do you know that that tually that exact statement has been published this same journal in the past by Dr. Saed and hers? S. CURRY:	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. THOMPSON: Q But you have no idea what he did? A I've been speculating all day. Q Okay. All right. And then the first sentence of reviewer number 2, "While the authors compellingly show changes in several key enzymes recognizing redox potential in cells exposed to talc, their data do not show, despite the author's claim, any evidence that these cells are
ould be that line should be omitted, other in it wasn't supported by this investigation that talcum powder? No. It would be speculative. It's as you read it. Okay. Do you know that that tually that exact statement has been published this same journal in the past by Dr. Saed and ters? S. CURRY:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q But you have no idea what he did? A I've been speculating all day. Q Okay. All right. And then the first sentence of reviewer number 2, "While the authors compellingly show changes in several key enzymes recognizing redox potential in cells exposed to talc, their data do not show, despite the author's claim, any evidence that these cells are
n it wasn't supported by this investigation th talcum powder? No. It would be speculative. It's as you read it. Okay. Do you know that that tually that exact statement has been published this same journal in the past by Dr. Saed and thers? S. CURRY:	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A I've been speculating all day. Q Okay. All right. And then the first sentence of reviewer number 2, "While the authors compellingly show changes in several key enzymes recognizing redox potential in cells exposed to talc, their data do not show, despite the author's claim, any evidence that these cells are
th talcum powder? No. It would be speculative. It's as you read it. Okay. Do you know that that tually that exact statement has been published this same journal in the past by Dr. Saed and hers? S. CURRY:	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. All right. And then the first sentence of reviewer number 2, "While the authors compellingly show changes in several key enzymes recognizing redox potential in cells exposed to talc, their data do not show, despite the author's claim, any evidence that these cells are
No. It would be speculative. It's as you read it. Okay. Do you know that that tually that exact statement has been published this same journal in the past by Dr. Saed and ners? S. CURRY:	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sentence of reviewer number 2, "While the authors compellingly show changes in several key enzymes recognizing redox potential in cells exposed to talc, their data do not show, despite the author's claim, any evidence that these cells are
as you read it. Okay. Do you know that that tually that exact statement has been published this same journal in the past by Dr. Saed and ters? S. CURRY:	13 14 15 16 17 18	compellingly show changes in several key enzymes recognizing redox potential in cells exposed to talc, their data do not show, despite the author's claim, any evidence that these cells are
Okay. Do you know that that tually that exact statement has been published this same journal in the past by Dr. Saed and ters? S. CURRY:	14 15 16 17 18	recognizing redox potential in cells exposed to tale, their data do not show, despite the author's claim, any evidence that these cells are
tually that exact statement has been published this same journal in the past by Dr. Saed and ners? S. CURRY:	15 16 17 18	talc, their data do not show, despite the author's claim, any evidence that these cells are
tually that exact statement has been published this same journal in the past by Dr. Saed and ners? S. CURRY:	16 17 18	author's claim, any evidence that these cells are
this same journal in the past by Dr. Saed and ners? S. CURRY:	17 18	•
ers? S. CURRY:	18	•
		Do you agree with reviewer number 2 in
Object to the form.	19	that statement?
As a stand-alone statement?	20	A I agree.
S. THOMPSON:	21	Q Second sentence, "Specifically, no
Yeah. Yes.	22	experiments documenting changes in cell survival
Yeah. I don't think that addresses	23	proliferation are resistant to apoptosis have
at the reviewer is saying.	24	been performed."
Page 343		Page 345
Yeah.	1	And that's correct; right?
The reviewer's saying it's not	2	A So he does show what he thinks is
pported by	3	proliferation, if I recall correctly. I believe
And that's the point I was trying to	4	it's an MMT MTT assay.
ıke.	5	Q Well, those experiments were done
So so you would agree that it	6	following reviewer number 2's recommendation. Is
esn't sound like it's the statement that's at	7	that your understanding?
ue; it's whether the talcum powder studies are	8	A Well, I
pportive of that statement?	9	Q In the
S. CURRY:	10	A Yeah.
Object to the form.	11	Q In the first manuscript. Do you
Well, the way it's phrased here the	12	remember that?
	13	A You could be right. I don't have it
y it's phrased here, I agree. Yeah.	14	pre I don't have that version in front of me.
ny it's phrased here, I agree. Yeah. S. THOMPSON:	15	Q You may have to just take my word for
	16	that.
S. THOMPSON:	17	MS. CURRY:
S. THOMPSON: Let's go to reviewer number 2.	18	I have a copy of it if you need it.
S. THOMPSON: Let's go to reviewer number 2. Uh-huh.	10	MS. THOMPSON:
S. THOMPSON: Let's go to reviewer number 2. Uh-huh. And reviewer number 2 gives a similar	1 19	No. It's not too I don't think it's
S. THOMPSON: Let's go to reviewer number 2. Uh-huh. And reviewer number 2 gives a similar mmary, perhaps with a little more detail. Yeah.	20	
S. THOMPSON: Let's go to reviewer number 2. Uh-huh. And reviewer number 2 gives a similar mmary, perhaps with a little more detail. Yeah. But would you agree it's an accurate		too much
S. THOMPSON: Let's go to reviewer number 2. Uh-huh. And reviewer number 2 gives a similar mmary, perhaps with a little more detail. Yeah.	20	
S. THOMPSON: Let's go to reviewer number 2. Uh-huh. And reviewer number 2 gives a similar mmary, perhaps with a little more detail. Yeah. But would you agree it's an accurate scription of what the objectives of the study	20 21	
	Uh-huh. And reviewer number 2 gives a similar nmary, perhaps with a little more detail.	Uh-huh. 16 And reviewer number 2 gives a similar 17 nmary, perhaps with a little more detail. 18 Yeah. 19 But would you agree it's an accurate 20

87 (Pages 342 to 345)

	Page 346		Page 348
1	MS. THOMPSON:	1	Q Where in where in Dr. Saed's paper
2	Object. That didn't answer a question.	2	does it say this paper shows talcum powder
3	Nonresponsive.	3	transforms ovarian cells?
4	Q Next sentence, "Consequently, neither	4	A Do we have the original?
5	tumor initiation nor progression is documented in	5	Q We're looking at the published
6	this study as opposed to the statement in	6	manuscript.
7	highlight number 1 and elsewhere."	7	MS. CURRY:
8	"While changes in redox potential play	8	But the comments are based on the
9	an important role in tumor biology in general,	9	A This is the one published in and you
10	the present data are insufficient to back up the	10	already told me he changed some of the
11	claim that talc is central to the development of	11	experiments.
12	ovarian cancer."	12	MS. THOMPSON:
13	Did Dr. Saed make a claim that talcum	13	Q Was shouldn't your critique be the
14	is central to the development of ovarian cancer,	14	published paper?
15	that you recall?	15	A Well, you're asking me to review this;
16	A I don't recall him saying that.	16	right?
17	Q I don't either.	17	Q Okay. We can pull out the we can
18	"Other comments: The introduction	18	pull out the published manuscript.
19	should be better organized with shorter	19	But certainly in the published paper,
20	description of the general features of ovarian	20	there are no claims that cells are transformed,
21	cancer, replaced by a brief overview of redox	21	are there?
22	proteins in cancer, followed by a discussion of	22	A Well, let's take a look.
23	their role in ovarian cancer."	23	Q It's certainly not in the abstract or
24	That's more a style issue. Would you	24	in the conclusion in the summary, is it?
	Page 347		Page 349
1	agree?	1	A TI : 4 44 1 1 1 1: :
_			A I'm just getting through the discussion
2	MS. CURRY:	2	A I'm just getting through the discussion a little bit. It may be may be buried in
2 3	MS. CURRY: Object to the form.		a little bit. It may be may be buried in
		2	
3	Object to the form.	2 3	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft
3 4	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah.	2 3 4	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the
3 4 5	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes.
3 4 5 6	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, then, the finally, "The fact	2 3 4 5 6	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes. Q Dr. Saed's paper does not claim that
3 4 5 6 7	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, then, the finally, "The fact that SNPs were changed following such short	2 3 4 5 6 7	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes. Q Dr. Saed's paper does not claim that the cells were transformed, does it?
3 4 5 6 7 8	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, then, the finally, "The fact that SNPs were changed following such short exposure to talcum is surprising and makes one wonder what the biological effects of such change might be."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes. Q Dr. Saed's paper does not claim that the cells were transformed, does it? A Let me look through it, then.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, then, the finally, "The fact that SNPs were changed following such short exposure to talcum is surprising and makes one wonder what the biological effects of such change might be." And those are the reviewer comments	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes. Q Dr. Saed's paper does not claim that the cells were transformed, does it? A Let me look through it, then. Q Okay. Let's go off the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 4:23 p m.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, then, the finally, "The fact that SNPs were changed following such short exposure to talcum is surprising and makes one wonder what the biological effects of such change might be." And those are the reviewer comments from Gynecologic Oncology; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes. Q Dr. Saed's paper does not claim that the cells were transformed, does it? A Let me look through it, then. Q Okay. Let's go off the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 4:23 p m. (OFF THE RECORD.)
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, then, the finally, "The fact that SNPs were changed following such short exposure to talcum is surprising and makes one wonder what the biological effects of such change might be." And those are the reviewer comments from Gynecologic Oncology; correct? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes. Q Dr. Saed's paper does not claim that the cells were transformed, does it? A Let me look through it, then. Q Okay. Let's go off the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 4:23 p m. (OFF THE RECORD.) VIDEOGRAPHER:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, then, the finally, "The fact that SNPs were changed following such short exposure to talcum is surprising and makes one wonder what the biological effects of such change might be." And those are the reviewer comments from Gynecologic Oncology; correct? A Correct. Q Did the peer-reviewers raise concerns	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes. Q Dr. Saed's paper does not claim that the cells were transformed, does it? A Let me look through it, then. Q Okay. Let's go off the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 4:23 p m. (OFF THE RECORD.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 4:24 p.m.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, then, the finally, "The fact that SNPs were changed following such short exposure to talcum is surprising and makes one wonder what the biological effects of such change might be." And those are the reviewer comments from Gynecologic Oncology; correct? A Correct. Q Did the peer-reviewers raise concerns about Dr. Saed's, in your words, unsubstantiated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes. Q Dr. Saed's paper does not claim that the cells were transformed, does it? A Let me look through it, then. Q Okay. Let's go off the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 4:23 p m. (OFF THE RECORD.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 4:24 p.m. A Page 7 on the bottom. "In this study
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, then, the finally, "The fact that SNPs were changed following such short exposure to talcum is surprising and makes one wonder what the biological effects of such change might be." And those are the reviewer comments from Gynecologic Oncology; correct? A Correct. Q Did the peer-reviewers raise concerns about Dr. Saed's, in your words, unsubstantiated assumptions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes. Q Dr. Saed's paper does not claim that the cells were transformed, does it? A Let me look through it, then. Q Okay. Let's go off the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 4:23 p m. (OFF THE RECORD.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 4:24 p.m. A Page 7 on the bottom. "In this study we've shown that talc enhances cellular
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, then, the finally, "The fact that SNPs were changed following such short exposure to talcum is surprising and makes one wonder what the biological effects of such change might be." And those are the reviewer comments from Gynecologic Oncology; correct? A Correct. Q Did the peer-reviewers raise concerns about Dr. Saed's, in your words, unsubstantiated assumptions? A Well, I I think it's implicit in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes. Q Dr. Saed's paper does not claim that the cells were transformed, does it? A Let me look through it, then. Q Okay. Let's go off the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 4:23 p m. (OFF THE RECORD.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 4:24 p.m. A Page 7 on the bottom. "In this study we've shown that talc enhances cellular proliferation, induces inhibition of apoptosis
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, then, the finally, "The fact that SNPs were changed following such short exposure to talcum is surprising and makes one wonder what the biological effects of such change might be." And those are the reviewer comments from Gynecologic Oncology; correct? A Correct. Q Did the peer-reviewers raise concerns about Dr. Saed's, in your words, unsubstantiated assumptions? A Well, I I think it's implicit in some of the comments.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes. Q Dr. Saed's paper does not claim that the cells were transformed, does it? A Let me look through it, then. Q Okay. Let's go off the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 4:23 p m. (OFF THE RECORD.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 4:24 p.m. A Page 7 on the bottom. "In this study we've shown that talc enhances cellular proliferation, induces inhibition of apoptosis and C-cells"
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, then, the finally, "The fact that SNPs were changed following such short exposure to talcum is surprising and makes one wonder what the biological effects of such change might be." And those are the reviewer comments from Gynecologic Oncology; correct? A Correct. Q Did the peer-reviewers raise concerns about Dr. Saed's, in your words, unsubstantiated assumptions? A Well, I I think it's implicit in some of the comments. Q That there are unsubstantiated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes. Q Dr. Saed's paper does not claim that the cells were transformed, does it? A Let me look through it, then. Q Okay. Let's go off the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 4:23 p m. (OFF THE RECORD.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 4:24 p.m. A Page 7 on the bottom. "In this study we've shown that talc enhances cellular proliferation, induces inhibition of apoptosis and C-cells" MS. CURRY:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, then, the finally, "The fact that SNPs were changed following such short exposure to talcum is surprising and makes one wonder what the biological effects of such change might be." And those are the reviewer comments from Gynecologic Oncology; correct? A Correct. Q Did the peer-reviewers raise concerns about Dr. Saed's, in your words, unsubstantiated assumptions? A Well, I I think it's implicit in some of the comments. Q That there are unsubstantiated assumptions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes. Q Dr. Saed's paper does not claim that the cells were transformed, does it? A Let me look through it, then. Q Okay. Let's go off the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 4:23 p m. (OFF THE RECORD.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 4:24 p.m. A Page 7 on the bottom. "In this study we've shown that talc enhances cellular proliferation, induces inhibition of apoptosis and C-cells" MS. CURRY: Gotta go slow for Lois.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, then, the finally, "The fact that SNPs were changed following such short exposure to talcum is surprising and makes one wonder what the biological effects of such change might be." And those are the reviewer comments from Gynecologic Oncology; correct? A Correct. Q Did the peer-reviewers raise concerns about Dr. Saed's, in your words, unsubstantiated assumptions? A Well, I I think it's implicit in some of the comments. Q That there are unsubstantiated assumptions? A So so I think if you read the second	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes. Q Dr. Saed's paper does not claim that the cells were transformed, does it? A Let me look through it, then. Q Okay. Let's go off the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 4:23 p m. (OFF THE RECORD.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 4:24 p.m. A Page 7 on the bottom. "In this study we've shown that talc enhances cellular proliferation, induces inhibition of apoptosis and C-cells" MS. CURRY: Gotta go slow for Lois. THE WITNESS:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, then, the finally, "The fact that SNPs were changed following such short exposure to talcum is surprising and makes one wonder what the biological effects of such change might be." And those are the reviewer comments from Gynecologic Oncology; correct? A Correct. Q Did the peer-reviewers raise concerns about Dr. Saed's, in your words, unsubstantiated assumptions? A Well, I I think it's implicit in some of the comments. Q That there are unsubstantiated assumptions? A So so I think if you read the second paragraph of the second reviewer remember,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes. Q Dr. Saed's paper does not claim that the cells were transformed, does it? A Let me look through it, then. Q Okay. Let's go off the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 4:23 p m. (OFF THE RECORD.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 4:24 p.m. A Page 7 on the bottom. "In this study we've shown that talc enhances cellular proliferation, induces inhibition of apoptosis and C-cells" MS. CURRY: Gotta go slow for Lois. THE WITNESS: Oh.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Object to the form. A Make it make it more readable, yeah. MS. THOMPSON: Q And, then, the finally, "The fact that SNPs were changed following such short exposure to talcum is surprising and makes one wonder what the biological effects of such change might be." And those are the reviewer comments from Gynecologic Oncology; correct? A Correct. Q Did the peer-reviewers raise concerns about Dr. Saed's, in your words, unsubstantiated assumptions? A Well, I I think it's implicit in some of the comments. Q That there are unsubstantiated assumptions? A So so I think if you read the second	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a little bit. It may be may be buried in there or may be an implication that the soft argarose cloning is reflective of only the changes. Q Dr. Saed's paper does not claim that the cells were transformed, does it? A Let me look through it, then. Q Okay. Let's go off the record. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 4:23 p m. (OFF THE RECORD.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the record at 4:24 p.m. A Page 7 on the bottom. "In this study we've shown that talc enhances cellular proliferation, induces inhibition of apoptosis and C-cells" MS. CURRY: Gotta go slow for Lois. THE WITNESS:

88 (Pages 346 to 349)

	Page 350		Page 352
1	development of an oncogenic phenotype."	1	MS. CURRY:
2	MS. THOMPSON:	2	Object to the form.
3	Q That doesn't say the cells were	3	A Correct.
4	transformed, does it?	4	MS. THOMPSON:
5	A I think for those of us in the field	5	Q And wouldn't that be the flaws in the
6	that implies transformation.	6	analysis that you're referring to?
7	Q Well, it certainly doesn't state	7	A I don't know what that refers to in
8	state cells were transformed, as you stated	8	vis-à-vis my statement.
9	earlier.	9	Q Did the reviewers state that any of the
10	MS. CURRY:	10	cell line findings appeared to be inaccurate?
11	Object to the form.	11	A No.
12	MS. THOMPSON:	12	Q Did the reviewers state that the wrong
13	Q Did the reviewers have raise any	13	cell lines were used?
14	concerns about serious flaws in methodology?	14	A No.
15	A You know, the significance of SNP	15	Q Did the reviewers state that the doses
16	alteration should be further clarified. That's a	16	were inappropriate?
17	pleasant way of saying I don't understand what	17	A No.
18	you're doing.	18	Q Did the reviewers state that the CA-125
19	Q I'm asking did the peer-reviewers raise	19	findings were irrelevant?
20	concerns about serious flaws in methodology?	20	MS. CURRY:
21	MS. CURRY:	21	Object to the form.
22	Object to the form.	22	A Increase in CA-125 while intriguing are
23	A In those terms?	23	not sufficiently convincing to make it relevant
24	MS. THOMPSON:	24	or not.
	Page 351		Page 353
1	Q Yes, in those terms.	1	MS. THOMPSON:
2	A No.	2	Q But the reviewer certainly didn't say
3	Q Did the peer-reviewers raise concerns	3	they're irrelevant?
4	about serious flaws in the experiments?	4	A Didn't use those terms.
5	A In those terms?	5	Q And intriguing would at least mean that
6	Q Right.	6	the reviewer 1 thought they were of some
7	A No.	7	interest. Wouldn't you agree?
8	Q Did the peer-reviewers raise serious	8	MS. CURRY:
9	concerns about flaws in the analysis?	9	Object to the form.
10	A No.	10	A Some interest. Some interest.
11	Q And, in fact, peer-reviewer number 1	11	MS. THOMPSON:
12	explicitly stated that "The conclusions are	12	Q The reviewer did ask for clarification
13	supported by the results."	13	of the significance of SNPs. Did the reviewer
14	Right?	14	state that the SNP findings were irrelevant?
15	MS. CURRY:	15	A Not in those terms.
16	Object to the form.	16	Q Did the reviewer state that the
17	A They rejected the paper.	17	methodology used to test for the SNPs was flawed?
	MS. THOMPSON:	18	A You know, again, they're seeking
18	Q I that wasn't my question.	19	clarification. That suggests to me that they
19			have a problem with the way it was done.
19 20	The question was I mean, my question	20	
19 20 21	The question was I mean, my question was that the reviewer number 1 specifically	21	Wouldn't they
19 20 21 22	The question was I mean, my question was that the reviewer number 1 specifically states "The conclusions are supported by the	21 22	Wouldn't they Q Did did the reviewer state the
19 20 21	The question was I mean, my question was that the reviewer number 1 specifically	21	Wouldn't they

89 (Pages 350 to 353)

	Page 354		Page 356
1	Sorry. You keep cutting off his answer	1	Q Did the reviewer
2	when he's not finished.	2	A I hope not.
3	MS. THOMPSON:	3	Q Did either reviewer state that the data
4	Q Were you finished?	4	was poor?
5	A Well, I'm just asking what are they	5	MS. CURRY:
6	trying to clarify?	6	Object to the form.
7	Q I'm just asking you did was there a	7	A Not in that specific term.
8	comment that the methodology for testing the SNPs	8	MS. THOMPSON:
9	was flawed?	9	Q Let's look at the reviewer from
10	MS. CURRY:	10	Reproductive Sciences.
11	Object to the form.	11	Are you going to give me yours?
12	A They do not say that.	12	A I've got this pretty much memorized.
13	MS. THOMPSON:	13	MS. EVERETT:
14	Q Okay. Did the reviewers state that the	14	Did we put it back in the folder? Here
15	SNP data was in a accurate?	15	is one.
16	A I don't think they know. It has to be	16	MS. THOMPSON:
17	clarified.	17	Q Okay. And the paper was accepted at
18	Q And are you aware that the same SNP	18	Reproductive Sciences. Is that your
19	data was submitted to SGO as an abstract and	19	understanding, since it was eventually published?
20	recently presented at the annual meeting?	20	A Yes.
21	MS. CURRY:	21	Q Did the reviewers at Reproductive
22	Object to the form.	22	Sciences make any statements regarding flawed
23	A The one	23	methodology, experiments, or analysis?
24	MS. THOMPSON:	24	MS. CURRY:
1	Page 355	1	Page 357
1	Q As opposed to a presentation?	1	Object to the form.
2	A The one in Honolulu the one in	2	A I'm sorry. I only see one reviewer;
3	Honolulu	3	right? MS. THOMPSON:
4 5	Q Yes. A Hawaii? Yeah. Yes.	5	
6		6	Q We only have comments from one reviewer. That's correct.
7	Q Did you see that poster? A No.	7	
8	Q Did you speak with the the authors	8	→
9	of the abstract and the paper?	9	comment. Q So I want to just go through Dr. Saed's
)	or the abstract and the paper:	1 2	
10	Δ Νο	10	, ,
10 11	A No. O Would that have been of interest to you	10	published paper
11	Q Would that have been of interest to you	11	published paper A Uh-huh.
11 12	Q Would that have been of interest to you to to speak with the researchers?	11 12	published paper A Uh-huh. Q and discuss what was done in this
11 12 13	Q Would that have been of interest to you to to speak with the researchers? MS. CURRY:	11 12 13	published paper A Uh-huh. Q and discuss what was done in this just from the materials and methods. We're not
11 12 13 14	Q Would that have been of interest to you to to speak with the researchers? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	11 12 13 14	published paper A Uh-huh. Q and discuss what was done in this just from the materials and methods. We're not in results yet. Okay?
11 12 13 14 15	Q Would that have been of interest to you to to speak with the researchers? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. So the poster section conflicted	11 12 13 14 15	published paper A Uh-huh. Q and discuss what was done in this just from the materials and methods. We're not in results yet. Okay? So Dr. Saed used the following cell
11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Would that have been of interest to you to to speak with the researchers? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. So the poster section conflicted with everything else I could do. I didn't see	11 12 13 14 15 16	published paper A Uh-huh. Q and discuss what was done in this just from the materials and methods. We're not in results yet. Okay? So Dr. Saed used the following cell lines: SKOV3, A2780, TOV11 or 112D. And
11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Would that have been of interest to you to to speak with the researchers? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. So the poster section conflicted with everything else I could do. I didn't see any posters. But I think given my role on this,	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	published paper A Uh-huh. Q and discuss what was done in this just from the materials and methods. We're not in results yet. Okay? So Dr. Saed used the following cell lines: SKOV3, A2780, TOV11 or 112D. And those are all ovarian cancer cell lines; correct?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Would that have been of interest to you to to speak with the researchers? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. So the poster section conflicted with everything else I could do. I didn't see any posters. But I think given my role on this, I probably would not have gone, under any	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	published paper A Uh-huh. Q and discuss what was done in this just from the materials and methods. We're not in results yet. Okay? So Dr. Saed used the following cell lines: SKOV3, A2780, TOV11 or 112D. And those are all ovarian cancer cell lines; correct? A There is significant question about the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Would that have been of interest to you to to speak with the researchers? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. So the poster section conflicted with everything else I could do. I didn't see any posters. But I think given my role on this, I probably would not have gone, under any circumstances.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	published paper A Uh-huh. Q and discuss what was done in this just from the materials and methods. We're not in results yet. Okay? So Dr. Saed used the following cell lines: SKOV3, A2780, TOV11 or 112D. And those are all ovarian cancer cell lines; correct? A There is significant question about the origin of 2780.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Would that have been of interest to you to to speak with the researchers? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. So the poster section conflicted with everything else I could do. I didn't see any posters. But I think given my role on this, I probably would not have gone, under any circumstances. MS. THOMPSON:	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	published paper A Uh-huh. Q and discuss what was done in this just from the materials and methods. We're not in results yet. Okay? So Dr. Saed used the following cell lines: SKOV3, A2780, TOV11 or 112D. And those are all ovarian cancer cell lines; correct? A There is significant question about the origin of 2780. Q Okay.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Would that have been of interest to you to to speak with the researchers? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. So the poster section conflicted with everything else I could do. I didn't see any posters. But I think given my role on this, I probably would not have gone, under any circumstances. MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you have any knowledge as to whether	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	published paper A Uh-huh. Q and discuss what was done in this just from the materials and methods. We're not in results yet. Okay? So Dr. Saed used the following cell lines: SKOV3, A2780, TOV11 or 112D. And those are all ovarian cancer cell lines; correct? A There is significant question about the origin of 2780. Q Okay. A It may
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Would that have been of interest to you to to speak with the researchers? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. So the poster section conflicted with everything else I could do. I didn't see any posters. But I think given my role on this, I probably would not have gone, under any circumstances. MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you have any knowledge as to whether either of these reviewers is a Johnson & Johnson	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	published paper A Uh-huh. Q and discuss what was done in this just from the materials and methods. We're not in results yet. Okay? So Dr. Saed used the following cell lines: SKOV3, A2780, TOV11 or 112D. And those are all ovarian cancer cell lines; correct? A There is significant question about the origin of 2780. Q Okay. A It may Q But it is a cancerous cell line?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Would that have been of interest to you to to speak with the researchers? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. So the poster section conflicted with everything else I could do. I didn't see any posters. But I think given my role on this, I probably would not have gone, under any circumstances. MS. THOMPSON: Q Do you have any knowledge as to whether	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	published paper A Uh-huh. Q and discuss what was done in this just from the materials and methods. We're not in results yet. Okay? So Dr. Saed used the following cell lines: SKOV3, A2780, TOV11 or 112D. And those are all ovarian cancer cell lines; correct? A There is significant question about the origin of 2780. Q Okay. A It may

90 (Pages 354 to 357)

	Page 358		Page 360
1	noncancerous cell lines. Agree? The human	1	MS. CURRY:
2	primary normal ovarian epithelial cells from Cell	2	Object to the form.
3	Biologics Chicago, the human ovarian epithelial	3	A I believe so.
4	cells from Cell Biologics, and the human oops.	4	MS. THOMPSON:
5	A Immortal one.	5	Q And using the realtime PCR RT-PCR,
6	Q And the immortalized human fallopian	6	the the following assays were performed. Beta
7	tube secretory epithelial cells, FT33, from	7	actin for normalization of samples; right?
8	applied biologic materials.	8	A Yes.
9	Would you agree those are three	9	Q CAT, SOD3?
10	noncancerous cell lines?	10	A Uh-huh.
11	A And when you're defining	11	Q GSR, GPX1, NOS2. Are those the tests
12	"noncancerous," you mean they were not isolated	12	that were performed with PCR?
13	from a tumor?	13	A Seven seven genes.
14	Q Correct.	14	Q Yes.
15	A Agree on that.	15	A Including beta actin.
16	Q Again, just going through the	16	Q And
17	methodology, were the cells grown in media and	17	A Yes.
18	conditions following manufacturer protocol?	18	Q And by ELISA, Dr. Saed in his lab
19	MS. CURRY:	19	tested CAT, SOD, GSR, GPX, NPO, and the CA-125
20	Object to the form.	20	that we've talked about before; correct?
21	A I'm not really sure what the	21	A Yes.
22	manufacturer suggested. But I don't I think	22	Q And Dr. Saed and those have all been
23	that the way they were cultured appeared okay to	23	peer-reviewed and published in other studies
24	me.	24	using ELISA and testing those
	Page 359		Page 361
1	MS. THOMPSON:	1	MS. CURRY:
2	Q Appeared what?	2	Object to the form.
3	A Okay to me.	3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. And you'll agree that the cells	4	MS. THOMPSON:
5	were seeded and treated with zero, 5, 20, or 100	5	Q particular markers?
6	micrograms per mil of baby powder; correct?	6	And Dr. Saed performed the TaqMan SNP
7	A This is in Treatment of Cells?	7	genotyping assay on all cell lines; correct?
8		8	A It's listed there. Yes.
9	Q Yes. A Correct.	9	Q And those were performed by the Applied
10	Q And the so the talcum powder was	10	Genomics Technology Center At Wayne State
11	dissolved in DMSO; correct?	11	University; correct?
12	A I am looking for that. Do you see	12	A Yes.
13	that?	13	Q And is it your understanding that this
14	Q It's in Treatment of Cells also.	14	is a core facility?
15	A Oh, okay.	15	MS. CURRY:
16	Q I went out of order.	16	Object to the form.
17	A Thank you.	17	A That, I don't know. But it could be.
18	Q And are you aware that these doses have	18	MS. THOMPSON:
19	previously been reported in peer-reviewed	19	Q What is a core facility?
20	literature	20	A It's generally a facility that provides
21	MS. CURRY:	21	standard assays, and everybody shares, and they
22	Object to	22	charge a fee.
23	MS. THOMPSON:	23	Q Is there some accreditation of core
	IVID. TITOIVII DOIN.	1 43	2 15 there some accreditation of core
24	Q for the study of talc?	24	facilities for quality control?

91 (Pages 358 to 361)

	Page 362		Page 364
1	A Usually it's institutional. In other	1	A They're generally accepted. I
2	words, it's not an external group. But a	2	"standardized" is a difficult word because it
3	institution won't fund the core unless it's doing	3	implies some sort of external review or
4	decent work.	4	standardization. And that's not true. These are
5	Q And Dr. Saed and his researchers then	5	kits that are are bought and then they're
6	performed the cell proliferation and apoptosis	6	implemented in the lab. You still don't know
7	studies using the TACS MTT self-proliferation	7	whether it's really being done right, but
8	assay; correct?	8	MS. THOMPSON:
9	A Yes.	9	Q Okay. Well it sounds like
10	Q And and cast pace 3 after treatment	10	A but but but they're we're
11	of all the cell lines with the various doses;	11	familiar with these
12	correct?	12	Q Okay.
13	A Yes.	13	A and there's nothing too much out of
14	Q And you'll agree that all of these	14	the box there.
15	tests have been performed, peer-reviewed, and	15	Q And before, you said these are
16	published previously by Dr. Saed and others;	16	standardized, yeah, so I was just going back to
17	correct?	17	that.
18	MS. CURRY:	18	A Right.
19	Object to the form.	19	Q I think we got the answer.
20	A I don't know that. But these are	20	I'm about to start a little bit
21	reasonably standard.	21	different area.
22	MS. THOMPSON:	22	MS. THOMPSON:
23 24	Q These are standardized A Yeah.	23	Do we want to take a break now or do you want to go for another 30 minutes or so?
	Page 363		Page 365
1	Q testing methods.	1	MS. CURRY:
2	All right. Let let me just ask that	2	How much time do we have left on the
3	question again because we've got a these are	3	record?
4	standardized testing methods; correct?	4	VIDEOGRAPHER:
5	MS. CURRY:	5	An hour and seven minutes.
6	Object to the form.	6	MS. CURRY:
7	A I don't know what you mean by	7	Do you want to take a final break now?
8	"standardized." These are assays that many labs	8	MS. THOMPSON:
9	use. They're not being done in they're not	9	Yeah. I'll easily finish the rest, I
10	being done in a central CLIA-approved lab.	10	think, in an hour and seven minutes.
11	They're just being done by him and maybe a core	11	MS. CURRY:
12	lab.	12	Okay.
13 14	MS. THOMPSON: Q And I was just asking the question	13 14	MS. THOMPSON: Maybe even less.
1 4 15	Q And I was just asking the question because previously it got chopped into two pieces	15	VIDEOGRAPHER:
16	on these are standardized yeah, testing	16	Off the record at 4:39 p m.
17	methods, all right. So I was just trying to get	17	(OFF THE RECORD.)
18	a single answer	18	(OFF THE RECORD.) VIDEOGRAPHER:
19	A Yes.	19	We're back on the record at 4:50 p.m.
20	Q was the purpose of that question.	20	MS. THOMPSON:
21	So these are standardized testing	21	Q Dr. Birrer, I'd like to do another
22	methods; correct?	22	chart with Dr. Saed's research so I can
23	MS. CURRY:	23	understand what your opinions are regarding his
24	Object to the form.	24	findings. Okay?
	Coject to the form.	1 1	inidings. Only.

92 (Pages 362 to 365)

1 A Okay. 2 MS. CURRY: 3 And for the record, I object to the creation of this chart. 4 creation of this chart. 5 (QEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 31 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 6 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 7 MS. CURRY: 8 What's the exhibit number? 9 MS. THOMPISON: 10 And this would be Fahibit 31. 11 Q and these are the tables taken from 12 Dr. Sæed's manuscript. Does that flooks right? 12 Dr. Sæed's manuscript. Does that flooks right? 13 If yow want to compare, you can. 14 A Let me just compare. 15 MS. CURRY: 16 This the from the published manuscript? 17 MS. THOMPISON: 18 Q This is from the published manuscript? 19 A This is from the published manuscript? 19 A This is from the published manuscript? 19 A This is from the published manuscript? 20 Q And and you'll agree that these 2 charts are generated from the raw data; correct? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A It appears so. Page 367 Page 367 Page 367 Page 367 Page 369 Okay. I'm sorry. I'm having a hard time following and manuscript. So if they are following and manuscript. The will have to say, that does look different. 24 MS. CURRY: 25 Object to the form. 26 MS. THOMPSON: 27 MS. THOMPSON: 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 MS. THOMPSON: 29 MS. THOMPSON: 20 And and you'll agree that these 2- and you'll agr		Page 366		Page 368
2 MS. CURRY: 3 And for the record, I object to the 4 creation of this chart. 5 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 31 WAS 6 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 6 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 7 MS. CURRY: 8 What's the exhibit number? 9 MS. THOMPSON: 10 And this would be Exhibit 31. 11 Q And these are the tables taken from 12 Dr. Saed's manuscript. Does that looks right? 12 Dr. Saed's manuscript. Does that looks right? 13 If you want to compare, you can. 14 A Let me just compare. 15 MS. CURRY: 16 This the from the published manuscript? 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 Q This is from the published manuscript? 19 A This is from Figure I, right? 20 Q And and you'll agree that these charts are generated from the raw data; correct? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A It appears so. Page 367 Page 367 Page 369 A Dissistent the value in the published manuscript. So if they are different, if's a 4 MS. GARBER: 4 MS. GARBER: 5 Do you have two? Because your 6 co-counse! 7 MS. THOMPSON: 8 A These are - for instance, the PCR is nonmalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Do you have two? Because your 6 for object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q Cokay. And this chart shows PCR and 15 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 A This is incompare. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 have you tell line "yes" or "no" for each of these with each cell line. 21 Do you have an opinion as to whether 22 Winch can be published and the carried for the published manuscript. So if they are different, if s a 3 A Although I would say 4 MS. GARBER: 4 MS. CURRY: 5 Do you have two? Because your 6 for the published manuscript you're pulling this from	1	A Okay.	1	MS. CURRY:
A Lassum they are. I mean, in terms of the creation of this chart. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 31 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: This the chibit number? MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: This the from the published manuscript? MS. CURRY: M	2		2	
4 creation of this chart. 5 (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 31 WAS 6 MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 7 MS. CURRY: 8 What's the exhibit number? 9 MS. THOMPSON: 10 And this would be Exhibit 31. 11 Q And these are the tables taken from 12 Dr. Saed's manuscript. Does that looks right? 13 If you want to compare, you can. 14 A Let me just compare. 15 MS. CURRY: 16 This the from the published manuscript? 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 Q This is from the published manuscript? 19 A This is from the published manuscript? 19 A This is from frigure 1, right? 20 Q And and you'll agree that these 21 charts are generated from the raw data; correct? 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A It appears so. Page 367 Page 369 Page 367 Page 369 Page 367 Page 367 Page 369 Page 367 Page 369 Page 367 Page 367 Page 369 Page 367 Page 369 Page 369 Page 369 Page 367 Page 369 Pag	3	And for the record, I object to the	3	·
Section	4		4	
6	5	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 31 WAS	5	
7 MS. CURRY: 8 What's the exhibit number? 8 Q — For accurate. 9 MS. THOMPSON: 10 And this would be Exhibit 31. 11 Q And these are the tables taken from 11 MS. CURRY: 12 Do you have any 12 Dr. Saed's manuscript. Does that looks right? 12 Do you have the published paper? 13 If you want to compare, you can. 13 If you want to compare, you can. 14 A Let me just compare. 15 MS. CURRY: 15 MS. CURRY: 15 MS. CURRY: 16 This the from the published manuscript? 16 This the from the published manuscript? 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 THE WITNESS: 17 THE WITNESS: 18 Veah. Well, I'll have to say, that does look different. 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Lean I'll represent that they were cut and pasted from the manuscript. So if they are different, it's a 24 MS. CURRY: 19 Q And 34 Object to the form. 23 are different, it's a 24 MS. CURRY: 24 A It appears so. 24 MS. CURRY: 25 Do you have two? Because your 26 Co-counsel 4 MS. GARBER: 27 MS. THOMPSON: 28 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 29 A These are for instance, the PCR is normalized. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Oxay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 A These are for instance, the PCR is normalized. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Oxay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 MS. THOMPSON: 19 MS. THOMPSON: 19 MS. THOMPSON: 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 MS. THOMPSON: 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q The expression of antioxidants and the 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 19 A Correct. 19 A Correct. 19 Do you have an opinion as to whether 20 Miss of Figure 1. 22 With each cell line. 20 Miss of more plants and the 20 Miss of Figure 1. 22 With each cell line. 20 Miss of more plants and the 20 Miss of Figure 1. 22 With each cell line. 20 Miss of more plants and the 20 Miss of Figure 1. 22 Miss of	6			
8 Whats the exhibit number? 9 MS. THOMPSON: 10 And this would be Exhibit 31. 11 Q And these are the tables taken from 12 Dr. Saed's manuscript. Does that looks right? 12 Dr. Saed's manuscript. Does that looks right? 13 If you want to compare, you can. 14 A Let me just compare. 15 MS. CURRY: 16 This the from the published manuscript? 16 This the from the published manuscript? 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 Q This is from bigure 1, right? 19 A This is from Figure 1, right? 20 Q And - and you'll agree that these 21 charts are generated from the raw data; correct? 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A Ir appears so. Page 367 Page 367 Page 367 Dokyou have the published paper? THE WITNESS: 18 Veah. Well, I'll have to say, that does look different. 40 MS. THOMPSON: 21 Q Lan - I'll represent that they were cut and pasted from the manuscript. So if they are different, it's a - 41 MS. THOMPSON: 22 Q And - 33 A Although I would say - 44 MS. GARBER: 45 Do you have two? Because your 65 co-counsel - 4 MS. GARBER: 4 MS. CURRY: 4 MS. CURRY: 5 Do you have two? Because your 6 co-counsel - 6 Co-counsel - 7 MS. THOMPSON: 10 Okay. I'm sorry. I'm having a hard time following - 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants, right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the activity of antioxidants; right? 18 A Correct. 19 A Correct. 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 21 Do you have an opinion as to whether 22 with each cell line. 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 24 No. Not even close. This is, in fact, Figure 3. 25 Figure 3. 26 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, Figure 3. 27 MS. CURRY: 28 THE WITNESS: 18 I have it here. Right here. 19 A On- You'le appear? 11 Ins. is from the published paper? 11 THE WITNESS: 12 I have it here. Right here. 13 THE WITNESS: 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 The mirrolesse. 18 CURRY: 18 A Dat the published manuscript	7			
9 MS. THOMPSON: 10 And this would be Exhibit 31. 11 Q And these are the tables taken from 12 Dr. Saed's manuscript. Does that looks right? 13 If you want to compare, you can. 14 A Let me just compare. 15 MS. CURRY: 16 This the from the published manuscript? 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 Q This is from the published manuscript? 19 A This is from Pigure 1, right? 19 A This is from the raw data; correct? 21 charts are generated from the raw data; correct? 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A It appears so. Page 367 Page 367 Page 369 1 MS. THOMPSON: 2 Q And	8			•
10	9			
11 Q And these are the tables taken from 12 Dr. Saed's manuscript. Does that looks right? 13 If you want to compare, you can. 14 A Let me just compare. 15 MS. CURRY: 16 This the from the published manuscript? 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 Q This is from the published manuscript? 19 A This is from Figure 1, right? 20 Q And and you'll agree that these 21 charts are generated from the raw data; correct? 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A It appears so. Page 367 1 MS. THOMPSON: 2 Q And 3 A Although I would say 4 MS. GARBER: 5 Do you have two? Because your 6 co-counsel 7 MS. THOMPSON: 8 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 9 A These are for instance, the PCR is normalized. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 19 A These are for instance, the PCR is normalized. 10 Normalized. 11 MS. CURRY: 12 Do you have two? Because your 13 MS. CURRY: 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 19 A These are for instance, the PCR is normalized. 10 Normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the activity of antioxidants; right? 18 A Correct. 19 A Correct. 19 A Correct. 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and the activity of antioxidants (AT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 21 With each cell line. 22 With each cell line. 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 24 WS. CURRY: 25 Do you have an opinion as to whether 26 With exhibit is not replective of the brag raphs that are in the published manuscript. So if they are different, it's a 15 Do you have two? Because your time following 26 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, Figure 3. 27 WS. CURRY: 28 Do you have two? Because your time followin	10			
12 Dr. Saed's manuscript. Does that looks right? 12 If you want to compare, you can. 13 If you want to compare, you can. 14 A Let me just compare. 14 I have it here. Right here. 15 MS. CURRY: 15 MS. CURRY: 15 MS. CURRY: 16 This the from the published manuscript? 16 This is from the published manuscript? 17 MS. THOMPSON: 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Well, I'll have to say, that does look different. 19 does look different. 20 MS. THOMPSON: 21 Q I can I'll represent that they were cut and pasted from the manuscript. So if they are different, it's a 10 MS. CURRY: 11 MS. CURRY: 11 MS. GARBER: 11 MS. GARBER: 12 MS. CURRY: 13 A Although I would say 13 A Although I would say 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Do you have two? Because your 16 Co-counsel 16 Co-counsel 16 Co-counsel 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 10 normalized. 10 mormalized. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 MS. THOMPSON: 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 12 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 13 A This is the entire ordinate has chard activity of antioxidants cAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 19 MS. CURRY: 17 MS. THOMPSON: 16 Q This is this is, from the chart, this is Figure I. The color came out a little bit differently in the printing process, but the 19 MS. CURRY: 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 With each cell line. 12 MS. CURRY: 13 MS. CURRY: 14 MS. CURRY: 15 MS. THOMPSON: 16 MS. THOMPSON: 16 MS. THOMPSON: 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 MS. THOMPSON: 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 MS. CURRY: 19 MS. CU	11		11	
13	12	•	12	
14 A Let me just compare. 15 MS. CURRY: 16 This the from the published manuscript? 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 Q This is from Figure 1, right? 19 A This is from Figure 1, right? 20 Q And - and you'll agree that these 21 charts are generated from the raw data; correct? 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A It appears so. Page 367 Page 367 Page 369 1 MS. THOMPSON: 2 Q And - 3 A Although I would say 4 MS. GARBER: 5 Do you have two? Because your 6 co-counsel 7 MS. THOMPSON: 8 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 9 A These are - for instance, the PCR is 10 normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 22 Q Okay, And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 (Q Okay, I'm sorry. I'm having a hard time following 8 A These are - for instance, the PCR is 10 normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay, And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 (Q The expression of antioxidants and the activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these with each cell line. 20 Do you have an opinion as to whether 21 Winter and the activity of antioxidants and the activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 21 In the WITNESS: 22 Yeah. Well, I'll have to say, that deel end in the set wold ifferent. 24 A It appears to say. The Well, I'll have to say, that Yeah. Well, I'll have to say, t				
15 MS. CURRY: 16 This the from the published manuscript? 17 MS. THOMPSON:				
16 This the from the published manuscript? 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 Q This is from the published manuscript? 19 A This is from Figure 1, right? 20 Q And and you'll agree that these 21 charts are generated from the raw data; correct? 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A It appears so. Page 367 Page 367 Page 369 1 MS. THOMPSON: 2 Q And 3 A Although I would say 4 MS. GARBER: 5 Do you have two? Because your 6 co-counsel 7 MS. THOMPSON: 8 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 9 A These are for instance, the PCR is normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay, And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Do you have two? 18 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 19 A These are for instance, the PCR is normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay, And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these with each cell line. 20 Do you have an opinion as to whether 21 What exhibit is that? 21 THE WITNESS: 24 Yeah. Well, I'll have to say, that does look different. 20 MS. THOMPSON: 21 Q I cam I'll represent that they were cut and pasted from the manuscript. So if they are different, it's a 24 MS. CURRY: 25 Do A But this :- 26 MS. CURRY: 27 Okay. I'm sorry. I'm having a hard time following 28 A But this :- 29 Have deal a fer in the published manuscript. 20 No. Thompson: 21 Do you have two? Because your time following 24 MS. CURRY: 25 Do you have two? Because your time following 26 MS. CURRY: 27 Okay. I'm sorry. I'm having a hard time following 28 A But this :- 29 MS. CURRY: 20 Do you have two? Because your time following 30 A But this :- 30 A But this :- 4 MS. CURRY: 30 Do you have two? Because your time following 31 A But this :- 4 MS. CURRY: 31 Do you have an opi				_
17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 Q This is from the published manuscript? 19 A This is from Figure 1, right? 20 Q And and you'll agree that these 21 charts are generated from the raw data; correct? 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A It appears so. Page 367 Page 369 Page 369 Page 369 Page 369 MS. THOMPSON: 2 Q And 3 A A Ithough I would say 4 MS. GARBER: 4 MS. CURRY: 5 Do you have two? Because your 6 co-counsel 7 MS. THOMPSON: 8 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 9 A These are for instance, the PCR is 10 normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these with each cell line. 20 Wand and you'll agree that these does does different. 21 Q The supression of antioxidants and the activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 21 Q I want to go through this chart and have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these with each cell line. 22 with each cell line. 23 Figure 3. 17 THE WITNESS: 4 does look different. 20 MS. THOMPSON: 21 Q I can I'll represent that they were cut and pasted from the manuscript. So if they are different, it's a 20 MS. CURRY: 21 Q I can I'll represent that they were cut and pasted from the manuscript. So if they are different, it's a 20 MS. CURRY: 21 Q I can I'll represent that they were cut and pasted from the manuscript. So if they are different, it's a 20 MS. CURRY: 22 MS. CURRY: 23 A But this 24 MS. CURRY: 24 MS. CURRY: 25 Chapter (at and pasted from the manuscript. So if they are different, it's a 26 MS. CURRY: 27 MS. CURRY: 28 Yeah. Well, I'll does look different. 29 MS. CURRY: 20 MS. THOMPSON: 20 MS. THOMPSON: 21 Do you can just point us to where in the published manuscript you're pulling this from. 28 A This is the entire ordinate has changed.				
18 Q This is from the published manuscript? 19 A This is from Figure 1, right? 20 Q And and you'll agree that these 21 charts are generated from the raw data; correct? 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A It appears so. Page 367 Page 369 1 MS. THOMPSON: 2 Q And 3 A Although I would say 4 MS. GARBER: 4 MS. CURRY: 5 Do you have two? Because your 6 co-counsel 7 MS. THOMPSON: 8 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 9 A These are for instance, the PCR is 10 normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q O kay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. THOMPSON: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and activity of antioxidants; or "no" for each of these with each cell line. 20 With each cell line. 21 Dyou have an opinion as to whether 22 With each cell line. 23 Figure 3. 24 WS. Well, I'll have to say, that does look different. 4 Do you have that they were cut and pasted from the manuscript. So if they are different, it's a 4 MS. CURRY: 4 MS. CURRY: 5 — Chart in the published from the manuscript. 5 — This because the data represented on the exhibit is not reflective of the bar graphs that are in the published manuscript. 8 So if you can just point us to where in the published manuscript you're pulling this from. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q O kay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 changed. This is the entire ordinate has chivity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 19 With each cell line. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these 22 with each cell line. 23 Figure 3.				
19 A This is from Figure 1, right? 20 Q And and you'll agree that these 21 charts are generated from the raw data; correct? 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A It appears so. Page 367 Page 369 1 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q And 25 Q And 26 Q And 27 A But this 28 MS. CURRY: 29 Cut and pasted from the manuscript. So if they are different, it's a 29 Q And 20 Q And 21 D Okay. I'm sorry. I'm having a hard time following 20 A But this 21 MS. CURRY: Page 369 1 Okay. I'm sorry. I'm having a hard time following 28 A But this 29 A But this 29 A But this 29 MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: Do you have two? Because your 20 Co-counsel 21 But this 22 Counsel 23 A Thompson: 24 MS. CURRY: 25 Do you have two? Because your 26 Co-counsel 27 MS. THOMPSON: 28 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 39 A These are for instance, the PCR is 30 In normalized. 31 MS. THOMPSON: 32 Do you have the form. 33 A These are for instance, the PCR is 34 A This is the entire ordinate has cativity of antioxidants; right? 36 CURRY: 37 MS. THOMPSON: 38 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 49 A These are for instance, the PCR is 40 MS. THOMPSON: 41 MS. THOMPSON: 42 Curret: 43 MS. CURRY: 44 MS. CURRY: 45 MS. CURRY: 46 MS. THOMPSON: 47 This is the entire ordinate has cativity of antioxidants and the activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 49 A Correct. 40 Correct. 41 MS. THOMPSON: 41 Council and the published manuscript in the printing process, but the 40 MS. CURRY: 41 Council and the published manuscript in the printing process, but the 41 MS. CURRY: 42 Council and the published manuscript in the printing process, but the 40 MS. CURRY: 41 Council and the published manuscript in the printing process, but the 40 MS. CURRY: 41 Council and the published manuscript you're published ma				
20 Q And and you'll agree that these 21 charts are generated from the raw data; correct? 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A It appears so. 25 Page 367 26 Page 367 27 Page 369 28 Page 369 29 Page 369 20 Page 369 20 Page 369 20 Page 369 21 Okay. I'm sorry. I'm having a hard time following 22 time following 23 A Although I would say 24 MS. CURRY: 25 Do you have two? Because your 26 co-counsel 27 MS. THOMPSON: 28 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 29 A These are for instance, the PCR is 20 normalized. 21 Okay. I'm sorry. I'm having a hard time following 23 A But this 4 MS. CURRY: 25 Do you have two? Because your 26 co-counsel 27 Okay. I'm sorry. I'm having a hard time following 3 A But this 4 MS. CURRY: 5 Do you have two? Because your 6 the exhibit is not reflective of the bar graphs that are in the published manuscript. 8 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 9 A These are for instance, the PCR is 10 normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these with each cell line. 21 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, Figure 3.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
21 charts are generated from the raw data; correct? 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A It appears so. 25 Page 367 26 Page 367 27 Page 369 28 Page 369 29 And 20 Volume 1			20	
22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A It appears so. Page 367 Page 369 Degree 369 Page 369 Degree 369 De			21	O I can I'll represent that they were
23	22	_	22	
Page 367 Page 367 Page 369 MS. THOMPSON: A Although I would say B MS. GARBER: D Oyou have two? Because your MS. THOMPSON: No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. A These are for instance, the PCR is normalized. MS. THOMPSON: A These are for instance, the PCR is MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: A These are for instance, the PCR is MS. THOMPSON: This is this is, from the chart, this is Figure 1. The color came out a little bit differently in the printing process, but the MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: This is not Figure 1. A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, Figure 3.	23		23	
Page 367 MS. THOMPSON: Q And 3 A Although I would say 4 MS. GARBER: Do you have two? Because your 6 co-counsel 7 MS. THOMPSON: 8 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 9 A These are for instance, the PCR is 10 normalized. 11 Okay. I'm sorry. I'm having a hard 12 time following 3 A But this 4 MS. CURRY: this because the data represented on the exhibit is not reflective of the bar graphs that are in the published manuscript. So if you can just point us to where in the published manuscript you're pulling this from. MS. THOMPSON: 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: Object to the form. 15 MS. THOMPSON: 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. Q I want to go through this chart and 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these with each cell line. 22 With each cell line. 23 Figure 3. Page 369 Chay. I'm sorry. I'm having a hard time following 3 A But this 4 MS. CURRY: this because the data represented on the exhibit is not reflective of the bar graphs that are in the published manuscript. So if you can just point us to where in the published manuscript you're pulling this from. MS. THOMPSON: A This is the entire ordinate has changed. This is 25. This is 100. MS. THOMPSON: 16 Q This is this is, from the chart, this is Figure 1. The color came out a little bit differently in the printing process, but the 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 20 MS. CURRY: This is not Figure 1. A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, Figure 3.	24	-	24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1 MS. THOMPSON: 2 Q And 3 A Although I would say 4 MS. GARBER: 5 Do you have two? Because your 6 co-counsel 7 MS. THOMPSON: 8 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 9 A These are for instance, the PCR is 10 normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 ELISA for antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 time following 3 A But this 4 MS. CURRY: 5 this because the data represented on the exhibit is not reflective of the bar graphs that are in the published manuscript. 8 So if you can just point us to where in the published manuscript you're pulling this from. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 All right. 13 A This is the entire ordinate has changed. This is 25. This is 100. 15 MS. THOMPSON: 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 MS. THOMPSON: 18 CURRY: 19 A Correct. 19 Wish each cell line. 20 MS. CURRY: 21 This is not Figure 1. 22 With each cell line. 23 Figure 3.				
2 time following 3 A Although I would say 4 MS. GARBER: 5 Do you have two? Because your 6 co-counsel 7 MS. THOMPSON: 8 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 9 A These are for instance, the PCR is 10 normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 A This is the entire ordinate has 18 CURRY: 19 Cy The expression of antioxidants and the 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 Lime following 3 A But this 4 MS. CURRY: 5 this because the data represented on 6 the exhibit is not reflective of the bar graphs 7 that are in the published manuscript. 8 So if you can just point us to where in 9 the published manuscript you're pulling this 10 from. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 All right. 13 A This is the entire ordinate has 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 16 Q This is this is, from the chart, 17 this is Figure 1. The color came out a little 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 19 MS. CURRY: 10 MS. CURRY: 11 This is not Figure 1. 12 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, 13 Figure 3.				
A Although I would say MS. GARBER: Do you have two? Because your Co-counsel MS. THOMPSON: No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. MS. Thompson: No. These are for instance, the PCR is normalized. MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. MS. THOMPSON: No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. MS. Thompson: MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: A This is the entire ordinate has changed. This is 25. This is 100. MS. THOMPSON: This is this is, from the chart, this is Figure 1. The color came out a little bit differently in the printing process, but the MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, Figure 3.				
4 MS. GARBER: 5 Do you have two? Because your 6 co-counsel 7 MS. THOMPSON: 8 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 9 A These are for instance, the PCR is 10 normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 this because the data represented on the exhibit is not reflective of the bar graphs that are in the published manuscript. 8 So if you can just point us to where in the published manuscript you're pulling this from. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 18 bit differently in the printing process, 19 A Correct. 19 WS. CURRY: 10 Do you have an opinion as to whether 11 This is not Figure 1. 12 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, 13 Figure 3.		•		<u> </u>
5 Do you have two? Because your 6 co-counsel 7 MS. THOMPSON: 8 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 9 A These are for instance, the PCR is 10 normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 A This is the entire ordinate has 18 changed. This is 25. This is 100. 19 MS. THOMPSON: 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Diplect to the form. 13 ELISA for antioxidants and the 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 10 MS. CURRY: 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 COPY This is the entire ordinate has 13 COPY This is this is, from the chart, 14 this is Figure 1. The color came out a little 18 bit differently in the printing process, 19 A Correct. 19 but the 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 20 MS. CURRY: 21 have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these 22 with each cell line. 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 24 Figure 3.				
6 co-counsel 7 MS. THOMPSON: 8 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 9 A These are for instance, the PCR is 10 normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 All right. 22 with each cell line. 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 25 that are in the published manuscript. 26 that are in the published manuscript. 3 that are in the published manuscript. 3 that are in the published manuscript. 3 So if you can just point us to where in the published manuscript. 3 No. if you can just point us to whether and the published manuscript. 3 A This is not Figure 1. 4 Correct. 4 A This is the entire ordinate has changed. This is 25. This is 100. 4 This is Figure 1. The color came out a little bit differently in the printing process, but the 4 MS. CURRY: 4 No. Not even close. This is, in fact, Figure 3.				
7 MS. THOMPSON: 8 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 9 A These are for instance, the PCR is 10 normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 20 MS. CURRY: 21 have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these 22 with each cell line. 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 25 the published manuscript. 8 So if you can just point us to where in the published manuscript. 8 So if you can just point us to where in the published manuscript. 8 So if you can just point us to where in the published manuscript. 8 So if you can just point us to where in the published manuscript. 8 So if you can just point us to where in the published manuscript. 8 So if you can just point us to where in the published manuscript. 8 So if you can just point us to where in the published manuscript. 9 the published manuscript vou're pulling this from. 10 Thom. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 All right. 13 A This is the entire ordinate has changed. This is 25. This is 100. 15 MS. THOMPSON: 16 Q This is this is, from the chart, this is Figure 1. The color came out a little bit differently in the printing process, 19 MS. CURRY: 19 MS. CURRY: 20 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, 21 This is not Figure 1.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		*
8 No. That's just one copy, one exhibit. 9 A These are for instance, the PCR is 10 normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 All right. 22 MS. THOMPSON: 25 This is 100. 26 This is the entire ordinate has 27 this is Figure 1. The color came out a little 28 bit differently in the printing process, 29 but the 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 20 MS. CURRY: 21 have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these 22 with each cell line. 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 24 Figure 3.				
9 A These are for instance, the PCR is 10 normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 the published manuscript you're pulling this 20 from. 21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 All right. 23 This is the entire ordinate has 24 changed. This is 25. This is 100. 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 Q This is this is, from the chart, 27 this is Figure 1. The color came out a little 28 bit differently in the printing process, 29 but the 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 20 MS. CURRY: 21 This is not Figure 1. 22 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, 23 Figure 3.				
10 normalized. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 MS. CURRY: 22 with each cell line. 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 21 In MS. THOMPSON: 22 All right. 23 A This is the entire ordinate has 24 A This is the entire ordinate has 25 This is 100. 26 A This is this is, from the chart, 27 this is Figure 1. The color came out a little 28 bit differently in the printing process, 29 MS. CURRY: 20 MS. CURRY: 21 This is not Figure 1. 22 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, 23 Figure 3.			1	
11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 with each cell line. 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, Figure 3.				
12 Q Okay. And this chart shows PCR and 13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 All right. 22 A This is the entire ordinate has 23 Changed. This is 25. This is 100. 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 Q This is this is, from the chart, 27 this is Figure 1. The color came out a little 28 bit differently in the printing process, 29 MS. CURRY: 20 A No. CURRY: 21 This is not Figure 1. 22 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, 23 Figure 3.				
13 ELISA for antioxidants; right? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 20 MS. CURRY: 21 have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these 22 with each cell line. 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 13 A This is the entire ordinate has 14 changed. This is 25. This is 100. 15 MS. THOMPSON: 16 Q This is this is, from the chart, 17 this is Figure 1. The color came out a little bit differently in the printing process, 19 but the 20 MS. CURRY: 21 This is not Figure 1. 22 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, 23 Figure 3.				
14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these 22 with each cell line. 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 14 changed. This is 25. This is 100. 15 MS. THOMPSON: 16 Q This is this is, from the chart, 17 this is Figure 1. The color came out a little bit differently in the printing process, 19 but the 20 MS. CURRY: 21 This is not Figure 1. 22 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, 23 Figure 3.				•
15 Object to the form. 16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these 22 with each cell line. 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 15 MS. THOMPSON: 16 Q This is this is, from the chart, 17 this is Figure 1. The color came out a little bit differently in the printing process, 19 but the 20 MS. CURRY: 21 This is not Figure 1. 22 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, 23 Figure 3.			1	
16 MS. THOMPSON: 17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these 22 with each cell line. 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 26 Q This is this is, from the chart, 17 this is Figure 1. The color came out a little 26 bit differently in the printing process, 27 but the 28 MS. CURRY: 29 This is not Figure 1. 20 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, 21 Figure 3.				
17 Q The expression of antioxidants and the 18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these 22 with each cell line. 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 20 This is Figure 1. The color came out a little bit differently in the printing process, 19 but the 20 MS. CURRY: 21 This is not Figure 1. 22 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, 23 Figure 3.		•		
18 activity of antioxidants CAT and SOV3; correct? 19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these 22 with each cell line. 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 18 bit differently in the printing process, but the 20 MS. CURRY: 21 This is not Figure 1. 22 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, Figure 3.			1	
19 A Correct. 20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these 22 with each cell line. 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 29 but the 20 MS. CURRY: 21 This is not Figure 1. 22 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, 23 Figure 3.		* *		
20 Q I want to go through this chart and 21 have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these 22 with each cell line. 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 20 MS. CURRY: 21 This is not Figure 1. 22 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, 23 Figure 3.			ΙΤΩ	
have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these with each cell line. Do you have an opinion as to whether This is not Figure 1. A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, Figure 3.	18		1.0	but the
22 with each cell line. 22 A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, 23 Do you have an opinion as to whether 23 Figure 3.	18 19	A Correct.		
Do you have an opinion as to whether 23 Figure 3.	18 19 20	A Correct. Q I want to go through this chart and	20	MS. CURRY:
	18 19 20 21	A Correct. Q I want to go through this chart and have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these	20 21	MS. CURRY: This is not Figure 1.
27 unese results are accurate: 27 IVIS. 1110IVII SOLV.	18 19 20 21 22	A Correct. Q I want to go through this chart and have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these with each cell line.	20 21 22	MS. CURRY: This is not Figure 1. A No. Not even close. This is, in fact,
	18 19 20 21 22 23	A Correct. Q I want to go through this chart and have you tell me "yes" or "no" for each of these with each cell line. Do you have an opinion as to whether	20 21 22 23	MS. CURRY: This is not Figure 1. A No. Not even close. This is, in fact, Figure 3.

93 (Pages 366 to 369)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 95 of 165 PageID: 82882 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 370		Page 372
1	Q PCR, CAT, SOD3. CAT activity and SOD	1	MS. CURRY:
2	activity.	2	Object to the form.
3	MS. THOMPSON:	3	A It could change them considerably,
4	Are y'all looking? Mine are identical.	4	yeah.
5	Can you be	5	MS. THOMPSON:
6	MS. CURRY:	6	Q Do you want to change that to a
7	On the published manuscript, this chart	7	question mark, or do you want to change that to
8	does not represent	8	no, they're not accurate?
9	MS. THOMPSON:	9	MS. CURRY:
10	To Figure 1?	10	Object to the form.
11	MS. CURRY:	11	A Question mark will be fine.
12	to Figure 1.	12	MS. THOMPSON:
13	MS. THOMPSON:	13	Q And that would go for all cell lines?
14	Let's go off the record.	14	A Well, the technology the techniques
15	VIDEOGRAPHER:	15	used was applied to all of them.
16	Going off the record at 4:55.	16	MS. CURRY:
17	(OFF THE RECORD.)	17	Just so I know what we're doing here
18	VIDEOGRAPHER:	18	I'm sorry is when you're saying results
19		19	accurate in these four pictures, are are you
20	We're back on the record at 4:59 p.m. MS, THOMPSON:	20	talking about like is that based on raw data
		21	that's supposed to be in here? I'm just not sure
21	Q Okay. Now that we've got that	22	
22	straightened out, so you'll agree that this is	23	what we're doing. MS. THOMPSON:
23	the the chart that shows the expression of	24	
24	antioxidant CAT and SKOV3 and the activity of the	24	These graphs are from the raw data.
	Page 371		Page 373
1	same; correct?	1	MS. CURRY:
2	A You're on Figure 1?	2	But the raw data, we don't have. That
3	Q I am on Figure 1, yes.	3	hasn't
4	A Yeah. That's CAT and SKOV3?	4	MS. THOMPSON:
5	Q Yeah.	5	You've seen the raw data in the lab
6	A Yep.	6	notebooks and Dr. Saed has is this an
7	Q And we we are going through each	7	objection or is this
8	cell line. The first column was Results	8	MS. CURRY:
9	Accurate, and I think	9	It's an object I'm just honestly
10	A So let me let me revise that.	10	I'm trying you're trying to have him create an
11	Q Okay.	11	exhibit
12	A Because now I understand what we're	12	MS. THOMPSON:
12			That's a speaking objection.
	looking at.	1	
13	looking at. So I think there's a serious problem in	13 14	1 0 0
13 14	So I think there's a serious problem in	14	MS. CURRY:
13 14 15	So I think there's a serious problem in the PCR, or at least I'd be concerned by that.	14 15	MS. CURRY: and I'm trying to find out
13 14 15 16	So I think there's a serious problem in the PCR, or at least I'd be concerned by that. These PCR MRNA levels were normalized to beta	14 15 16	MS. CURRY: and I'm trying to find out MS. THOMPSON:
13 14 15 16 17	So I think there's a serious problem in the PCR, or at least I'd be concerned by that. These PCR MRNA levels were normalized to beta actin. And I think most of us would accept that	14 15 16 17	MS. CURRY: and I'm trying to find out MS. THOMPSON: If he understands it, it doesn't really
13 14 15 16 17 18	So I think there's a serious problem in the PCR, or at least I'd be concerned by that. These PCR MRNA levels were normalized to beta actin. And I think most of us would accept that using one housekeeping gene is not acceptable. I	14 15 16 17 18	MS. CURRY: and I'm trying to find out MS. THOMPSON: If he understands it, it doesn't really matter whether you do or not, Dawn. I mean
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So I think there's a serious problem in the PCR, or at least I'd be concerned by that. These PCR MRNA levels were normalized to beta actin. And I think most of us would accept that using one housekeeping gene is not acceptable. I would expect at least two or three to make sure	14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. CURRY: and I'm trying to find out MS. THOMPSON: If he understands it, it doesn't really matter whether you do or not, Dawn. I mean MS. CURRY:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So I think there's a serious problem in the PCR, or at least I'd be concerned by that. These PCR MRNA levels were normalized to beta actin. And I think most of us would accept that using one housekeeping gene is not acceptable. I would expect at least two or three to make sure that there isn't a change in the stability of	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CURRY: and I'm trying to find out MS. THOMPSON: If he understands it, it doesn't really matter whether you do or not, Dawn. I mean MS. CURRY: And that's fine if you don't want an
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So I think there's a serious problem in the PCR, or at least I'd be concerned by that. These PCR MRNA levels were normalized to beta actin. And I think most of us would accept that using one housekeeping gene is not acceptable. I would expect at least two or three to make sure that there isn't a change in the stability of beta actin, which would throw off your	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CURRY: and I'm trying to find out MS. THOMPSON: If he understands it, it doesn't really matter whether you do or not, Dawn. I mean MS. CURRY: And that's fine if you don't want an accurate record. That's fine.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So I think there's a serious problem in the PCR, or at least I'd be concerned by that. These PCR MRNA levels were normalized to beta actin. And I think most of us would accept that using one housekeeping gene is not acceptable. I would expect at least two or three to make sure that there isn't a change in the stability of beta actin, which would throw off your quantification levels of those genes.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. CURRY: and I'm trying to find out MS. THOMPSON: If he understands it, it doesn't really matter whether you do or not, Dawn. I mean MS. CURRY: And that's fine if you don't want an accurate record. That's fine. MS. THOMPSON:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So I think there's a serious problem in the PCR, or at least I'd be concerned by that. These PCR MRNA levels were normalized to beta actin. And I think most of us would accept that using one housekeeping gene is not acceptable. I would expect at least two or three to make sure that there isn't a change in the stability of beta actin, which would throw off your	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CURRY: and I'm trying to find out MS. THOMPSON: If he understands it, it doesn't really matter whether you do or not, Dawn. I mean MS. CURRY: And that's fine if you don't want an accurate record. That's fine.

94 (Pages 370 to 373)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 96 of 165 PageID: 82883 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 374		Page 376
1	MS. CURRY:	1	A Well, I think the if you're gonna
2	That's fine.	2	call them normal, then the normal primary the
3	MS. THOMPSON:	3	human primary normal ovarian cell lines would be
4	Q Dr. Birrer, do you understand what I'm	4	more relevant.
5	asking with this chart? If not, I'll explain it.	5	MS. THOMPSON:
6	A Well, I I think it's a little bit	6	Q More relevant? But either one would be
7	like the exercise this morning, which is we're	7	relevant. Is that what you're saying?
8	creating a document without all the information.	8	MS. CURRY:
9	I don't have the raw data here. I mean, yeah,	9	Object to form.
10	it's in the notebooks, I suppose, somewhere.	10	A No. I think the immortalized one is
11	Q And and you'll agree that these	11	not normal, so it wouldn't be relevant.
12	charts are generated from raw data by a software	12	MS. THOMPSON:
13	program. Correct?	13	Q Okay. So we'll make another column.
14	And Dr. Saed testified to that.	14	Well, we don't the immortalized and
15	Correct?	15	the normal.
16	MS. CURRY:	16	So the immortalized would be not
17	Object to the form.	17	relevant?
18	A Well, again, depending on what data's	18	A Right.
19		19	-
20	put in MS. THOMPSON:	20	Q And the A Yes.
21		21	
	Q Okay.	22	Q Maybe I should get a clean let's
22	A you could get completely different		let's start over this chart. That's okay. I'll
23	results.	23	make the next one neater.
24	Q I understand. But we're gonna look at	24	Okay. Let's start again. And we're
	Page 375		Page 377
1	the data that was in the peer-reviewed published	1	gonna distinguish between
2	paper. Okay?	2	A Uh-huh.
3	Are the results relevant? And we can	3	Q the immortalized, which is IM on the
4	go by each cell line.	4	chart, and that's going to be not relevant;
5	MS. CURRY:	5	right?
6	Object to the form.	6	A Correct.
7	MS. THOMPSON:	7	Q And the normal cells are relevant, in
8	Q And yes or no or you don't know.	8	your mind?
9	MS. CURRY:	9	A Uh-huh.
10	Object to the form.	10	Q How about the fallopian tube, the FT33?
11	A Well, one of the challenges in this	11	A Yeah. So that's immortalized also, so
12	paper is the purpose of the EL1 cell line. I	12	I don't think it's particularly relevant.
13	don't think those results are relevant.	13	Q Is it not relevant?
14	MS. THOMPSON:	14	MS. CURRY:
15	Q Okay. The other lines?	15	Object to the form.
16	A The normal ovary, I would assume is	16	A Uh-huh.
17	that primary cells? Right? We reviewed that?	17	MS. THOMPSON:
18	Let me go back.	18	Q And that's because it's immortalized?
19	So I don't know if that's I don't	19	A Uh-huh.
20	know if that's the HOS cell line or the the	20	Q Okay. And 3, cancer cell lines?
21	ones from Cell Biologics.	21	A So this is
22	Q Is one relevant and one not?	22	MS. CURRY:
	MS. CURRY:	23	Object to the form.
23			
23 24	Object to the form.	24	A So this was a big this was a concern

95 (Pages 374 to 377)

in the paper, which is that, as you know, SKOV3 is a clear cell; we've got an endometrioid; and we don't even know where 2780 comes from, so I don't think they're relevant. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that's because of lacking a clear histologic relationship? MS. CURRY: S MS. CURRY: S Object to the form. S THOMPSON: C Q Do those results show a biological c effect from talcum powder? S Object to the form. S Object to the f		Page 378		Page 380
s a clear cell; we've got an endometrioid; and don't think they're relevant. MS. THOMPSON: And that's because of lacking a clear histologic relationship? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A That's right. MS. THOMPSON: Q Do those results show a biological effect from talcum powder? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So I don't we'w that — I don't — I guess the answer is — biologic effects? MS. CURRY: MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: MS. C	1	in the paper, which is that, as you know, SKOV3	1	Q As long as you approve of my work, we
don't think they're relevant. MS. THOMPSON: Q And that's because of lacking a clear histologic relationship? MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: Doject to the form. A That's right. Object to the form. Page 379 MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: Doject to the form. MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: Doject to the form. Page 379 A So it depends on the cell line, 1 Page 381 think. Right? MS. CURRY: MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: MS. THOMPSON: MS.	2	* *	2	
5 MS. THOMPSON: 6 Q And that's because of lacking a clear 7 histologic relationship? 8 MS. CURRY: 9 Object to the form. 10 A That's right. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Do those results show a biological 13 effect from talcum powder? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 A So I don't view that -1 don't -1 17 guess the answer is biologic effects? 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Does something happen when you put the 20 baby powder in the cell culture? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 Q Does something happen when you put the 27 baby sow for the certain the cell culture? 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 Object to the form. 20 Q Does something happen when you put the 20 baby powder in the cell culture? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret 27 think is not related to whether the 28 rescurate or not. 39 MS. CURRY: 40 Object to the form. 50 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret 51 think Right? 52 MS. THOMPSON: 53 MS. CURRY: 64 MS. CURRY: 65 MS. THOMPSON: 65 MS. THOMPSON: 66 MS. THOMPSON: 77 MS. CURRY: 78 MS. CURRY: 79 Object to the form. 70 MS. CURRY: 70 Does nomething happen when you put the 70 Does nomething happen when you put the 71 think Right? 71 MS. CURRY: 72 MS. CURRY: 73 MS. CURRY: 74 MS. CURRY: 75 MS. CURRY: 75 MS. CURRY: 76 MS. THOMPSON: 77 MS. CURRY: 78 MS. THOMPSON: 79 MS. THOMPSON: 70 MS. THOMPSON: 71 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret 75 MS. CURRY: 76 MS. THOMPSON: 77 MS. CURRY: 78 MS. THOMPSON: 79 MS. THOMPSON: 70 MS. THOMPSON: 70 MS. THOMPSON: 71 MS. CURRY: 72 MS. CURRY: 73 MS. THOMPSON: 74 MS. CURRY: 75 MS. CURRY: 76 MS. THOMPSON: 77 MS. CURRY: 78 MS. CURRY: 79 MS. CURRY: 70 MS. CURRY: 70 MS. CURRY: 71 MS. CURRY: 72 MS. THOMPSON: 73 MS. THOMPSON: 74 MS. CURRY: 75 MS. THOMPSON: 75 MS. THOMPSON: 76 MS. THOMPSON: 77 MS. CURR	3	we don't even know where 2780 comes from, so I	3	I'm doing.
6 demonstrate a dose-dependent response? 7 histologic relationship? 8 MS. CURRY: 9 Object to the form. 10 A That's right. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Do those results show a biological 13 effect from talcum powder? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 A So I don't view thatI don't I 17 guess the answer is biologic effects? 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Does something happen when you put the baby powder in the cell culture? 20 Dobject to the form. 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 Q This is not related to whether you 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 Q Does something happen when you put the baby powder in the cell culture? 20 Doject to the form. 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 Q This is not related to whether you 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 Q Do the answers show a dose-dependent response? 30 MS. THOMPSON: 31 MS. THOMPSON: 32 MS. THOMPSON: 33 MS. THOMPSON: 44 Object to the form. 55 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret 56 this because, again, I believe he used a control with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 9 would say no. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q No biologic effects? 12 A No biologic effects? 13 Q On any of the cell lines? 14 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect you know, PCR quantification biologic. 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 17 A Exhibit 1 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 mine. 20 A This one? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 MS. CURRY: 24 Object to the form. 25 MS. CURRY: 26 MS. THOMPSON: 27 MS. THOMPSON: 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 MS. THOMPSON: 20 Q Minch cell line does not? So 4 MS. CURRY: 4 Object to the form. 5 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret 5 MS. THOMPSON: 6 A If you look at the PCR, I don't know 7 and you look at the PCR, I don't know 8 and you look at the PCR, I don't know 9 a	4	don't think they're relevant.	4	A Uh-huh.
7 histologic relationship? 8 MS. CURRY: 9 Object to the form. 10 A That's right. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Do those results show a biological 13 effect from talcum powder? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 A So I don't view thatI don'tI 17 guess the answer is biologic effects? 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Does something happen when you put the 20 baby powder in the cell culture? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 Q This is not related to whether you 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 Q Does something happen when you put the 29 baby powder in the cell culture? 20 A This is not related to whether you 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. CURRY: 26 Q This is not related to whether you 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. CURRY: 29 Object to the form. 20 Q Which cell line does not? So 4 MS. CURRY: 4 Object to the form. 5 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret 5 this because, again, I believe he used a control 6 with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and 8 he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 9 would say no. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q No biologic effects? 12 A No biologic effects? 13 Q On any of the cell lines? 14 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 15 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 17 A Exhibit 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 mine. 20 A This one? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 MS. CURRY: 24 A No. biologic effects. 25 A This one? 26 A This one? 27 A Exhibit 28 A Yeah. It's early just use 19 mine. 29 A This one? 20 A This one? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 21 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 22 MS. CURRY: 23 MS. CURRY: 24 A Well, it does not? So 25 A No. Well, it does not? 26 A This one? 27 A Exhibit 28 A Yeah. See way on the top? 29 A No. Well, it does not you don't 20 A This one? 20 A This one? 21 Q I wondered if you	5	MS. THOMPSON:	5	Q If the results are accurate, do they
8 MS. CURRY: 9 Object to the form. 10 A That's right. 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Do those results show a biological 13 effect from talcum powder? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 A So I don't view that - I don't - I 17 guess the answer is - biologic effects? 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Does something happen when you put the 20 baby powder in the cell culture? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. CURRY: 26 Object to the form. 27 WS. CURRY: 28 A Object to the form. 29 A So it depends on the cell line, I 29 A Tyen. It's really hard to interpret finis because, again, I believe he used a control with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 29 A No biologic effects? 20 A No biologic effects? 21 A No biologic effects? 22 A No biologic effects? 23 Q On any of the cell lines? 24 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect - you know, PCR quantification biologic. 25 A This one? 26 A This one? 27 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling mine. 28 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling these in yourself. But as long as you correct word. 29 MS. CURRY: 20 So are you gonna say no or you don't know if those in yourself. But as long as you correct word. 29 MS. CURRY: 30 Disject to the form. 40 Line accurate or not. 41 Chapter and the such as a control of this because, again, I believe he used a control of this because, again, I believe he used a control of this because, again, I believe he used a control of this because, again, I believe he used a control of this because, again, I believe he used a control of this because, again, I believe he used a control of this because, again, I believe he used a control of this because, again, I believe he used a control of this because, again, I believe he used a control of this because, again, I believe he used a control of this because, again, I believe he used a control of this because, again, I believe he used a control of this because, again, I believe he used a control of this beca	6	Q And that's because of lacking a clear	6	demonstrate a dose-dependent response?
9 exercise 10 A That's right. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Do those results show a biological 13 effect from talcum powder? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 A So I don't view that I don't I 17 guess the answer is biologic effects? 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Does something happen when you put the 19 baby powder in the cell culture? 20 Object to the form. 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 Q This is not related to whether you 27 MS. CURRY: 28 Object to the form. 29 WS. CURRY: 20 Object to the form. 20 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret this because, again, I believe he used a control 28 he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 29 would say no. 20 Q No hologic effects? 21 Q No biologic effects? 22 A No biologic effects? 23 A So it depends on the cell line of this work it was, the dosage, whether the 29 would say no. 30 WS. THOMPSON: 31 Q No biologic effects. 41 Q No biologic effects? 42 A No biologic effects? 43 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 44 You know, PCR quantification biologic. 45 Q Do have your exhibit there? 46 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 47 A Exhibit 48 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 30 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 31 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 32 MS. CURRY: 33 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't know? 34 CURRY: 35 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't know? 36 MS. THOMPSON: 37 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 38 MS. THOMPSON: 39 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't know? 40 College files to the form. 41 A No. Object to the form. 42 A So it depends on the cell line. 43 A If you look at the PCR, I don't know 44 and you look at the PCR, I don't know 45 and you look at cervrything but ELI, I don't know 46 and you look at the PCR, I don't know 47 and you look at the PCR, I don't know 48 MS. THOMPSON: 49 G Do any of the cell lines? 40 Q No sony of the cell lines? 41 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 40 MS. CURRY: 4	7	histologic relationship?	7	MS. CURRY:
10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Do those results show a biological 13 effect from talcum powder? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 A So I don't view that -1 don't -1 17 guess the answer is biologic effects? 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Does something happen when you put the 20 baby powder in the cell culture? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 A So it depends on the cell line, I Page 379 1 agree with how it was, the dosage, whether the 27 results are accurate or not. 28 MS. CURRY: 29 Object to the form. 20 A You're 20 MS. THOMPSON: 21 MS. CURRY: 22 MS. CURRY: 23 MS. CURRY: 24 Object to the form. 25 MS. CURRY: 26 Object to the form. 27 with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and 28 he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 29 would say no. 20 MS. THOMPSON: 21 Q No biologic effects? 22 A No biologic effects? 23 Q No hologic effects? 24 A No biologic effects? 25 Q No any of the cell lines? 26 A No biologic effects? 27 You know, PCR quantification biologic. 28 Q No have your exhibit there? 29 Q Do wow have your exhibit there? 30 Q No, wowl, CR quantification biologic. 31 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 32 my 33 my 34 CURRY: 35 MS. THOMPSON: 36 MS. THOMPSON: 37 Q No any of you call PCR effect 38 MS. CURRY: 49 Woll, it doesn't look like it to me. 40 MS. THOMPSON: 41 Q Oh, sorry. 42 A So are you gonna say no or you don't know? 43 MS. CURRY: 44 Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 45 You know, PCR quantification biologic. 46 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 47 A Exhibit 48 MS. THOMPSON: 49 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 40 MS. THOMPSON: 41 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 41 A No. 42 MS. CURRY: 43 MS. CURRY: 44 MS. THOMPSON: 45 MS. THOMPSON: 46 MS. THOMPSON: 47 A MS. CURRY: 48 MS. THOMPSON: 49 MS. THOMPSON: 40 MS. THOMPSON: 41 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 40 MS. CURRY: 41 A So it depends on the cell lines? 42 MS. CURRY: 43 MS. CURRY: 44 A So it depends on the cell lines? 4	8	MS. CURRY:	8	I object to the entirety of the
11 MS. THOMPSON: 12 Q Do those results show a biological 13 effect from talcum powder? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 A So I don't view that – I don't – I 17 guess the answer is – biologic effects? 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Does something happen when you put the 20 baby powder in the cell culture? 21 MS. THOMPSON: 22 MS. THOMPSON: 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 Q This is not related to whether you 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 Q Do the answers show a dose-dependent response? 20 V Do be answers show a dose-dependent response? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 MS. CURRY: 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. CURRY: 26 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret 27 this because, again, I believe he used a control 28 with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and 38 he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 39 would say no. 30 MS. THOMPSON: 31 Q No biologic effects? 32 A No biologic effects? 33 Q No biologic effects? 34 Q No biologic effects? 35 Q No biologic effects? 36 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret 37 A Will homso. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 39 would say no. 30 MS. THOMPSON: 31 Q No biologic effects? 32 A No biologic effects? 33 Q No biologic effects? 34 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect — 35 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 36 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 37 A Exhibit — 38 MS. THOMPSON: 39 Q No arm of the cell lines? 40 Q Do, wan of the cell lines? 41 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect — 42 MS. CURRY: 43 MS. THOMPSON: 44 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect — 45 You know, PCR quantification biologic. 46 Q Do, wan of the cell lines? 47 A Exhibit — 48 Q Oh, well. We can — we'll just use 49 Q I windered if you wanted to be filling 40 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 41 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect — 42 A So are you gonna say no or you don't know? 43 KS. CURRY: 44 A No. CURRY: 45 CURRY: 46 Correct. Unless you collected. 47 A Well, it doesn't look like it to	9	Object to the form.	9	exercise
12 Q Do those results show a biological 13 effect from talcum powder? 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 A Sol don't view that - I don't I 17 guess the answer is biologic effects? 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Does something happen when you put the 20 baby powder in the cell culture? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 Object to the form. 26 A Yeah. If's really hard to interpret of this because, again, I believe he used a control with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 29 would say no. 20 MS. THOMPSON: 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. CURRY: 34 Q This is not related to whether you 24 A So it depends on the cell line, I 25 Page 379 26 MS. CURRY: 37 MS. THOMPSON: 38 MS. CURRY: 39 Q Which cell line does not? So 40 MS. CURRY: 40 Object to the form. 5 A Yeah. If's really hard to interpret of this because, again, I believe he used a control with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 40 MS. THOMPSON: 41 Q No biologic effects? 42 A No biologic effects? 43 Q On any of the cell lines? 44 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 45 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 40 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 41 A Exhibit 42 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 43 Q On will. We can we'll just use 44 MS. THOMPSON: 45 MS. THOMPSON: 46 Object to the form. 47 A Exhibit 48 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 49 mine. 40 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 40 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't 41 know; 42 MS. CURRY: 43 CURRY: 44 A So it depends on the cell line, I 45 Which cell line does not? So 46 MS. THOMPSON: 47 MS. CURRY: 48 So it depends on the cell line, I 49 World and to interpret of the form. 40 MS. THOMPSON: 41 Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 42 MS. CURRY: 43 Q On any of the cell lines? 44 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 45 You know, PCR quantification biologic. 40 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 41 A Exhibi	10	A That's right.	10	MS. THOMPSON:
13 but I am following you in terms of 14 MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 16 A So I don't view that I don't I 17 guess the answer is biologic effects? 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Does something happen when you put the 20 baby powder in the cell culture? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 22 MS. THOMPSON: 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 Day and the with how it was, the dosage, whether the 27 results are accurate or not. 28 MS. CURRY: 29 Object to the form. 20 WS. CURRY: 21 response? 22 MS. CURRY: 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. CURRY: 26 Day and the seacurate or not. 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. CURRY: 29 MS. THOMPSON: 20 Do be answers show a dose-dependent response? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A So it depends on the cell line, I 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 MS. THOMPSON: 27 MS. THOMPSON: 28 MS. CURRY: 39 Q Which cell line does not? So 40 MS. CURRY: 40 Object to the form. 41 MS. CURRY: 42 Object to the form. 43 MS. CURRY: 44 Object to the form. 54 Yeah. It's really hard to interpret 55 Object to the form. 56 A If you look at the PCR, I don't know 57 and you look at everything but ELI, I don't know 58 and you look at everything but ELI, I don't know 59 and you look at everything but ELI, I don't know 50 MS. THOMPSON: 50 MS. THOMPSON: 51 Q No biologic effects? 51 Q On any of the cell lines? 51 Q Oh, sorry. 52 A Yeah. See way on the top? 53 Q Fithe paper says they were 54 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 59 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 50 Do you have your exhibit there? 51 A Exhibit 52 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 53 MS. CURRY: 54 Object to the form. 55 A Yeah. See way on the top? 56 A CURRY: 57 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 58 MS. CURRY: 59 Object to the form. 59 Object to the form. 50 A This one? 50 A This one? 51 A No. Biologic effects of the form. 51 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 51 A No. CURRY: 52 MS. CURRY: 53 Object to the form.	11	MS. THOMPSON:	11	
14 the accuracy of you putting his answers down on the paper. 15 Object to the form. 16 A So I don't view that I don't I 17 guess the answer is biologic effects? 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Does something happen when you put the baby powder in the cell culture? 20 baby powder in the cell culture? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret of this because, again, I believe he used a control with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 29 Would say no. 20 MS. THOMPSON: 21 This is not related to whether you 22 MS. THOMPSON: 23 MS. CURRY: 34 Object to the form. 45 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret owild DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 29 Would say no. 20 MS. THOMPSON: 21 Q No biologic effects: 21 A Yeah it's really hard to interpre to you know, PCR quantification biologic. 21 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 22 A So it depends on the cell line ones not? So 23 MS. CURRY: 35 Object to the form. 46 MS. THOMPSON: 47 MS. THOMPSON: 48 MS. THOMPSON: 49 MS. THOMPSON: 50 MS. THOMPSON: 51 Object to the form. 51 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret of the form. 52 A Yeah it's really hard to interpret of the form. 53 MS. THOMPSON: 54 Object to the form. 55 Object to the form. 56 This because, again, I believe he used a control of the form. 57 MS. THOMPSON: 58 Object to the form. 59 MS. THOMPSON: 50 Object to the form. 50 MS. THOMPSON: 51 Object to the form. 51 Object to the form. 51 Object to the form. 52 Object to the form. 53 MS. THOMPSON: 54 Object to the form. 55 Object to the form. 56 Object to the form. 57 Object to the form. 58 Object to the form. 59 Object to the form. 60 Object to the form. 61 Object to the form. 62 Object to the form. 63 Object to the form. 64 Object to the form. 65 Object to the form. 66 Object to the form. 67 Object to the form. 68 Object to the form. 69 Object to the form. 60	12	Q Do those results show a biological	12	MS. CURRY:
15 Object to the form. 16 A So I don't view thatI don'tI 17 guess the answer is biologic effects? 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Does something happen when you put the 20 baby powder in the cell culture? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. CURRY: 26 Q This is not related to whether you 27 MS. CURRY: 28 A So it depends on the cell line, I 29 Page 379 20 Which cell line does not? So 20 Which cell line does not? So 21 MS. CURRY: 22 MS. CURRY: 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 A So it depends on the cell line, I 25 MS. CURRY: 26 MS. CURRY: 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 Which cell line does not? So 20 Which cell line does not? So 21 MS. CURRY: 29 Would say no. 20 Which cell line does not? So 21 MS. CURRY: 29 Would say no. 29 would say no. 29 would say no. 20 Which cell line does not? So 21 A No biologic effects. 20 MS. THOMPSON: 21 Q No biologic effects. 21 Q No biologic effects. 22 MS. CURRY: 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 A No biologic effects. 25 MS. CURRY: 26 MS. THOMPSON: 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 Wish chell line does not? So 29 MS. CURRY: 29 Wish could line does not? So 20 MS. CURRY: 30 Q Which cell line does not? So 31 MS. THOMPSON: 40 MS. THOMPSON: 41 Q No biologic effects. 41 Q No biologic effects. 41 Q Oh, sorry. 42 A No biologic effects. 43 Q On any of the cell lines? 44 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 45 You know, PCR quantification biologic. 45 MS. CURRY: 46 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 47 A Exhibit 48 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 49 mine. 40 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 40 Q No wondered if you wanted to be filling 41 A No. 42 MS. CURRY: 43 MS. THOMPSON: 44 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 45 MS. CURRY: 46 WS. THOMPSON: 47 MS. CURRY: 48 CURRY: 49 MS. THOMPSON: 40 Wish cell line does not? So 41 A Well, it down, you can see it. 40 WS. THOMPSON: 41 Q No biologic effects. 41 WS. THOMPSON: 42 MS. CURRY: 43 WS. THOMPSON: 44 MS. THOMPSON: 45 MS. THOMPSON: 46 MS. THOMPSON: 47 MS. CURRY: 48 MS	13	effect from talcum powder?	13	but I am following you in terms of
16 A So I don't view that — I don't — I 17 guess the answer is — biologic effects? 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Does something happen when you put the 20 baby powder in the cell culture? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. CURRY: 26 This is not related to whether you 27 A So it depends on the cell line, I 28 This results are accurate or not. 29 MS. CURRY: 20 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret 20 This because, again, I believe he used a control with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 29 Woologic effects? 20 A No biologic effects. 21 A No biologic effects. 22 MS. CURRY: 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 A So it depends on the cell line, I 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 MS. THOMPSON: 27 MS. THOMPSON: 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 Which cell line does not? So— 40 MS. CURRY: 41 MS. THOMPSON: 42 MS. THOMPSON: 43 MS. CURRY: 44 Object to the form. 45 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret 46 this because, again, I believe he used a control 47 with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 48 MS. THOMPSON: 49 Would say no. 40 MS. THOMPSON: 40 MS. THOMPSON: 41 Q No biologic effects? 41 Q No biologic effects. 41 Q Oh, sorry. 41 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect — 42 MS. CURRY: 43 Q Draw of the cell lines? 44 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect — 45 You know, PCR quantification biologic. 46 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 47 A Exhibit — 48 Q Oh, well. We can — we'll just use 49 mine. 40 MS. THOMPSON: 41 MS. THOMPSON: 41 Q No biologic effects. 42 A Yeah. See way on the top? 43 MS. THOMPSON: 44 MS. THOMPSON: 45 MS. CURRY: 46 MS. THOMPSON: 47 A So it depends on the cell line, I 48 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect — 49 MS. THOMPSON: 40 Which cell line does not? So— 41 MS. CURRY: 41 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect — 40 MS. THOMPSON: 41 G MS. THOMPSON: 42 A Yeah. See way on the top? 43 Q On any of the cell line? 44 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect — 40 MS. THOMPSON: 41 MS. THOMPSON:	14	MS. CURRY:	14	the accuracy of you putting his answers down on
17 guess the answer is — biologic effects? 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Does something happen when you put the 20 baby powder in the cell culture? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 MS. THOMPSON: 27 MS. THOMPSON: 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 MS. CURRY: 20 Object to the form. 20 A This one? 21 response? 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A So it depends on the cell line, I 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 MS. THOMPSON: 27 MS. THOMPSON: 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 MS. THOMPSON: 29 MS. THOMPSON: 20 Q Do the answers show a dose-dependent response? 21 response? 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 A So it depends on the cell line, I 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 MS. THOMPSON: 27 MS. THOMPSON: 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 Wish cell line does not? So — 30 MS. CURRY: 31 Object to the form. 40 MS. CURRY: 41 Object to the form. 42 MS. CURRY: 43 Object to the form. 44 MS. CURRY: 45 Object to the form. 46 A If you look at everything but ELI, I don't know if those are statistically different. If you — 47 if you pull it down, you can see it. 48 No biologic effects? 49 MS. THOMPSON: 40 MS. THOMPSON: 41 Q No biologic effects? 41 Q Oh, sorry. 41 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect — 41 Statistically significant, does that matter? 41 A Exhibit — 42 A So it depends on the cell line, I 43 MS. CURRY: 44 Object to the form. 45 A Yeah. See way on the top? 46 A Feath if a paper says they were 47 A Yeah. See way on the top? 48 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect — 49 MS. CURRY: 40 Object to the form. 40 MS. THOMPSON: 41 A Correct Unless you call PCR effect — 41 Statistically significant, does that matter? 41 A Correct Unless you call PCR effect — 41 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 41 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 42 MS. CURRY: 43 A No. 44 Currect Unless you wanted to be filling wanted in the propertion of you don't wanted in the propert	15	•	15	the paper.
18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q Does something happen when you put the 20 baby powder in the cell culture? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 Q This is not related to whether you 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 A So it depends on the cell line, I 29 Page 379 Page 381 1 agree with how it was, the dosage, whether the 2 results are accurate or not. 3 MS. CURRY: 4 Object to the form. 5 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret 6 this because, again, I believe he used a control 7 with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and 8 he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 9 would say no. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q No biologic effects? 12 A No biologic effects? 13 Q Oh, sorry. 14 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 15 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 17 A Exhibit 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 mine. 20 MS. CURRY: 21 Cobject to the form. 22 MS. THOMPSON: 23 MS. CURRY: 24 A No. 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 MS. THOMPSON: 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 Which cell line does not? So 40 MS. CURRY: 40 MS. CURRY: 41 Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 41 Statistically significant, does that matter? 41 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 42 MS. CURRY: 43 MS. THOMPSON: 44 MS. THOMPSON: 45 MS. THOMPSON: 46 MS. THOMPSON: 47 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 48 MS. THOMPSON: 49 If the paper says they were 40 A This one? 40 MS. CURRY: 41 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 41 MS. THOMPSON: 42 MS. CURRY: 43 MS. CURRY: 44 A So it depends on the cell line, I	16		16	MS. THOMPSON:
19 Q Does something happen when you put the 20 baby powder in the cell culture? 20 Q Do the answers show a dose-dependent response? 21 response? 22 MS. CURRY: 23 MS. THOMPSON: 23 Object to the form. 24 A So it depends on the cell line, I Page 379 Page 381	17	guess the answer is biologic effects?	17	
20 baby powder in the cell culture? 21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 25 Page 379 26 Page 379 Page 381 27 Page 379 Page 381 Page 48 Page 4	18		18	
21 MS. CURRY: 22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you 24 A So it depends on the cell line, I 25 Page 379 26 Page 379 27 Page 381 28 Page 381 29 Page 381 20 Which cell line does not? So	19		19	
22 Object to the form. 23 MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you Page 379 Page 379 Page 381 1 agree with how it was, the dosage, whether the 2 results are accurate or not. 3 MS. CURRY: 4 Object to the form. 5 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret 6 this because, again, I believe he used a control 7 with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and 8 he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 9 would say no. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q No biologic effects? 12 A No biologic effects. 13 Q On any of the cell lines? 14 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 15 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 17 A Exhibit 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 mine. 20 A This one? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form. 24 MS. CURRY: 25 MS. THOMPSON: 26 MS. THOMPSON: 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 MS. CURRY: 30 Q Which cell line does not? So 4 MS. CURRY: 50 Object to the form. 5 A If you look at the PCR, I don't know 6 A If you look at the PCR, I don't know 7 and you look at everything but EL1, I don't know if those are statistically different. If you 9 if you pull it down, you can see it. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q Oh, sorry. 12 A Yeah. See way on the top? 13 Q If the paper says they were 14 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 15 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't know? 20 Know? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 MS. CURRY: 23 MS. CURRY: 24 MS. CURRY: 25 MS. CURRY: 26 MS. CURRY: 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. CURRY: 29 MS. CURRY: 20 MS. CURRY: 20 MS. CURRY: 21 A No. 22 MS. CURRY: 23 MS. CURRY: 24 MS. CURRY: 25 MS. CURRY: 26 MS. CURRY: 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. CURRY: 29 MS. CURRY: 20 MS. CURRY: 20 MS. CURRY: 21 A No. 22 MS. CURRY: 23 MS. CURRY: 24 MS. CURRY: 25 MS. CURRY: 26 MS. CURRY: 27 MS. CURRY: 28 MS. CURRY: 29 MS. CUR	20	* *		•
MS. THOMPSON: 24 Q This is not related to whether you Page 379 Page 379 Page 381 think. Right? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret this because, again, I believe he used a control with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and he's not controllling for it. So, you know, I MS. THOMPSON: NS. THOMPSON: A No biologic effects? A No biologic effects? A No biologic effects. A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect you know, PCR quantification biologic. Q Do you have your exhibit there? A Exhibit Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling think. Right? think. Right? MS. THOMPSON: 1 think. Right? MS. THOMPSON: 1 think. Right? MS. THOMPSON: 1 MS. THOMPSON: A If you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at everything but EL1, I don't know if those are statistically different. If you if you pull it down, you can see it. MS. THOMPSON: 1 Q Oh, sorry. 1 Q Oh, sorry. 1 Q Oh, sorry. 1 A Yeah. See way on the top? 1 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect you know, PCR quantification biologic. 1 MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. CURRY: Object to the form.				
Page 379 Page 379 Page 381 think. Right? string of this because, again, I believe he used a control with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I NS. THOMPSON: NS. THOMPSON: A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret this because, again, I believe he used a control with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I NS. THOMPSON: NS. THOMPSON: A If you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at everything but EL1, I don't know if those are statistically different. If you if you pull it down, you can see it. NS. THOMPSON: DO NO biologic effects? A No biologic effects. A No biologic effects. A No biologic effects. A Yeah. See way on the top? A Yeah. See way on the top? MS. CURRY: NS. CURRY: NS. CURRY: A Yeah. See way on the top? MS. CURRY: NS. CURRY: A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. MS. THOMPSON: A Exhibit B Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use B MS. THOMPSON: A No. B MS. THOMPSON: A No. B MS. THOMPSON: A No. B MS. THOM		•		
Page 379 agree with how it was, the dosage, whether the results are accurate or not. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret this because, again, I believe he used a control he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I would say no. MS. THOMPSON: A No biologic effects? A No biologic effects? A No biologic effects. A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect				
agree with how it was, the dosage, whether the results are accurate or not. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret this because, again, I believe he used a control with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: It Q No biologic effects? A No biologic effects. A No biologic effects. A No biologic effects. A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect you know, PCR quantification biologic. Q Do you have your exhibit there? A Exhibit Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use mine. Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling think. Right? MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. Se way loth top? MS. THOMPSON: DO have your exhibit there? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: MS.	24	Q This is not related to whether you	24	A So it depends on the cell line, I
2 results are accurate or not. 3 MS. CURRY: 4 Object to the form. 5 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret 5 this because, again, I believe he used a control 6 with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and 8 he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 9 would say no. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q No biologic effects? 11 Q Oh, sorry. 12 A No biologic effects. 13 Q On any of the cell lines? 14 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 15 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 17 A Exhibit 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 mine. 20 A This one? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 MS. THOMPSON: 3 Q Which cell line does not? So 4 MS. CURRY: 5 Object to the form. 4 MS. CURRY: 6 A If you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at everything but EL1, I don't know if you pull it down, you can see it. 7 A Yeah. See way on the top? 8 if those are statistically different. If you 9 if you pull it down, you can see it. 8 If you pull it down, you can see it. 9 A Yeah. See way on the top? 11 Q Oh, sorry. 12 A Yeah. See way on the top? 13 Q If the paper says they were 14 statistically significant, does that matter? 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't know 20 know? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 MS. CURRY: 24 Object to the form.		Page 379		Page 381
MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret this because, again, I believe he used a control with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I would say no. NS. THOMPSON: A No biologic effects? A No biologic effects. A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect you know, PCR quantification biologic. A Exhibit A Exhibit Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling The series of the series and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at everything but EL1, I don't know if those are statistically different. If you if you pull it down, you can see it. A Yeah. See way on the top? In Q Oh, sorry. A Yeah. See way on the top? In Q Oh, sorry. A Yeah. See way on the top? A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. MS. THOMPSON: A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. MS. THOMPSON: A Well object to the form. A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. MS. THOMPSON: A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. MS. THOMPSON: A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. MS. THOMPSON: MS. THOMPSON: A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. MS. THOMPSON: MS. CURRY: A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. MS. CURRY: A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	1	agree with how it was, the dosage, whether the	1	think. Right?
4 Object to the form. 5 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret 6 this because, again, I believe he used a control 7 with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and 8 he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 9 would say no. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q No biologic effects? 12 A No biologic effects. 13 Q On any of the cell lines? 14 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 15 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 17 A Exhibit 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 mine. 20 I wondered if you wanted to be filling 21 A No. 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 my 4 MS. CURRY: 5 Object to the form. 6 A If you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know if these are statistically different. If you if you pull it down, you can see it. A If you look at the PCR, I don't know if these are statistically different. If you if you pull it down, you can see it. A Yeah. See way on the top? A Yeah. See way on the top? A Yeah. See way on the top? A Yeah. See way on the	2	results are accurate or not.	2	MS. THOMPSON:
5 A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret 6 this because, again, I believe he used a control 7 with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and 8 he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 9 would say no. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q No biologic effects? 12 A No biologic effects. 13 Q On any of the cell lines? 14 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 15 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 17 A Exhibit 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 mine. 20 I wondered if you wanted to be filling 21 A No. 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 my 2 With DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and fill you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know if those in the PCR, I don't know if those in the PCR, I don't know if the pare statistically different. If you and you look at the PCR, I don't know if those in the point know and you look at the PCR, I don't know if those if I don't know if those are statistically different. If you and you look at the PCR, I don't know if these in you on the top? A Yeah. See way on the top? A Yeah. See way on the top? B Yeah. See way on the top? A Yeah.	3	MS. CURRY:	3	Q Which cell line does not? So
this because, again, I believe he used a control with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I would say no. MS. THOMPSON: Q No biologic effects? A No biologic effects. Q On any of the cell lines? A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect you know, PCR quantification biologic. Q Do you have your exhibit there? A Exhibit Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use mine. Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling this because, again, I believe he used a control A If you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the PCR, I don't know and you look at the pCR, I don't know and you look at the pack statistically different. If you if you pull it down, you can see it. MS. THOMPSON: 12 A Yeah. See way on the top? A Yeah. See way on the top? A Yeah. See way on the top? MS. CURRY: 13 Q If the paper says they were 14 statistically significant, does that matter? MS. CURRY: 15 Object to the form. 17 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't A No. 20 know? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 21 A No. MS. CURRY: 22 MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	4	Object to the form.	4	MS. CURRY:
with DMSO. DMSO has fairly dramatic effects and he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I 8 if those are statistically different. If you 9 would say no. 9 if you pull it down, you can see it. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q Oh, sorry. 11 Q No biologic effects? 11 Q Oh, sorry. 12 A No biologic effects. 12 A Yeah. See way on the top? 13 Q If the paper says they were 14 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 14 statistically significant, does that matter? 15 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 16 Object to the form. 17 A Exhibit 17 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't 20 A This one? 20 know? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 21 A No. 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form.	5	A Yeah. It's really hard to interpret	5	•
he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I would say no. MS. THOMPSON: Q No biologic effects? A No biologic effects. Q On any of the cell lines? A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect you know, PCR quantification biologic. Q Do you have your exhibit there? A Exhibit Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use mine. Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 MS. CURRY: 24 MS. CURRY: 25 MS. CURRY: 26 MS. THOMPSON: 17 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. MS. THOMPSON: 27 A No. 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 MS. CURRY: 20 MS. CURRY: 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 21 A No. 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form.	6		6	A If you look at the PCR, I don't know
9 would say no. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q No biologic effects? 11 Q Oh, sorry. 12 A No biologic effects. 13 Q On any of the cell lines? 14 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 15 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 17 A Exhibit 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't 20 A This one? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 my 20 If you pull it down, you can see it. 10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q Oh, sorry. 12 A Yeah. See way on the top? 13 Q If the paper says they were 14 statistically significant, does that matter? 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't 20 know? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 21 A No. 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form.	7		7	
10 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q No biologic effects? 12 A Yeah. See way on the top? 13 Q On any of the cell lines? 14 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 15 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 17 A Exhibit 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 mine. 20 A This one? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 20 MS. THOMPSON: 11 Q Oh, sorry. 12 A Yeah. See way on the top? 13 Q If the paper says they were 14 statistically significant, does that matter? 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't 20 know? 21 A No. 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form.	8	he's not controlling for it. So, you know, I		
11 Q No biologic effects? 12 A No biologic effects. 13 Q On any of the cell lines? 14 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 15 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 17 A Exhibit 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 mine. 10 A This one? 11 Q Oh, sorry. 12 A Yeah. See way on the top? 13 Q If the paper says they were 14 statistically significant, does that matter? 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 18 D Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Mine. 19 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't 20 A This one? 21 D I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 MS. CURRY: 24 Object to the form.	9		1	· ·
12 A No biologic effects. 13 Q On any of the cell lines? 14 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 15 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 17 A Exhibit 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 mine. 10 A This one? 11 A No. 12 A Yeah. See way on the top? 13 Q If the paper says they were 14 statistically significant, does that matter? 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't 20 know? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 MS. CURRY: 24 Object to the form.	10		10	
13 Q On any of the cell lines? 14 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 15 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 17 A Exhibit 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 mine. 10 Q If the paper says they were 11 statistically significant, does that matter? 12 MS. CURRY: 13 D If the paper says they were 14 statistically significant, does that matter? 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Object to the form. 17 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't 20 know? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 MS. CURRY: 24 Object to the form.			1	•
14 A Correct. Unless you call PCR effect 15 you know, PCR quantification biologic. 16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 17 A Exhibit 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 mine. 19 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't 20 A This one? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 my 24 Statistically significant, does that matter? 25 MS. CURRY: 26 Object to the form. 27 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 So are you gonna say no or you don't 20 know? 21 A No. 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form.		e	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
you know, PCR quantification biologic. 15 MS. CURRY: 16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 17 A Exhibit 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 mine. 19 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't 20 A This one? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 my 25 MS. CURRY: 26 Object to the form. 27 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 Know? 20 Know? 21 A No. 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form.				
16 Q Do you have your exhibit there? 17 A Exhibit 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 mine. 19 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 20 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 21 D I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 my 16 Object to the form. 17 A Well, it doesn't look like it to me. 18 MS. THOMPSON: 19 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't 20 know? 21 A No. 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form.		•		· ·
17 A Exhibit 18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 mine. 19 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't 20 A This one? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 my 21 Object to the form.		•		
18 Q Oh, well. We can we'll just use 19 mine. 19 Q So are you gonna say no or you don't 20 A This one? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 my 28 MS. THOMPSON: 29 know? 21 A No. 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
19 mine. 20 A This one? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 my 29 So are you gonna say no or you don't 20 know? 21 A No. 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form.				
20 A This one? 21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 my 20 know? 21 A No. 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form.		•		
21 Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling 22 these in yourself. But as long as you correct 23 my 21 A No. 22 MS. CURRY: 23 Object to the form.			1	
these in yourself. But as long as you correct 22 MS. CURRY: 23 my 23 Object to the form.				
23 my 23 Object to the form.	21	Q I wondered if you wanted to be filling	21	A No.
24 A You go. 24 MS. THOMPSON:			1	
	23	my	23	Object to the form.

96 (Pages 378 to 381)

	Page 382		Page 384
1	Q On all cell lines?	1	Q Well, you had the raw data to review,
2	A No. For EL1. Normal ovary.	2	didn't you?
3	So, actually, for for what is	3	MS. CURRY:
4	that? That's B, SKOV3. So for SKOV3, it looks	4	Object to the form.
5	like nothing. It's from the MRNA level, it's	5	MS. THOMPSON:
6	all suppressed. It's all very low. I don't	6	Q It's on your materials considered list.
7	see I don't see if there's a P-value there,	7	A Well, his notebooks were very difficult
8	what is it between? The control and the 5? The	8	to interpret.
9	control and the 20? The 20 and the 100? I don't	9	Q All the raw data was in his notebooks.
10	know.	10	If it if you are saying these results were not
11	The ELISA looks like this is for	11	accurate, could you have looked it up in the lab
12	SKOV3; right? The ELISA looks like there's no	12	notebooks?
13	effect until you get to 20 or 100.	13	MS. CURRY:
14	Q And you're eyeballing the statistical	14	Object to the form.
15	significance of these charts?	15	A Yeah, I don't know. I'd have to go
16	A Well, that's why they	16	back and look at it. There were
17	MS. CURRY:	17	MS. THOMPSON:
18	Object to the form.	18	Q Did you do that?
19	A That's why they put arrow bars in	19	MS. CURRY:
20	there.	20	Object to the form.
21	MS. THOMPSON:	21	A I looked at his notebooks. They were
22	Q So reading Dr. Saed's results in the	22	extremely hard to follow.
23	manuscript	23	MS. THOMPSON:
24	A Uh-huh.	24	Q Did you ask someone
	Page 383		Page 385
1	Page 383 Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure	1	Page 385 MS. CURRY:
1 2	_	1 2	
	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure		MS. CURRY:
2	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly	2	MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells	2 3	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls."	2 3 4	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's
2 3 4 5	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's	2 3 4 5	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in
2 3 4 5 6	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's analysis?	2 3 4 5 6	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in the lab notebooks?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's analysis? A I disagree with that statement.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in the lab notebooks? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's analysis? A I disagree with that statement. Q So you're going to say, regardless of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in the lab notebooks? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's analysis? A I disagree with that statement. Q So you're going to say, regardless of Dr. Saed's peer-reviewed conclusion, your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in the lab notebooks? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I again, his notebooks were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's analysis? A I disagree with that statement. Q So you're going to say, regardless of Dr. Saed's peer-reviewed conclusion, your opinion, these do not show a dose-dependent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in the lab notebooks? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I again, his notebooks were very poorly organized. There were things that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's analysis? A I disagree with that statement. Q So you're going to say, regardless of Dr. Saed's peer-reviewed conclusion, your opinion, these do not show a dose-dependent response	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in the lab notebooks? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I again, his notebooks were very poorly organized. There were things that were whited out. So it was hard to follow. MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's analysis? A I disagree with that statement. Q So you're going to say, regardless of Dr. Saed's peer-reviewed conclusion, your opinion, these do not show a dose-dependent response MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in the lab notebooks? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I again, his notebooks were very poorly organized. There were things that were whited out. So it was hard to follow. MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's analysis? A I disagree with that statement. Q So you're going to say, regardless of Dr. Saed's peer-reviewed conclusion, your opinion, these do not show a dose-dependent response MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in the lab notebooks? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I again, his notebooks were very poorly organized. There were things that were whited out. So it was hard to follow. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. What was whited out? Seriously.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's analysis? A I disagree with that statement. Q So you're going to say, regardless of Dr. Saed's peer-reviewed conclusion, your opinion, these do not show a dose-dependent response MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in the lab notebooks? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I again, his notebooks were very poorly organized. There were things that were whited out. So it was hard to follow. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. What was whited out? Seriously. Was there any data whited out?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's analysis? A I disagree with that statement. Q So you're going to say, regardless of Dr. Saed's peer-reviewed conclusion, your opinion, these do not show a dose-dependent response MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q based on your eyeballing of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in the lab notebooks? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I again, his notebooks were very poorly organized. There were things that were whited out. So it was hard to follow. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. What was whited out? Seriously. Was there any data whited out? MS. CURRY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's analysis? A I disagree with that statement. Q So you're going to say, regardless of Dr. Saed's peer-reviewed conclusion, your opinion, these do not show a dose-dependent response MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q based on your eyeballing of the chart?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in the lab notebooks? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I again, his notebooks were very poorly organized. There were things that were whited out. So it was hard to follow. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. What was whited out? Seriously. Was there any data whited out? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's analysis? A I disagree with that statement. Q So you're going to say, regardless of Dr. Saed's peer-reviewed conclusion, your opinion, these do not show a dose-dependent response MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q based on your eyeballing of the chart? MS. CURRY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in the lab notebooks? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I again, his notebooks were very poorly organized. There were things that were whited out. So it was hard to follow. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. What was whited out? Seriously. Was there any data whited out? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's analysis? A I disagree with that statement. Q So you're going to say, regardless of Dr. Saed's peer-reviewed conclusion, your opinion, these do not show a dose-dependent response MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q based on your eyeballing of the chart? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. That's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in the lab notebooks? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I again, his notebooks were very poorly organized. There were things that were whited out. So it was hard to follow. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. What was whited out? Seriously. Was there any data whited out? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q You're making
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's analysis? A I disagree with that statement. Q So you're going to say, regardless of Dr. Saed's peer-reviewed conclusion, your opinion, these do not show a dose-dependent response MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q based on your eyeballing of the chart? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. That's A Well, that I disagree with that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in the lab notebooks? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I again, his notebooks were very poorly organized. There were things that were whited out. So it was hard to follow. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. What was whited out? Seriously. Was there any data whited out? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q You're making A Well, do you have them here?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's analysis? A I disagree with that statement. Q So you're going to say, regardless of Dr. Saed's peer-reviewed conclusion, your opinion, these do not show a dose-dependent response MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q based on your eyeballing of the chart? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. That's A Well, that I disagree with that statement. That implies that these are all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in the lab notebooks? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I again, his notebooks were very poorly organized. There were things that were whited out. So it was hard to follow. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. What was whited out? Seriously. Was there any data whited out? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q You're making A Well, do you have them here? MS. THOMPSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q the CAT and SKOV this is Figure 1 "MRNA and protein levels were significantly in a dose-dependent manner in talc-treated cells compared to controls." Do you disagree with Dr. Saed's analysis? A I disagree with that statement. Q So you're going to say, regardless of Dr. Saed's peer-reviewed conclusion, your opinion, these do not show a dose-dependent response MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q based on your eyeballing of the chart? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. That's A Well, that I disagree with that statement. That implies that these are all statistically significant, and I can't imagine	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q to get information? Because what's your evidence that the data wasn't included in the lab notebooks? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I again, his notebooks were very poorly organized. There were things that were whited out. So it was hard to follow. MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay. What was whited out? Seriously. Was there any data whited out? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON: Q You're making A Well, do you have them here? MS. THOMPSON: Q I do.

97 (Pages 382 to 385)

	Page 386		Page 388
1	I need the lab notebooks. Let's just	1	publications using the same methodology and the
2	answer this, and I think we're going to move on	2	same assays?
3	to something else.	3	MS. CURRY:
4	Q In your opinion, are the results	4	Object to the form.
5	dose-deponent?	5	A I didn't I didn't go through all of
6	MS. CURRY:	6	his papers, no.
7	Object to the form.	7	MS. THOMPSON:
8	A So I I guess the way to handle that	8	Q Did you go through any of his previous
9	would be for there looks like there's a dose	9	papers?
10	dependency for some of the cell lines in certain	10	MS. CURRY:
11	conditions but not all of them. Is that fair to	11	Object to the form.
12	say?	12	A I can't recall going through papers
13	MS. THOMPSON:	13	that used this technology.
14	Q Well, so you don't believe	14	MS. THOMPSON:
15	Dr. Saed's	15	Q But this technology has been
16	A Conclusions.	16	peer-reviewed and published
17	Q conclusions?	17	MS. CURRY:
18	A I don't agree with that one statement.	18	Object to the form.
19	His statement is that basically all of the time	19	A Yes.
20	points demonstrated a dose-dependant effect of	20	MS. THOMPSON:
21	talc. If that's true you can't see it here.	21	Q previously?
22	You see it in some.	22	And you're aware that Dr. Saed has
23	Q Did did any of the peer-reviewers	23	presented four abstracts based on this research;
24	raise a question about that statement?	24	correct?
	Page 387		Page 389
1	A No.	1	A I believe so.
2	Q And, in fact, the peer-reviewers said	2	Q And abstracts are generally reviewed
3	his conclusions reflected the results; correct?	3	prior to acceptance at a national meeting;
4	MS. CURRY:	4	correct?
5	Object to the form.	5	MS. CURRY:
6	MS. THOMPSON:	6	Object to the form.
7	Q The peer-reviewer that commented on it?	7	A Usually there's a program committee
8	A The one reviewer.	8	that will review them.
9	Q The only one that commented on it?	9	MS. THOMPSON:
10	A Yeah.	10	Q And would you agree that, generally,
11	Q So are these question marks or which	11	four to six reviewers look at abstracts when
12	which cell lines do you think are statistically	12	making the decision which to accept for a
13	significant?	13	meeting?
14	A Yeah. I think that's I think that's	14	MS. CURRY:
4 -	probably reasonable, question marks.	15	Object to the form.
15		16	A It depends on the organization. But
15 16	Q Question marks on everything?	1	
16 17	A Yeah.	17	there usually is it's certainly more than one
16 17 18	A Yeah. Q And there's plenty of discussion for us	17 18	person.
16 17 18 19	A Yeah. Q And there's plenty of discussion for us to go back and figure out the reasoning for that.	17 18 19	person. MS. THOMPSON:
16 17 18 19 20	A Yeah. Q And there's plenty of discussion for us to go back and figure out the reasoning for that. We may come back to the chart, but	17 18 19 20	person. MS. THOMPSON: Q If if I told you Society For
16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yeah. Q And there's plenty of discussion for us to go back and figure out the reasoning for that. We may come back to the chart, but there's some other things I want to cover, so	17 18 19 20 21	person. MS. THOMPSON: Q If if I told you Society For Reproductive Investigation typically has four to
16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yeah. Q And there's plenty of discussion for us to go back and figure out the reasoning for that. We may come back to the chart, but there's some other things I want to cover, so we'll we'll leave that with you disagreeing	17 18 19 20 21 22	person. MS. THOMPSON: Q If if I told you Society For Reproductive Investigation typically has four to six reviewers and SGO has four to five reviewers
16 17 18 19 20 21	A Yeah. Q And there's plenty of discussion for us to go back and figure out the reasoning for that. We may come back to the chart, but there's some other things I want to cover, so	17 18 19 20 21	person. MS. THOMPSON: Q If if I told you Society For Reproductive Investigation typically has four to

98 (Pages 386 to 389)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 100 of 165 PageID: 82887 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 390		Page 392
1	Object to the form.	1	You would agree with me that there have
2	A You know, I think for the first	2	been at least 20 to 30 eyes on this research;
3	society, the former one, I'm not familiar with	3	correct?
4	them, but it sounds reasonable.	4	MS. CURRY:
5	SGO, I've been on the program	5	Object to the form.
6	committee. Sometimes it's a little less than	6	MS. THOMPSON:
7	that depending on how many abstracts you get.	7	Q In various levels of review.
8	MS. THOMPSON:	8	MS. CURRY:
9	Q At least for this year, there were four	9	Object to the form.
10	to five reviewers, and the abstracts were scored	10	A 20 to 30 sounds a little excessive but
11	numerically.	11	probably
12	Are you familiar with that system?	12	MS. THOMPSON:
13	MS. CURRY:	13	Q Well, four abstracts, four to five
14	Object to the form.	14	reviewers each
15	A I am.	15	A Oh, you're saying all of it?
16	MS. THOMPSON:	16	Q Yeah. Combined.
17	Q And the and the top scoring	17	MS. CURRY:
18	abstracts were accepted for presentation?	18	Objection.
19	A Usually they'll put a cutoff on it,	19	MS. THOMPSON:
20	yeah.	20	Q Would you agree that there have been a
21	Q And in the two criteria that SGO	21	least 25 eyes on this research?
22	reviewers looked at were, one, scientific	22	A Uh-huh. Some could have overlapped.
23	validity; and two, clinical relevance.	23	MS. GARBER:
24	Does that sound right?	24	Or 50 eyes, since there's two.
1	Page 391		Page 393
1	MS. CURRY:	1	MS. THOMPSON:
2	Object to the form.	2	Q Fifty eyes.
3	A That, I don't know.	3	Are you aware of any other reviewers
4	MS. THOMPSON:	4	that raised the serious concerns that you seem to
5	Q And and you'll agree that the	5	have with Dr. Saed's paper
6	mutation, the SNP data, was presented as a poster	6	MS. CURRY:
7	at this year's SGO meeting; correct?	7	Object to the form.
8	MS. CURRY:	8	MS. THOMPSON:
9	Object to the form.	9	Q and and research?
10	A I didn't I didn't go to that poster,	10	A I don't know any of the reviewers for
11	so I don't know what was on it. If it was a	11	the abstracts or the SGO. That's all kept
12	if it was similar to the paper, I would assume	12	confidential. So none of them have I haven't
1 つ	SO.	13 14	any firsthand knowledge that they said to me. But the review process hasn't raised hasn't
13	MC THOMPCON.	1 14	Dut the review process hasn't raised hasn't
14	MS. THOMPSON:		
14 15	Q Okay. So if you have the manuscript	15	necessarily raised the issues that I've raised.
14 15 16	Q Okay. So if you have the manuscript that was reviewed by at least two reviewers and	15 16	necessarily raised the issues that I've raised. Q Okay.
14 15 16 17	Q Okay. So if you have the manuscript that was reviewed by at least two reviewers and the editors of Gynecologic Oncology, you have the	15 16 17	necessarily raised the issues that I've raised. Q Okay. A But that doesn't change my opinion.
14 15 16 17	Q Okay. So if you have the manuscript that was reviewed by at least two reviewers and the editors of Gynecologic Oncology, you have the manuscript that was reviewed by at least one	15 16 17 18	necessarily raised the issues that I've raised. Q Okay. A But that doesn't change my opinion. Q I didn't ask you, actually. If it did,
14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Okay. So if you have the manuscript that was reviewed by at least two reviewers and the editors of Gynecologic Oncology, you have the manuscript that was reviewed by at least one editor one reviewer and editor for	15 16 17 18 19	necessarily raised the issues that I've raised. Q Okay. A But that doesn't change my opinion. Q I didn't ask you, actually. If it did, I didn't expect it to.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. So if you have the manuscript that was reviewed by at least two reviewers and the editors of Gynecologic Oncology, you have the manuscript that was reviewed by at least one editor one reviewer and editor for Reproductive Sciences. You have abstracts that	15 16 17 18 19 20	necessarily raised the issues that I've raised. Q Okay. A But that doesn't change my opinion. Q I didn't ask you, actually. If it did, I didn't expect it to. I want to go through oh.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. So if you have the manuscript that was reviewed by at least two reviewers and the editors of Gynecologic Oncology, you have the manuscript that was reviewed by at least one editor one reviewer and editor for Reproductive Sciences. You have abstracts that are each reviewed by four to five reviewers. He	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	necessarily raised the issues that I've raised. Q Okay. A But that doesn't change my opinion. Q I didn't ask you, actually. If it did, I didn't expect it to. I want to go through oh. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 32 WAS
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. So if you have the manuscript that was reviewed by at least two reviewers and the editors of Gynecologic Oncology, you have the manuscript that was reviewed by at least one editor one reviewer and editor for Reproductive Sciences. You have abstracts that are each reviewed by four to five reviewers. He also has a book chapter that was reviewed,	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	necessarily raised the issues that I've raised. Q Okay. A But that doesn't change my opinion. Q I didn't ask you, actually. If it did, I didn't expect it to. I want to go through oh. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 32 WAS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. So if you have the manuscript that was reviewed by at least two reviewers and the editors of Gynecologic Oncology, you have the manuscript that was reviewed by at least one editor one reviewer and editor for Reproductive Sciences. You have abstracts that are each reviewed by four to five reviewers. He	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	necessarily raised the issues that I've raised. Q Okay. A But that doesn't change my opinion. Q I didn't ask you, actually. If it did, I didn't expect it to. I want to go through oh. (DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 32 WAS

99 (Pages 390 to 393)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 101 of 165 PageID: 82888 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 394		Page 396
1	Dr. Saed's review article published in	1	MS. THOMPSON:
2	Gynecologic Oncology in 2017?	2	Q Yes.
3	A I think I saw this. Is this on	3	A It's not the same phrase. Essential
4	oxidative stress?	4	role actually, the essential role here is
5	Q Yes.	5	pretty narrow. But it but, you know, I
6	A Yeah. Yeah.	6	wouldn't quibble about that. It's in the same
7	Q And and do you know if this review	7	range.
8	article was invited or submitted and	8	Q It's a similar concept that's that
9	peer-reviewed in the process?	9	was published in the review article; correct?
10	A I don't know.	10	A Uh-huh.
11	Q But, as you've testified before, and	11	MS. CURRY:
12	typically authors of review articles in reputable	12	Object to the form.
13	journals are felt to be experts in the field;	13	MS. THOMPSON:
14	correct?	14	Q Reading the abstract "Clinical and
15	MS. CURRY:	15	epidemiological investigations have provided
16	Object to the form.	16	evidence supporting the role of reactive oxygen
17	A They generally are.	17	species, ROS, and reactive nitrogen species, RNS,
18	MS. THOMPSON:	18	collectively known as oxidative stress in the
19	Q And	19	etiology of cancer."
20	MS. CURRY:	20	Would you agree with that statement?
21	Did you mark this as an exhibit?	21	MS. CURRY:
22	MS. EVERETT:	22	Object to the form.
23	It's Exhibit 32.	23	A Yep.
24	MS. THOMPSON:	24	MS. THOMPSON:
	Page 395		Page 397
			rage 377
1	32.	1	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic
1 2	32. MS. CURRY:	1 2	
			Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic
2	MS. CURRY:	2	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major
2	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you.	2 3	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress."
2 3 4	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON:	2 3 4	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement?
2 3 4 5	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on	2 3 4 5	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would just refine it to say
2 3 4 5 6	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on well, first on the highlights this review	2 3 4 5 6	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on well, first on the highlights this review article updates the role of oxidative stress and	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would just refine it to say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on well, first on the highlights this review article updates the role of oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would just refine it to say they were sources. I don't know if they're the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on well, first on the highlights this review article updates the role of oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. The first highlight is "Oxidative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would just refine it to say they were sources. I don't know if they're the major sources. In certain conditions there may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on well, first on the highlights this review article updates the role of oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. The first highlight is "Oxidative Stress Plays an Essential Role in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would just refine it to say they were sources. I don't know if they're the major sources. In certain conditions there may be other sources. So it's a little bit of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on well, first on the highlights this review article updates the role of oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. The first highlight is "Oxidative Stress Plays an Essential Role in the Pathogenesis of Ovarian Cancer." A Where are you? I'm sorry. Q The highlights at the top.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would just refine it to say they were sources. I don't know if they're the major sources. In certain conditions there may be other sources. So it's a little bit of a generality. MS. THOMPSON: Q "Specifically oxidative stress plays an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on well, first on the highlights this review article updates the role of oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. The first highlight is "Oxidative Stress Plays an Essential Role in the Pathogenesis of Ovarian Cancer." A Where are you? I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would just refine it to say they were sources. I don't know if they're the major sources. In certain conditions there may be other sources. So it's a little bit of a generality. MS. THOMPSON: Q "Specifically oxidative stress plays an important role in the pathogenesis,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on well, first on the highlights this review article updates the role of oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. The first highlight is "Oxidative Stress Plays an Essential Role in the Pathogenesis of Ovarian Cancer." A Where are you? I'm sorry. Q The highlights at the top.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would just refine it to say they were sources. I don't know if they're the major sources. In certain conditions there may be other sources. So it's a little bit of a generality. MS. THOMPSON: Q "Specifically oxidative stress plays an important role in the pathogenesis, neoangiogenesis and dissemination of local or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on well, first on the highlights this review article updates the role of oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. The first highlight is "Oxidative Stress Plays an Essential Role in the Pathogenesis of Ovarian Cancer." A Where are you? I'm sorry. Q The highlights at the top. A Oh. The bullet points?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would just refine it to say they were sources. I don't know if they're the major sources. In certain conditions there may be other sources. So it's a little bit of a generality. MS. THOMPSON: Q "Specifically oxidative stress plays an important role in the pathogenesis,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on well, first on the highlights this review article updates the role of oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. The first highlight is "Oxidative Stress Plays an Essential Role in the Pathogenesis of Ovarian Cancer." A Where are you? I'm sorry. Q The highlights at the top. A Oh. The bullet points? Q Bullet point, highlights. A Okay. Q And you'll agree that that statement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would just refine it to say they were sources. I don't know if they're the major sources. In certain conditions there may be other sources. So it's a little bit of a generality. MS. THOMPSON: Q "Specifically oxidative stress plays an important role in the pathogenesis, neoangiogenesis and dissemination of local or distant ovarian cancer, as it is known to induce phenotypic modifications of tumor cells by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on well, first on the highlights this review article updates the role of oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. The first highlight is "Oxidative Stress Plays an Essential Role in the Pathogenesis of Ovarian Cancer." A Where are you? I'm sorry. Q The highlights at the top. A Oh. The bullet points? Q Bullet point, highlights. A Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would just refine it to say they were sources. I don't know if they're the major sources. In certain conditions there may be other sources. So it's a little bit of a generality. MS. THOMPSON: Q "Specifically oxidative stress plays an important role in the pathogenesis, neoangiogenesis and dissemination of local or distant ovarian cancer, as it is known to induce phenotypic modifications of tumor cells by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on well, first on the highlights this review article updates the role of oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. The first highlight is "Oxidative Stress Plays an Essential Role in the Pathogenesis of Ovarian Cancer." A Where are you? I'm sorry. Q The highlights at the top. A Oh. The bullet points? Q Bullet point, highlights. A Okay. Q And you'll agree that that statement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would just refine it to say they were sources. I don't know if they're the major sources. In certain conditions there may be other sources. So it's a little bit of a generality. MS. THOMPSON: Q "Specifically oxidative stress plays an important role in the pathogenesis, neoangiogenesis and dissemination of local or distant ovarian cancer, as it is known to induce phenotypic modifications of tumor cells by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on well, first on the highlights this review article updates the role of oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. The first highlight is "Oxidative Stress Plays an Essential Role in the Pathogenesis of Ovarian Cancer." A Where are you? I'm sorry. Q The highlights at the top. A Oh. The bullet points? Q Bullet point, highlights. A Okay. Q And you'll agree that that statement is essentially the same as the one in the talcum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would just refine it to say they were sources. I don't know if they're the major sources. In certain conditions there may be other sources. So it's a little bit of a generality. MS. THOMPSON: Q "Specifically oxidative stress plays an important role in the pathogenesis, neoangiogenesis and dissemination of local or distant ovarian cancer, as it is known to induce phenotypic modifications of tumor cells by crosstalk between tumor cells and the surrounding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on well, first on the highlights this review article updates the role of oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. The first highlight is "Oxidative Stress Plays an Essential Role in the Pathogenesis of Ovarian Cancer." A Where are you? I'm sorry. Q The highlights at the top. A Oh. The bullet points? Q Bullet point, highlights. A Okay. Q And you'll agree that that statement is essentially the same as the one in the talcum powder article that was asked to be removed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would just refine it to say they were sources. I don't know if they're the major sources. In certain conditions there may be other sources. So it's a little bit of a generality. MS. THOMPSON: Q "Specifically oxidative stress plays an important role in the pathogenesis, neoangiogenesis and dissemination of local or distant ovarian cancer, as it is known to induce phenotypic modifications of tumor cells by crosstalk between tumor cells and the surrounding stroma."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on well, first on the highlights this review article updates the role of oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. The first highlight is "Oxidative Stress Plays an Essential Role in the Pathogenesis of Ovarian Cancer." A Where are you? I'm sorry. Q The highlights at the top. A Oh. The bullet points? Q Bullet point, highlights. A Okay. Q And you'll agree that that statement is essentially the same as the one in the talcum powder article that was asked to be removed because of the data not supporting that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would just refine it to say they were sources. I don't know if they're the major sources. In certain conditions there may be other sources. So it's a little bit of a generality. MS. THOMPSON: Q "Specifically oxidative stress plays an important role in the pathogenesis, neoangiogenesis and dissemination of local or distant ovarian cancer, as it is known to induce phenotypic modifications of tumor cells by crosstalk between tumor cells and the surrounding stroma." Do you agree with that statement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. CURRY: Okay. Thank you. MS. THOMPSON: Q And just looking at the abstract on well, first on the highlights this review article updates the role of oxidative stress and the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer. The first highlight is "Oxidative Stress Plays an Essential Role in the Pathogenesis of Ovarian Cancer." A Where are you? I'm sorry. Q The highlights at the top. A Oh. The bullet points? Q Bullet point, highlights. A Okay. Q And you'll agree that that statement is essentially the same as the one in the talcum powder article that was asked to be removed because of the data not supporting that statement; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q "Exogenous factors such as chronic inflammation, infection and hypoxia are major sources of cellular oxidative stress." Would you agree with that statement? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, I would just refine it to say they were sources. I don't know if they're the major sources. In certain conditions there may be other sources. So it's a little bit of a generality. MS. THOMPSON: Q "Specifically oxidative stress plays an important role in the pathogenesis, neoangiogenesis and dissemination of local or distant ovarian cancer, as it is known to induce phenotypic modifications of tumor cells by crosstalk between tumor cells and the surrounding stroma." Do you agree with that statement? A Well, that's a mouthful. There's a lot

100 (Pages 394 to 397)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 102 of 165 PageID: 82889 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 398		Page 400
1	process. So	1	MS. THOMPSON:
2	Q But certainly the reviewers and the	2	Q But the but the markers are the
3	editors of the journal, when they published the	3	same, essentially?
4	review article	4	MS. CURRY:
5	A Uh-huh.	5	Object to the form.
6	Q thought that was accurate	6	A The markers are the same.
7	information; correct?	7	MS. THOMPSON:
8	A They did.	8	Q And they're published in this review
9	MS. CURRY:	9	article, correct, in Gynecologic Oncology?
10	Object to the form.	10	A They're reported here and published.
11	A Yeah.	11	Q And you'll agree there have been some
12	MS. THOMPSON:	12	other molecular studies relating to talcum powder
13	Q Going to Table 1 on page 598, that's a	13	and cell culture; correct?
14	"Summary of the Oxidant and Antioxidant	14	MS. CURRY:
15	Expression and Sensitive and Chemoresistant	15	Object to the form.
16	Ovarian Cancer." You'll agree that these were	16	A I believe so.
17	essentially the same markers that Dr. Saed	17	MS. THOMPSON:
18	studied in the talcum powder experiments;	18	Q Are you familiar with a Shukla paper?
19	correct?	19	A Yes, I am.
20	MS. CURRY:	20	Q I'll mark the Shukla paper Exhibit 33.
21	Object to the form.	21	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 33 WAS
22	MS. THOMPSON:	22	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
23	Q NPO, INOS?	23	MS. THOMPSON:
24	A I think so. I think so. I'm just	24	Q Okay. And this paper was published in
1 2	checking all of them. Did they	1 2	2008; correct? MS. CURRY:
3	Q And generally speaking. A Certainly the lower list is all in	3	
4	A Certainly the lower list is all in there, yeah.	4	Object to the form. MS. THOMPSON:
5	Q So so these these oxidants,	5	Q Sorry. Received in
6	antioxidants that Dr. Saed studied with the	6	A That was in '9.
7	talcum powder, he had published before; correct?	7	Q In formal form, 2008.
8	MS. CURRY:	8	MS. CURRY:
9	Object to the form.	9	Do you have a copy?
10	A Well, this is a review article. He's	10	A This is in 2009, I have it.
11	not publishing primary data right now. He's just	11	MS. THOMPSON:
12	noting it.	12	Q The title is "Alterations in Gene
13	MS. THOMPSON:	13	Expression in Human Mesothelia Cells Correlate
14	Q A review article noting the relevance	14	with Mineral Pathogenicity."
15	of those assays for oxidative stress in ovarian	15	Is that the title of this paper that
16	cancer; correct?	16	you have?
17	MS. CURRY:	17	A Yes. Yes.
18	Object to the form.	18	Q Okay. And it was published in
19	A Well, again, I'm refining that a little	19	A I have it 2009.
20	bit because this table really looks for	20	Q Oh. No. We're looking at I'm
21	expression comparing standard ovarian cancer to	21	looking at that received in final form, and
22	chemoresistance. That's really not what this	22	you're when it actually appeared. You're
23	paper is about. So it's kind of apples and	23	correct. 2009.
24	oranges.	24	And this paper looked at cell culture
	-	1	* *

101 (Pages 398 to 401)

	Page 402		Page 404
1	with asbestos applied; correct?	1	Q Yeah, ATF.
2	A This looked at asbestos, nonfibrous	2	And those are cancer genes; correct?
3	tale, and titanium dioxide.	3	Or genes affiliated associated with cancer?
4	Q Correct.	4	MS. CURRY:
5	A Or glass beads.	5	Object to the form.
6	Q And if you'll turn to Table 2, it	6	A Well, a lot of genes are.
7	reports on gene expression and mesothelial cells	7	AFT3
8	at low and high doses at 8 and 24 hours for the	8	MS. THOMPSON:
9	low dose and 8 hours for the high dose. Correct?	9	Q ATF3 and interleukin 8 are often
10	A This is genes that are affected by	10	studied in relationship to cancer association;
11	asbestos.	11	correct?
12	Q Correct.	12	MS. CURRY:
13	And, then, if you'll look at table	13	Object to the form.
14	A And this sorry.	14	A I'd say interleukin 8. I don't I
15	Q Table 3, which are the genes	15	know of less data for ATF3. It's a transcription
16	upregulated by nonfibrous tale, you'll see that	16	factor, so I don't know the story there.
17	testing was done at 8 hours at low and high dose.	17	But your original question, these are
18	And it appears that there was no testing done at	18	statistically significant increases at 8 hours
19	24 hours for talc.	19	for tale; right?
20	Is that your understanding?	20	MS. THOMPSON:
21	A I believe so.	21	Q And 24 hours for talc was not
22	Q And, yet, there	22	performed; correct?
23	A I'm sorry. Can I refine that?	23	MS. CURRY:
24	MS. CURRY:	24	Object to the form.
	Page 403		Page 405
1	Object to the form. Sorry.	1	A It was performed but they didn't see
2	A TI		
	A They were it was checked but the	2	any changes.
3	changes were not observed.	3	any changes. MS. THOMPSON:
4	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON:	3 4	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose?
4 5	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that?	3 4 5	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that.
4 5 6	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These	3 4 5 6	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do
4 5	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right	3 4 5	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot
4 5 6 7 8	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right assuming I'm reading this right.	3 4 5 6	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot of gene changes with talc.
4 5 6 7 8 9	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right assuming I'm reading this right. Right below the table it says "were	3 4 5 6 7 8	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot of gene changes with talc. Q They did detect gene changes with talc,
4 5 6 7 8 9	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right assuming I'm reading this right. Right below the table it says "were initially were observed initially with talc at	3 4 5 6 7 8	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot of gene changes with talc. Q They did detect gene changes with talc, did they not?
4 5 6 7 8 9	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right assuming I'm reading this right. Right below the table it says "were initially were observed initially with talc at 8 hours. However, these changes were not	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot of gene changes with talc. Q They did detect gene changes with talc, did they not? MS. CURRY:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right assuming I'm reading this right. Right below the table it says "were initially were observed initially with talc at 8 hours. However, these changes were not observed at 24 hours. Suggesting that the human	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot of gene changes with talc. Q They did detect gene changes with talc, did they not? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right assuming I'm reading this right. Right below the table it says "were initially were observed initially with talc at 8 hours. However, these changes were not observed at 24 hours. Suggesting that the human mesothelial cells adapt to this mineral."	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot of gene changes with talc. Q They did detect gene changes with talc, did they not? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, they didn't detect a lot. There
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right assuming I'm reading this right. Right below the table it says "were initially were observed initially with talc at 8 hours. However, these changes were not observed at 24 hours. Suggesting that the human mesothelial cells adapt to this mineral." Q If you'll look at Table at Figure	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot of gene changes with talc. Q They did detect gene changes with talc, did they not? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, they didn't detect a lot. There were some.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right assuming I'm reading this right. Right below the table it says "were initially were observed initially with talc at 8 hours. However, these changes were not observed at 24 hours. Suggesting that the human mesothelial cells adapt to this mineral." Q If you'll look at Table at Figure 4	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot of gene changes with talc. Q They did detect gene changes with talc, did they not? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, they didn't detect a lot. There were some. MS. THOMPSON:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right assuming I'm reading this right. Right below the table it says "were initially were observed initially with talc at 8 hours. However, these changes were not observed at 24 hours. Suggesting that the human mesothelial cells adapt to this mineral." Q If you'll look at Table at Figure 4 A Figure 4.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot of gene changes with talc. Q They did detect gene changes with talc, did they not? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, they didn't detect a lot. There were some. MS. THOMPSON: Q I didn't ask if there were a lot.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right assuming I'm reading this right. Right below the table it says "were initially were observed initially with talc at 8 hours. However, these changes were not observed at 24 hours. Suggesting that the human mesothelial cells adapt to this mineral." Q If you'll look at Table at Figure 4 A Figure 4. Q you do see that there are	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot of gene changes with talc. Q They did detect gene changes with talc, did they not? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, they didn't detect a lot. There were some. MS. THOMPSON: Q I didn't ask if there were a lot. There were gene changes with talc?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right assuming I'm reading this right. Right below the table it says "were initially were observed initially with talc at 8 hours. However, these changes were not observed at 24 hours. Suggesting that the human mesothelial cells adapt to this mineral." Q If you'll look at Table at Figure 4 A Figure 4. Q you do see that there are significant increases in both nonfibrous talc and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot of gene changes with talc. Q They did detect gene changes with talc, did they not? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, they didn't detect a lot. There were some. MS. THOMPSON: Q I didn't ask if there were a lot. There were gene changes with talc? A Uh-huh.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right assuming I'm reading this right. Right below the table it says "were initially were observed initially with talc at 8 hours. However, these changes were not observed at 24 hours. Suggesting that the human mesothelial cells adapt to this mineral." Q If you'll look at Table at Figure 4 A Figure 4. Q you do see that there are significant increases in both nonfibrous talc and the crocidolite asbestos; correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot of gene changes with talc. Q They did detect gene changes with talc, did they not? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, they didn't detect a lot. There were some. MS. THOMPSON: Q I didn't ask if there were a lot. There were gene changes with talc? A Uh-huh. Q Would you consider that a biological
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right assuming I'm reading this right. Right below the table it says "were initially were observed initially with talc at 8 hours. However, these changes were not observed at 24 hours. Suggesting that the human mesothelial cells adapt to this mineral." Q If you'll look at Table at Figure 4 A Figure 4. Q you do see that there are significant increases in both nonfibrous talc and the crocidolite asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot of gene changes with talc. Q They did detect gene changes with talc, did they not? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, they didn't detect a lot. There were some. MS. THOMPSON: Q I didn't ask if there were a lot. There were gene changes with talc? A Uh-huh. Q Would you consider that a biological effect?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right assuming I'm reading this right. Right below the table it says "were initially were observed initially with talc at 8 hours. However, these changes were not observed at 24 hours. Suggesting that the human mesothelial cells adapt to this mineral." Q If you'll look at Table at Figure 4 A Figure 4. Q you do see that there are significant increases in both nonfibrous talc and the crocidolite asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot of gene changes with talc. Q They did detect gene changes with talc, did they not? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, they didn't detect a lot. There were some. MS. THOMPSON: Q I didn't ask if there were a lot. There were gene changes with talc? A Uh-huh. Q Would you consider that a biological effect? MS. CURRY:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right assuming I'm reading this right. Right below the table it says "were initially were observed initially with talc at 8 hours. However, these changes were not observed at 24 hours. Suggesting that the human mesothelial cells adapt to this mineral." Q If you'll look at Table at Figure 4 A Figure 4. Q you do see that there are significant increases in both nonfibrous talc and the crocidolite asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A So this is quantitative PCR of two	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot of gene changes with talc. Q They did detect gene changes with talc, did they not? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, they didn't detect a lot. There were some. MS. THOMPSON: Q I didn't ask if there were a lot. There were gene changes with talc? A Uh-huh. Q Would you consider that a biological effect? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	changes were not observed. MS. THOMPSON: Q Where do you see that? A Well, that may be hang on. "These are mesothelial cells" Yeah. Right assuming I'm reading this right. Right below the table it says "were initially were observed initially with talc at 8 hours. However, these changes were not observed at 24 hours. Suggesting that the human mesothelial cells adapt to this mineral." Q If you'll look at Table at Figure 4 A Figure 4. Q you do see that there are significant increases in both nonfibrous talc and the crocidolite asbestos; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	any changes. MS. THOMPSON: Q Was it performed at the high dose? A Well, let's see. I can't answer that. It may be buried in here somewhere. I do I do note that in this paper they didn't detect a lot of gene changes with talc. Q They did detect gene changes with talc, did they not? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, they didn't detect a lot. There were some. MS. THOMPSON: Q I didn't ask if there were a lot. There were gene changes with talc? A Uh-huh. Q Would you consider that a biological effect? MS. CURRY:

102 (Pages 402 to 405)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 104 of 165 PageID: 82891 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

1	Page 406		Page 408
	MS. THOMPSON:	1	think about Buz'Zard. I'd have to cross-compare
2	Q And you've looked at the Buz'Zard	2	that.
3	paper; correct? The Pycnogenol paper, does that	3	MS. THOMPSON:
4	sound familiar?	4	Q Well, I'm just asking you if it refutes
5	A Well, I don't recognize that name.	5	his findings.
6	Yeah. I did look at it.	6	MS. CURRY:
7	Q Okay. I'm gonna mark that as Exhibit	7	Object to the form.
8	34.	8	A No. I I'm thinking about that. I
9	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 34 WAS	9	think his ROS generation is a little bit
10	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	10	different, Buz'Zard.
11	MS. THOMPSON:	11	MS. THOMPSON:
12	Q And you'll agree that this paper looked	12	Q The ROS generation may be a little bit
13	at neoplastic transformation in humans' ovarian	13	different, but it does show ROS generation in
14	cell cultures exposed to talc; correct?	14	that paper; correct?
15	A Well, this gets back to what we	15	MS. CURRY:
16	discussed before. I think they they the	16	Object to the form.
17	title says it and they and they argue that	17	A Now, the Buz'Zard was was, for lack
18	what they've shown is transformation. I don't	18	of a better term, bizarre, because there were
19	I don't agree with that.	19	differential effects in terms of production of
20	Q Well, at least the authors say that, in	20	ROS depending on the concentration. So I found
21	reading from the abstract, two-thirds of the way	21	it very difficult. And the interpretation that
22	down, "Talc increased proliferation, induced	22	they had was, I thought, misleading.
23	neoplastic transformation and increased ROS	23	MS. THOMPSON:
24	generation timed dependently in the ovarian cells	24	Q But the question was: Did it in any
	Page 407		Page 409
1	and dosed dependently in the p.m."	1	way refute Dr. Saed's findings?
2	And that's at least what the authors	2	MS. CURRY:
3	conclude; right?	3	Object to the form.
4	A That's what they say in the abstract,	4	A In in terms of comparing this to
5	yes.	5	that?
6	Q And also conclude that "The data	6	MS. THOMPSON:
7	suggests that talc may contribute to ovarian	7	Q Yes.
8	neoplastic transformation"	8	A I'd have to take a close look at that.
9	A Where are you now? I'm sorry. The	9	It's not something I thought about.
10	next sentence?	10	Q Okay. But there's nothing that's
11	Q Next-to-last sentence.	11	obvious that refutes Dr. Saed's
12	A Yep.	12	A It's not leaping out to me.
13	Q "The data suggests that talc may	13	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 35 WAS
14	contribute to ovarian neoplastic transformation	14	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
15	and Pyc reduced the talc-induced transformation."	15	MS. THOMPSON:
16	That's what the authors concluded;	16	Q Okay. I'm marking as Exhibit 35 a
17	correct?	17	paper by Akhtar from 2010.
18	A That's what they say.	18	Have you seen this paper?
19	Q Do either the Shukla paper or the	19	A This one, I don't think I reviewed.
20	Buz'Zard paper refute Dr. Saed's research	20	Let me just see if it's on my list. No.
	findings?	21	Q And are you aware from Dr. Saed's
21			
	MS. CURRY:	22	deposition that he referred to the this paper
21	MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	22 23	deposition that he referred to the this paper to establish his dosages for the talc experiments

103 (Pages 406 to 409)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 105 of 165 PageID: 82892 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 410		Page 412
1	A In terms of what he did?	1	MS. THOMPSON:
2	Q Yes.	2	Q Well, it's the first statement of the
3	A No, I didn't. I'm not aware of that	3	abstract.
4	from his deposition.	4	A Right.
5	Q Looking at the paper	5	Q Do you think that's just an irrelevant
6	A Yeah.	6	statement, that they put as the first the
7	Q does that look reasonable?	7	introductory sentence to their paper?
8	MS. CURRY:	8	MS. CURRY:
9	Object to the form.	9	Object to the form.
10	A This is way out of my purview with iron	10	A Well, I think that's their supposition.
11	mediated lipid peroxidase in A459 cells, which	11	They make that statement. I get it. But that
12	are lung cancer. I don't know the relevance of	12	doesn't mean that this experiment is relevant to
13	this to what we're addressing here.	13	that.
14	MS. THOMPSON:	14	MS. THOMPSON:
15	Q Well, let's read what he says	15	Q I'm asking do the authors think it was
16	A Sure.	16	relevant?
17	O in the abstract.	17	MS. CURRY:
18	"Talc particles, the basic ingredient	18	Object to the form.
19	in different kinds of talc-based cosmetic and	19	A You'd have to address it with them. I
20	pharmaceutical products pose a health risk to	20	don't know.
21		21	MS. THOMPSON:
	pulmonary and ovarian systems due to domestic and	22	
22	occupational exposures."		Q "The talc particles, the basic
23	Is that what the authors say?	23	ingredient in different kinds of talc-based
24	A Correct.	24	cosmetic and pharmaceutical products pose a
	Page 411		Page 413
1	Q So at least the authors thought that	1	health risk to pulmonary and ovarian systems due
2	this experiment had relevance to talc-based	2	to domestic and occupational exposure."
3	cosmetic products; correct?	3	And then they go on to why they're
4	MS. CURRY:	4	studying tale particles.
5	Object to the form.	5	Is is it your testimony that you
6	A Yeah. I think it's in that sentence.	6	don't know whether the authors thought that was
7	MS. THOMPSON:	7	relevant or not?
8	Q And at least the authors thought that	8	MS. CURRY:
9	these experiments had relevance to the ovarian	9	Object to the form.
10	system; correct?	10	A Well, it's speculation. I don't know
11	MS. CURRY:	11	what was in their mind. I can read this. I see
12	Object to the form.	12	what they did. And that opening statement is,
	A Well, they mentioned it. And as a I	13	again, sort of setting the setting the plate.
1.5	think as a premise to the experiment. That	14	But is this system relevant to that? I don't
13 14		15	know. Lipid peroxidation
14	doesn't mean it's relevant	1	MS. THOMPSON:
14 15	doesn't mean it's relevant. MS_THOMPSON:	1 16	MID. THOMH BOIN.
14 15 16	MS. THOMPSON:	16	O But but you would come that the
14 15 16 17	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, it's a you would assume that	17	Q But but you would agree that the
14 15 16 17 18	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, it's a you would assume that if it's a premise to do the experiment, that they	17 18	peer-reviewers and the editors of this journal
14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, it's a you would assume that if it's a premise to do the experiment, that they thought the experiments would be relevant to the	17 18 19	peer-reviewers and the editors of this journal accepted this paper with the introduction that
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, it's a you would assume that if it's a premise to do the experiment, that they thought the experiments would be relevant to the question that they're asking; correct?	17 18 19 20	peer-reviewers and the editors of this journal accepted this paper with the introduction that talc particles posed a risk to pulmonary and
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, it's a you would assume that if it's a premise to do the experiment, that they thought the experiments would be relevant to the question that they're asking; correct? MS. CURRY:	17 18 19 20 21	peer-reviewers and the editors of this journal accepted this paper with the introduction that talc particles posed a risk to pulmonary and ovarian systems and that the investigators at
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, it's a you would assume that if it's a premise to do the experiment, that they thought the experiments would be relevant to the question that they're asking; correct? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.	17 18 19 20 21 22	peer-reviewers and the editors of this journal accepted this paper with the introduction that talc particles posed a risk to pulmonary and ovarian systems and that the investigators at least did the experiments and published the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. THOMPSON: Q Well, it's a you would assume that if it's a premise to do the experiment, that they thought the experiments would be relevant to the question that they're asking; correct? MS. CURRY:	17 18 19 20 21	peer-reviewers and the editors of this journal accepted this paper with the introduction that talc particles posed a risk to pulmonary and ovarian systems and that the investigators at

104 (Pages 410 to 413)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 106 of 165 PageID: 82893 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 414		Page 416
1	Object to the form.	1	Object to the form.
2	A Did the work and published the paper.	2	A Well, I just saw it. I haven't
3	Agree.	3	reviewed it. I would be concerned that they're
4	MS. THOMPSON:	4	in a completely different cell system. And, as
5	Q And in the conclusion, the authors	5	you know, there's just huge differences in tissue
6	state "We have presented a preliminary data on	6	responses.
7	the toxicity response elicited by the two types	7	MS. THOMPSON:
8	of tale nano particles depending on their	8	Q Would that automatically make it
9	different geologic origin," and then go on to	9	irrelevant, in your mind?
10	conclude, the end, "Data clearly suggests that	10	MS. CURRY:
11	exposure to tale, particularly nanopowder, should	11	Object to the form.
12	be protected in humans at risk of occupational as	12	A I would I'd like to read the paper.
13	well as domestic exposure."	13	But I'd be concerned. I would start out with a
14	That's the conclusions of the authors	14	certain concern about that and then go through
15	based on this research; correct?	15	
	*	16	the paper. MS. THOMPSON:
16	A That's the last sentence? Is that the last sentence?	17	
17			Q Okay. We can go off the record, and
18	Q Yes.	18	you you can look at the paper.
19	A Yeah. That's what they say.	19	A Okay.
20	Q That is in the conclusion?	20	VIDEOGRAPHER:
21	A That's what they say.	21	Off the record at 5:38 p m.
22	Q And that is the "Conclusion" section of	22	(OFF THE RECORD.)
23	the paper; correct?	23	VIDEOGRAPHER:
24	A Correct.	24	We're back on the record at 5:40 p.m.
	Page 415		Page 417
1	(DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NUMBER 36 WAS	1	MS. THOMPSON:
2	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)	2	Q Dr. Birrer, this article titled
3	MS. THOMPSON:	3	"Cytotoxicity and Apoptosis Induction by
4	Q I'm marking as Exhibit 36 another paper	4	Nano-Scale Talc Particles from Two Different
5	by Akhtar and colleagues published in 2012.	5	Geographical Regions in Human Lung Epithelial
6	Have you seen that paper, Dr. Birrer?	6	Cells" is by the same authors of the paper we
7	A No.	7	just discussed; right?
8	Q This paper is titled "Cytotoxicity and	8	A Correct. I don't know if they're all
9	Apoptosis"	9	on here, but it's the same group.
10	MS. CURRY:	10	Q Same group.
11	Do you have a copy? Sorry.	11	A Yeah.
12	MS. THOMPSON:	12	Q Going to the last sentence on the first
13	I'm sorry.	13	page in the introduction, the authors state:
14	MS. CURRY:	14	"Epidemiologic evidence also suggest a possible
15	Thank you.	15	association between genital use of talcum powder
	MS. THOMPSON:	16	and risk of ovarian cancer. Talc also appears to
16	Q This paper is titled "Cytotoxicity and	17	induce reactive oxygen, ROS, generation,
16 17	2 This paper is titled Cytotoxicity and	18	oxidative stress, and inflammation."
17	Anontogia Induction by Mana Coals Tale Dartials-	1 TQ	
17 18	Apoptosis Induction by Nano-Scale Talc Particles		In that what the outhers state
17 18 19	From Two Different Geographical Regions in Human	19	Is that what the authors state
17 18 19 20	From Two Different Geographical Regions in Human Lung Epithelial Cells."	19 20	regarding the epidemiology of talcum powder and a
17 18 19 20 21	From Two Different Geographical Regions in Human Lung Epithelial Cells." Is it your opinion that this paper is	19 20 21	regarding the epidemiology of talcum powder and a reason for studying the cellular response?
17 18 19 20 21 22	From Two Different Geographical Regions in Human Lung Epithelial Cells." Is it your opinion that this paper is irrelevant because it tested the biological	19 20 21 22	regarding the epidemiology of talcum powder and a reason for studying the cellular response? MS. CURRY:
17 18 19 20 21	From Two Different Geographical Regions in Human Lung Epithelial Cells." Is it your opinion that this paper is	19 20 21	regarding the epidemiology of talcum powder and a reason for studying the cellular response?

105 (Pages 414 to 417)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 107 of 165 PageID: 82894

Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 418		Page 420
1	epidemiologic evidence. The second statement is	1	Is that what the authors conclude from
2	about reactive oxygen species. And they don't	2	the experiments that they did on nano talc
3	say anything about why there's a reason to study.	3	particles?
4	They just make those statements.	4	A That's what they say right there, yeah.
5	MS. THOMPSON:	5	Q And we've established earlier that the
6	Q Is it your testimony that they would	6	baby powder is a mixed particle-sized product;
7	just put put that statement in randomly in the	7	correct?
8	introduction to their paper about cytoxicity and	8	MS. CURRY:
9	apoptosis with talc particles?	9	Object to the form.
10	MS. CURRY:	10	A Well, we talked about talc particles,
11	Object to the form.	11	and I simply said my understanding is not as a
12	A It wouldn't be random. But, again, I	12	mineralogist, but my understanding is a different
13	think it's just a piece of information that this	13	spectrum. I don't
14	has been studied before in a different system.	14	MS. THOMPSON:
15	MS. THOMPSON:	15	Q And do you know one way or the other
16	Q And you would and they cite to	16	whether some of the particles in baby powder
17	Buz'Zard, the paper we just reviewed; correct?	17	could be classified as nano particles?
18	A Uh-huh. Yes.	18	A No, I don't know that.
19	Q And they start cite to Langseth;	19	Q Do either of the Akhtar papers that we
20	correct?	20	just looked at refute Dr. Saed's research?
21	A Yes.	21	MS. CURRY:
22	Q And in previous testimony you have	22	Object to the form.
23	testified that you think that Langseth is a is	23	A The only comment I would make on that
24	a high-quality paper. Do you remember that?	24	is that this and again, I looked at this for
	Page 419		Page 421
1	MS. CURRY:	1	literally five minutes, but I went through some
2	Object to the form.	2	of the figures. This paper shows a lot of
3	A Yeah. I'd have to see that.	3	
		_	cytotoxicity and apoptosis with the effect of
4	MS. THOMPSON:	4	cytotoxicity and apoptosis with the effect of talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer
4 5	MS. THOMPSON: Q Okay.		
		4	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer
5	Q Okay.	4 5	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial
5 6	Q Okay. A But I'm more familiar with Buz'Zard.	4 5 6	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial cells. I don't think they're they're at least immortalized. So that strikes me as different than the proliferative effect he's describing.
5 6 7	Q Okay.A But I'm more familiar with Buz'Zard.Q Okay. Well, we just looked at that	4 5 6 7	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial cells. I don't think they're they're at least immortalized. So that strikes me as different than the proliferative effect he's describing. MS. THOMPSON:
5 6 7 8	Q Okay.A But I'm more familiar with Buz'Zard.Q Okay. Well, we just looked at that one; right?	4 5 6 7 8	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial cells. I don't think they're they're at least immortalized. So that strikes me as different than the proliferative effect he's describing.
5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. A But I'm more familiar with Buz'Zard. Q Okay. Well, we just looked at that one; right? But at least A Yeah. Q that's what the authors state in	4 5 6 7 8 9	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial cells. I don't think they're they're at least immortalized. So that strikes me as different than the proliferative effect he's describing. MS. THOMPSON:
5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. A But I'm more familiar with Buz'Zard. Q Okay. Well, we just looked at that one; right? But at least A Yeah.	4 5 6 7 8 9	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial cells. I don't think they're they're at least immortalized. So that strikes me as different than the proliferative effect he's describing. MS. THOMPSON: Q That wasn't my question. A Okay. Q My question: Do these results refute
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Okay. A But I'm more familiar with Buz'Zard. Q Okay. Well, we just looked at that one; right? But at least A Yeah. Q that's what the authors state in their introduction A Yeah.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial cells. I don't think they're they're at least immortalized. So that strikes me as different than the proliferative effect he's describing. MS. THOMPSON: Q That wasn't my question. A Okay. Q My question: Do these results refute Dr. Saed's work?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. A But I'm more familiar with Buz'Zard. Q Okay. Well, we just looked at that one; right? But at least A Yeah. Q that's what the authors state in their introduction A Yeah. Q regarding talc; correct?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial cells. I don't think they're they're at least immortalized. So that strikes me as different than the proliferative effect he's describing. MS. THOMPSON: Q That wasn't my question. A Okay. Q My question: Do these results refute Dr. Saed's work? MS. CURRY:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. A But I'm more familiar with Buz'Zard. Q Okay. Well, we just looked at that one; right? But at least A Yeah. Q that's what the authors state in their introduction A Yeah. Q regarding talc; correct? A Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial cells. I don't think they're they're at least immortalized. So that strikes me as different than the proliferative effect he's describing. MS. THOMPSON: Q That wasn't my question. A Okay. Q My question: Do these results refute Dr. Saed's work? MS. CURRY: Object to the form.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. A But I'm more familiar with Buz'Zard. Q Okay. Well, we just looked at that one; right? But at least A Yeah. Q that's what the authors state in their introduction A Yeah. Q regarding talc; correct? A Yes. Q And, then, we'll just go to the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial cells. I don't think they're they're at least immortalized. So that strikes me as different than the proliferative effect he's describing. MS. THOMPSON: Q That wasn't my question. A Okay. Q My question: Do these results refute Dr. Saed's work? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, this is in lung cancer, so it's
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. A But I'm more familiar with Buz'Zard. Q Okay. Well, we just looked at that one; right? But at least A Yeah. Q that's what the authors state in their introduction A Yeah. Q regarding talc; correct? A Yes. Q And, then, we'll just go to the conclusion.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial cells. I don't think they're they're at least immortalized. So that strikes me as different than the proliferative effect he's describing. MS. THOMPSON: Q That wasn't my question. A Okay. Q My question: Do these results refute Dr. Saed's work? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, this is in lung cancer, so it's pretty much irrelevant.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. A But I'm more familiar with Buz'Zard. Q Okay. Well, we just looked at that one; right? But at least A Yeah. Q that's what the authors state in their introduction A Yeah. Q regarding tale; correct? A Yes. Q And, then, we'll just go to the conclusion. A Uh-huh.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial cells. I don't think they're they're at least immortalized. So that strikes me as different than the proliferative effect he's describing. MS. THOMPSON: Q That wasn't my question. A Okay. Q My question: Do these results refute Dr. Saed's work? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, this is in lung cancer, so it's
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. A But I'm more familiar with Buz'Zard. Q Okay. Well, we just looked at that one; right? But at least A Yeah. Q that's what the authors state in their introduction A Yeah. Q regarding talc; correct? A Yes. Q And, then, we'll just go to the conclusion. A Uh-huh. Q The last paragraph. "In conclusion,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial cells. I don't think they're they're at least immortalized. So that strikes me as different than the proliferative effect he's describing. MS. THOMPSON: Q That wasn't my question. A Okay. Q My question: Do these results refute Dr. Saed's work? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, this is in lung cancer, so it's pretty much irrelevant. MS. THOMPSON: Q And where where are you finding that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. A But I'm more familiar with Buz'Zard. Q Okay. Well, we just looked at that one; right? But at least A Yeah. Q that's what the authors state in their introduction A Yeah. Q regarding talc; correct? A Yes. Q And, then, we'll just go to the conclusion. A Uh-huh. Q The last paragraph. "In conclusion, both IN" which is Indian nano particles or	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial cells. I don't think they're they're at least immortalized. So that strikes me as different than the proliferative effect he's describing. MS. THOMPSON: Q That wasn't my question. A Okay. Q My question: Do these results refute Dr. Saed's work? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, this is in lung cancer, so it's pretty much irrelevant. MS. THOMPSON: Q And where where are you finding that it's in lung cancer cells?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. A But I'm more familiar with Buz'Zard. Q Okay. Well, we just looked at that one; right? But at least A Yeah. Q that's what the authors state in their introduction A Yeah. Q regarding talc; correct? A Yes. Q And, then, we'll just go to the conclusion. A Uh-huh. Q The last paragraph. "In conclusion, both IN" which is Indian nano particles or nano talc "and CN" which is commercial nano	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial cells. I don't think they're they're at least immortalized. So that strikes me as different than the proliferative effect he's describing. MS. THOMPSON: Q That wasn't my question. A Okay. Q My question: Do these results refute Dr. Saed's work? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, this is in lung cancer, so it's pretty much irrelevant. MS. THOMPSON: Q And where where are you finding that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. A But I'm more familiar with Buz'Zard. Q Okay. Well, we just looked at that one; right? But at least A Yeah. Q that's what the authors state in their introduction A Yeah. Q regarding talc; correct? A Yes. Q And, then, we'll just go to the conclusion. A Uh-huh. Q The last paragraph. "In conclusion, both IN" which is Indian nano particles or nano talc "and CN" which is commercial nano talc particles, "significantly induce	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial cells. I don't think they're they're at least immortalized. So that strikes me as different than the proliferative effect he's describing. MS. THOMPSON: Q That wasn't my question. A Okay. Q My question: Do these results refute Dr. Saed's work? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, this is in lung cancer, so it's pretty much irrelevant. MS. THOMPSON: Q And where where are you finding that it's in lung cancer cells? A Human lung epithelial A549 cells. I worked with them quite a bit. It's a lung cancer
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. A But I'm more familiar with Buz'Zard. Q Okay. Well, we just looked at that one; right? But at least A Yeah. Q that's what the authors state in their introduction A Yeah. Q regarding talc; correct? A Yes. Q And, then, we'll just go to the conclusion. A Uh-huh. Q The last paragraph. "In conclusion, both IN" which is Indian nano particles or nano talc "and CN" which is commercial nano	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	talc. That's and this is actually in a cancer cell line; right? It's human lung epithelial cells. I don't think they're they're at least immortalized. So that strikes me as different than the proliferative effect he's describing. MS. THOMPSON: Q That wasn't my question. A Okay. Q My question: Do these results refute Dr. Saed's work? MS. CURRY: Object to the form. A Well, this is in lung cancer, so it's pretty much irrelevant. MS. THOMPSON: Q And where where are you finding that it's in lung cancer cells?

106 (Pages 418 to 421)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 108 of 165 PageID: 82895

82895 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 422		Page 424
1	Q Human lung epithelial cells?	1	MS. CURRY:
2	A Uh-huh.	2	Oh. I'm so sorry. Thank you.
3	Q Those are cancer cells?	3	EXAMINATION
4	A A549, if it's the same A549 which I	4	BY MS. CURRY:
5	know about, which I think it is, that's an	5	Q Dr. Birrer, you have reviewed
6	adenocarcinoma.	6	Dr. Clarke-Pearson's expert report; correct?
7	Q Do you see anywhere in the paper where	7	A Yes.
8	it describes those as cancer cells?	8	Q Do you think his opinions overall are
9	A Just let me look at the back. I don't	9	based on sound science?
10	see it, although I've rushed through this. But I	10	A No.
11	don't see it.	11	Q Do you defer to him on any issue
12	Q I know. I don't see it either.	12	presented in this case?
13	They're just described as human lung epithelial	13	A No.
14	cells, which doesn't sound like they were	14	Q Do you defer to any of the plaintiffs'
15	considered to be cancer cells.	15	experts on any issues presented in this case?
16	I'm not sure I got the answer to the	16	A No.
17	question "Is there anything in either of these	17	MS. CURRY:
18	Akhtar papers that refutes Dr. Saed's findings?"	18	I have no further questions.
19	A No.	19	Thank you.
20	MS. CURRY:	20	MS. THOMPSON:
21	Object to the form.	21	I'm done.
22	MS. THOMPSON:	22	VIDEOGRAPHER:
23	Q Do both of these Akhtar papers	23	Okay. This concludes this deposition.
24	demonstrate biological effect from talc particles	24	The time is 6:04 p.m. We're off the
1	Page 423	1	Page 425
1	on cell culture	1	record.
2	MS. CURRY:	2 3	(Deposition concluded at 6:04 p.m.)
3 4	Object to MS. THOMPSON:	4	
5		5	
6	Q lines? MS. CURRY:	6	
7	Object to the form.	7	
_	A I would say yes, that there is some	_	
8 9	activity.	8	
10	MS. THOMPSON:	10	
11	If we can take just a short break, I	11	
12	think I'm finished.	12	
13	VIDEOGRAPHER:	13	
14	Off the record at 5:48 p.m.	14	
15	(OFF THE RECORD.)	15	
16	VIDEOGRAPHER:	16	
17	We're back on the record at 6:03 p m.	17	
18	MS. THOMPSON:	18	
	Dr. Birrer, I have no further	19	
⊥ 9	questions. Thank you for your time today.	20	
19 20			
20		2.1	
20 21	MS. CURRY:	21 22	
20 21 22	MS. CURRY: I have just a few follow-up questions.	22	
20 21	MS. CURRY:		

107 (Pages 422 to 425)

Case 3:16-md-02738-MAS-RLS Document 10037-7 Filed 06/17/19 Page 109 of 165 PageID: 82896

Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

	Page 426		Page 428
1	CERTIFICATE	1	
2	STATE OF ALABAMA)		ERRATA
3	COUNTY OF MOBILE)	2	
4	,	3	
5	I do hereby certify that the above and	4	PAGE LINE CHANGE
6	foregoing transcript of proceedings in the matter	5	
7	aforementioned was taken down by me in machine	6	REASON:
8	shorthand, and the questions and answers thereto	7	
9	were reduced to writing under my personal	8	REASON:
10	supervision, and that the foregoing represents a	9	
11	true and correct transcript of the proceedings	10	REASON:
12	given by said witness upon said hearing.	11	
13	I further certify that I am neither of	12	REASON:
14	counsel nor of kin to the parties to the action,	13	
15 16	nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said cause.	14	REASON:
17	Signed this 22nd day of March, 2019.	15	
18	Signed uno 22nd day of ividicit, 2017.	16	REASON:
19		17	
17	LOIS ANNE ROBINSON, RDR	18	REASON:
20	COURT REPORTER, NOTARY PUBLIC	19	
	STATE OF ALABAMA AT LARGE	20	REASON:
21	ACCR# 352; EXPIRES 9/30/19	21	
22		22	REASON:
23		23	
24		24	REASON:
	D 427		
	Page 427		Page 429
1	INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS	1	
2		2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT
3	Please read your deposition	3 4	T 1-
4	over carefully and make any necessary	5	I,, do hereby certify that I have read the
5	corrections. You should state the reason	6	foregoing pages, and that the same is
6	in the appropriate space on the errata	7	a correct transcription of the answers
7	sheet for any corrections that are made.	8	given by me to the questions therein
8	After doing so, please sign	9	propounded, except for the corrections or
9	the errata sheet and date it.	10	changes in form or substance, if any,
10	You are signing same subject	11	noted in the attached Errata Sheet.
11	to the changes you have noted on the	12	
12	errata sheet, which will be attached to	13	
13	your deposition.	14	
14	It is imperative that you	15	MICHAEL BIRRER, M.D., PH.D. DATE
15	return the original errata sheet to the	16	
16	deposing attorney within thirty (30) days	17	
17	of receipt of the deposition transcript	18	Subscribed and sworn
18	by you. If you fail to do so, the	1.0	to before me this
19	deposition transcript may be deemed to be	19 20	day of, 20 My commission expires:
20	accurate and may be used in court.	21	iviy confinession expires
21		"-	
22		22	Notary Public
23		23	round rubbe
		1	riomly ruone

108 (Pages 426 to 429)

Page 43	0
1 LAWYER'S NOTES	
2 PAGE LINE	
3	_
4	_
5	_
6	_
7 8	_
9	-
10	_
11	_
12	_
13	_
14	_
15	_
16 17	-
18	-
19	_
20	_
21	_
22	_
23	_
	-

109 (Page 430)

				rage 431
A	246:12 251:7	371:17,21	191:16 312:5	97:4 99:8,24
a.m 1:19 10:5	accept 332:7	Actinolite 6:19	adjective 112:23	100:11 108:22
113:10,13	357:23 371:17	action 426:14	administration	113:16 115:11
A2780 357:16	389:12	activates 163:8	14:4	116:9,18
A459 410:11	acceptable	activating	administrative	117:16 118:14
A549 9:9 421:21	300:8 371:18	151:12	14:23 15:2	121:9 122:2,17
422:4,4	acceptance	active 260:19	advanced 138:6	123:11,17
abandoned	295:13 389:3	314:8	advice 280:23	124:6 125:4,23
190:2	accepted 337:9	activities 274:14	advise 283:4	126:5,17,22
ability 33:13	356:17 364:1	274:15	292:3,11	127:22 128:7
able 37:13 73:6	390:18 413:19	activity 62:4	advising 280:12	129:16 130:3
80:13 98:18,22	accepting	93:10 261:5	284:20	131:2,23 132:8
99:9 128:3	332:12	265:6 266:9,10	Aerial 255:20	133:9 134:10
140:18 194:21	access 128:4	266:13 269:24	affiliated 404:3	135:17 136:6
214:8 260:8	accidentally	270:9 367:18	affirmatively	136:15 137:16
absence 89:6	198:2	370:1,2,24	17:8 25:18	138:3,4 139:6
169:12	accompanied	423:9	49:23 98:13,19	139:23 141:1
Absolutely	53:9	actual 33:19	170:8,11	141:16 142:8
339:6	account 128:17	47:3 88:21	183:15 231:7	143:2 144:2
absorb 237:20	ACCR 426:21	111:21 202:11	244:23	146:16 148:15
237:20	accreditation	317:1 324:1	Afindeis@nap	149:22 150:13
Absorbable	361:23	368:4	2:17	150:16 151:18
199:22	accuracy 380:14	acute 191:11,19	aforementioned	152:19 153:11
absorbed 193:3	accurate 252:10	251:2	426:7	153:12 156:13
193:8	321:1 334:24	ad 288:1	African-Amer	157:13 158:4
absorption	343:20 354:15	adapt 403:13	120:10,16	159:8 161:1
278:12	367:24 368:8	add 35:2 257:23	130:10	163:16 164:16
abstract 150:24	371:9 372:8,19	311:24	AFT3 404:7	165:13 168:21
151:3,6 155:16	373:21 379:2	addict 97:12	age 120:24	169:19 171:18
155:18 163:6	380:5 384:11	addition 46:23	169:13,13	173:6,21
209:23 268:13	398:6 427:20	additional 20:11	agencies 48:7	179:11 184:11
302:16,22	accurately	31:14 152:4	agency 67:13	193:7 198:10
348:23 354:19	248:15	address 25:24	249:9	207:16 217:8
355:9 389:23	achieve 140:7	57:21 161:6	agent 92:21	217:10 222:18
395:5 396:14	acid 9:10	168:11 412:19	146:23 192:11	226:5 229:21
406:21 407:4	acknowledged	addressed 37:16	agents 296:15	246:2 247:17
410:17 412:3	325:17	88:2,9	304:23	248:10 250:4
abstracts 388:23	ACKNOWLE	addresses	Aggarwal	250:23 251:8
389:2,11 390:7	429:2	177:19 342:23	162:23	251:16 252:2
390:10,18	ACOG 254:13	addressing	ago 254:18	252:14,20
391:20 392:13	254:15	54:21 55:9	302:2 327:19	257:13 261:4
393:11	acquisition	296:21 410:13	agree 17:19	263:21 268:21
Academies 6:12	151:15	adenocarcino	29:22 33:3	269:5 276:15
Academy 68:24	ACS 234:17	421:23 422:6	53:1 71:21	276:19 288:19
68:24 69:2	235:21	adhesion 312:2	72:5 73:19	289:16,18
241:24 242:11	act 135:16	312:2,4	75:20 78:20	297:1,6 298:13
	actin 360:7,15	adhesions 191:9	83:15 95:11	299:17 304:13
	1	1		

				Page 432
207 0 10 200 2	1040161071	57.16.62.24	205 6 205 5	140.5
307:9,18 308:2	104:9,16 105:1	57:16 63:24	205:6 207:7	appears 142:5
309:14 311:11	426:2,20	66:8,10 224:22	216:23 240:3	268:17 366:24
314:11 315:15	ALASTAIR	330:20 331:6	266:12 275:5	402:18 417:16
330:5,6 335:13	2:16	332:18 333:7	280:18 281:3	apples 72:23
337:4,20,22	albeit 141:14	351:9 352:6	285:8 286:22	399:23
339:5 340:8	Alberto 5:10	356:23 383:6	293:8 318:1	application
341:21 342:1	alcohol 117:24	387:23	323:8 346:2	98:12 122:20
343:6,13,20	algorithm 64:23	analyzed 231:10	354:1 363:18	126:13 127:20
344:18,20	allege 7:14	analyzing 75:17	364:19 378:17	128:14 255:1
347:1 353:7	ALLEN 2:3	287:3	386:2 405:5	295:13
358:1,9,15	allergic 200:7	anatomic	422:16	applications
359:4 362:14	allow 77:2	129:21 130:23	answering 63:16	4:24 53:20
366:20 370:22	alteration	210:4	205:1 251:13	99:17
374:11 379:1	309:12 350:16	anatomical	answers 380:14	applied 7:20
386:18 389:10	alterations 8:23	129:13	380:20 426:8	53:24 63:13,23
391:5 392:1,20	309:7,20	anatomy 201:5	429:7	96:12 97:5
395:17 396:20	341:19 401:12	219:23 220:6	Anthophyllite	106:6 181:3
397:4,20	alternatives	220:21 221:4	6:19	186:23 358:8
398:16 400:11	296:3	221:14,19	antioxidant	361:9 372:15
406:12,19	Alvarez 244:19	anchor 74:11,18	370:24 398:14	402:1
413:17 414:3	244:19	79:5	antioxidants	applies 69:8
agreed 181:2	American 6:8	and/or 188:19	312:23 367:13	71:15 187:13
207:9,16	6:21 233:7,19	anecdotal	367:17,18	222:20
222:18 275:7	233:23 234:5,8	239:15,21	399:6	apply 66:17
289:9	234:21 236:22	angiogenesis	antiperspirants	107:3 150:19
agreeing 56:2	257:10	151:11 163:12	184:7	218:8 259:19
ah 201:15 256:9	Amit 167:15	Anil 244:13,17	antitumor 156:2	261:20 264:20
ahead 24:15	168:16	animal 15:15	anybody 40:12	265:6
36:16 48:9	Amosite 6:18	94:14 130:19	82:7 341:4	appreciated
116:22 149:12	amount 14:23	131:13,19	anymore 80:24	152:16
165:5 198:10	79:24 89:7	132:2,4 194:3	anywise 426:15	appreciation
199:10 207:6	98:6 100:14	235:5	apoptosis 9:12	275:16
239:9 246:19	216:7 272:4,7	animals 180:8	344:23 345:23	approach 107:2
264:11 294:11	310:11 312:1	Ann 37:4,4	349:17 362:6	325:14
302:11 306:18	amounts 212:4	Anne 1:19 3:20	415:9,18 417:3	approached
311:5 329:3	268:19	426:19	418:9 419:23	25:8 40:7 41:3
334:9	Amphibole 7:5	announcement	421:3	54:5 65:7
aided 123:14	7:11	199:11		300:19,19
Akhtar 9:10,14	amplification	annual 354:20	apparently 253:2 300:9	324:16 325:9
409:17 415:5	311:23	annual 334:20 answer 19:18		
			appear 193:24	approaches
420:19 422:18	analogizes	26:22 29:14	331:16	117:24
422:23	213:18	30:9 55:17	appearance	appropriate
al 5:14,17,24 6:6	analogous	56:12,20 59:22	78:17	107:10 110:4
6:23 8:7,21	195:14 214:23	62:10,10,11	appeared	138:17 166:15
9:10,14	215:10 261:17	63:5,7 66:2	304:24 352:10	427:6
Alabama 1:18	analogy 261:4	80:13 85:18	358:23 359:2	appropriately
1:22 2:4 10:7	analysis 7:3,8	115:15 119:1	401:22	296:18
	I	I	ı	ı

				Page 433
200.1	155 10 10	125 14 126 20	1 4 6	4.4.15
approve 380:1	155:18,19	135:14 136:20	asbestos-free	assessment 4:15
approximately	157:24 158:6	146:8 178:11	295:19 296:1	6:5 48:15 51:2
1:18 73:21	158:21 160:4	178:14 187:6	ascend 126:20	51:9 53:9 54:1
138:7 146:9	160:13,15	194:24 195:1,6	ascending 122:6	54:8 64:1
190:2	162:7,7 163:2	195:18,19,21	ascends 221:2	180:24 187:4
area 17:22 30:17	163:22 165:6	196:3,12,13,16	ascension 222:7	187:17 188:18
37:19,22 38:4	166:15,24	234:23 235:7	ascites 274:17	188:20 238:23
38:13,15,24	167:2,19	235:18 249:3	ascorbic 9:10	Assessments
39:3,6,8,11,14	168:10 169:2,5	249:12,15,18	asked 25:23	53:23
54:23 57:17	172:8 173:3,9	249:20 250:2,7	26:18,23 33:24	associated 27:24
69:10 77:6	173:22 174:4	250:22 251:20	35:16 36:6	115:9 116:15
78:19 80:4	174:17 175:2	262:18 263:22	39:21 45:21	117:9 120:11
84:18 86:6	226:18 230:18	264:12,19	57:11 59:23	130:9 136:3
89:15 96:8	230:19 253:24	265:7,10,12,13	89:16 101:16	137:8 147:8
118:4 240:15	254:7,7,20,22	267:19 268:5	196:13 205:9	156:4 158:15
246:5 263:1	257:8 272:23	268:15,17	205:18 240:1	248:9 249:3
272:9 304:8	288:4 292:2	269:4,18	242:22,24	255:1 300:6
314:8 333:23	296:20 305:21	270:23 271:7	243:3 273:7	313:12 404:3
338:19 364:21	309:5 333:10	272:9,20	300:1,10	associating
areas 16:20 32:9	394:1,8 395:7	273:10,21	317:24 325:23	145:6 158:12
82:10 227:15	395:19 396:9	274:5,6,24	325:23 327:24	association 8:5
argarose 349:4	398:4 399:10	275:1,17,24	395:19	34:10 121:20
argue 204:2	399:14 400:9	276:23 277:5,7	asking 18:17,18	123:23 130:19
212:4 259:16	417:2	277:13,20,24	19:1 26:10	134:4 135:24
271:10 307:14	articles 16:11,22	278:4,9,11	59:11,11 62:23	138:14,19
312:6 406:17	20:12 45:2	279:4,13	79:12 114:18	139:2 141:4,9
arguing 216:22	46:21 240:21	280:14,22	182:18 203:20	141:22,23
argument	254:19 255:15	281:7,10	205:14 238:21	142:4,16
110:24	256:17 394:12	282:15,19	251:8 261:3	143:22 144:24
argumentative	asbestiform	283:3,16 284:3	270:2,17 291:3	157:4,16
280:10	55:13 69:9,18	284:18 285:4	333:19 348:15	159:18 183:9
arguments	70:22 117:2	285:14,22	350:19 354:5,7	206:3 231:18
35:22	146:7 178:8	286:3,8,22	363:14 374:5	248:5,12,16,22
Army 276:14	262:6,12,17,20	287:12,13,22	408:4 411:20	249:15,20
ARPS 2:22	262:22 263:18	289:2,19	412:15	250:8 256:24
arrival 176:6	263:18 264:22	292:12 295:11	aspects 14:3	267:14 297:13
arrow 382:19	265:10,12	295:20 297:9	168:13	404:10 417:15
383:22	295:15	298:23 299:19	assay 345:4	Associations
Arsenic 70:3	asbestos 6:18,22	299:24 300:6	361:7 362:8	156:23
article 5:12 8:19	7:5,11,14	300:10,14	assays 360:6	assume 34:15
16:14 17:14	40:20 54:16	301:10,11	361:21 363:8	42:19 75:3
102:2 106:9	57:8,12,18	402:1,2,11	388:2 399:15	79:19 80:3
107:6 149:5,23	69:17 70:12,21	403:19	assertion 295:9	88:13 94:8
150:2,9,12	71:3 91:11,13	asbestos-cont	assess 33:13	108:14 133:9
151:19,21	91:15,20	100:15	90:3,11 210:7	134:13 167:20
153:18,20	100:13 107:19	asbestos-expo	292:18	167:21 172:7
154:2,4 155:7	129:11 135:6	275:6	assesses 247:14	172:14,15
137.4,7 133.7	127.11 133.0	213.0	assesses 27/.17	1 / 2 . 1 7 , 1 3

				Page 434
104 15 015 6	76 20 70 14	51 2 142 12	202 14 202 6	156 14 157 12
194:15 215:6	76:20 79:14	51:2 142:13	282:14 283:6	156:14 157:13
226:9 246:2	155:5 209:1,5	143:14 172:8	283:12 284:1	158:5 159:4
256:7 269:19	245:22 337:5	182:15 183:5	284:17 285:21	160:9 161:7
269:21 270:4	author's 344:16	183:11 236:23	286:8,20	banned 190:16
272:4 278:10	authoritative	296:3	287:12,14,22	190:17 199:20
282:19 336:12	171:17 172:8	Avenue 1:17	288:21 289:2	banning 199:11
368:3 375:16	172:16 173:8	average 120:24	292:11 297:2	bar 369:6
391:12 411:17	authority 174:7	275:18	300:14 359:6	bars 382:19
assumed 54:9	authors 16:22	avoid 236:24 237:9	378:20 420:6	383:22 Parton 2.5
270:20	75:4,10 76:8		420:16 back 5:9 11:10	Barton 3:5
assuming 25:19	76:10,23 77:3	aware 13:1		basal 137:22
54:21 87:16	77:4 78:5,18	40:14,18 49:9	22:16 23:4	based 30:19
94:23 261:17	79:9 80:15	51:16 66:12	42:1 44:12	41:14 51:14
270:22 272:6	111:17 162:8	69:15 70:20	46:4 62:1	57:13 65:7
280:21 282:22	162:22 166:14	94:14 95:4 97:19 103:8	63:11 70:5	87:7 98:4
282:24 403:8	167:3,13		73:4 74:10	143:12 184:19
assumption 87:18 270:16	169:20 171:15	160:15 161:5	80:22,23 89:13	187:5 190:20
	172:1 173:1	161:20 189:18	95:1 113:13	202:24 205:14
assumptions	175:8,9,12	190:16 192:1	145:22 148:19	224:22 227:1,5
347:16,20	180:10 203:6	197:9,21 198:7	148:21 159:3	229:3 234:17
assure 210:10	203:21 204:7	200:13 228:23	194:20 198:11	235:4 239:10
ATF 404:1	204:11,16,19	229:10 237:17	201:8 203:5	266:11,18
ATF3 403:23	204:20 205:10	240:6 241:19	212:13 230:6	277:19 284:23
404:9,15	206:1,4,9,20	276:3,4 287:10	233:15 298:12	289:12 332:5
Atlanta 3:4	207:1,20 208:5	293:13 294:2,9	300:24 306:20 310:22 312:14	348:8 372:20
attached 23:4,9 23:16 25:6	209:3,11,17,24 210:10 211:20	294:10 334:7 354:18 359:18	337:12 346:10	383:15 388:23 414:15 424:9
47:20 332:20	244:9 248:2,10	388:22 393:3	349:14 356:14	bases 335:5
427:12 429:11	249:14,19	409:21 410:3	364:16 365:19	basic 201:5
attempt 67:16	250:21 251:4	409.21 410.3	370:19 375:18	219:23 220:6
attempt 07.10	252:5 268:12	B	384:16 387:19	220:21 221:4
attend 102.14	268:22 269:16	B 301:5 382:4	387:20 406:15	410:18 412:22
340:17	270:22 344:12	baby 7:4 56:23	416:24 422:9	basically 13:13
attention 257:1	355:8 394:12	58:4 84:3,6	423:17	59:3 254:9
attorney 427:16	406:20 407:2	86:3 87:11	back-and-forth	347:23 386:19
attorneys 326:6	407:16 410:23	88:10,21 91:4	337:5	basis 8:5 13:6
attracted 257:1	411:1,8 412:15	91:7,10,21	background	15:9 58:23
attributable	413:6 414:5,14	96:5,19,21	114:4 147:12	83:22 144:13
116:5	417:6,13,19	109:7 120:22	backup 45:6,6	203:22 205:17
audience 150:13	419:11 420:1	140:1 146:11	46:7	205:18 272:6
150:14 330:4	authorship	184:6 225:17	bad 19:17 43:14	275:10 316:21
auspices 186:10	74:16 79:11	259:20 260:9	275:17	317:9 318:24
246:12 254:13	automatically	260:13,18	badness 196:22	332:22
Australian	314:20 315:5	261:2,3,17	balance 312:22	bath 184:7
78:15	315:15 322:14	265:6,7,18	Balkwill 5:10	Beach 2:9
author 17:6 74:3	416:8	266:1,9,11,15	153:2,5,7,19	beads 402:5
74:6,14,18	available 47:13	280:17,21	154:8 155:4,9	BEASLEY 2:3
,,	a variable r/.13	'	15 1.0 155.4,5	

				Page 435
bedtime 225:17	benefits 237:16	66:12,20 90:21	230:8 239:22	hailamlata
began 35:24	238:1,7,13,17	91:2 92:7 93:7	268:7 280:16	boilerplate 333:17
beginning 182:9	238:24 239:5	93:9,19,22,24	283:5 285:3	bold 188:10
183:2 330:21	240:13,14,22	123:22 124:9	310:24 365:21	296:22
335:7	benign 128:5	123.22 124.9	374:4 415:6	bombs 184:8
behave 260:18	169:14	130:18 145:1	417:2 423:19	book 242:15
Behavioral	Benjamin 2:23	145:16,21	424:5 429:15	244:7 247:3
247:23	Benjamin.hal	192:10 259:12	Birrer's 46:15	391:22
behest 251:6	2:24	261:5 266:9,10	106:10	Boston 2:20
belief 61:20		266:13,19	bit 20:9 61:11	bothered 79:14
68:17	benzophenone 266:4	267:14 287:5	72:22 83:6	bottle 87:11
believable 65:21	best 254:18	313:3 338:20	86:5 131:24	bottles 283:1
believe 19:3	255:15 256:16	340:5 358:8	147:16 157:19	284:3
25:12 26:22	beta 360:6,15	378:17 379:11	174:2 192:13	bottom 188:16
30:13 31:11	371:16,21	379:12,15 405:24	193:22 197:4	199:19 254:24
45:6,21 47:2	Beth 243:22		256:22 262:11 276:12 290:11	255:11 349:15
51:8,15 54:12	244:13	biological 26:1		bottom-line
59:6 60:17,24	better 15:10	27:5,15 265:6	304:20 305:21	255:8
64:2 65:20	30:23 156:23	283:13 311:13	311:17 349:2	bought 85:6
69:5,21 70:15	221:14,21	347:9 378:12	364:20 369:18	364:5
75:14 76:24	224:8,16 252:8	405:19 415:22	374:6 397:10	Boulevard 2:20
97:18 120:15	252:12 269:15	422:24	399:20 408:9	box 176:20
121:4 148:2	290:13 296:15	biologically	408:12 421:22	364:14
153:6,8 158:18	346:19 408:18	29:23 126:10	bizarre 408:18	Bradford 63:23
159:11,21	beyond 170:14	258:24 260:7,8	Blaes 25:12	66:9,13 145:22
170:2 185:9,18	bias 142:24	260:19 301:3	blank 177:23	brain 256:24
185:24 186:10	143:1 180:15	Biologics 358:3	blocked 129:14	break 19:21
189:21 194:2	biased 68:18	358:4 375:21	blocking 129:3	105:17 112:3
200:20 201:6	322:15	biologist 153:11	Blood 397:24	113:8 176:5
202:2 212:10	big 26:11 75:18	biologists	BMJ 7:13 288:5	177:8 230:1
213:2,6 220:19	75:18 95:7	312:21	288:6 289:22	306:16 310:17
242:17 244:12	128:22 148:22	biology 5:12	290:17 293:3	364:23 365:7
244:21 246:14	149:3,4 188:10	26:7 162:13	boards 146:20	423:11
253:15 254:14	194:11 244:7	346:9	boat 105:24	breaking 105:10
264:9 279:11	251:3 308:13	Birmingham	Boat's 106:2	177:6
299:22 305:9	316:14 322:7	1:18 10:7	Bob 171:4	breast 202:2
313:6 314:12	324:10 377:24	288:10	body 43:24	breastfeeding
314:24 315:2	biochemical	Birrer 1:14 4:8	68:16 108:23	119:7,18
317:2 334:8	192:5,19	4:10 10:9,13	109:1 110:13	brief 346:21
345:3 360:3	312:19	10:20 21:20	117:2 135:3	bring 19:22
379:6 386:14	biologic 26:11	23:3 42:17	136:11 152:3	114:24 256:10
389:1 400:16	27:13 29:17	49:22 81:23	165:22 180:3	257:4,8
402:21	30:2 36:2	82:19 113:15	180:12 184:5,7	Bristow 8:10
believed 157:14	41:10 51:13	115:4 146:21	184:19 193:3	334:3
157:22	59:2 61:13,14	153:20 160:22	235:12 237:21	British 288:8,9
benefit 239:6,10	61:21 62:4,13	167:2 177:8	body-type	broad 16:12
240:2	62:19,24 66:5	204:5 206:10	132:18	94:22 145:13
	I	<u> </u>	I	I

				Page 436
191:18 250:24	360:19	108:17,20	252:23 254:21	carcinic- 235:13
311:22 323:7	CALCAGNIE	110:20 115:10	255:2 256:17	carcinogen
broadly 311:22	2:8	116:5,17	256:24 273:13	18:19,19 19:3
· ·	California 2:9	117:10 120:12	274:7 275:6,24	19:9 146:22
Broadway 2:15 broken 192:21	call 106:17	121:14 122:5	278:5 279:14	
216:13 217:10	119:2,3 259:14	128:19 130:10	283:13 284:9	147:15 148:3,9 235:19
	288:4 322:9	131:9 133:3,4	288:21 293:19	
Brook 308:12	376:2 379:14		295:20 296:11	carcinogenesis 135:6 136:11
Brooke 7:23	called 77:22	133:17 134:6		148:15 267:10
brought 19:24	108:9 243:21	134:17 136:2,3	297:9,21 299:1 300:2 305:1	267:13
21:13,14 23:3 46:12	calling 316:21	136:9,19,21 137:9,13 138:6	306:10 307:3,7	
Brower 24:14	\circ	138:19 139:2	307:14 313:17	carcinogenic 4:20 7:22
	camps 308:19			
24:22	Canada 4:15,24	141:4,7,10,13	314:5,14	71:16 117:3
builders 279:3	48:21 49:10	142:5,17	334:19 336:13 337:12 340:10	179:19,20 234:23 235:13
bulk 32:16	51:1,8 53:21 53:24 54:9	143:16,23		
bullet 235:8,9		145:4 146:24	342:6 346:12	264:20 301:7
235:10 337:16	63:13,18 71:22	147:2 149:1,23	346:14,21,22	301:11,15
395:14,15	180:24 181:3	150:10,18,19	346:23 347:24	302:7
bulleted 342:4	181:11 182:6	152:4 153:10	357:17 377:20	carcinogenicity
bundled 24:19	182:15 184:13	155:11,20,21	395:8,11	147:3 180:2
24:19	184:18 186:13	156:3,7,9,24	396:19 397:16	carcinogens
bundles 178:9	186:22 187:16	157:3,4,16	398:16 399:16	147:7,22 266:3
burden 5:23	189:5,11,16	158:16 161:8	399:21 404:2,3	266:15 267:4
6:22 268:6	200:13,23	163:3,15	404:10 410:12	304:22 305:13
buried 349:2	202:17,18	164:11 165:12	417:16 421:4	care 3:2 6:12
405:6	264:5	165:14 166:5	421:16,20,22	14:15 247:2
businessman	Canada's 48:14	166:10,16	422:3,8,15	career 311:21
24:12	201:16	167:23 168:21	cancerous	careful 24:2
busy 244:6	Canadians	170:17 172:3	357:22	68:6 80:1
Butler 1:16	181:24 182:2	173:5 180:13	cancers 6:11	283:15 285:11
Buz'Zard 9:4	cancer 5:7,9,13	183:10 190:10	132:20 152:6	carefully 256:5
93:20,21 94:5	5:16 6:8,9,16	197:12,16,23	165:10 166:1	344:7 427:4
94:7 406:2	8:6,21 13:21	198:8 201:24	166:16 247:2	Carmargo
407:20 408:1	13:24 14:22	202:2 230:11	247:14 302:17	249:5
408:10,17	15:7,9 26:3,12	230:14,21	303:2 305:6	Carolina 213:10
418:17 419:6	28:2,6,10,14	231:14,21,23	313:8,13	Carson's 37:22
	29:2,9,19 30:4	233:1,7,19,23	capabilities	cascades 305:5
C2:13:15:17	34:9 40:6,17	233:24 234:5,8	152:15	case 1:7 11:6,16
301:5 426:1,1	41:2 44:8	234:10,21	capacity 7:17	11:17 12:5,10
C-cells 349:18	55:11 57:12,24	235:23 236:7	capitalized	12:16 23:19
C-reactive	61:18 66:7	236:11,14,22	341:20	24:18 25:12,22
135:23 248:4	67:14,18 78:7	237:23 241:21	capturing	27:3 31:23
CA-125 334:21	89:18 90:23	242:18 243:20	130:20 131:21	32:5 46:13
339:2,14,14,19	92:8,12,21,24	246:4 248:6,9	Car 7:10	65:6 97:13
340:9,14,17	95:11,12,13	248:13 249:5	carbohydrate	102:10 103:5
352:18,22	101:21 102:3,7	249:10,13,16	192:11,21	104:13 145:15
332.10,22	107:15,19,21	249:21 251:23	Carbon 255:20	165:20 208:14
L	1		1	1

				Page 437
211:9 292:22	caution 121:4	cells 8:24 9:9,14	224:7 225:1	Characteristics
296:12 424:12	caveat 95:20	15:19 134:18	235:24 239:14	152:1
424:15	120:4,19	147:22 152:12	275:18 325:14	characterizati
case-control	cavities 260:14	155:23 166:6	325:21 332:15	54:22 191:7
115:7 139:3	274:19	210:5 297:19	333:5 348:19	
	cavity 122:22	299:20 302:17	348:23 350:7	characterized 122:9
140:18,20 180:12 231:2	123:7 126:12		353:2 389:17	
	123.7 120.12	303:2,18 305:8 312:21,22	398:2 399:3	charge 361:22
case-controlled		,		charging 25:3 Charlie 167:15
115:21,23 144:5	190:23 194:4	327:3 334:20	certainty 13:11 Certified 1:21	168:5
	194:17 195:6	344:14,16 347:24 348:3		
case-controls	195:21,23,24		certify 426:5,13 429:5	chart 8:17
139:20	196:3,18,23,24	348:20 349:7		365:22 366:4
cases 1:8 23:23	269:7 274:9,18	349:24 350:3,8	cervical 125:8	367:12,20
24:9,19 26:18	275:1 297:23	358:2,4,7,17	129:23	369:16 370:7
96:8 116:5,6	CDC 246:17	359:4,7,14	Cervix 126:1	370:23 374:5
208:21 211:17	251:7	375:17 377:7	cetera 86:18,19	376:22 377:4
211:19 290:11	cell 9:3 95:15	383:3 397:17	Cgarber@rob	383:16 387:20
cast 362:10	117:18 121:13	397:18 401:13	2:10	charts 366:21
CAT 360:9,19	122:9 134:19	402:7 403:7,13	chagrinned	374:12 382:15
367:18 370:1,1	150:2,23	406:24 410:11	322:18	check 37:8
370:24 371:4	151:10 154:18	415:20,23	chair 38:7 213:9	176:5,14
383:1	162:17 163:9,9	417:6 419:24	challenge	245:14 268:9
causal 134:3	163:10,12	421:6,20,21	100:19 101:5	checked 403:2
141:14 143:15	164:8,14	422:1,3,8,14	challenges	checking 271:14
182:16,23	165:21 303:16	422:15	269:22 375:11	399:1
183:11,17	303:19,21	cellular 15:16	challenging	chemical 88:10
249:11	305:3 326:1	32:12 134:20	101:9	258:4 304:22
causality 266:20	334:19 337:12	349:16 397:3	change 51:12	304:23 305:13
causation 26:23	337:18 339:1	417:21	99:21 147:24	chemically
27:4,11,16,19	339:13 340:7	cement 275:20	265:16,17	250:1 257:23
32:18	341:14,15	center 14:22	266:8 347:9	258:2,17
cause 26:2 28:9	344:22 345:22	247:17 361:10	371:20 372:3,6	chemicals 88:2
28:13 29:18	352:10,13	Centers 247:13	372:7 393:17	88:21 100:20
41:2 92:11,21	357:15,17,22	central 133:2	428:4	108:19 266:2
92:24 134:13	358:1,2,4,10	346:11,14	changed 12:17	chemistry 313:1
174:23 250:2	361:7 362:6,11	363:10	12:19,23 347:7	chemoresistan
250:22 251:9	367:22 371:8	certain 79:24	348:10 369:14	163:11 166:5
252:9 273:12	372:13 375:4	97:1 134:4	changes 147:22	166:11 399:22
296:6 426:16	375:12,20,21	192:21 311:24	307:16 309:9	Chemoresistant
caused 9:8	376:3 377:20	386:10 397:9	309:11,21	398:15
191:12 288:21	378:2,20	416:14	344:13,22	chemotherapy
causes 146:24	379:13 380:24	certainly 33:10	346:8 349:5	14:3 255:21
273:10 297:9	381:3 382:1	62:18 66:15	403:3,11 405:2	Chicago 3:9
297:18 299:20	386:10 387:12	84:19 96:20	405:8,9,17	358:3
causing 26:12	400:13 401:24	97:10 101:6	427:11 429:10	chimney 145:3
135:4 161:8	406:14 416:4	108:6 155:8	chapter 106:9	choice 106:2
301:6	421:5,23 423:1	192:10 193:9	247:4,5 391:22	choose 41:23
				<u> </u>

				Page 438
12.0 15 19	252.12.10	35:3 65:9	369:17	221.10 17 22
43:9,15,18 79:23 80:5	353:12,19 clarified 341:20	139:12 144:6	Colorado 2:12	331:10,17,22 332:6,21,23
				334:10 335:8
172:7 241:7,12	350:16 354:17	168:3,5 274:5	Columbia 253:5	
choosing 79:16	clarify 22:15	295:13 390:23	column 254:9	335:20 341:8
79:18 257:8	117:23 263:11	396:14	371:8 376:13	346:18 347:11
chopped 363:15	354:6	clinically 40:9	combine 147:14	347:18 348:8
chose 172:3	Clarke-Pearson	144:19 145:5	combined 21:12	357:5
256:16	38:6,9 213:2,3	clinician 33:10	392:16	Commerce 2:3
chosen 78:18	213:13,18	35:9	come 14:12	commercial
335:19	217:21 220:18	cloning 349:4	84:11 89:13	419:21
chromium	221:10,15,22	close 73:22	109:4 110:8,9	commission
265:19	223:18 224:9	269:24 297:19	110:15 147:18	429:20
chromosome	224:17 228:18	299:21 369:22	148:19,21	committee 2:2
309:12	Clarke-Pears	409:8	184:20 193:17	243:18,19
Chron- 133:24	38:4 213:23	closely 163:15	202:20 207:18	246:3 389:7
chronic 130:11	219:22 220:5	295:12	208:3 212:13	390:6
133:2 134:2	221:18 424:6	CN 419:21	228:8,11	common 133:19
163:14 191:7	classic 148:11	Co-Authors 5:3	288:20 291:24	201:5 211:2
191:18 251:2	225:9	co-counsel	306:19 308:9	220:21 221:4
299:9 397:1	classifiable	367:6	317:24 323:15	337:4
chronicity	235:7	coach 114:10	387:20	communicate
130:23	classification	coaching 114:12	comes 188:11	274:15
Chrysotile 6:18	179:17,24	114:15,16	270:24 378:3	communicatio
cigarette 100:9	235:14	coauthor 79:17	comfortable	103:20
100:11 118:1,3	classified 234:22	coauthors 73:24	89:22	community
circles 176:20	235:6 420:17	79:5,6 80:10	coming 52:22	77:16 120:10
circumstances	classmates	81:12 167:18	68:14 70:5	120:16
297:18 355:19	302:1	244:10	87:23 100:17	company 326:2
citation 171:8	clean 376:21	cobalt 265:20	112:4 114:5,19	326:3,22
214:12	clear 55:14	cohort 115:7,21	223:9 309:22	comparable
cite 41:23 42:12	109:3 117:18	115:24 139:20	323:23	31:13
172:3 418:16	121:13 132:12	140:9,17 144:5	command 84:1	Comparative
418:19	197:19 235:22	145:15 231:8,9	commencing	304:21
cited 42:20	236:13,15	coitus 131:1	1:18	compare 192:16
174:17	273:19 277:12	213:21 218:15	comment	255:19 366:13
citing 248:6	284:11,13	218:17,23	113:19 189:2	366:14
249:5	297:14 312:7	278:16	194:22 261:24	compared
citizen 4:17	378:2,6	collaborators	299:15 314:18	276:17 383:4
49:14,19	clearance	79:23	335:11 342:8	compares
citizen's 73:2,4	295:24	colleagues	344:3 354:8	272:13 273:2
73:5	clearly 66:1	102:12,18	357:8 420:23	276:16
claim 344:16	84:12 414:10	334:16 415:5	commented	comparing
346:11,13	CLIA-approved	collection 210:2	342:3 387:7,9	72:23 242:8
349:6	363:10	collectively	comments 36:1	399:21 409:4
claims 289:2	clinic 14:19 41:8	396:18	189:5,10	comparison
348:20	96:20	College 257:10	322:22 328:16	256:4
clarification	clinical 26:13	color 53:17	329:4,22	compatible
	20.13	20101 23.17	327.1,22	

				Page 439
	İ			İ
133:16	con- 85:11	201:16 202:15	140:18 211:22	consistent 12:24
compelling	concentration	202:17 210:24	295:14	108:5 130:11
127:13 145:20	408:20	227:16 255:3	confirmed 139:1	133:15 142:4
compellingly	concentrations	268:21 348:24	conflict 320:8	142:15 180:14
344:13	194:3	383:9 414:5,20	321:19	214:10
compendium	concept 40:14	414:22 419:17	conflicted	consistently
68:21	97:2 221:1	419:19	355:15	118:5
competence	396:8	conclusions	conflicting	consortia
109:24	Concepts	33:14 65:22	320:13	138:16
competent	306:10 307:2	108:24 178:22	confounders	consortium 34:2
109:4 110:7	concern 18:16	179:1 180:18	141:22	34:6,10,16,19
186:16	184:8 190:20	181:11 185:4	confounding	34:22 75:21,22
complaint 49:14	283:24 296:6	202:20 206:16	141:5,11 142:7	76:5 78:16
complete 65:10	322:19 377:24	206:17 207:19	180:15	consortium's
148:3,8	416:14	207:20 208:4,5	confused 206:2	76:10
completed	concerned	237:13 264:17	confusing 83:13	constitute 182:6
338:12	236:23 237:8	264:18 268:12	congressionally	construct 32:18
completely	371:15 416:3	271:5 288:20	247:12	44:24
61:16 68:8,10	416:13	292:1 335:9	connecting	constructed
83:14 143:23	concerns 190:9	351:12,22	125:10	25:2
271:21 374:22	293:13 294:3	386:16,17	connection	consultant
416:4	294:10 347:14	387:3 414:14	196:23	317:3,18
complex 147:19	350:14,20	concordant	consequences	320:17 355:23
193:22	351:3,9 393:4	119:10	152:17	consultation
complicated	conclude 60:14	concur 83:14	Consequently	188:19,22
165:19 171:1	62:6 181:21	condition	346:4	consumer
181:8	202:8,16	157:15	consider 30:16	235:22 236:13
compliment	204:16 206:21	conditions	61:12 73:15	237:1,10
306:8	206:24 207:2	169:15 279:9	84:21 85:14	contact 269:3,6
component	231:13 407:3,6	358:18 386:11	170:16 191:5	269:17 270:1,3
14:20 66:22	414:10 420:1	397:9	300:10 312:20	270:16 271:24
93:9 95:22	concluded	condoms 189:19	405:19,23	272:2 297:20
312:8	179:13 180:4	conduct 63:1	considerably	299:21
components	180:19 181:16	conducted 57:21	372:3	contacts 279:13
55:12 311:20	181:22 203:7	82:24 83:2	considered	contain 85:11,13
319:17	203:22 204:7	84:19	42:15,16 45:10	237:1,10
composed 76:6	204:11 211:20	conduit 125:9	45:11 54:18	280:22 283:3
compositional	407:16 425:2	129:14,21,24	67:10 77:5	284:17 285:4
57:15	concludes 109:8	130:1	119:6 167:22	289:2 295:10
comprehensive	254:24 424:23	conference	289:12 336:18	contained 4:13
33:18 106:21	conclusion	102:15	384:6 422:15	7:14 32:4 84:5
107:14,18	52:22 66:3	confidence	considering	85:15 91:13
108:8,15	109:5 110:8,10	260:4	147:3 213:19	282:14 284:2,3
computer 45:1	110:16 112:19	confident 277:1	considers	285:22 286:21
176:24	112:20 158:19	confidential	200:10	container
computer-based	184:20,22	393:12	consistency 98:2	261:13
44:22 45:5	185:15 187:2	confirm 61:21	99:19	Containers 7:9

				Page 440
(0.17.10.70.22	210.11	261 22 262 2	107 4 107 10	402.0.12
69:17,18 70:22	310:11	361:23 362:3	196:4 197:18	402:9,12
235:7,23	contributions	363:11	208:10,11,14	403:19 404:2
236:13 280:13	307:12	corn 198:5	208:15,17	404:11,22
contains 91:11	control 18:21	cornstarch	211:19 213:4,7	406:3,14
234:23 265:7	145:15 202:1	189:20 192:2	213:8,10,11,14	407:17 408:14
265:19 292:11	214:8 247:13	192:10 193:2	230:17 233:21	410:24 411:3
contaminant	247:18 361:24	197:11,15,22	235:20 237:3	411:10,20
210:1	379:6 382:8,9	241:6,11,16,17	243:21 246:6	413:23 414:15
contamination	controlled	241:20	246:14,15	414:23,24
40:20 209:18	209:17	cornstarch-ba	247:19,24	417:8 418:17
210:3,12 211:1	controlling	198:6	248:1 249:23	418:20 419:14
211:24 212:4	379:8	Corporate 2:8	257:10 262:8	420:7 424:6
271:11,19	controls 383:4	correct 10:24	272:1 276:10	426:11 429:7
contemporary	controversial	11:2 12:5,8	278:2 281:8	corrected 98:23
295:9	336:4,6,11,18	13:14,16,17	282:21 288:23	corrections
content 90:2,10	convenient	15:14 17:9,10	289:3 297:3	427:5,7 429:9
91:3	172:22 173:11	18:21 23:5,10	298:14,19	correctly 179:14
context 62:6,21	conversation	23:11,24 24:10	299:22 305:10	210:15 227:6
89:20 232:20	222:15	26:4,7 27:6,16	312:14,17	227:12 242:4,9
242:8 258:15	conversations	27:17,20 28:3	320:9 323:16	249:8 311:19
258:16,17	96:11 321:17	28:7,11,17	327:4,7 328:17	345:3
297:2 322:18	321:23,24	29:6 32:2,24	329:10,11	Correlate 8:24
326:17,21	322:1 328:2,7	33:20 34:5,17	333:11 334:4	401:13
continue 280:17	328:11	34:23 35:14,15	334:12 335:17	Correlative 6:3
continued 3:1	convince 62:3	36:21 39:4	335:21 337:24	corresponded
5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1	convinced 159:1	42:13 43:17,20	339:9 341:16	307:6
9:1	convincing	45:12,15 47:1	341:17,22	correspondence
contraceptive	60:13 110:14	48:7,8,15 52:6	345:1 347:12	7:16 321:6
119:7,17	110:19 120:17	63:18,21 65:4	347:13 351:24	corroborated
contraction	202:16 266:19	66:24 67:8,10	352:3 357:6,17	337:18 341:14
227:2,16	339:4 352:23	69:10,13,18	358:14 359:6,9	341:16
contractions	convincingly	70:23 73:23	359:11 360:20	corroboration
226:24	112:20	74:2,4,5 79:20	361:7,11 362:8	339:7
contrary 201:5	copies 45:6	85:12 94:10	362:12,17	cosmetic 5:22
219:23 220:5	50:12 114:22	95:18 98:12	363:4,22	26:2 146:11
220:20	114:24 322:3	101:24 102:1,4	366:21 367:18	410:19 411:3
contrast 137:9	copy 20:19	107:15,16,17	367:19 371:1	412:24
contribute 29:1	46:12 47:3	107:20 108:16	374:13,15	cosmetic-grade
29:8 30:3	50:16,21 69:24	108:21 134:14	377:6 379:14	187:5
77:23 80:6	162:9 188:5	150:1 154:5,6	379:22 387:3	cosmetically
155:24 407:7	247:21 281:15	155:11,14	388:24 389:4	85:6
407:14	282:6 294:22	157:5,6 160:16	391:7 392:3	costly 338:7
contributed	321:12 328:19	163:5,23 165:7	394:14 395:21	cough 241:9
104:15,22	329:12 330:8	165:8 172:5	396:9 398:7,19	coumarin 266:3
contributing	345:18 367:8	175:2,11,20	399:7,16 400:9	COUNCIL 3:2
75:12	401:9 415:11	184:10 187:3	400:13 401:1	counsel 2:2 3:2
contribution	core 361:14,19	188:3 193:12	401:23 402:1,4	3:7 10:10 22:5
Conti ibution	010 301.17,13	100.5 175.12	TU1.43 TU4.1,4	5.7 10.10 22.3

				Page 441
42.2.50.16	200.10.215.6.6	17.24.10.11	110.1 111.0 10	170.2 0 101.5
42:3 50:16	308:18 315:6,6	17:24 19:11	110:1 111:9,19	179:2,8 181:5
102:11 103:23	credit 292:10	21:9 22:3,14	112:2,14,21	181:12 182:20
423:24 426:14	criteria 66:15,17	22:20 26:8,20	114:1,8,11	183:18 184:14
counseled 40:9	181:21 191:23	27:8 28:15,20	115:13 116:10	185:6,12,20
countries 68:22	390:21	29:10,20 30:5	116:19 117:5	186:2,17 187:8
counts 271:8	critical 166:10	31:5,17,24	117:13 118:7	187:18 189:6
COUNTY 426:3	206:23 207:8	32:14 33:6,21	118:15 119:15	190:3,11,24
couple 81:15	302:18 303:3	34:24 35:18	120:13 121:6	191:14 192:3
163:7 245:1	criticism 35:16	36:8 37:1	121:15,23	193:4,13
306:23 327:19	36:7 213:13	40:21 41:15	122:12,23	194:18 195:9
course 36:2 47:4	319:6	42:23 43:11,22	123:8,18 124:3	196:9 197:13
189:3 195:1	criticisms	45:3,20 46:10	124:21 125:2	197:24 200:18
227:3 275:14	208:12 213:1	47:7,19 48:1	125:12 126:14	202:22 203:8
297:21 312:24	337:10	49:3 50:14	126:23 127:6	203:16,23
court 1:1 3:20	criticize 43:19	51:5,10,21	127:15,23	204:12,22
10:11 12:1	326:11	52:8,16 53:3	128:8,20 129:6	205:5,12 206:6
24:3 56:12	critique 333:22	54:2,19 55:6	129:17 130:4	206:11 207:3
98:17 124:10	348:13	55:20 56:5,10	130:14 131:3	207:13,22
124:15 198:18	crocidolite 6:18	56:17 57:1,9	132:21 133:6	208:7,23
198:23 212:18	403:19	58:12,19 59:16	133:20 134:7	209:19 210:13
212:19 244:14	cross-compare	60:8,19 61:2,9	134:23 135:9	211:11 212:1
290:10 292:21	408:1	61:23 62:15	135:18 136:4	212:14,17
426:20 427:20	crosstalk 397:18	63:8,19 64:3	136:12,22	214:15 215:1
cover 16:2 69:17	Crowley's 87:21	64:13,17 66:18	137:14,24	215:12 216:5
387:21	87:22 88:6,14	67:21 68:3	138:9,20 139:7	216:24 217:12
coverage 200:2	CRR 3:20	69:11 70:13	139:13,21	218:4 219:10
covered 250:16	culminating	71:1,24 72:7	140:3,11,21	219:24 220:7
272:9	303:19	72:18 74:8	141:17,24	221:16,24
covering 274:21	culture 326:1	75:23 76:12	142:9,18 143:3	222:13 223:1
covers 335:5	378:20 400:13	77:10,17,24	143:9,17,24	223:20 224:3
Cramer 208:12	401:24 423:1	78:22 79:21	144:9,20	224:12,20
208:16,19	cultured 358:23	80:19 81:19	146:13 147:4	225:3,12,18,24
209:4 250:9	cultures 9:3	82:12 84:8	152:22 153:13	226:7,13
Cramer's 209:6	406:14	85:2,16 87:2	154:11,16	227:24 228:19
creams 184:6	curiosity 300:13	88:16 89:2,8	155:12 156:15	229:5,17
create 373:10	current 4:24	90:5,12 91:5	157:17 158:8	230:22 231:15
creating 374:8	53:20 167:1	91:22 92:3,15	159:6,13	232:2,15 233:2
creation 114:3	333:3 336:24	93:1 94:16	160:18 161:10	233:11 236:2
366:4	337:1	95:5 96:3,16	161:18 163:17	236:17 237:4
credibility	currently 13:18	97:7,23 98:15	164:18 165:16	238:3,10 239:7
123:22 124:9	13:20 15:6	98:21 99:11	167:24 168:22	239:16,23
124:13 130:18	338:19	100:3 101:11	169:22 170:12	240:7,16,24
credible 68:1	Curriculum	103:10,14,19	171:19 172:10	242:5 243:10
73:16 79:6,13	4:10 8:3	104:17 105:5,8	172:18 173:15	245:18,23
79:15,20 80:17	Curry 2:21 4:4	105:14 106:11	173:24 174:19	246:8 250:14
81:18 124:19	12:6 14:17	107:22 108:10	175:3 176:2	251:11,17
242:2 289:12	16:5,24 17:15	109:11,17	177:3 178:2	252:16,24

				Page 442
253:19 255:5	324:18 325:4	393:6 394:15	D 4:1 5:1 6:1,16	354:15,19
255:16 256:19	325:12 326:13	394:20 395:2	7:1 8:1 9:1	356:3 366:21
257:11,17,24	327:5 328:20	395:22 396:11	D'Limonine	368:4 369:5
258:19 259:1,6	330:7,11,15	396:21 397:5	266:4	372:20,24
259:21 260:1	331:11 335:2	398:9,20 399:8	daily 272:15,15	373:2,5 374:9
260:11,21	335:22 336:8	399:17 400:4	273:4,4	374:12 375:1
261:10,21	336:19 337:7	400:14 401:2,8	damage 156:8	383:23 384:1,9
262:15,23	338:2,8,13,21	402:24 403:20	164:8,14	385:5,14 391:6
263:23 264:7	339:20 340:20	404:4,12,23	303:19	391:24 395:20
265:2,8,21	341:23 342:18	405:11,21	Dan 250:9	399:11 404:15
266:5,16,24	343:10,24	407:22 408:6	danger 182:6	407:6,13 414:6
267:6,11 269:9	345:17 347:2	408:15 409:2	data 28:22 29:4	414:10
270:7 271:12	348:7 349:19	410:8 411:4,11	29:12 30:7	data's 374:18
272:17 273:5	350:10,21	411:21 412:8	51:14,16 52:21	date 1:19 10:4
273:14,23	351:15 352:1	412:17 413:8	55:9,14,24	24:7 48:24
275:2 276:6	352:20 353:8	413:24 415:10	56:4,15 58:1	144:15 163:13
277:2,15 278:7	353:24 354:10	415:14,24	58:14,23 59:3	427:9 429:15
278:18,24	354:21 355:13	416:10 417:22	59:4,6,22	Daubert 27:11
279:16 280:5,9	356:5,24	418:10 419:1	60:11,17,21	daughter 269:23
280:24 282:5,9	358:19 359:21	420:8,21	65:6,21 66:4	271:2
283:7,20 284:4	360:1 361:1,15	421:14 422:20	86:20 89:17,22	Dawn 2:21
284:21 285:5	362:18 363:5	423:2,6,21	90:20 92:5	50:12 175:24
285:23 286:13	363:23 365:1,6	424:1,4,17	93:6 109:2,20	373:18
286:24 287:15	365:11 366:2,7	curve 7:18	110:17,19,19	Dawn@nutter
287:23 289:4	366:15,22	cut 204:23	111:11,13,16	2:21
289:14,24	367:14 368:1	368:22	111:16,17	day 65:19 66:3
290:7,20 292:5	368:11,15,24	cutoff 390:19	119:11 136:19	97:22 112:6
292:13 293:4	369:4,20 370:6	cutting 205:7	143:14,21	299:13,14
293:15 294:5	370:11 372:1,9	354:1	144:7,17,19,24	309:5 344:10
294:21 296:7	372:16 373:1,8	CV 20:22,23	145:20 177:20	426:17 429:19
297:4,10 298:3	373:14,19	21:2 73:18	182:15 183:11	days 14:21
298:8,15,20	374:1,16 375:5	80:9 81:12	184:22 185:4	427:16
299:6 300:16	375:9,23 376:8	167:18 311:3	187:11,12	de 337:9
301:12 303:10	377:14,22	311:11 316:3	194:2 195:11	dead 214:13,18
303:24 304:6	378:8,14,21	cycle 228:15	195:15 202:16	214:21 215:8
304:15 305:14	379:3 380:7,12	Cynthia 2:9	202:21 219:2,3	215:22 216:1,1
306:12 307:19	380:22 381:4	cytokine 156:5	223:4 232:18	216:2,9,16
308:6,20	381:15,22	cytokines	235:4,5 256:6	217:4,22,22
309:15 310:13	382:17 383:12	122:11 137:22	273:16 276:2	218:10,14
311:14 313:9	383:17 384:3	155:23 156:6	277:9,19	225:2 228:24
313:24 314:6	384:13,19	cytotoxicity 9:8	278:20,22	dealing 83:2
314:15,22	385:1,7,15,22	9:12 415:8,17	279:8,23 280:1	110:18 145:19
315:7,17	386:6 387:4	417:3 419:23	284:7 287:4,5	dealt 40:8
317:20 318:11	388:3,10,17	421:3	296:23 307:15	death 150:23
319:9 320:22	389:5,14,24	cytoxicity 418:8	313:19,20	151:10 303:20
322:11,24	390:13 391:1,8	Cytometry 710.0	340:6,16	debate 251:1
323:17 324:3	390:13 391:1,8	D	344:15 346:10	Debra 5:23
343.1/344.3	JJ2.7,0,1/		JTT.1J J#0.10	Devia J.23

				Page 443
J J 7.12	202.7	1	106.10.146.7	dl 02.6
decades 7:13	292:7	deposit 193:20	106:10 146:7	develop 92:6
60:12 62:6	definition	deposited	148:12 149:23	147:11
147:7 272:16	148:14 179:21	218:14	150:17 214:13	developed
273:4 283:3	264:2 309:6	deposition 1:14	238:17 263:19	138:16 140:2
decayed 216:12	313:1 338:10	10:6,21,22	422:8	developing
December 5:20	definitive 59:4	11:4,7 20:15	describing	55:11
25:13,13 49:1	86:19 113:6,7	21:4,15,16	148:10 421:8	development
49:5 52:3	definitively	24:20 31:23	description	29:2,9 30:4
184:18 254:17	188:4	45:17,19,22	72:15 152:8	61:18 66:6
decent 362:4	degree 13:10	46:24 48:11,18	298:1 343:21	67:18 90:22
decide 92:11	33:1	49:11,16 50:5	346:20	122:5 143:22
decision 8:9,13	delayed 11:16	52:5 53:12	deserve 79:11	156:7 166:10
184:18 186:6	deleterious	81:8 113:23	design 17:20	306:10 307:3,5
332:22 389:12	128:17	149:14 153:15	18:20 79:10	309:9 340:10
declaration	deletion 156:6	162:3 166:20	139:17,19	346:11,14
320:13	delivery 228:6	199:7 205:21	designated 19:9	350:1
decline 244:5	delve 209:24	209:13 234:1	designed 152:13	developmental
declined 243:2	demonstrate	246:22 253:21	designing	168:4
deem 51:19 52:7	380:6 422:24	264:13 268:2	267:15	Devices 199:21
deemed 427:19	demonstrated	281:18 282:1	despite 344:15	Devyn 3:13 10:3
deeper 209:24	386:20	287:18 291:4	detail 86:11	diagnose 13:20
defendant 2:18	demonstrates	294:13 301:18	94:12 194:11	diagnosis 11:16
11:20,21	206:18 268:15	311:7 317:12	196:14 343:18	15:10
defendants	Denver 2:12	317:13 318:6	detailed 72:2	diaper 184:6
23:23 103:9	deodorants	320:3 323:22	344:3	diaphragm
104:7	184:7	324:21 328:24	detailing 15:8	274:20
Defendants'	department	329:17 340:19	details 215:4	diaphragm's
4:12	38:7 213:9	366:5 385:23	detect 139:4	197:3
defense 285:21	departmental	393:21 400:21	405:7,9,13	diaphragms
286:6	317:23	406:9 409:13	detected 128:5	189:24 190:1
defer 424:11,14	depend 33:23	409:22 410:4	208:13,22	difference 61:13
define 60:21	315:9,20	415:1 424:23	271:7	262:21 263:6
67:23 74:11	dependency	425:2 427:3,13	detection 15:10	330:2
79:15 89:4,6	386:10	427:17,19	200:16 201:2	differences
89:10 94:18	dependently	depositions	201:19 206:17	192:1,6,20
97:9 124:8,13	406:24 407:1	45:14 46:6	247:5	193:1 269:23
124:18 146:21	depending	66:13 233:13	determination	416:5
159:15 177:24	374:18 390:7	describe 15:5	91:1 181:4	different 9:13
191:2,2 257:19	408:20 414:8	71:14 226:18	200:23	26:17 52:22
262:4 314:2	depends 32:17	226:22 242:3	determine 40:16	58:17 69:16
defined 106:15	144:14 158:10	249:2 305:23	71:16 183:16	73:1 75:20
250:19 251:19	159:15 237:6	described 53:5	315:22 334:17	95:10,23 106:3
252:7,8,12	380:24 389:16	83:11 148:6	determined	108:24 109:9
303:18	deponent 10:8	151:7,21	200:14 212:8	110:9 147:17
defining 216:11	429:2	187:22 337:3	249:10 281:7	178:14,15
216:21 358:11	deposed 12:20	422:13	determining	184:20 185:4
definitely 287:5	deposed 12.20 deposing 427:16	describes	302:18 303:3,8	192:18 202:17
definitely 207.3	acposing 72/.10	acsci ibes	302.10 303.3,0	172.10 202.17

P				Page 444
202:20 207:19	117.4 16 21	discusses 155:20	doctor 13:15	dosed 407:1
208:4 261:2	117:4,16,21 118:9,14,22		320:11	doses 334:20
	· · ·	discussing 31:20 98:4 264:5	doctors 290:18	352:15 359:18
264:2 293:2,10	119:4,24		292:10	
298:2 305:12	120:19 121:9	discussion 65:1		362:11 402:8
308:4 330:4	121:10,17	148:9 346:22	document 1:7	doubt 189:17
364:21 368:19	122:2,3 123:1	349:1 387:18	4:12 21:23	Doug 245:1,3
368:23 374:22	123:11,12,17	discussions	25:6 43:3 50:8	DPM 2:13
381:8 397:24	123:20 124:6	103:15 241:17	53:19 63:12	Dr 10:20 21:20
408:10,13	124:20 126:17	disease 118:13	67:2 70:9,20	23:3 36:3
410:19 412:23	126:18,22	135:8 137:7	101:15,19	37:19,22 38:2
414:9 415:19	127:1,8,17,22	157:12 169:17	234:14 322:16	38:4,9,11,12
416:4 417:4	128:1,7,10,23	169:17 247:13	374:8	38:15,16,18,19
418:14 420:12	129:8,16,19	247:18	documentable	38:21,24 39:5
421:7	130:16 131:2	disingenuous	268:19	39:8,11,15,17
differential 9:7	132:10,23	115:8	documented	39:19 40:19
408:19	133:13,22	dismissed 116:8	346:5	42:16 45:18
differently	134:12 135:1	disparate	documenting	46:2,15,23
197:19 369:18	135:11,17,20	110:21	344:22	47:5,17 48:18
difficult 24:4	136:15,16,24	dispositive	documents	49:10,22 52:5
99:16 130:22	138:3 139:6,9	91:17	72:24 290:12	81:23 82:19
131:22 188:9	140:13,15,23	dissect 65:12	292:17 326:16	87:21,22 88:6
259:10 273:8	141:1,2,16,19	dissemination	doing 15:12 16:3	88:14 94:9
364:2 384:7	142:8,11,20,22	397:15	79:9 80:8	103:6 104:4,5
408:21	143:2,19	dissolve 297:16	144:18 291:4,6	104:6 106:10
difficulties	144:11 146:16	298:14	291:10 308:18	113:15 115:4
306:21	165:2 173:7	dissolved 359:11	325:10 338:19	146:21 149:7,8
difficulty 100:6	200:22 224:14	distant 194:1	350:18 362:3	153:2,7,19,20
214:5	250:23 251:16	397:16	372:17,22	154:8 155:4,5
diffraction	252:4,15 255:3	distinction	380:3 427:8	155:9 156:14
295:16	255:12,13	271:2	dollars 319:19	157:13 158:5
digestion 210:2	335:11 383:5,7	distinguish	domestic 100:12	159:4 160:9,22
dilation 137:22	383:19	377:1	276:5 410:21	161:7,8 162:7
dioxide 402:3	disagreeing	DISTRICT 1:1	413:2 414:13	167:2,21,21,22
Diplomate 1:20	387:22	1:2	dosage 379:1	177:8 204:5
direct 130:13	disagreement	diversity 151:14	dosages 409:23	206:10 208:16
135:13 186:19	145:11 250:11	divide 131:15	dose 386:9 402:9	208:19 209:4
197:1	disciplines	division 122:10	402:9,17 405:4	213:2,3,13,18
direction 228:4	247:16	253:5,12 305:3	dose-dependant	213:23 214:12
308:10	disclosed 103:9	DMSO 359:11	386:20	217:21 219:22
directly 122:7	discuss 48:10	379:7,7	dose-dependent	220:5 221:10
221:9 248:17	95:21 102:17	DNA 122:10	303:20 380:6	221:15,18,22
304:23	155:10 357:12	137:22 164:13	380:20 383:3	223:18 224:9
director 14:22	discussed 75:21	164:13 303:19	383:10	224:17 226:17
disagree 83:22	102:9 103:5	304:23 307:13	dose-deponent	228:18 230:8
113:16 115:11	107:6,12	309:8,12	386:5	239:22 244:11
	232:17 329:8	doc- 11:22		
116:1,9,12,18			dose-response	244:22,24
116:21,23	406:16 417:7	Docket 1:9	128:13,18	245:5,8,9

				Page 445
254:24 255:4	227:15	391:19,19	310:9,10	137:3
256:14,15	drive 2:8 3:8	editorial 290:3	321:18 341:10	endometrioid
268:7 280:16	46:8	editors 171:16	346:17 355:22	117:18 121:13
281:13,22,23	driver 310:7	175:1,11	356:3 376:6	378:2
282:24 283:5	drivers 307:14	288:20,24	407:19 420:19	endometriosis
285:3 286:11	driving 250:10	289:10 321:18	422:12,17	118:2,12,18
286:18 295:1,3	dry 189:19	328:3 332:5	EL1 375:12	119:1,8,13,18
301:22,23	due 128:13	333:16 391:17	381:7 382:2	133:14 135:7
303:7 304:10	130:12 141:5	391:23 398:3	electron 6:4	135:14 137:6
304:14 310:24	141:10 210:11	413:18	295:17	169:16,16
310:24 311:3	410:21 413:1	effect 9:9 92:2	electronic 46:17	endometrium
316:17,22	duly 10:15	93:19,22	elements 66:14	129:23
318:7 319:15	dust 70:3 96:22	128:17 143:15	130:22	ends 274:18
320:16,20	221:2 256:2	145:16 147:1	elevated 122:11	enduing 151:11
320:16,20	dusting 60:13	182:16 183:12	elicit 132:17	Engineering
322:21 323:22	189:24 190:1	183:17 327:3	elicited 414:7	69:2 241:24
324:13 326:12	198:6 215:15	331:9 378:13	eliciting 303:6	246:13
332:1 333:10	220:23 222:7	379:14 382:13	eliminate	engines 44:3
334:3,5,15	241:7,12	386:20 405:20	271:21	England 255:22
335:21 338:18	Dyer 7:14	421:3,8 422:24	eliminating	enhance 339:8
339:18 340:9	Dyel 7.14	effective 156:2	295:11	enhanced
340:15 342:16	E	effectively	ELISA 334:23	123:23 337:17
346:13 347:15	E 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1	297:18 299:20	360:18,24	341:13
348:1 349:6	5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1	345:24	367:13 382:11	enhances 166:4
357:9,15	9:1 426:1,1	effector 302:17	382:12	349:16
360:18,22	428:1	303:2	ELLIS 3:8	Enquirer
361:6 362:5,16	e.g 142:24	effects 62:20	elongated 7:22	289:22
365:21,22	earlier 75:21	90:4,11 94:15	108:3 302:7	entail 74:7
366:12 373:6	211:10 241:23	95:3 130:24	303:5 305:11	entan /4. / enter 126:20
374:4,14	291:10 350:9	145:5 182:23	email 328:3	194:16 258:4
382:22 383:5,9	420:5	190:10 300:14	Emerging	269:7
386:15 387:23	early 7:10 15:10	311:13 312:23	151:24	enters 128:15
387:24 388:22	169:13 247:5	325:11,24	EMP 108:9	entertainment
393:5 394:1	easier 149:19	334:17 338:20	emphasize	290:15
398:17 399:6	338:6	340:5 347:9	175:13 284:6	entire 36:10
407:20 409:1	easily 178:10	378:17 379:7	EMPs 7:23	44:10 56:11
409:11,21,24	214:22 365:9	379:11,12	303:5,17,22	70:9 247:3,4
415:6 417:2	EASTERN 1:2	408:19 415:23	304:4,24	296:9 369:13
420:20 421:13	easy 30:20	effort 40:11	enabling 150:23	entirely 15:7
420:20 421:13	Ebarton@sey	egg 214:9	151:10,24	entirety 380:8
424:5,6	3:5	either 29:12	encompass	entities 323:5,10
draft 4:15 48:15	edge 150:11	30:7 47:11	309:7,19	entitled 296:19
drafts 46:24	306:15	79:5 160:9	encouraged	environment
dramatic 379:7	editor 8:10	170:6,17 194:4	333:9	125:10 181:17
draw 33:14	174:14,16	227:10 231:8	endometrial	environmental
184:22	294:16 333:13	266:2 278:23	132:16	67:15 100:1
drew 185:14	333:14 334:3	295:4 301:15	endometrio-	137:11 164:6
uicw 103.17		273.7 301.13	chaomen io-	157.11 107.0

				Page 446
102.22	250 2 2 7	06.10	0.412	161 00 160 0 6
enzymes 192:22	358:2,3,7	86:19	exactly 94:13	161:22 162:3,6
334:22 344:13	415:20,23	eternal 112:8	269:14 274:22	166:20,23
EOC 118:12	417:5 419:24	ethical 18:10,14	397:22	205:21 209:11
121:20 169:11	421:5,21 422:1	etiology 191:17	examination 4:2	209:13 234:1
169:14,21	422:13	307:8 313:7	10:18 190:17	246:22 247:1
	epithelium	396:19	199:17,22	253:21 254:1
epi 83:7 187:11	122:8	eugenol 266:3	424:3	264:13,16
	Epstein 4:17	evading 150:22	examined 10:16	268:2,5 281:18
1	equal 113:3	151:9	277:20 295:12	281:21,23
33:11,14 40:15	271:20	evaluate 57:11	example 19:10	282:1 287:18
	equals 113:2	evaluated 239:1	34:2 78:19	288:4 294:11
	equations 7:17	239:3	87:1 100:13	294:13 301:18
	Eric 3:5	evaluation 4:20	107:5 136:19	301:21 311:5,7
	errata 427:6,9	63:13 96:24	140:9 147:10	320:3,11
144:5,15,16	427:12,15	eventually 12:1	184:5 217:9	327:10 328:24
145:14,20	429:11	356:19	274:16	329:3,17,21
	Erratum 7:16	Everett 2:6	examples 100:9	330:8 366:5,8
276:2 301:1 e	especially	161:23 199:3	301:17	366:10 367:8
417:14 418:1	132:12 296:3	356:13 394:22	exceedingly	368:16 369:6
epidemiological I	ESQ 2:13	everybody	192:15	373:11 379:16
33:18 59:6 I	Esquire 2:6,9,16	204:3 361:21	excessive 180:14	379:17 380:2
396:15	2:21,23 3:5,9	evidence 4:23	392:10	393:21 394:21
epidemiologic e	essential 395:10	53:19 60:13,14	exchange	394:23 400:20
160:2	396:3,4	63:11 65:6	317:22	400:21 406:7,9
epidemiologist e	essentially 41:9	112:18 115:9	excite 290:14	409:13,16
32:23 33:19	52:21 64:22	123:24 124:18	exclude 139:5	415:1,4
143:8	72:14 107:11	127:13 133:1	excluded 262:7	exhibits 4:7 64:9
epidemiologists	165:21 191:16	135:4 158:7	262:13	existed 259:5
34:4,14,18	193:19 202:14	159:5,11,16,19	excluding 95:16	exists 312:21
epidemiology	221:2 292:18	159:20 160:13	Excuse 244:15	Exogenous
33:1,4 34:16	293:19 297:16	180:1,7 186:19	executive 184:1	397:1
78:20 169:10	298:13,24	186:22 195:15	199:24	expand 211:9
254:22 266:23	317:1 395:18	202:10 215:6	exercise 374:7	expanded
276:4 417:20	398:17 400:3	249:11 266:19	380:9	138:16
epigenetic 305:5	establish 409:23	274:14 289:12	exerted 9:10	expect 19:18
307:16 309:6 e	established	293:20 344:16	exhibit 20:13,15	261:5 371:19
309:10,18,24	178:23 230:8	385:5 396:16	21:1,4,16	393:19
epigenetics	302:9 313:21	417:14 418:1	23:16 47:21	expectancy
310:4	420:5	evolution 307:7	48:11 49:16,20	299:5
epithelial 5:16	estimate 24:14	evolutionary	50:4,5 52:5	expected 193:12
9:14 28:1,6	24:15	307:15	53:11,12 64:4	expedites
29:2,18 95:10 e	estimated 138:7	evolved 214:7	64:11 67:2	151:15
95:13,17,22	138:15 176:5	309:6,19	71:10 81:8,22	expels 228:6
	estimating 82:3	322:17	113:21,22,23	experience
	et 5:14,17,24 6:6	Evolving 6:11	114:3 148:13	26:13,14 30:20
136:10,18	6:22 8:7,21	247:2	149:14,22	31:9 33:18
138:6 167:23	9:10,14 86:18	exact 342:15	153:15,18	34:16,23 35:9

				Page 447
65:8 91:18	104:2,7,10	277:7 278:3	extensive 53:2,6	28:5 41:5
96:24 123:4	155:10 178:13	308:3,5,17	71:22 72:6,16	55:10 59:9
239:11,19	178:21 194:6	explicitly 351:12	178:24 181:4	90:21 121:12
274:5 300:2	201:12 205:14	explicitly 351.12 explore 196:13	284:24	129:2 136:18
experiment	205:18 214:1	explored 86:9	extensively	155:2 169:21
55:22 62:24	238:20 261:24	explored 80.9 exploring 15:8	27:12 55:8	171:11 173:4
63:2 91:8	274:5 278:10	exploring 13.8 exposed 17:21	extent 83:9,12	175:19 230:10
130:20 131:21	282:19 286:2	100:7 132:19	external 125:7	230:14,21
411:2,14,18	297:12 304:17	256:22 271:23	125:10,22	231:1,14,23
412:12	317:3 320:17	275:17 276:1	126:7 187:21	231:1,14,23
experimental	355:23 424:6	279:4 344:14	188:18 362:2	274:6 312:9
156:7 180:8		406:14	364:3	404:16
	expertise 26:7			
307:15 330:20 331:6 332:17	31:2,8,12,15	exposing 59:3	extra 48:4	factor's 297:13 factories 275:20
	37:7,20,23	exposure 6:22	114:22,24	
333:7	38:5,13,15	40:17 100:13	282:6 294:22	factors 118:4,11
experiments	39:1,3,6,9,12	100:18 101:3,9	extract 44:23	119:6,10,12
39:22 40:1	39:14 54:23	116:15 118:12	65:11	130:21 133:16
55:15 57:21	57:17 69:10	130:23 134:22	extrapolate	134:5 135:4,6
59:2 62:20	76:4 77:5 80:4	135:15 136:20	300:6	136:2 141:14
79:8,9 83:4	84:18 86:6	137:11 142:17	extrapolating	147:21 169:10
84:19 85:5	89:15 246:5	142:23 177:20	166:8	169:11 247:24
91:14,16 93:6	251:5 263:2	183:10 184:4	extreme 279:9	248:8 249:17
93:12,15,18	308:10 335:19	195:1,7 232:24	extremely	249:22 397:1
144:7 145:16	experts 16:23	249:3,8,12,15	384:22	faded 74:17
195:17 202:12	35:17 36:6,17	249:20 268:5	eyeballing	fail 427:18
315:13 338:18	43:19 78:18	268:19 269:1,2	382:14 383:15	failure 105:18
344:22 345:5	167:22 168:20	270:24 271:6	eyes 392:2,21,24	128:18 299:9
348:11 351:4	206:24 207:9	271:24 272:3,5	393:2	fair 14:23 19:19
356:23 398:18	207:11,15,19	272:7,13 273:2	F	32:8 40:13
409:23 411:9	208:4 213:1	276:5,13,16,17		68:5 72:17
411:19 413:22	220:20 221:13	279:7 347:8	F 426:1	73:14 75:17
420:2	251:23 252:21	413:2 414:11	face 310:6	82:16 86:16
expert 4:8 17:13	258:14 285:21	414:13	facilitates	99:13 141:5,11
19:23 20:14	286:6 332:4	exposures 100:2	227:20	216:7 242:13
25:10 26:15	394:13 424:15	135:7 275:8,11	facilities 361:24	271:19 296:9
27:1,10 30:13	experts' 37:17	276:8 278:11	facility 361:14	308:22 310:12
30:17 32:16	expires 426:21	410:22	361:19,20	310:15 312:1
35:20,23 36:17	429:20	expressed 218:6	fact 92:6 167:12	313:5 324:11
36:24 40:7	explain 91:20	373:23	182:5 185:14	386:11
41:3 42:2	118:23 205:11	expression 8:23	207:6 214:6	fairly 48:23 78:7
43:10,16 45:13	374:5	334:17 367:17	220:23 243:18	272:3 286:4
45:22 47:4,13	explained 142:6	370:23 398:15	269:1 273:10	296:22 311:22
54:16 57:8	145:12 201:22	399:21 401:13	309:7,19 310:6	379:7
65:7,24 66:11	explains 134:3	402:7	318:21 334:5	fallopian 129:23
71:4 84:21	243:4	extended 276:20	347:6 351:11	132:16 358:6
86:9 88:9	explanation	extending 125:7	369:22 387:2	377:10
93:14 103:9	113:19 132:13	extends 170:14	factor 26:14	familiar 12:11
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

				Page 448
40 15 05 22	0140		150 0 160 0	en 222.22
49:15 85:23	fertilize 214:9	figures 421:2	152:2 169:9	flow 222:23
96:20 108:4	fewer 207:10	file 22:12 46:7,7	199:20 200:3	223:9
148:1,24 150:3	fiber 6:22 55:5,5	46:15,17	220:12 226:24	flow-volume
153:2,21	55:19 56:24	files 46:12	244:4 247:11	7:17
162:12,14,21	197:1 268:6	fill 176:21	250:10 256:23	flowing 317:7,10
189:21 234:4	296:4	filling 379:21	264:16,18	319:19
242:14 249:6,7	fibers 69:9,18	fimbria 129:5	293:24 302:21	fluid 237:20
267:18 302:11	70:3,22 71:5	final 8:9 200:2	303:17 306:10	274:17
364:11 390:3	85:15 108:3	365:7 401:21	308:5 309:4,18	FLW 1:7
390:12 400:18	128:5 146:7	finally 347:6	316:7 327:14	focus 15:5 27:12
406:4 419:6	178:8 194:16	find 44:15 47:23	332:15 340:2	163:12 311:12
family 169:14	194:24 195:6	111:6 148:19	342:4 344:11	focused 54:13
far 23:13 86:21	196:3 262:6,12	186:5 326:21	345:11 371:8	66:12,20 71:4
fashion 303:20	262:17,20,22	331:1 373:15	390:2 395:6,9	86:10 187:12
faster 229:2	263:18 264:21	FINDEIS 2:16	412:2,6 417:12	folder 356:14
father 269:2	264:22 269:4,7	finding 210:11	417:24	follow 17:22
fault 198:17	269:18 276:23	227:5 267:19	firsthand	177:23 291:14
FDA 4:17 49:14	277:7 278:16	421:19	162:23,24	384:22 385:11
49:20 72:4,5	279:13 286:11	findings 133:14	393:13	follow-up
72:14 73:1,15	286:22 305:11	133:15 234:18	FISH 2:19	423:22
190:16 198:11	fibrosis 190:21	337:18 339:8	fit 191:23	followed 299:10
199:10 200:9	191:3,17	339:24 341:14	five 24:19	346:22
290:11 292:21	fibrous 107:21	341:15 352:10	105:13,20	following
features 346:20	261:20 262:3,6	352:19 353:14	212:11 389:22	122:18,20
Federal 1:16	262:12,21	365:24 407:21	390:10 391:21	126:12 200:1
5:19	286:12,15	408:5 409:1	392:13 421:1	345:6 347:7
fee 22:22 25:4	295:22	422:18	flagella 216:15	357:15 358:18
361:22	field 16:23 17:13	fine 37:20 62:9	216:16	360:6 369:2
feeds 156:10	33:9 37:7	63:7 113:18	flagellum 217:9	380:13
feel 30:22 66:14	77:22 80:24	118:23 131:16	flags 174:16	follows 10:17
145:11 146:20	106:14 167:22	177:12 212:20	flames.' 156:11	footnotes 42:12
158:1,22	308:9 332:5	250:19 251:21	flat 146:6	42:20
284:19 286:18	335:19 350:5	372:11 373:20	flawed 172:23	forced 219:9
feels 257:4	394:13	373:21 374:2	173:14,18,23	forcefully 219:1
fees 23:10	fields 80:12	finish 176:10	202:6,13	222:10
fellow 258:13	Fifth 1:17	204:23 306:19	353:17,23	foregoing 426:6
felt 42:21 89:22	Fifty 393:2	340:2 365:9	354:9 356:22	426:10 429:6
160:14 171:16	fight 152:13	finished 295:15	flaws 37:16	foreign 132:17
173:23 252:8	figure 84:20	306:19 354:2,4	221:8 330:20	136:11
289:19 394:13	111:6 151:23	423:12	331:7 332:18	Forest 2:12
female 31:3	152:10,11	fire' 156:9	333:7 350:14	forget 198:24
122:6 123:6	255:7 366:19	firm 2:3 192:22	350:20 351:4,9	form 12:7 14:18
136:8 221:14	369:17,21,23	first 10:14 25:8	352:5	16:6 17:1,16
221:19,22	370:10,12	47:5,12,14	Fletcher 8:7	18:1,23 19:12
female's 228:14	371:2,3 383:1	48:17,19 74:14	334:16	26:9,21 27:9
feminine 146:12	387:19 403:14	74:16 84:17	flies 25:15	28:16,21 29:11
fertility 227:13	403:16	120:15 133:12	FLOM 2:22	29:21 30:6
			l	

				Page 449
21.6 19 22.1	121,16.24	194:19 195:10	267:12 269:10	357:1 358:20
31:6,18 32:1 32:15 33:7,22	121:16,24 122:13,24	194.19 193.10	270:8 271:13	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	*	198:1 200:19		360:2 361:2,16
35:1,19 36:9	123:9,19 124:4		272:18 273:6	362:19 363:6
37:2 40:22	124:22 125:3	202:23 203:9	273:15,24	363:24 366:23
41:16 42:24	125:13 126:15	203:17,24	275:3 276:7	367:15 368:2
43:12,23 45:4	126:24 127:7	204:13 206:7	277:3,16 278:8	372:2,10
46:11 47:8	127:16,24	206:12 207:4	278:19 279:1	374:17 375:6
49:4 51:6,11	128:9,21 129:7	207:14,23	279:17 280:6	375:10,24
51:22 52:9,17	129:18 130:5	208:8,24	280:10 281:1	376:9 377:15
53:4 54:3,20	130:15 131:4	209:20 210:14	283:8,21 284:5	377:23 378:9
55:5,7,19,19	132:22 133:7	211:12 212:2	284:22 285:6	378:15,22
55:21 56:3,6	133:21 134:8	214:16 215:2	285:24 286:14	379:4 380:23
56:18,24,24	134:24 135:10	215:13 216:6	287:1,16,24	381:5,16,23
57:2,10 58:13	135:19 136:5	217:13 218:5	289:5,15 290:1	382:18 383:13
58:20 59:17	136:13,23	219:11 220:1,8	290:8,21 291:7	383:18 384:4
60:3,9,20 61:3	137:15 138:1	221:17 222:1	291:13 292:6	384:14,20
61:10,24 62:16	138:10,21	222:14 223:2	292:14 293:5	385:2,8,16
63:9,20 66:19	139:8,14,22	223:21 224:4	293:16 294:6	386:7 387:5
67:22 68:4	140:4,12,22	224:13,21	296:8 297:5,11	388:4,11,18
69:12 70:14	141:18 142:1	225:4,13,19	298:4,9,16,21	389:6,15 390:1
71:2 72:1,8,19	142:10,19	226:1,8,14	299:7 300:17	390:14 391:2,9
74:9 75:24	143:4,10,18	228:1,20 229:6	301:13 303:11	392:5,9 393:7
76:13 77:11,18	144:1,10,21	229:18 230:23	304:1,7,16	394:16 395:23
78:1,23 79:22	146:6,8,14	231:16 232:3	305:15 307:20	396:12,22
80:20 81:20	147:5 152:23	232:16 233:3	308:7,21	397:6 398:10
82:13 84:9	153:14 154:12	233:12 236:3	309:16 310:14	398:21 399:9
85:3,8,10,17	154:17 155:13	236:18 237:5	311:15 313:10	399:18 400:5
87:3 88:17	156:16 157:18	238:4,11 239:8	314:1,7,16,23	400:15 401:3,7
89:3,9 90:6,13	158:9 159:7,14	239:17,24	315:8,18	401:21 403:1
91:6,23 92:4	160:19 161:11	240:8,17 241:1	317:21 318:12	403:21 404:5
92:16 93:2	161:19 163:18	242:6,16	319:10 320:23	404:13,24
94:17 95:6	164:19 165:17	243:11 245:19	322:12 323:1	405:12,22
96:4,17 97:8	168:1,23	245:24 246:9	323:18 324:4	407:23 408:7
97:24 99:12	169:23 170:13	250:15 251:12	324:19 325:5	408:16 409:3
100:4 101:12	171:20 172:11	251:18 252:17	325:13 326:14	410:9 411:5,12
103:11 104:18	172:19 173:16	253:1 255:6,17	327:6 331:12	411:22 412:9
105:6 106:12	174:1,20 175:4	256:20 257:12	335:3,23 336:9	412:18 413:9
107:23 108:11	178:3 179:3,9	257:18,22	336:20 337:8	414:1 416:1,11
109:12,18	181:6,13	258:1,20 259:2	338:3,9,14,22	417:23 418:11
110:2 111:10	182:21 183:19	259:7,22	340:21 341:24	419:2 420:9,22
111:20 112:15	184:15 185:7	260:12,22	342:19 343:11	421:15 422:21
112:22 114:9	185:13,21	261:11,22	344:1 347:3	423:7 429:10
115:14 116:11	186:3,18 187:9	262:16,24	350:11,22	formal 106:18
116:20 117:6	187:19 189:7	263:14,17,24	351:16 352:2	294:20 401:7
117:14 118:8	190:4,12 191:1	264:8 265:3,9	352:21 353:9	formation
118:16 119:16	191:15 192:4	265:22 266:6	354:11,22	397:24
120:14 121:7	193:5,14	266:17 267:1,7	355:14 356:6	former 38:7
				I

				Page 450
160 17 200 2	6 n 150 22	150 00 160 01	124212621	01 11 100 0
160:17 390:3	full 158:23	152:20 163:21	124:2 126:21	81:11 100:8
forms 57:17	309:4	164:17 165:1	128:4,16 130:9	113:15 128:22
70:21 146:9	fully 14:21	191:21 193:2	195:8 206:19	167:5 191:21
192:23 295:23	235:17	298:7 306:9	214:14 215:9	195:2,2 228:5
forth 337:12	fun 176:17	307:2 323:11	217:24 224:10	244:1 246:20
forward 306:23	functional 156:4	346:9,20	224:19 226:20	274:16 283:19
fosters 151:16	functions	397:24	227:23 229:1	288:3 292:9
found 31:2,7	151:17 164:9	generality	230:9,20,24	294:11 329:12
120:11 137:2,2	fund 362:3	397:11	231:22 232:12	329:21 356:11
137:6 155:23	funded 14:21	generalization	235:11 237:17	given 13:10
180:13 182:15	323:5,9	314:17	238:2,18	98:11 102:6
182:22 204:2	funding 317:16	generally 16:23	240:15 241:20	115:5 140:16
264:21 282:24	323:15	53:23 67:19	274:24 276:24	184:3 223:14
286:18 296:5	funds 317:17	150:18 154:21	277:6,21 280:2	355:17 383:22
408:20	323:24	154:21 156:13	417:15	426:12 429:8
four 167:13	further 183:10	221:12 237:19	genitalia 125:11	gives 33:13
254:20 256:16	302:3 341:20	361:20 364:1	125:16 126:3,7	343:17
372:19 388:23	350:16 423:19	389:2,10	genitals 125:8	glad 83:15
389:11,21,22	424:18 426:13	394:17 399:2	276:18 284:2	glass 402:5
390:9 391:21	future 332:24	generate 43:24	genome 151:13	glasses 20:2
392:13,13	333:24	44:10 138:17	164:14	gleaned 36:23
fragrance 55:14	FWD 8:13	generated	genomics 15:8	global 149:2
84:14 88:2,10		366:21 374:12	26:6 32:12	globally 305:22
88:10 89:21	G	generates	35:9 361:10	Glove 199:23
91:15 108:19	gain 13:2 128:4	151:14	genotoxicity	gloves 190:17
fragrances	Garber 2:9	generation 5:7	303:18	199:15,15,16
87:17	114:6,12,14	134:20 150:10	genotyping	199:17,21,22
Fran 5:10 153:5	176:13 291:5	190:21 295:21	361:7	200:5,11
153:5 157:21	291:12 367:4	305:2 406:24	gentle 184:6	go 24:15 36:16
157:22	392:23	408:9,12,13	Geographical	36:16,22 37:13
Fran's 153:22	gas 275:12,22	417:17	9:13 415:19	41:11 44:12,14
frank 174:4	gee 174:8	generous 335:13	417:5	46:4 48:2,9
Frankly 148:7	gene 8:23	genes 156:5	geologic 414:9	54:15 55:13
free 5:12 68:8	311:23 371:18	360:13 371:22	geologist 54:24	80:21 88:22
68:10 162:13	401:12 402:7	402:10,15	Georgia 3:4	89:14 93:16
286:19	405:8,9,17	403:23 404:2,3	germ 95:14,15	94:18 111:21
frequently 17:3	general 4:23	404:6	Gertig 139:1	111:24 113:21
93:20 268:18	16:8 26:23	genetic 147:21	gestalt 65:18	116:22 149:12
	27:4,11,16,18	_		
271:8 274:18	32:18 33:4	147:24 151:14	getting 72:11	165:5 172:23 174:5 177:9
338:1 Friday 1:11	53:19 71:19	156:8	147:15,23	
Friday 1:11	76:14,18 79:1	genicity 166:5	219:16 275:1	178:22 198:10
front 59:21 64:7	95:12 97:2	genital 17:22	349:1 Chassan 8:2 10	198:11 199:10
64:14 174:16	108:24 113:4	28:4,8,12,24	Ghassan 8:3,10	203:5 206:16
345:14	118:3 119:4	29:7,17 30:2	Ghio 295:1,3	207:6 212:24
FT33 358:7	149:24 150:13	99:4 116:14	GI 171:5	221:6 229:11
377:10		118:4 121:5,11	give 13:3 30:11	232:4,7,11
fuel 156:10	150:14 151:20	121:20 122:6	50:13 73:3	233:15 239:9
	ı	ı	ı	1

				Page 451
246:19 249:24	48:10 64:8	great 18:16	327:21 329:5	happened 45:2
264:11 282:23	73:3,4 80:13	86:11 105:17	329:10 331:23	happening
294:11 298:12	81:11 101:17	196:14	332:8,13 333:4	292:16
302:3,11	113:4 141:8	greatly 337:17	347:12 391:17	happens 274:22
306:18 311:5	152:7 153:18	341:13	394:2 400:9	299:22
316:2,9 321:19	161:22 171:1	Green 254:9,10	gynecological	happy 30:14
329:3 331:21	174:5 232:7	ground 19:15	38:6 169:15	50:13,23 221:7
334:9,9 343:15	253:18,24	group 18:21	213:22	232:11 241:2,2
349:9,20 357:9	259:16 261:2	34:4 68:6	gynecologist	324:15
364:24 367:20	281:21 284:6	75:16 117:3	30:23 35:13	hard 80:6 98:5,5
370:14 372:13	288:3,3,7	362:2 417:9,10	gynecologists	98:10,14 99:5
375:4,18	295:6 306:7	groups 271:20	229:16	158:2 192:15
379:24 384:15	327:16 329:3	growing 135:3	gynecology	193:15 326:23
387:19 388:5,8	329:20 337:19	grown 358:17	225:10,10	369:1 379:5
391:10 393:20	374:24 376:1	growth 150:22	223.10,10	384:22 385:11
413:3 414:9	377:1 381:19	151:9 155:24	H	harm 181:17
416:14,17	406:7	263:19	habit 140:1	hat 131:17
419:16	good 20:19,24	GSR 360:11,19	263:20 264:1,2	Hawaii 102:15
goes 11:10 23:16	20:24 21:8	guess 12:22	habitual 97:6,11	355:5
91:10 151:22	77:14 81:3	18:24 66:2	half 47:15	Hawaii's 102:16
326:4 337:12	82:8 99:1	75:8 79:16	105:10	hazard 115:5,20
going 21:15	105:10 106:2	100:14 148:7,8	half-a-day 14:19	head 46:22
37:12 54:15	145:12 154:18	216:20 225:20	hallmark	98:17 114:20
72:4 73:6 94:8	177:6 186:1	320:24 378:17	151:16 152:15	217:18 253:5
94:11 95:1	221:18 253:11	386:8	hallmarks 5:7	heading 151:24
105:9 114:7	253:12 269:13	guessing 77:1	149:1,23	247:22 316:14
115:19 162:6	284:19 306:20	336:16 337:19	150:10,17	316:19 318:7
176:8,14	306:20 308:17	guidance 43:2	151:7,13,24	319:13,15
177:12 194:21	330:14	74:19	152:4	320:20 322:22
199:10 227:18	GOS 34:11	guideline 79:1	Halperin 2:23	324:11
228:7,12 247:4	Gotta 349:20	guidelines 76:18	Hamilton 94:7	heal 152:13
261:24 268:11	gotten 147:19	79:11	Hanahan	healing 191:21
272:9 277:21	306:3	Gupta 5:14	148:24 149:7,8	health 4:15,24
291:19 301:21	Gourley 5:17	guys 176:14	149:10	48:14,21 49:10
302:15 304:10	167:21	GWAS 75:14,15	hand 21:15	51:1,8 53:20
306:23 308:24	governmental	76:14 78:3,4	150:11 178:10	53:24 54:8
316:5,7,11	48:7 189:3	78:12	handing 267:24	63:13 68:15,18
356:11 358:16	GPX 360:19	GY 75:9	handle 386:8	71:21 90:4,11
364:16 368:6	GPX1 360:11	GYN 311:24	hands 96:14	92:2 121:3
370:16 371:7	grab 64:8	321:9 328:17	handwritten	129:4 180:23
377:4 383:8	grades 85:24	GYN-188-1020	8:16	181:3,11,23
386:2 388:12	granulomas	8:9	hang 94:6	182:6,7,7,15
395:24 398:13	191:5 200:7	gynecologic	317:12 403:6	184:8,12,18
417:12	251:2 252:9	8:10,19 30:24	hangs 300:8	186:10,12,22
gold 144:4	graphs 369:6	35:14 38:22	happen 129:15	187:16,16
Golkow 10:3	372:24	133:4 170:21	271:9 277:10	188:17 189:5
gonna 32:7	grappling 160:3	229:20 312:10	378:19	189:10,16
g	- T	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

82919 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

200:13.23					Page 452
201:16 202:17 202:18 264:5 116:16 117:12 371:18 371:18 292:14 393:4,5,8 311:8 320:4 410:20 413:1 117:17 121:13 117:17 121:13 117:17 121:13 118:19 318:22 118:22 118:30:16 222:16 281:2,16 292:12 203:24 093:22 400:22 406:10 409:14 415:22 427:14 426:12 6arsay 292:20 6arsay 29				1	 [
202:18 264:5 116:16 117:12 371:18 372:24 93:4,5,8 222:16 281:4 329:1,18 366:6 184:12,17 183:6 184:18,17 184:12,17 183:6 184:18,17 184:12 184:12 184:12 184:13 177:16 184:12,17 183:6 184:18,17 184:13 177:16 184:12,17 183:6 184:18,17 184:12 184:13 177:16 184:12 184:13 177:16 184:1					
410:20 413:1 117:17 121:13 378:7 200:6 historic 147:17 218:18 1819 318:22 291:20 406:10 409:14 415:2 406:10 409:14 415:2 428:21 416:5 hypoxia 397:2 406:21 406:10 409:14 415:2 428:21 416:5 hypoxia 397:2 406:10 409:14 415:2 428:21 416:5 hypoxia 397:2 406:10 409:14 415:2 428:21 416:5 hypoxia 397:2 406:10 409:14 415:2 428:21 historically 147:6 303:15 149:12 history 166:12 132:6 142:14 history 166:12 132:6 142:14 132:6 142:14 122:14 132:6 142:1			1 0	V 1	
healthcare 200:6 historic 147:17 historical 7:3,8 heard 162:24 288:9 286:21 historical 7:3,8 229:8 historical 7:4,6 239:20 hypoxia 397:2 doi:10.40:10.409:14 doi:10.409:14 doi:1					
200:6 hear 213:21 historical 7:3,8 hard 162:24 288:9 286:21 259:8 historically 27:11 426:12 historically 27:11 426:12 hear 299:20 heary 55:13 147:6 303:15 169:14 268:20 271:6 hear 188:17 202:11 108:15 129:11 265:19 held 1:15 10:6 homogeneous 267:18,21 205:20 206:20 205:20 206:20 232:10 205:20 206:20 232:10 205:20 206:20 232:10 205:20 206:20 232:10 205:20 206:20 267:19,310 205:20 206:20 335:32 honored 306:1 hope 77:19 188:24 274:12 279:11 help 79:10 333:8 329:13 383:23 honored 306:1 hope 77:19 homored 147:16 higher 268:18 271:8 279:14 hour 105:9 418:24 higher 268:18 271:8 279:14 hour 105:9 418:24 higher 268:18 271:8 279:14 hours 23:20 221:14 127:14 higher 268:15 highlighted 167:5,9 329:12 highlighted 167:5,9 329:12 highlighted 167:5,9 329:12 highlighted 167:5,9 329:12 highlighted 167:5,9 329:12 highlighted 167:5,9 329:12 highlighted 100:12 271:16 highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 271:24 272:2 271:24 272:2 271:24 272:2 271:24 272:2 271:25 220:12 273:22 264:14 271:22 349:3					/
hear 213:21 historical 7:3,8 7:9 283:1 288:9 416:5 Huh 104:4,5,6 259:8 hypoxia 397:2 hysterectomies 137:10 hysterosalpin 415:2 hysterectomies 147:10 hysterosalpin 415:2 hysteresalpin 415:2 hysteresal				· ·	
heard 162:24 288:9					
288:9		· ·			
hearing 13:3 27:11 426:12 historically 147:6 303:15 history 166:12 history 166:12 history 166:12 132:6 142:14 132:6 142:14 132:6 142:14 132:6 142:14 132:6 142:14 169:14 268:20 182:7, 183:6 184:8 187:16 hold 201:7 188:17 202:11 235:5 100:17 267:19 305:8 100:17 267:19 305:8 120:17 376:3 401:13 179:10 433:19 67:17 80:10.17 179:10 203:3,6,15,21 203:3,6,15,21 205:20 206:20 170:15 300:18 415:23 417:5 179:23.23 179:21 188:24 274:12 188:24 274:12 188:24 274:12 188:24 235:13 honored 306:1 hope 77:19 234:24 235:13 195:19 272:3 host 11:28 332:23 host 12:8 332:23 host 23:10 hope 77:19 234:24 235:13 195:19 272:3 host 11:23 host 156:2 humans' 406:13 higher 268:18 271:8 279:14 hour 105:9 highlight 342:4 402:8,9,17 405:4 hour 105:9 highlight 342:4 402:17,19 highlights 46:20 404:18,21 house 100:15 highlights 46:20 395:6,13,15 highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 hypothesis highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 hypothesis highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 hypothesis highlight 342:3 house 100:15 highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 hypothesis highlights 46:20 395:6,13,15 highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 hypothesis highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 hypothesis highlights 46:20 395:6,13,15 highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 hypothesis highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 hypothesis highlights 46:20 100:12 271:16 highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 hypothesis highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 hypothesis highlights 46:20 hypothesis highlights 46:20 hypothesis highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 hypothesis highlights 46:20 hypothesis highlights 46:				•	
27:11 426:12 hearsy 292:20 heart 299:9 166:12 169:14 268:20 271:6 188:17 202:11 108:15 129:11 100:17 265:19 100:17 265:19 hold 201:7 188:17 202:11 235:5 192:17 homosgeneous 358:1,3,4,6 267:18,21 279:11 help 79:10 325:10 332:23 helpful 1678,10 332:33 abelpful 1678,10 303:8 329:13 383:23 hesitate 250:5 hesy 42:5 197:5 high 82:6 194:3 195:19 272:3 honored 306:1 hope 77:19 405:4 hope 77:19 405:4 hope 78:19 405:4 405:4 415:24 405:4 415:24 405:4 416:24 higher 268:18 271:8 279:14 higher 268:18 271:8 279:14 higher 268:18 271:8 279:14 higher 268:18 271:8 279:14 higher 268:18 271:8 279:14 higher 268:18 271:8 279:14 higher 268:18 271:8 279:14 higher 48:24 402:17,19 403:11,12 hypothesis highlight 44:20 404:18,21 highlight 46:20 167:5,9 329:12 highlights 46:20 395:6,13,15 highly 120:9 100:12 271:24 272:2 122:5 220:12 253:22 264:14 351:22 349:3 395:6,13,15 highly 120:9 100:12 271:24 272:2 122:5 220:12 253:22 264:14 251:22 349:3 307:84 226:27,13 206:12 highlights 46:20 395:6,13,15 highly 120:9 100:12 271:16 highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 122:5 220:12 277:8 277:8 277:8 277:8 279:14 highlight 34:-4 and 20:28,9 highly 120:9 100:12 271:16 highly 120:9 100:12 271:16 highly 120:9 100:12 271:16 highly 120:9 100:12 271:16 highly 120:9 100:12 271:16 highly 120:9 100:12 271:16 highly 120:9 275:24 and 20:20:12 and					
hearsay 292:20 heart 299:9 history 166:12 169:14 268:20 132:6 142:14 182:7,7 183:6 Image: 182:7,7 183:6	C				
heart 299:9 heavy 55:13 169:14 268:20 271:6 182:7,7 183:6 184:8 187:16 I.i.e 295:16,20 Identifying 44:16 70:17 89:21 108:15 129:11 265:19 home 50:22 202:11 235:5 202:11 235:5 19:10 50:1 IARC 4:20 19:10 50:1 19:10 50:1 19:10 50:1 299:24 iii 182:10 Heller 5:23 6:22 203:3,6,15,21 205:20 206:20 267:18,21 279:11 homest 37:12 403:12 415:19 188:24 274:12 279:11 403:12 415:19 148:13 177:16 180:3,21 180:22 184:23 1188:24 274:12 421:1 421:1 422:1 180:22 184:23 179:6 180:3,21 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 Image 237:21 imagine 383:21 Imerys' 7:9 Immortal 358:5 immortality 188:14 22:13 42:13 264:12 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:24 18:24 23:13 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:24 184:17 75:10 180:3,21 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:22 184:23 180:24 184:12 180:22 184:23 1			· ·	227:8	
	•				
70:17 89:21 hold 201:7 last 7 202:11 LARC 4:20 Ignace 167:15 108:15 129:11 home 50:22 202:11 235:5 19:10 50:1 19:10 50:1 19:10 50:1 19:10 50:1 19:10 50:1 19:10 50:1 19:10 50:1 10:10			· ·		
108:15 129:11					
265:19					0
held 1:15 10:6 homogeneous 358:1,3,4,6 70:6 71:4,8,15 iii 182:10 Heller 5:23 6:22 203:3,6,15,21 203:3,6,15,21 205:20 206:20 homest 37:12 17:15 300:18 415:23 417:5 403:12 415:19 148:13 177:16 178:23,23 image 237:21 ima					
Heller 5:23 6:22 203:3,6,15,21 203:3,6,15,21 205:20 206:20 267:18,21 279:11 honest 37:12 188:24 274:12 379:11 376:3 401:13 403:12 415:19 178:23,23 179:6 180:3,21 179:6 180:3,21 179:6 180:3,21 180:22 184:23 179:6 180:3,21				· /	
203:3,6,15,21 205:20 206:20 267:18,21 279:11					
205:20 206:20 267:18,21 279:11 help 79:10 325:10 332:23 helpful 167:8,10 303:8 329:13 383:23 helpful 167:8,10 303:8 329:13 383:23 hesitate 250:5 high 82:6 194:3 195:19 272:3 402:8,9,17 405:4 high-quality 418:24 high-quality 418:24 higher 268:18 271:8 279:14 high-quality 418:24 higher 268:18 271:8 279:14 high-gtality higher 46:15 high state 28:15 highlight 342:4 346:7 395:9 highlighted 167:5,9 329:12 highlights 46:20 395:6,13,15 highly 120:9 honestly 19:19 418:24 274:12 421:21 422:1 429:13 human' 130:21 human' 130:21 human' 130:21 human' 130:21 117:3 180:2 235:6,10 242:8 humans' 4:21 235:6,10 242:8 humans' 4:21 117:3 180:2 235:6,10 242:8 humans' 4:21 117:3 180:2 234:24 235:13 1179:6 180:3,21 imagine 383:21 Imerys' 7:9 Immortal 358:5 immortality 151:11 immortalized 15:18 303:16 16tea 19:13 43:1 44:17 75:10 305:7 358:6 110:8 332:23 307:8 414:12 227:12,14 42:17 338:17 344:9 338:17 34:9 338:17 344:9 338:17 344:9 338:17 344:9 338:17 344:9 338:17 34:9 338:17 34:1 16:12 34:16:17 37:14 16:12 34:16:17 37:14 16:12 34:16:17 37:14 16:12 34:16:17 37:14 16:12 34:16:17 37:14 16:12 34					
267:18,21 honestly 19:19 419:24 421:5 179:6 180:3,21 imagine 383:21 help 79:10 373:9 421:21 422:1 180:22 184:23 187:4 234:22 180:22 184:23 help 79:10 373:9 Honolulu 355:2 human' 130:21 187:4 234:22 187:4 234:22 lmey' 7:9 lmerys' 7:9 303:8 329:13 honored 306:1 hope 77:19 422:13 humans 4:21 262:7,13 151:11 immortal 358:5 immortality 151:11 immortality 151:11 immortalized 151:11 305:7 358:6 immortalized 151:11 305:7 358:6 immortalized 151:11 305:7 358:6 151:11 305:7 358:6 305:7 358:6 307:8 414:12 Humans' 406:13 44:17 75:10 376:10,14,16 377:3,11,18 305:7 358:6 376:10,14,16 377:3,11,18 421:7 377:3,11,18 421:7 377:3,11,18 421:7 421:7 377:3,11,18 421:7 377:3,11,18 421:7 421:7 338:17 344:9 355:24 338:17 344:9 355:24 338:17 344:9 355:24 338:17 344:9 365:5,10 48:12 49:17	/ / /				
279:11 188:24 274:12 421:21 422:1 180:22 184:23 Imerys' 7:9 help 79:10 373:9 422:13 187:4 234:22 Immortal 358:5 help ful 167:8,10 303:8 329:13 355:3 human' 130:21 2235:6,10 242:8 immortality 303:8 329:13 honored 306:1 hope 77:19 234:24 235:13 108:12 262:7,13 151:11 immortalized 112:8 332:23 307:8 414:12 humans' 406:13 44:17 75:10 305:7 358:6 15:18 303:16 high 82:6 194:3 HOS 375:20 humen' 291:1,1 humen' 227:1,14 377:3,11,18 421:7 402:8,9,17 Houghton 139:1 host 156:2 huband 269:1 338:17 344:9 377:3,11,18 421:7 418:24 Houghton 139:1 hypothermic 270:1 355:24 identical 370:4 identical 370:4 identical 370:4 identical 370:4 156:1 immunosuppr highlight 22:4 346:7 395:9 402:17,19 hypothesis 196:12 hypothesis 199:8 205:22 180:15 283:3 highlighted 167:5,9 329:12 house 100:15 household 133:16 196:22 299:14 210:5 impleated				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
help 79:10 373:9 422:13 187:4 234:22 Immortal 358:5 helpful 167:8,10 355:3 human' 130:21 422:13 187:4 234:22 Immortal 358:5 helpful 167:8,10 303:8 329:13 honored 306:1 117:3 180:2 262:7,13 151:11 303:8 329:13 hope 77:19 234:24 235:13 10 8:14 idea 19:13 43:1 immortal 358:5 hesitate 250:5 12:8 332:23 307:8 414:12 humans' 406:13 44:17 75:10 376:10,14,16 high 82:6 194:3 HOS 375:20 hunch 291:1,1 hunch 291:1,1 hunch 291:1,1 hunch 291:1,1 hunch 291:1,1 hunch 291:1,1 421:7 377:3,11,18 421:7 405:4 Houghton 139:1 hour 105:9 hygiene 146:11 hygiene 146:11 146:12 hypothesis 156:1 immune 152:12	,	•			
325:10 332:23 Honolulu 355:2 human' 130:21 235:6,10 242:8 immortality helpful 167:8,10 303:8 329:13 honored 306:1 117:3 180:2 264:12 immortalized 383:23 hope 77:19 234:24 235:13 307:8 414:12 besitate 250:5 112:8 332:23 307:8 414:12 besitate 12:3 hose 77:19 37:3 307:8 414:12 humans' 406:13 44:17 75:10 305:7 358:6 37:30:16,14,16 305:7 358:6 37:30:10,14,16 305:7 358:6 37:30:10,14,16 305:7 358:6 37:30:10,14,16 305:7 358:6 37:30:10,14,16 37:37:3,11,18 421:7 37:37:3,11,18 421:7 37:37:3,11,18 421:7 37:37:3,11,18 421:7 37:3,11,18 421:7 37:3,11,18 421:7 37:3,11,18 421:7 37:3,11,18 421:7 37:3,11,18 421:7 37:3,11,18 421:7 37:3,11,18 421:7 37:3,11,18 42:17 37:3,11,18 421:7 37:3,11,18 421:7 37:3,11,18 421:7 37:3,11,18 421:7 37:3,11,18 421:7 37:3,11,18 421:7 48:12 49:17 48:12 49:17 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>					
helpful 167:8,10 355:3 humans 4:21 262:7,13 151:11 303:8 329:13 383:23 hope 77:19 234:24 235:13 264:12 immortalized hesitate 250:5 112:8 332:23 307:8 414:12 idea 19:13 43:1 305:7 358:6 hey 42:5 197:5 356:2 humans' 406:13 44:17 75:10 376:10,14,16 high 82:6 194:3 hospital 11:23 hunch 291:1,1 161:7 227:11 377:3,11,18 402:8,9,17 host 156:2 husband 269:1 227:12,14 421:7 405:4 Houghton 139:1 hypiene 146:11 146:12 identical 370:4 high-quality hour 105:9 146:12 146:12 155:18 303:16 418:24 365:5,10 hypothermic 20:16 21:5,17 156:1 271:8 279:14 402:17,19 196:12 48:12 49:17 139:12 155:2 highlight 342:4 346:7 395:9 403:11,12 hypothesis 162:4 166:21 199:8 205:22 highlights 46:20 395:6,13,15 household 100:12 271:16 122:5 220:12 234:2 246:23 364:6 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
303:8 329:13 honored 306:1 117:3 180:2 264:12 immortalized 383:23 hope 77:19 234:24 235:13 1D 8:14 15:18 303:16 hesitate 250:5 112:8 332:23 307:8 414:12 idea 19:13 43:1 305:7 358:6 hey 42:5 197:5 356:2 humans' 406:13 44:17 75:10 376:10,14,16 high 82:6 194:3 hospital 11:23 hunch 291:1,1 161:7 227:11 377:3,11,18 402:8,9,17 host 156:2 husband 269:1 338:17 344:9 338:17 344:9 355:24 highe-quality hour 105:9 hygiene 146:11 146:12 identical 370:4 identical 370:4 identical 370:4 identification 156:1 impact 129:4 156:1 139:12 155:2 180:15 283:3 199:12 155:2 180:15 283:3 330:2 180:15 283:3 330:2 180:15 283:3 330:2 180:15 283:3 330:2 180:15 283:3 330:2 180:15 283:3 330:2 180:15 283:3 330:2 180:15 283:3 330:2 180:15 283:3 330:2 180:15 283:3 180:15 24:15 180:15 283:3 180:15 24:15				·	_
10				· ·	
hesitate 250:5 hey 42:5 197:5 high 82:6 194:3 195:19 272:3 hospital 11:23 host 156:2 humans' 406:13 402:8,9,17 405:4 hour 105:9 418:24 148:24 178:24 16ghest 228:15 highlight 342:4 346:7 395:9 highlighted 167:5,9 329:12 highlights 46:20 395:6,13,15 highly 120:9 Hospital 332:23 humans' 406:13 huma					
hey 42:5 197:5 356:2 humans' 406:13 44:17 75:10 376:10,14,16 high 82:6 194:3 HOS 375:20 humans' 406:13 44:17 75:10 376:10,14,16 195:19 272:3 hospital 11:23 hundred 147:16 227:12,14 377:3,11,18 402:8,9,17 Houghton 139:1 hour 105:9 husband 269:1 355:24 immune 152:12 high-quality 418:24 hour 105:9 hygiene 146:11 hygiene 146:11 identical 370:4 identical 370:4 higher 268:18 hours 23:20 hypothermic 255:21 48:12 49:17 139:12 155:2 271:8 279:14 402:17,19 hypotheses 50:6 53:13 330:2 180:15 283:3 highlight 342:4 402:17,19 hypothesis 149:15 153:16 427:14 imperative highlighted 404:18,21 122:14 127:14 162:4 166:21 199:8 205:22 364:6 highlights 46:20 house 100:15 household 122:5 220:12 234:2 246:23 364:6 highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 122:5 220:12 253:22 264:14 251:22 349:3 <td></td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		_			
high 82:6 194:3 HOS 375:20 hunch 291:1,1 161:7 227:12,14 377:3,11,18 402:8,9,17 405:4 Houghton 139:1 husband 269:1 338:17 344:9 338:17 344:9 immune 152:12 high-quality 418:24 hour 105:9 hygiene 146:11 identical 370:4 identification 156:1 higher 268:18 271:8 279:14 24:14,16,23 255:21 48:12 49:17 180:15 283:3 highlight 342:4 334:21 402:8,9 hypotheses 50:6 53:13 330:2 highlighted 404:18,21 122:14 127:14 162:4 166:21 implemented 167:5,9 329:12 house 100:15 133:16 196:22 209:14 210:5 364:6 highlights 46:20 395:6,13,15 100:12 271:16 hypothesized 234:2 246:23 234:2 246:23 highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 122:5 220:12 253:22 264:14 251:22 349:3					305:7 358:6
hospital 11:23					
402:8,9,17 host 156:2 husband 269:1 338:17 344:9 immune 152:12 high-quality hour 105:9 hygiene 146:11 hygiene 146:11 146:12 identical 370:4 impact 129:4 higher 268:18 hours 23:20 hypothermic 255:21 48:12 49:17 139:12 155:2 271:8 279:14 24:14,16,23 hypothermic 255:21 48:12 49:17 180:15 283:3 highlight 342:4 346:7 395:9 402:17,19 hypothesis 196:12 149:15 153:16 427:14 highlighted 404:18,21 122:14 127:14 162:4 166:21 162:4 166:21 162:4 166:21 199:8 205:22 364:6 highlights 46:20 395:6,13,15 household 279:22 234:2 246:23 364:6 highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 122:5 220:12 253:22 264:14 251:22 349:3	0		/		
405:4 Houghton 139:1 270:1 355:24 immunosuppr high-quality 418:24 hour 105:9 hygiene 146:11 146:12 identification 156:1 impact 129:4 higher 268:18 hours 23:20 hypothermic 20:16 21:5,17 180:15 283:3 271:8 279:14 highlight 342:4 334:21 402:8,9 hypotheses 50:6 53:13 330:2 imperative highlighted 403:11,12 hypothesis 122:14 127:14 162:4 166:21 implemented 167:5,9 329:12 house 100:15 house 100:15 133:16 196:22 199:8 205:22 36:22 highlights 46:20 household 279:22 hypothesized 234:2 246:23 implicates 133:1 highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 122:5 220:12 253:22 264:14 251:22 349:3		_			
high-quality hour 105:9 hygiene 146:11 identical 370:4 impact 129:4 higher 268:18 hours 23:20 hypothermic 20:16 21:5,17 139:12 155:2 271:8 279:14 24:14,16,23 255:21 48:12 49:17 180:15 283:3 highest 228:15 334:21 402:8,9 hypotheses 50:6 53:13 330:2 highlight 342:4 402:17,19 403:11,12 hypothesis 149:15 153:16 427:14 highlighted 404:18,21 122:14 127:14 162:4 166:21 implemented 167:5,9 329:12 house 100:15 133:16 196:22 199:8 205:22 364:6 highlights 46:20 395:6,13,15 household 279:22 209:14 210:5 implicates 133:1 highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 122:5 220:12 253:22 264:14 251:22 349:3	1 1				
418:24 365:5,10 hypothermic 20:16 21:5,17 impact 129:4 271:8 279:14 24:14,16,23 255:21 48:12 49:17 180:15 283:3 highest 228:15 334:21 402:8,9 hypotheses 50:6 53:13 330:2 highlight 342:4 402:17,19 403:11,12 hypothesis 149:15 153:16 427:14 highlighted 404:18,21 122:14 127:14 162:4 166:21 implemented 167:5,9 329:12 house 100:15 133:16 196:22 199:8 205:22 364:6 highlights 46:20 household 279:22 29:14 210:5 implicates 133:1 highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 122:5 220:12 253:22 264:14 251:22 349:3					
higher 268:18 hours 23:20 hypothermic 20:16 21:5,17 139:12 155:2 271:8 279:14 24:14,16,23 255:21 48:12 49:17 180:15 283:3 highest 228:15 334:21 402:8,9 hypotheses 50:6 53:13 330:2 highlight 342:4 402:17,19 403:11,12 hypothesis 149:15 153:16 427:14 highlighted 404:18,21 122:14 127:14 162:4 166:21 implemented 167:5,9 329:12 house 100:15 133:16 196:22 299:14 210:5 364:6 highlights 46:20 100:12 271:16 hypothesized 234:2 246:23 implicates 133:1 highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 122:5 220:12 253:22 264:14 251:22 349:3			• 0		
271:8 279:14		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		
highest 228:15 334:21 402:8,9 hypotheses 50:6 53:13 330:2 highlight 342:4 402:17,19 hypothesis 196:12 49:15 153:16 427:14 highlighted 404:18,21 hypothesis 122:14 127:14 162:4 166:21 implemented highlights 46:20 house 100:15 household 133:16 196:22 209:14 210:5 implicates 133:1 highly 120:9 100:12 271:16 hypothesized 253:22 264:14 251:22 349:3	U		v <u>.</u>	·	
highlight 342:4 402:17,19 196:12 81:9 113:24 imperative highlighted 404:18,21 house 100:15 122:14 127:14 162:4 166:21 implemented highlights 46:20 household 279:22 209:14 210:5 implicates 133:1 highly 120:9 100:12 271:16 hypothesized 234:2 246:23 implication 253:22 264:14 251:22 349:3					
346:7 395:9 403:11,12 hypothesis 149:15 153:16 427:14 highlighted 167:5,9 329:12 house 100:15 133:16 196:22 199:8 205:22 364:6 highlights 46:20 household 279:22 hypothesized 234:2 246:23 implication highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 122:5 220:12 253:22 264:14 251:22 349:3	U	334:21 402:8,9			330:2
highlighted 404:18,21 122:14 127:14 162:4 166:21 implemented 167:5,9 329:12 house 100:15 133:16 196:22 199:8 205:22 364:6 highlights 46:20 household 279:22 209:14 210:5 implicates 133:1 highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 122:5 220:12 253:22 264:14 251:22 349:3	0 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-
highlights 46:20 house 100:15 133:16 196:22 199:8 205:22 364:6 highlights 46:20 household 279:22 hypothesized 234:2 246:23 implicates 133:1 highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 122:5 220:12 253:22 264:14 251:22 349:3	346:7 395:9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	V 1		
highlights 46:20 household 279:22 209:14 210:5 implicates 133:1 395:6,13,15 100:12 271:16 hypothesized 234:2 246:23 implication highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 122:5 220:12 253:22 264:14 251:22 349:3	0 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-
395:6,13,15 highly 120:9	167:5,9 329:12	house 100:15	133:16 196:22		364:6
highly 120:9 271:24 272:2 122:5 220:12 253:22 264:14 251:22 349:3	highlights 46:20	household	279:22		
122.3 220.12 251.22 3 15.3	395:6,13,15	100:12 271:16			implication
Hill 63:23 66:9 276:5 278:4 hypothesizing 268:3 281:19 implications		271:24 272:2	122:5 220:12		251:22 349:3
	Hill 63:23 66:9	276:5 278:4	hypothesizing	268:3 281:19	implications
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 453
155:21	inclined 143:8	28:1 117:10	274:10	101.0 12
implicit 347:17	include 72:15	120:11 122:9	induction 9:12	191:9,12 247:23 248:4,8
implied 117:19	94:8 95:14	136:1,20	135:13 295:20	250:2,18,22
implies 237:11	101:23 130:22	234:19 248:6	415:18 417:3	251:9 252:9
333:23 350:6	135:6 147:1	248:12 249:4	Industrial 6:21	287:6 334:18
364:3 383:20	150:21 151:8	255:2 275:5	inert 123:24	influence 68:10
imply 110:8	157:24 158:5	278:5 406:22	257:16,19,23	68:13,19
146:8	169:11 242:11	406:23	258:2,18,24	influenced
		increases 116:16	259:14 260:8	210:3
implying 165:23 305:24 313:3	249:14,19 256:16			inform 332:6
		134:21 403:18 404:18	infection 137:8 397:2	
339:11	included 15:4			information
importance	43:10,16 71:8	increasing	infections	17:14 44:1
146:2 314:4	106:6 173:11	121:21 134:21	152:13	51:16 65:11
315:2	173:22 385:5	152:3 164:13	infiltration	172:4 229:24
important 16:21	391:23	283:12	137:21	236:23 242:2
52:7,12,15	includes 70:21	independent	inflammation	290:19 337:2
56:22 57:5,6	264:21 276:5	68:24	5:9,13 122:8	374:8 385:4
76:22 88:24	297:20	indexes 7:18	130:12 133:2	398:7 418:13
89:23 90:1,9	including 11:1	Indian 419:20	133:18,18	ingredient
97:9 99:14	14:3 146:11	indicate 142:15	134:2 135:5,13	410:18 412:23
134:17 138:15	161:7 169:15	183:8 322:14	135:22 136:1	inhalation
143:1 145:1,17	200:6 214:2	341:4,5	136:17 137:11	195:20 270:6
145:21 165:24	252:5 360:15	indicated 237:3	141:15 151:16	278:10
181:20 237:23	inclusion 110:9	indicative	152:9,12	inhaled 193:24
289:1 303:5	255:14	143:15 182:16	155:10,20	196:16 235:6
307:23 309:24	inclusive 118:17	183:11,16	156:10,24	274:8
310:1 336:4	170:1	indirect 227:3	157:4 163:3,15	inherent 128:12
337:3 346:9	incompetent	indisputable	191:8,19,20,23	140:16
397:14	109:10 110:4	127:5	200:7 247:22	inheritable
importantly	110:11 185:19	individual 99:17	248:3,5,12	309:11
89:16 349:23	inconclusive	100:7 101:10	249:16,21	inhibition 156:6
impossible	143:23	221:7	250:24 251:19	349:17
292:18	inconsistent	individually	305:2 397:2	Inhibitors
impression	287:6	65:23	417:18	255:20
41:14 52:11	incorporates	individuals	inflammatory	inhibitory 9:9
275:12 296:10	22:17	75:11 78:6	94:15 95:2	inhibits 156:7
impurities 85:12	incorrect 214:24	81:1,3 83:5	118:11,13	initial 24:18
89:7,10,20	215:11,20	186:6	119:2,3 122:11	276:12 340:16
187:6	217:22 218:2,3	individuals'	132:18 134:16	initially 301:9
inaccurate	increase 118:5	35:24	135:8,23 136:2	403:10,10
352:10 371:24	133:17 214:9	induce 134:15	137:7,20,22	initiation 147:9
inadvertent	234:20 235:23	164:9 397:16	152:17 155:22	148:11 164:11
152:14	236:1,7,10,14	417:17 419:22	156:5,6 157:10	313:16 314:13
inappropriate	299:1 332:10	induced 406:22	157:11,15	342:5 346:5
287:8 352:16	339:2,14	induces 146:24	161:9 163:8	initiators 137:12
incidence 275:5	340:14 352:22	349:17	169:17 190:9	injections 194:5
279:14	increased 8:6	inducing 164:13	190:22 191:3,6	innate 152:12
			<u> </u>	<u> </u>

				Page 454
DVOG 200 22	1	410.5	50 21 22 60 10	100 4 4 202 2
INOS 398:23	interested 78:11	412:7	59:21,23 60:10	189:4,4 283:2
inquiry 336:5	289:20 290:6	invasin 151:12	84:10,22 85:21	283:2,12
inside 195:22	292:3 426:15	invasion 163:11	99:10,18,22	285:14,14,18
326:3	interesting	inversely 145:23	131:11 143:7	285:18 287:13
instability	16:20 18:7	invested 68:7	186:21 237:21	324:16,16
151:14 164:14	184:16 223:9	investigated	250:24 251:3	325:3,3,9,9,23
instance 98:22	255:18	313:12	254:8 280:15	325:23 326:8,8
194:7 367:9	interests 320:14	investigation	296:13 301:1	327:1,1 328:8
instigation	interleukin	250:8 314:9	307:21 313:23	328:8 355:22
305:3	404:9,14	315:22 342:7	317:7 318:23	355:22
Institute 242:16	intermediate	342:10 389:21	319:18,20,22	Johnson's 7:3,8
246:13	141:14	investigations	322:7 343:8	56:23 83:17
institution 76:19	internal 125:1	396:15	346:24 424:11	84:6,6 86:3
76:21 362:3	125:11,16	investigators	issues 6:15	120:22 259:19
institutional	126:2 212:6,9	308:9 341:3	40:19 96:15	282:14 283:5
362:1	290:12 292:17	413:21	139:19 237:22	283:12 286:20
institutions 76:7	326:16	invite 333:24	250:18 275:20	287:13
76:7,9 77:2	international	invited 16:15,19	295:23 393:15	journal 6:21
INSTRUCTI	67:13 249:9	155:8 394:8	424:15	16:15 17:12
427:1	interpret 30:21	invoice 22:21	iteration 44:15	150:3,5,8
insufficient	379:5 384:8	23:16	ix 247:6	154:9 162:12
346:10	interpretation	invoiced 23:21		171:16 254:9
insulation	305:19 408:21	24:13,13	J	254:10,12,13
195:20	interpreted	invoices 23:12	J 2:16 58:8,8	255:22 257:10
insulted 73:6	111:16,18	25:1	325:16	288:8,9,13,24
integrating	237:12 339:13	involve 14:2	J-funded 325:16	292:10 321:18
35:22	interrupt 19:16	involved 24:19	J.D 2:5	327:13 328:4
intend 30:11	24:2	26:12 81:4	JAMES 3:9	329:4,7,8,9
32:10 189:15	intraperineal	110:20 135:5	James.mizgal	332:11 333:1
intended 16:23	255:21	152:4 174:3	3:10	333:11 334:1,6
intending 90:3	intraperitoneal	286:3 290:10	January 7:11	342:16 398:3
90:11	95:2	291:20 312:4	Jason 6:16	413:18
interacted	intratracheal	340:10	253:4,7,13	journals 154:15
304:23	194:4	involves 14:14	254:1 256:7	289:23 290:2
interacting	intriguing 339:3	IRB 18:20 19:7	JERSEY 1:2	291:17 322:3
122:7	352:22 353:5	iron 410:10	job 24:3 89:24	329:24 394:13
interactions	introduced	iron-mediated	292:15	JR 2:13
102:24 322:2	222:10,23	9:7	John 2:13	Jrestaino@re
intercourse	226:12	irrelevant 55:1	Johnson 1:4,4	2:13
219:14,15	introduction	55:11,18 56:2	2:18,18 7:3,8	judges 12:2
222:11	150:20 151:1,6	56:15 280:15	7:13,13 20:7,7	judgment 90:20
interest 16:16	155:17 164:3	352:19 353:3	24:6,6 25:9,9	Judith 38:22
121:3 289:7	177:21 188:11	353:14 412:5	39:21,21 83:17	junior 17:3 78:8
320:9 321:19	188:14 346:18	415:22 416:9	104:11,11,15	
326:24 333:23	413:19 417:13	421:17	104:15,24,24	K
353:7,10,10	418:8 419:12	isolated 358:12	105:4,4 161:6	K-U-N-Z 226:17
355:11	introductory	issue 57:22	161:6,15,15	Kane 39:15
333.11	inti oductoi y	13346 37.22	-, -,	
L				

82922 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

				Page 455
Kardashians	86:17,18,21	227:4 228:12	379:15 381:6,7	lab-based 107:1
293:3	87:13 88:8,12	228:21 229:14	381:20 382:10	label 87:10,14
Karlan 243:22	88:14,24 90:1	232:18 236:19	384:15 390:2	228:11
244:11	90:9,14 91:15	237:21 238:23	391:3,11	labeled 67:3
Karlan's 244:22	93:4 95:14	239:18 241:14	393:10 394:7	
				labeling 110:3
keep 22:12	96:21 97:11	241:22 243:12	394:10 396:5	laboratory 15:6 40:3,11 144:24
176:8,14 205:6	99:14 102:21	245:20 246:18	397:8,22	· /
256:8 354:1	102:22,23	250:9,16,17	404:15,16	271:10,19
kept 393:11	103:1 104:1,4	251:15,20	410:12 412:20	laboratory-ba
Keskin 94:24	104:5,6,9,14	253:4,7,7	413:6,10,15	33:9 40:2
Kessler 38:11,12	104:23 105:3	255:18 259:11	416:5 417:8	laboratory-de
key 307:17	109:1 110:3	259:24 260:23	420:15,18	144:7
334:18,21	113:6,17	261:12,16,23	422:5,12	labs 363:8
342:5 344:13	114:15 120:23	262:3 263:4	knowing 114:4	lack 128:13
kidney 105:18	121:2 127:11	269:14 270:23	237:11 318:8	156:22 206:2
kin 426:14	137:5 138:11	271:18 272:22	knowledge 86:2	235:4 290:13
kind 64:23	138:12,22	274:2,13,22	86:19 96:10	295:14 333:23
72:11 87:16	139:15 140:5	275:4,18	186:4 205:19	408:17
237:3 256:6	145:3 147:18	276:11,11,23	220:6 287:13	lacking 378:6
270:15 271:10	149:7,7,8,9	277:8,13,14,17	307:7 321:17	lacks 221:4
296:16 305:22	153:22 155:3,4	278:20,22	321:21 325:8	lad 80:23
306:3 308:19	155:7 157:20	279:3 281:14	325:22 338:18	Lancet 154:5,9
399:23	157:22 158:13	283:17,22	355:21 393:13	154:24 155:9
kinds 410:19	159:18 167:3,3	284:6 285:9	knowledgeable	158:5 159:4
412:23	167:15,16	286:6,10,18	77:21	161:17 162:17
Kirkman 171:4	170:2,18,20	288:7 290:13	known 266:2,15	166:24 169:3
kits 364:5	171:2 174:6,24	291:14 295:1,2	267:4 396:18	173:3
knew 7:13	176:21 184:22	295:2,4 296:17	397:16	landmarks
know 16:11	185:15 186:12	298:22,23	knows 223:19	210:4
17:12 19:17,21	186:15 187:11	299:14 301:4,9	256:15	Langseth
25:16 32:7	187:23 188:24	301:22,23,24	Kunle 245:5,6,6	418:19,23
33:8 37:4,6,19	189:4,8,22,24	305:20 308:12	Kunz 226:17	language 43:18
37:22,24 38:9	190:7,19	308:12 310:3		213:15 331:9
38:18,24 39:2	191:16 192:19	311:18 312:23	L	332:16 333:5
39:5,8,10,11	192:22 194:11	313:19 318:2	L 2:9	large 212:4
39:14 40:5,11	194:15 195:19	321:6 322:1	lab 14:21 16:4	243:14 426:20
40:12,13 48:19	195:20 196:1,2	326:4,4,16	40:12 83:2	larger 86:7
50:15 51:1	196:2,8,11	328:13 334:11	104:16 105:4	140:9 247:22
52:22 54:17	198:15 202:7	336:17 340:15	145:17 168:4	late 169:13
56:19 57:3,5,6	202:14 203:6	340:23 342:14	209:6 235:5	latency 138:5
58:14 63:1,4	203:21 204:6	344:2 350:15	311:12 317:8	latitude 290:3
66:21 68:21	204:11 213:3	352:7 353:18	317:10,17	law 1:16 2:3,11
78:12 79:7	215:4,23 216:3	354:16 361:17	323:24 324:1	lawsuits 257:2
80:7,18,23,24	216:9 217:4,18	362:20 363:7	360:18 363:10	lawyer's 46:12
82:6 83:17	220:2 223:3	364:6 372:17	363:12 364:6	430:1
84:10,17 85:4	224:1 225:1,7	375:8,19,20	373:5 384:11	lawyers 20:7
85:4 86:7,17	225:8,10,16	378:1,3 379:8	385:6 386:1	103:21
, ,	, , -		<u>l</u>	<u>l</u>

				Page 456
lood 74.2 6	72.6 15 72.1 7	220.2 12	45:18 46:24	192.12.12
lead 74:3,6 209:5	72:6,15 73:1,7 198:13,14	339:2,13 341:14,15	74:24 87:13	182:12,12 188:12 192:13
209:5 leading 122:8	198:13,14 294:16 296:20	341:14,15	165:20 254:20	188:12 192:13
136:18 150:11	332:1 333:20	357:22 367:22	361:8	193:22 197:4
163:8	333:22 334:2	371:8 375:4,12	listen 341:7	247:6,9 250:5
leaping 409:12	leukocytic	375:20 380:24	lists 157:2,11	256:22 262:11
learning 178:16	137:20	381:3 421:5,23	literally 421:1	276:12 290:11
178:18	level 106:14	428:4 430:2	literature 31:8	304:20 305:21
leave 137:4	125:7 130:2	lines 303:16	35:21 41:23	309:17 311:17
387:22	382:5	334:19 340:7	42:9,11,19,21	335:5 343:18
leaving 256:2	levels 82:22	352:13 357:16	65:11 67:10	344:6 349:2
led 249:24 250:8	228:15 275:18	357:17 358:1	90:15 94:22	364:20 369:17
250:12	334:21 371:16	358:10 361:7	95:7 96:11	374:6 390:6
left 365:2	371:22 383:2	362:11 372:13	97:18 101:15	392:10 397:10
legend 152:10	392:7	375:15 376:3	101:19 106:16	399:19 408:9
152:11	Levine 244:24	377:20 379:13	107:14,18	408:12
lesion 136:10	245:3	382:1 386:10	108:3,23 109:2	lives 290:15
let's 18:5 36:16	Levy 39:17	387:12 423:5	109:7,8 115:6	Liz 102:21,22
36:22 37:12	Lheureux 5:16	link 40:6 197:21	126:9 142:14	LLC 2:11
46:4 53:18	LHG 1:7	241:20 275:24	148:3 158:18	LLP 1:16 2:19
68:23 73:18	LIABILITY 1:6	linked 5:14	160:14 161:16	2:22 3:3,8
83:12,12 113:8	life 81:4 182:7	163:3,15	170:10 172:9	local 122:8
124:18 125:20	238:15 299:4	164:12 165:10	183:6 184:19	397:15
137:4 148:21	lifestyle 117:24	165:14 166:17	194:10 215:7	localized 130:11
153:23 169:9	121:12	197:10 198:7	224:2 232:19	logo 234:6
177:16,16,23	lifetime 169:12	linking 293:20	238:16 239:12	Lois 1:19 3:20
178:5,22,22	ligation 119:8	links 155:19,21	240:13 241:5	10:11 349:20
182:8 183:1,1	119:13,18	lipid 9:7,9	241:10,15	426:19
192:8 203:5	129:1	410:11 413:15	252:1 256:8	long 24:21 91:7
206:16 213:2	ligations 137:9	list 5:3,5 22:22	276:16 279:2	147:18 156:12
213:17 230:1	light 6:4 210:6	23:2 36:10	283:10,15	164:7 178:8
250:21 264:11	lights 156:9	42:1,14 44:10	284:24 285:1	298:23 304:24
291:14,19	liked 176:17	44:11 45:10,11	359:20	379:22 380:1
295:6 298:12	liking 308:4	47:18 48:3	litigation 1:6	long-lasting
299:15 306:18	limit 76:24	69:23 71:18	10:4,8 11:2	297:17 299:16
310:17,24	236:24 237:9	72:16 78:6	22:13 24:1,6	long-term
316:2,12 319:5	limitation	79:14 81:11,13	25:10 288:1	295:24
327:17 331:21	140:16	81:17,22 82:2	290:10 291:21	longer 104:1
334:9 343:15	limitations	82:15 88:4,18	297:2 320:18	longevity 296:12
348:22 349:9	128:12 337:3	165:9,11	323:24	longitudinal
356:9 370:14	limited 180:1,7	166:16 170:22	little 20:9 24:4	99:18
376:21,22,24	296:21	186:5 192:9	72:22 86:5	Longo 7:5,11
386:1 405:5	line 54:15	243:5 245:22	126:6 131:24	282:24 286:11
410:15	150:24 155:19	268:10 282:11	147:16 158:2	286:18
lethal 133:4,11	202:10 214:5	384:6 399:3	165:18 166:24	Longo's 281:13
letter 4:17 49:13	255:1,11	409:20	174:2 176:20	281:22,23
49:20,21 72:4	292:21 337:18	listed 36:1,11	176:20 182:9,9	look 21:22 33:19
			l	

				Page 457
35:24 37:15,21	311:23 312:9	313:20 323:8	Maine 11:24	10:20
44:11,23 45:13	322:17 340:12	340:12 397:21	major 136:18	
46:4 49:21	384:11,21	404:6 405:7,13	290:9 397:2,9	Margaret.tho 2:5
50:8 52:4,13	390:22 401:24	405:16 421:2	majority 123:3	mark 48:9 49:19
53:18 65:19	402:2 406:2,12	lots 34:19	making 188:9	53:11 113:21
67:15 70:11,17	419:7 420:20	148:23	270:16 271:1,2	149:12 153:18
73:18 75:9	420:24	lousy 24:12	296:22 322:22	161:22 198:11
79:4,6 81:13	looking 33:17	low 181:17	385:18 389:12	199:10 205:20
92:13 108:22	55:1,2 71:15	382:6 402:8,9	Malignancy	209:11 233:23
148:13,14	79:8 80:9	402:17	157:2	253:18,24
154:1 155:9	92:20,20,23	lower 399:3	malignant 128:6	264:11 281:21
156:19 169:9	93:18 110:13	lubricant	138:6 274:17	288:4 311:5
177:16 185:3	151:23 164:20	189:19	malpractice	329:3 372:7,11
188:5,10	165:9 188:6	Lubricating	11:17,18	394:21 400:20
194:10 213:23	210:20 231:20	199:23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	406:7
214:12 231:1	233:14 266:10	lunch 176:1,3	manageable 81:16	marked 20:13
232:22 233:15	290:14 300:19	177:4,9 198:22	mandated	20:16 21:1,5
234:4 247:4,6	300:23 301:1	212:12 230:1,4	247:12	20:16 21:1,3
258:12 263:9	305:22 310:4,4	lung 9:14 145:4	manifesting	49:17 50:6
286:19 288:14	311:11,18	195:23 274:7	152:16	53:13 64:4,8
298:23 302:12	312:16 348:5	278:12 297:21	manner 97:6	64:11 67:2
	359:12 368:9	300:2 305:5	260:19 383:3	81:9,22 113:24
308:8,14 311:3 311:21 315:21	370:4 371:13	410:12 415:20	Mantovani 5:10	149:15 153:16
318:5 319:5	395:5 401:20	415:23 417:5	155:5	162:4 166:21
322:8 325:24	401:21 410:5	419:24 421:5		199:8 205:13
326:9 344:4	looks 78:17	421:16,20,21	manufacturer 358:18,22	205:22 209:14
348:22 349:8	109:7 112:4	421:10,20,21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	234:2 246:23
356:9 368:19	155:17 184:17	421.22 422.1	manufacturing 275:13	253:22 264:14
374:24 381:6,7	234:5 311:20			268:3 281:19
381:17 384:16	366:12 382:4	lying 96:13 lymph 6:5	manuscript 8:14 8:16 47:1,6,17	282:2 287:19
387:24 389:11	382:11,12	208:13,22	75:5 319:2,3,5	294:14 301:19
	386:9 399:20	210:8 211:23		311:8 320:4
402:13 403:14 406:6 409:8	Loss 166:3	210:8 211:23	319:7 320:2,12 327:15 328:8	327:10 329:1
410:7 416:18	lot 16:10,18	<u> </u>	330:19 331:5	329:18 366:6
422:9	24:20 36:3	M	330:19 331:3	393:22 400:22
looked 36:19	51:14 65:9	M 2:4,13,16,21	335:9 345:11	406:10 409:14
45:9,17 48:14	73:24 76:1	8:3	348:6,18	415:2
53:10 55:8,24	83:7 84:2	M.D 1:14 2:5	366:12,16,18	marker 135:23
70:9 71:11	106:14 117:22	4:8,10 6:16	368:22 369:7,9	248:4 339:15
86:10 87:10	147:7 173:10	10:9,13 429:15	370:7 382:23	markers 334:18
88:20 109:20	178:18 186:4	machine 426:7	391:15,18	361:5 398:17
174:7 180:23	195:15 223:12	macrophage	manuscripts	400:2,6
194:12,14	228:10 231:1	193:18	332:10,13	market 83:18
201:23 202:2	237:20,22	macrophages	March 1:11 10:4	84:1 90:17
214:1 226:23	237.20,22	193:17	23:17,19 169:3	MARKETING
233:10,20	257:4 279:2	magnitude	426:17	1:5
256:5,9 266:13	280:1 296:23	138:14	Margaret 2:4	marking 166:23
230.3,9 200.13	200.1 270.23		14141 gai Ct 2.4	marking 100.23

				Page 458
247:1 409:16	mean 13:12 20:9	mechanism 27:5	354:20 389:3	met 37:24 38:2
415:4	31:7 44:11	29:17 30:2	389:13 391:7	38:10,12,22
markings	54:14 62:23	91:2,20,24	meetings 41:11	213:3 253:8
149:17,20	69:1 75:7 78:2	92:6,13,19,20	102:24	meta-analyses
marks 387:11	84:12 85:11	92:23 93:3,9	meets 181:21	142:13 172:22
387:15,16	90:15 97:15,15	123:5 124:19	Melville 2:16	183:3,5
mask 275:12	100:9 112:13	129:3 133:2	member 254:15	meta-analysis
masks 275:22	126:6 131:12	134:3,13	members 278:4	65:15 96:19
Massachusetts	140:19 148:8	200:16 201:1	memorized	106:18 171:13
2:20 11:15	159:16 166:7	201:18 267:5	356:12	171:18 231:11
massive 275:8	170:19 179:18	267:15 270:10	memory 281:16	249:2 255:14
275:11	192:20 210:23	274:24 277:24	311:16 317:14	256:10
massively 276:1	218:7,7 219:17	295:19 297:9	men 272:2,8	metabolized
match 57:24	222:15,18	303:9 304:4	menarche	193:3
156:8	223:16 231:1,5	305:12 309:19	169:13	metal-catalyzed
material 23:2	237:7 240:3	310:10,10	menopause	295:21
60:12 100:15	248:18 259:10	342:5	169:14	metals 55:13
129:10	270:15 275:6	mechanisms	menses 123:14	70:3,18 89:21
materials 20:2,8	317:4,6 351:20	193:16 267:8	123:15	108:15 129:11
22:23 36:11	353:5 358:12	302:18 303:3	menstrual	265:19
42:16 45:10,11	363:7 368:3	305:1,5 309:6	222:23,24	metathesis
47:18 129:4	373:18 374:9	Mechanistic	223:9	151:12
224:9 295:15	411:15 412:12	7:20 302:5	menstruation	methodologic
357:13 358:8	meaning 139:1	media 257:1	123:4 223:14	221:8 330:20
384:6	148:5 258:2	358:17	225:9	331:6 332:17
matter 10:7	meaningful 35:6	mediated	mentioned 46:8	333:6
11:14 55:4	80:7 139:12	128:17 166:4	103:13 154:7	methodology
89:21 91:3,8	144:6,19 145:5	410:11	241:23 245:8	53:9,23 65:2
114:19 239:4	meaningless	medical 11:17	246:11 330:1	71:15,20,22
266:12,14	339:14	11:18 13:11,15	411:13	72:16 106:5,10
285:10 286:23	means 13:13	14:3,9 77:15	mentorship	106:22 148:23
373:18 381:14	54:17 79:15	196:14 200:5	74:19	178:24 181:2
426:6	179:20 188:24	237:16 238:1,5	merit 332:7	186:22 210:9
matters 193:17	269:17 313:2	238:16,17	Mesothelia	295:14 350:14
McCLENNEN	341:11	239:12 240:2	401:13	350:20 353:17
2:19	meant 20:6	240:12,13,22	mesothelial 8:23	353:23 354:8
McDonald 6:6	97:17 168:11	241:5,10,15	136:10 402:7	356:23 358:17
209:5,11	175:12 257:7	288:8,9,12	403:7,13	388:1
McTiernan 37:4	258:9	289:23 290:2	mesothelioma	methods 280:3
37:5 38:2	measles 80:22	292:9	195:2 273:11	357:13 363:1,4
McTiernan's	measurable	medicine 5:12	274:7,10 278:6	363:17,22
37:19	100:21	6:21 69:2	279:5,15	metrics 128:14
MDL 1:9 10:8	measure 227:1,3	162:13 242:1	295:12 297:21	Michael 1:14
104:7 281:22	measured	242:17 243:13	mesotheliomas	4:8,10 10:9,13
281:24	334:22	246:13,14	195:3 274:12	429:15
MEAGHER	measurements	meeting 102:18	305:6	micro- 227:6
2:22	210:3	340:16,22	messages 305:24	microcopy
	<u> </u>	<u>l</u> ′	<u> </u>	

				Page 459
205.17	100.2 146.5 0	200.22.201.2.8	Mantaamany	laila 92.16
295:17	108:3 146:5,9	290:22 291:2,8	Montgomery 2:4	multiple 82:16 100:23 151:16
microenviron	192:12,23	Mkp3 166:4 MMT 345:4		
307:16,23	193:10,11,20 193:21 194:20		month 47:15	152:15 202:5
micrograms		mobile 218:9	327:17	231:10 247:15
359:6	257:16,22	426:3	months 25:20	247:15 multitude 305:4
microns 86:7,13	259:4 263:17	mode 214:10	254:18 287:11	
microphone 423:24	263:19 298:17	270:18,18	299:5 327:19	mumbling 124:14 177:2
_	302:7 303:5	model 130:20,21	Moorman 39:8	
microscopy 6:4 210:6 295:16	401:14 403:13	131:13,19	moot 296:13,16	212:22
	mineral-induc	132:2,4,6	morning 230:9	mumps 137:7
microsphere	302:17 303:2	337:18 339:7	232:17 241:23	musculoepith
228:11	mineralogist	340:6 341:14	273:20 374:7	125:6
microspheres	250:17 420:12	models 303:16	mortality 249:5	muscutone
227:7	mineralogy	307:6	Mossman 7:23	266:4
middle 75:4	57:18 84:22	moderate	301:22,22,23	mutagenesis
migrate 122:21	86:10 87:8	116:16	303:7	303:16 307:22
124:1 126:11	108:7 261:23	modern 309:5	motile 214:7,23	mutagenic
127:4,20 128:3	minerals 286:3	modest 180:13	215:10,22	303:18
129:12 214:8	minimum	modifiable	216:2,10 217:5	mutation 309:24
276:23 279:10	319:22	116:15	217:7,11,14	310:1 391:6
migrating	Minister 186:10	modifications	218:2 229:2,10	mutations 164:9
195:16	186:12	397:17	motility 229:4	164:13 307:13
migration 30:15	minute 244:1	molecular 8:5	mount 156:2	310:5
31:3 32:11	288:14 302:11	15:8 26:6	mouse 147:10	mystified 204:1
37:17 127:14	304:11 321:14	32:12 34:23	337:17 339:7	204:17,20
195:7,15	339:17	35:10 60:17,21	340:5 341:14	205:10 206:1,5
200:14,24	minutes 105:13	61:6,12 325:11	mouthful	206:10
201:5,13,17,22	105:20 177:10	325:19,24	397:21	N
213:18,20	212:12 306:7	327:2 400:12	move 215:8	N 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1
220:12 274:23	364:24 365:5	molecule 148:11	229:1,2 386:2	6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1
278:16	365:10 421:1	300:7	moved 214:21	N.E 3:4
mil 359:6	misconduct 81:5		214:21 296:13	name 10:2
millions 214:7	misinformed	Monday 5:19	movement	103:12 221:11
min-90:2	111:8	money 24:5	214:13 227:20	243:5 406:5
mind 60:7 87:23	misinterpreted	104:14,23	moving 216:15	names 81:17
195:18 336:7	109:21 111:11	105:3 317:7,10	216:16 217:14	names 81:17 nano 414:8
377:8 413:11	111:13,15	323:19,23	217:16,23	
416:9	misleading	monies 317:23	304:20	419:20,21,21
mine 162:10	408:22	monograph	MPAFF 2:5	420:2,17 Nano-Scale
177:22 254:2	missing 44:13	50:1 67:6 69:8	MRNA 371:16	
272:14 273:3	mixed 86:22	69:16 70:7	382:5 383:2	415:18 417:4
370:4 379:19	234:18 420:6	73:12 262:8,14	MTT 345:4	nanoparticles
mine's 20:21,21	mixture 83:6	263:11 264:19	362:7	9:8
mineral 7:22	MIZGALA 3:9	monographs	mucinous	nanopowder
8:24 54:22	18:22 50:17	4:20 71:8	170:24 171:3	414:11 Nanagada 0:12
84:23 90:2,9	60:2 114:21	monstrous	Mulholland	Nanoscale 9:13
90:19 91:3	115:1 176:11	244:8	3:13 10:3	napkin 96:14
	I	I	I	I

				Page 460
NAPOLI 2:15	426:13	попаопаононя	NPO 360:19	numeral 182:12
narrow 26:24	neoangiogenesis	noncancerous 358:1,10,12	398:23	numerically
396:5	397:15	nonfibrous	NTB 235:17	390:11
narrowed 81:15	neoplastic 9:2	402:2,16	nuanced 33:17	numerous 200:5
national 6:12	134:19 164:10	403:18	number 10:8	240:21
68:24 69:1	406:13,23	nongranuloma	20:15 21:4,16	NUTTER 2:19
235:16 241:24	407:8,14	251:3	48:11 49:16,20	11011EK 2.19
242:11 246:12	Ness 161:8	nonresponsive	50:4,5 53:12	0
251:7 289:22	net 179:10	211:7 346:3	53:22 70:1	OB 229:23
389:3	never 37:24	Nope 39:16	78:13 81:8,16	OB-GYN
natural 166:12	38:12 40:9,10	normal 134:17	83:23 100:1	254:11 257:10
naturally 146:5	84:19 102:2,19	163:9 305:7	113:23 115:4,5	obesity 117:24
nature 11:14	142:24 240:5	334:19,19	116:3,7,14	119:9,14,19
33:5 68:21	241:18 261:12	349:23 358:2	117:1,8,22	object 12:7
136:9 158:21	new 1:2 2:16,23	375:16 376:2,2	118:11 120:9	14:18 16:6
NCI 233:7	2:23 6:15 31:2	376:3,11,15	121:5,11,19	17:1,16 18:1
neater 376:23	31:8 146:18	377:7 382:2	121:3,11,19	18:23 19:12
necessarily	184:21 254:21	normalization	123:13 124:24	26:9,21 27:9
44:10 68:23	255:22 267:24	360:7	129:10 149:14	28:16,21,21
158:24 191:10	292:19	normalized	153:15 162:3	29:11,21 30:6
191:23 239:11		367:10 371:16	166:20 169:12	31:6,18 32:1
256:8 274:4	Newport 2:9 news 287:10,21	North 1:17	198:24 199:7	32:15 33:7,22
297:12 393:15	· ·	213:10	205:21 207:15	35:1,19 36:9
	288:5,12,17 289:11	NOS2 360:11	209:13 211:9	37:2 40:22
necessary 427:4 necessitated	Next-to-last		234:1 246:22	41:16 42:24
52:12	407:11	Notary 1:22 426:20 429:22	253:21 254:1	43:12,23 45:4
need 19:21	nice 84:13	note 181:20	257:2 264:13	46:11 47:8
33:10 44:18	102:16 176:19	333:2 405:7	268:2,16	49:4 51:6,11
50:16 62:11	nickle 265:19	notebooks 373:6	281:18 282:1	51:22 52:9,17
93:5 99:14	nine 247:9	374:10 384:7,9	287:18 290:10	53:4 54:3,20
112:23 140:8,9	nitric 137:21	384:12,21	294:13 301:18	55:7,21 56:6
162:8 164:22	nitrogen 396:17	385:6,9 386:1	303:21 305:1	56:18 57:2,10
209:24 258:11	nobody's 194:12	noted 10:10	307:2 311:7,22	58:13,20 59:17
279:24 315:21	nodes 6:5	137:18 427:11	320:3 328:24	60:3,9,20 61:3
336:5 345:18	208:13,22	429:11	329:17 332:10	61:10,24 62:16
386:1	210:8 211:23	notes 46:20	334:10 335:8	63:9,20 66:19
needed 46:13	210.8 211.23	430:1	335:12 337:15	67:22 68:4
needs 158:23	nods 17:8 25:18	notice 1:15 4:13	337:16 341:18	69:12 70:14
205:10	49:23 98:13,19	21:14 23:4,10	343:15,17	71:2 72:1,8,19
negative 59:12	170:8,11	47:23	344:12,18	74:9 75:24
59:15 60:11	183:15 231:7	noticed 174:24	345:6 346:7	76:13 77:11,18
62:2 231:3,5,7	244:23	noticed 174.24 noting 399:12	351:11,21	78:1,23 79:22
231:11	non 270:15	399:14	366:5,8 393:21	80:20 81:20
negatively 46:22	nonasbestiform	novel 337:2	400:21 406:9	82:13 84:9
neither 138:24	50:2 54:10,14	November 7:6	409:13 415:1	85:3,17 87:3
139:3 256:14	54:18 177:19	25:13	numbers 188:6	88:17 89:3,9
256:15 346:4	178:1 264:6	novo 337:9	188:13 271:14	90:6,13 91:6
230.13 340.4	1/0.1 204.0	11010 33 1.7	100.13 2/1.14	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

				Page 461
01.22 02.4 16	164:19 165:17	245.10.24	324:19 325:5	408:16 409:3
91:23 92:4,16 93:2 94:17		245:19,24 246:9 250:15	325:13 326:14	
95:6 96:4,17	168:1,23		327:6 331:12	410:9 411:5,12
,	169:23 170:13	251:12,18		411:22 412:9
97:8,24 99:12	171:20 172:11	252:17 253:1	335:3,23 336:9	412:18 413:9
100:4 101:12	172:19 173:16	255:6,17	336:20 337:8	414:1 416:1,11
103:11 104:18	174:1,20 175:4	256:20 257:12	338:3,9,14,22	417:23 418:11
105:6 106:12	178:3 179:3,9	257:18 258:1	340:21 341:24	419:2 420:9,22
107:23 108:11	181:6,13	258:20 259:2,7	342:19 343:11	421:15 422:21
109:12,18	182:21 183:19	259:22 260:12	344:1 346:2	423:3,7
110:2 111:10	184:15 185:7	260:22 261:11	347:3 350:11	object- 373:9
111:20 112:15	185:13,21	261:22 262:16	350:22 351:16	objected 253:20
112:22 115:14	186:3,18 187:9	262:24 263:24	352:2,21 353:9	objection 22:4
116:11,20	187:19 189:7	264:8 265:3,9	354:11,22	114:2,9,9
117:6,14 118:8	190:4,12 191:1	265:22 266:6	355:14 356:6	291:7,13 373:7
118:16 119:16	191:15 192:4	266:17 267:1,7	357:1 358:20	373:13 392:18
120:14 121:7	193:5,14	267:12 269:10	359:22 360:2	objections 21:19
121:16,24	194:19 195:10	270:8 271:13	361:2,16	22:4,10 23:5
122:13,24	196:10 197:14	272:18 273:6	362:19 363:6	23:10 47:20,24
123:9,19 124:4	198:1 200:19	273:15,24	363:24 366:3	48:3 114:8
124:22 125:3	202:23 203:9	275:3 276:7	366:23 367:15	291:7
125:13 126:15	203:17,24	277:3,16 278:8	368:2 372:2,10	objective 319:21
126:24 127:7	204:13 206:7	278:19 279:1	374:17 375:6	334:15 335:1
127:16,24	206:12 207:4	279:17 280:6	375:10,24	objectively
128:9,21 129:7	207:14,23	280:10 281:1	376:9 377:15	300:24
129:18 130:5	208:8,24	283:8,21 284:5	377:23 378:9	objectives
130:15 131:4	209:20 210:14	284:22 285:6	378:15,22	343:21
132:22 133:7	211:6,12 212:2	285:24 286:14	379:4 380:8,23	observation
133:21 134:8	214:16 215:2	287:1,16,24	381:5,16,23	20:24
134:24 135:10	215:13 216:6	289:5,15 290:1	382:18 383:13	observational
135:19 136:5	217:13 218:5	290:8,21 292:6	383:18 384:4	144:6
136:13,23	219:11 220:1,8	292:14 293:5	384:14,20	observations
137:15 138:1	221:17 222:1	293:16 294:6	385:2,8,16	163:13
138:21 139:8	222:14 223:2	296:8 297:5,11	386:7 387:5	observe 210:23
139:14,22	223:21 224:4	298:4,9,16,21	388:4,11,18	212:3,3
140:4,12,22	224:13,21	299:7 300:17	389:6,15 390:1	observed 134:4
141:18 142:1	225:4,13,19	301:13 303:11	390:14 391:2,9	303:20 403:3
142:10,19	226:1,8,14	304:1,7,16	392:5,9 393:7	403:10,12
143:4,10,18	228:1,20 229:6	305:15 307:20	394:16 395:23	observing 35:5
144:1,10,21	229:18 230:23	308:7,21	396:12,22	obvious 58:1
146:14 147:5	231:16 232:3	309:16 310:14	397:6 398:10	214:5 409:11
152:23 153:14	231.16 232.3	311:15 313:10	398:21 399:9	obviously 16:10
154:12,17	232:10 235:3	314:1,7,16,23	399:18 400:5	47:13 51:13
154:12,17	236:18 237:5		400:15 401:3	
		315:8,18		218:7 228:5
157:18 158:9	238:4,11 239:8	317:21 318:12	403:1,21 404:5	256:13 274:6
159:7,14	239:17,24	319:10 320:23	404:13,24	OC 118:6 248:6
160:19 161:11	240:8,17 241:1	322:12 323:1	405:12,22	OCAC 34:12
161:19 163:18	242:6 243:11	323:18 324:4	407:23 408:7	75:22 76:1,5
<u>'</u>			•	•

				Page 462
			<u> </u>	
76:16,17,18,24	32:21 34:13	272:24 279:21	30:24 35:14	257:15,21
78:16	36:16 37:22	279:24 280:16	38:7,23 213:22	258:18,21
occasional 249:8	43:7 50:15	284:14 288:3	oncologists	259:19 261:19
occasionally	52:1 56:9 57:7	291:13,21	170:21 229:20	263:8 266:8
16:19	63:4,6 64:21	293:11 295:8	329:10	273:22 275:23
occupational	65:16 69:5	300:22 302:23	Oncology 8:11	277:8,23
100:18 271:24	71:12 74:13	304:12 306:2	8:19 327:22	278:15 313:15
276:12 410:22	75:3 80:9 83:8	306:23 308:17	328:17 329:5	339:1 367:23
413:2 414:12	83:15,15 84:16	311:1,2 312:11	331:23 332:9	383:10 386:4
occur 146:6	86:21 87:6	312:12 313:4	332:14 333:4	393:17 415:21
164:8 178:9	89:13 94:21	313:15 314:11	347:12 391:17	opinions 12:13
194:8	95:19 98:9	314:20 315:12	394:2 400:9	12:16,19 13:3
occurred 229:12	103:18 106:24	315:24 316:8	ones 44:9 45:16	13:6,10 27:4,5
occurrence	107:3 111:2,24	317:24 318:5	78:16 93:13	27:22 30:11
295:22	112:1 113:8	321:14 324:7	120:8 194:14	32:4 35:17
occurs 146:5	115:20 118:21	324:24 327:19	286:2 299:8	37:17 41:1
257:22	119:22 120:7	328:14 331:19	375:21	102:9 106:21
odd 165:22	125:22 126:4	336:15 340:3	ongoing 254:9	107:9 110:21
166:3	131:8,10,17,17	341:2 342:14	online 49:10	189:13,15
odds 139:11	131:20 132:6,9	344:11 348:17	oops 146:10	219:22 220:5
Odunsi 245:5,5	132:10,12	349:9 354:14	358:4	265:5 296:19
offer 35:16 36:6	143:14 145:23	356:17 357:14	open 129:24	365:23 424:8
39:24	146:4 149:13	357:20,24	130:1	opposed 346:6
offers 127:13	151:4 153:23	358:23 359:3,4	opening 413:12	355:1
offhand 232:10	159:23 160:1,6	359:15 364:9	opining 196:7	optical 295:16
offices 1:16	160:21 161:4	364:12 365:12	224:7	options 170:6
oftentimes	167:7,11 168:8	365:24 366:1	opinion 19:7	oral 119:7,17
225:16 337:23	174:11,15	367:12 368:7	26:15,17 27:1	oranges 72:23
oh 64:7,16 70:4	175:1,16 177:4	369:1 370:21	27:23 28:4,8	399:24
73:9 88:4	177:14 178:7	371:11 374:21	28:12,24 29:7	order 33:8 43:24
132:1 154:20	183:1 189:1	375:2,15	29:16 30:1	138:17 140:7
162:2,8 167:5	197:7,8,20	376:13,22,24	51:12,14 57:13	176:3 332:21
178:5 183:4	198:19 201:15	377:20 380:11	58:4,10 61:7	359:16
204:10 210:18	206:15 211:5	380:17 385:13	62:12 73:8	ordinate 369:13
210:20 220:17	211:15 212:15	391:15 393:16	84:24 85:21	organ 62:5
224:14 243:1	211:13 212:13	395:3,16	93:21 107:13	organ 02.3 organization
256:2 288:8	212:10,18,24 213:17 215:18	400:24 401:18	109:6 113:17	67:20 68:2,8
	216:18 217:8		142:2 143:5	,
300:7 302:23		406:7 409:10		68:15,18,20
312:14 349:22	218:13,19	409:16 416:17	144:17 146:16	242:10 292:20
359:15 368:9	220:16 222:18	416:19 419:5,7	146:17 179:6	389:16
368:10 379:18	232:12 233:17	421:11 424:23	184:12 195:5	organized
381:11 392:15	239:14 242:13	omitted 342:7,9	195:13 201:7	346:19 385:10
393:20 395:14	244:2,7 249:1	ONC 321:9	201:20 208:1	organs 194:1,13
401:20 424:2	252:4 258:24	once 61:4	218:1 222:19	origin 357:19
okay 20:4,5,13	259:9 261:7,8	193:11 269:11	223:22 230:9	414:9
21:3 23:22	265:14,18	oncogenic 350:1	230:13 232:12	original 43:24
24:17,24 25:8	268:1,1 270:21	oncologist 14:9	234:9 252:18	348:4 404:17
		ı	ı	

				rage 103
427:15	165:13 166:5	200:15,17	399:15 417:18	247:21 429:6
originally 103:8	166:10,16	201:1,2,14,17	419:23	paid 24:5,8
246:14	167:23 168:20	201:19,21	oxide 137:21	104:10,24
os 129:23	170:17 172:3	203:15 206:18	oxidizing 312:23	105:4 317:18
OSE 137:11	173:5 180:13	220:13 223:11	oxygen 134:21	320:16 323:24
outline 53:23	183:10 197:11	227:19 267:20	165:11,14,20	painful 119:8,19
101:18	197:15,23	269:8,19 271:7	165:24 166:9	296:14
outlines 65:24	198:8 230:11	278:1	166:17 396:16	Paint 147:10
outside 68:10,13	230:14,21	ovary 44:8 62:5	417:17 418:2	pales 256:4
68:19 86:5	231:14,21,23	129:22 130:12	oxytocin 228:5	panel 12:2
326:2	233:1 234:10	136:10 169:16	228:15	156:20,22
ovarian 5:16,23	236:10 237:23	171:4 202:1,3	Oza 167:22	pap- 211:22
6:11,15,22 8:6	241:21 242:17	221:3 268:16	168:2,4	paper 47:3,16
8:21 9:3 13:20	243:20 246:4	375:16 382:2		48:4 65:12,12
13:23 15:7,9	247:1,14 248:6	overall 121:19	P	74:21 75:12
26:2,12 28:1,6	248:9,13 249:4	163:13 335:8	P 2:1,1 3:1,1	76:9,22 79:3
28:9,13 29:2,9	249:13,16,21	424:8	334:22	81:7 82:5
29:19 30:4	251:23 252:23	overlapped	p-Cresol 266:4	93:23 107:5
34:9 40:6,17	254:21 255:2	392:22	P-value 382:7	111:22 148:24
41:2 44:8	256:17 268:6	overstated	p.m 230:3,6	151:8 155:5
55:11 57:12,24	268:18 273:12	309:17	310:19,22	160:24 166:7,8
61:18 66:7	275:6,24 278:5	overview 346:21	349:11,14	174:8 203:3,12
78:7 89:18	279:14 283:13	overwhelming	365:16,19	203:15 204:20
90:22 92:8,12	284:8 288:21	307:13	370:19 407:1	205:9,13,20,24
95:11,12,13	293:19 299:1	ovulation	416:21,24	206:21 207:1,8
101:21 102:3,7	313:17,20	135:15 229:11	423:14,17	207:21 208:6
107:15,19,21	314:5,14	ovulations	424:24 425:2	208:13,20
108:17,19	334:18,19	169:12	pace 362:10	209:11 210:21
110:20 115:10	336:13 340:10	ovulatory	page 4:2 36:12	211:22 215:3
116:5,17	342:6 346:12	137:19	43:4 53:18	225:5,9 249:6
117:10 119:9	346:14,20,23	Owen 7:14	95:19 151:23	250:10 255:22
119:13 120:12	347:24 348:3	ox-312:22	156:19 164:2	267:19,21
121:14 122:7	357:17 358:2,3	Oxidant 398:14	177:20 182:9	268:7 301:22
128:6,19	376:3 395:8,11	oxidants 305:2	188:6,16	302:14,21
130:10 131:9	397:16 398:16	399:5	199:20 200:1	304:17 309:2
133:3,17 134:6	399:15,21	oxidative 5:13	201:13 220:14	316:6,10 317:1
134:17,17	406:13,24	8:20 122:10	220:15 235:1	318:10,16,19
135:5,16 136:1	407:7,14	163:2,7,14	247:6,6,20,21	321:9,10
136:3,9,18,21	410:21 411:9	164:12 166:4	263:12 264:17	325:17 327:9
137:8,13 138:6	413:1,21	311:13,21	268:24 308:24	327:12,24
138:19 139:2	417:16	312:5,18,24	309:5,5 316:11	328:5,12 331:2
141:4,7,10,13	ovaries 30:12	313:2,6,11,16	316:12 331:3	332:2 334:15
142:5,17	122:22 123:7	313:20 314:4	349:15 398:13	335:21 337:6
143:16,22	125:18,19,21	314:12 315:3	417:13 421:23	344:5 347:23
150:19 157:8	126:12 127:21	342:4 394:4	428:4 430:2	348:1,2,14,19
157:16 158:15	128:4 129:12	395:7,9 396:18	paged 214:3	349:6 351:17
161:8 165:11	132:17 194:8,9	397:3,13	pages 37:12 72:9	355:9 356:17
				

				Page 464
255 10 260 12	270.12		1 202 24 206 2	112.20
357:10 368:12	278:12	413:4,20 414:8	292:24 296:2	pen 113:20
375:2,12 378:1	parens 132:15	415:18 417:4	patients 13:18	penetration
380:15 381:13	parenthetically	418:9 419:20	33:10 40:9	197:1
391:12 393:5	98:3	419:22 420:3	170:19 200:6	Penninkilampi
399:23 400:18	parietal 274:21	420:10,16,17	202:1 260:14	171:13,17
400:20,24	parity 119:7,17	422:24	290:18 292:3	172:3 174:17
401:15,24	Parsed 120:7	particular 77:5	292:11 296:11	254:22 255:14
405:7 406:3,3	part 27:16 40:10	78:19 83:4,7	298:24 299:4,9	256:5
406:12 407:19	57:7 67:14	100:7 310:9	310:2	people 17:4
407:20 408:14	75:19 120:15	345:22 361:5	pattern 263:19	34:20 40:15
409:17,18,22	120:17 131:24	particularly	pause 283:19,22	52:11 79:10
410:5 412:7	133:12,12	42:21 302:10	283:22 PCD 260 5 12	82:4 171:2
413:19,23	199:19 257:3	305:11 309:18	PCR 360:5,12	196:16 236:23
414:2,23 415:4	277:4 309:18	335:14 377:12	367:9,12 370:1	237:8 275:19
415:6,8,17,21	335:14	414:11	371:15,16	per- 280:18
416:12,15,18	partial 165:9	particulate	379:14,15	percent 82:3
417:6 418:8,17	participants 71,10	55:19 56:24	381:6 403:22	83:21 113:5
418:24 421:2	71:19	132:15 193:16	Peachtree 3:4	116:3,4 117:10
422:7	participate 75:5	particulates	Pearson's	146:9 231:2
papers 16:11	242:20	127:3,19	214:12	249:4 283:1
42:4 44:12,13	participated	130:12	peer 188:1,2,18	332:12
44:17,19 73:22	76:19	parties 426:14	188:21 330:3	percentage
74:1 103:2	particle 5:23	party 344:4	peer-review	14:14 223:13
106:22 128:19	55:5 86:3,22	passage 123:14	187:17 318:16	223:19 225:8
173:10 208:18	193:21 214:11	156:12 309:1	318:18 327:13	225:11,14
224:22 244:10	217:9,11 218:1	passenger 310:7	peer-reviewed	perfectly 174:3
244:12 245:1	particle-sized	pasted 368:22	107:10 142:14	perform 14:6,11
248:17 327:21	420:6	patency 136:8	162:19 166:15	39:22,24 43:21
337:9 388:6,9	particles 7:22	pathogenesis	183:6 215:7	65:5 66:9
388:12 420:19	9:13 30:12	8:20 133:3	312:13 326:20	107:18 340:17
422:18,23	31:3 32:11	152:5 302:19	327:12 329:24	performed
Paradigms 6:11	55:18 56:3	303:4 314:5	359:19 360:23	60:24 107:13
247:2	86:13 122:21	395:8,11	362:15 375:1	210:10 286:7
paragraph	123:5,24	397:14,23	383:9 388:16	340:18 344:24
164:5 178:6	126:11 134:15	Pathogenicity 8:24 401:14	391:23 394:9	345:24 360:6
180:11 181:19	192:14,16		peer-reviewer	360:12 361:6,9
183:2 200:3,4	193:24 196:17	pathology 6:3	351:11 387:7	362:6,15
220:12 256:23	200:14,24	211:2	peer-reviewers	404:22 405:1,4
263:15 264:18	201:17 210:5	pathway 133:19	347:14 350:19	409:24
268:12,24	213:20 214:14	197:5	351:3,8 386:23	perineal 5:22
295:7 306:9,11	215:8 217:23	pathways 163:8	387:2 413:18	27:23 60:13
309:4 330:22	224:18 225:2	patient 14:15	peer-reviewers'	96:8 117:1,8
332:15,20	226:18 227:18	44:16 101:2	331:10	118:12 122:20
333:6 347:22	228:24 297:22	139:12 199:22	pelvic 6:5	126:12 127:19
419:19	302:8 303:5	280:12,16	118:13 134:6	132:14 142:16
parallel 178:9	305:10,11	281:10 283:4	135:7 137:7	183:9 197:11
parenchyma	410:18 412:22	284:1,20	157:11 169:17	197:15,22
	1	1	1	1

				Page 465
198:6 200:15	nougonally 27.6	nielzed 172.17	126:10 132:14	313:19 337:16
201:18 235:11	personally 37:6 301:23	picked 172:17 172:20 173:2,6	200:15,16	340:11 343:4
255:1 270:24		173:18 174:8	200.13,16	369:8 395:15
278:13 279:5	perspective 147:17 202:7		218:18 219:1,4	
279:15		picture 26:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	pointed 57:20
	312:8 339:10	296:4 308:13	219:7,13,21	296:18
perineum 96:22 126:20 127:4	perspectives 247:15	pictures 372:19	267:5,14 274:24 277:24	points 228:14 386:20 395:14
		PID 135:8,15	278:17 279:12	
129:12,22 215:15 218:21	persuasive 123:23	158:12,14 170:17		polarized 210:6
218:24 219:8	pertinent		play 57:24 346:8	polarizing 6:4
220:24 222:9	295:22	piece 418:13	plays 55:10	politically 68:18
		pieces 363:15	61:17 313:7	politics 68:11,13
226:6 272:15	pesticide 101:3	place 1:17	314:13 395:10	polycystic 119:9
273:4 280:14	petition 73:3,4,5	102:16 173:11	397:13	119:13 169:16
280:18 283:6	Petitions 4:18	placed 126:19	Plaza 2:8	polymorphisms
period 120:12	Ph.D 1:15 4:8,10	218:24	pleasant 350:17	156:5
138:5 189:2	8:3 10:9,13	placenta 228:7	please 61:4 90:7	Poole 248:7
223:15 276:20	13:16 80:22	plaintiff 11:19	103:15 114:10	poor 356:4
periodically	429:15	35:17 36:6	115:18 217:2	poorly 385:10
243:14	phagocytize	45:13,21,24	262:9 269:11	portal 125:1
periods 119:8,19	193:18	88:5	288:16 314:2	portion 125:8
peristaltic	pharmaceutical	Plaintiff's 4:12	333:2 427:3,8	187:16 188:17
226:21	410:20 412:24	plaintiff-funded	plenty 323:4	pose 410:20
peritoneal	Pharmacology	316:17,22	387:18	412:24
122:22 123:7	7:20	317:4 318:8,21	pleura 197:2	posed 413:20
126:12 127:5	phenom- 340:4	319:7,16	274:21	poses 123:15
190:23 194:16	phenotype	320:21 322:6,9	pleural 194:4	position 19:2
195:3,6,7,24	309:11 350:1	322:23	195:21,23	30:23 74:18
196:3,24 269:7	phenotypic	plaintiffs' 2:2	196:18,23	225:23 226:3
273:11 274:11	397:17	26:1 35:23	260:14 273:11	324:10
274:15,17	phone 328:3	43:19 206:24	274:9,14,18	positions 74:11
275:1	phrase 197:18	213:1 221:13	278:13 279:4	74:16
peritoneum	258:7 396:3	424:14	297:23	positive 142:16
132:17 273:22	phrased 343:12	plan 13:3	pleurodesis 87:1	183:9 271:6
permanent	343:13	plate 413:13	259:12 260:7	275:21
147:24	physical 146:7	platy 146:6	260:20,24	positively 137:8
permit 210:5	192:5	plausibility 26:1	293:14,18	possess 46:18
peroneal 180:2	physician 11:22	26:11 27:5,13	294:4 296:5,11	possibilities
180:11 184:4	291:17,19	27:15 36:2	298:24 299:4	160:7
peroxidase	292:1	41:10 51:13	PLLC 2:15	possibility 129:2
410:11	physicians	66:5,12,21	Plunkett 39:5	139:5 191:21
peroxidation	154:23	90:22 92:7	point 17:20	209:18 219:19
9:7,9 413:15	physiologic	93:7 94:1	34:19 75:18	222:8
person 74:14,24	130:24	145:2,21	105:10 140:2	possible 18:19
79:20 81:6	physiology	283:14	177:6 230:18	19:9 40:6,20
389:18	216:4 221:23	plausible 29:19	230:19 272:12	83:13 123:13
personal 3:2	pick 172:15	29:23 30:4	273:1 283:10	134:3,13
426:9	173:13	91:2 92:13	306:16 307:15	135:24 179:20

				Page 400
210 20 222 22	01 4 11 21	200 10 201 21	J	421 17
219:20 222:22	91:4,11,21	289:19 291:21	preparations	421:17
223:7 225:20	92:7,11 96:5	292:11 297:2	295:10	prevalent
226:9,11,15	96:19,21	300:15 301:2	prepared	120:10,16
235:18 236:10	101:21 102:3,7	325:11 326:1	232:23	prevention
248:5,11,22	102:17 109:7,8	327:2 338:20	preparing 23:19	155:21 247:5
256:24 266:2	116:14 117:2,9	342:11 343:8	preprint 47:9,12	247:13
267:10 269:3	120:9,22 121:5	348:2 359:6,10	47:14 316:24	previous 12:4
269:17 279:19	121:11 130:9	378:13,20	321:2,5,13	26:18 77:8
417:14	134:5 138:18	395:19 398:18	prescreens 12:3	133:15 232:22
possibly 117:2	140:1 169:18	399:7 400:12	presence 88:10	233:13 256:6
235:12 242:1	169:20 171:12	417:15,20	95:3 146:8	387:24 388:8
332:24	172:2 173:4	420:6,16	201:14,21,24	418:22
poster 355:6,15	175:14,18	powder's 91:7	202:9 203:13	previously
391:6,10	180:3 184:5,6	Powdered	267:4 287:11	26:22 31:16
posters 355:17	187:12 189:19	199:21,21	300:14	282:17 334:6
potential 90:4	190:2,17	powders 122:4	present 124:1	359:19 362:16
90:11 92:2	192:14 198:5,6	146:12	165:21 268:17	363:15 388:21
127:3 129:3	199:12,22	power 180:12	297:14 346:10	primarily 14:2
130:21 131:1	200:4,11	powered 139:4	presentation	93:13
131:21 172:2	218:24 219:8,9	powerful 145:15	355:1 390:18	primary 9:6
180:15 184:3,8	222:7,9,21,22	practice 6:15	presentations	35:21 76:20
187:6 214:9	225:17 226:6	190:1 232:14	41:10	309:8,20 358:2
238:24 336:13	226:11 230:10	232:18 254:8	presented	375:17 376:2,3
344:14 346:8	230:13,15,20	PRACTICES	181:23 340:16	399:11
potentially	230:24 231:13	1:5	354:20 388:23	principles 4:23
101:1 169:18	232:13,24	pre-111:18	391:6 414:6	53:20 71:19
169:21 171:11	233:24 234:9	345:14	424:12,15	printed 53:16
173:4 175:14	235:12,12,23	pre-print 47:2	presenting	printing 369:18
175:18 181:23	236:1,6,24	preamble 71:7	111:17	prior 11:9 49:10
powder 1:5 6:8	237:8,14,18	148:17,18,22	presents 200:5	66:13 295:12
6:9 7:4,14 8:6	238:2,18	precisely 274:13	preserve 210:4	389:3
10:8 11:1	240:14,22	precision 138:15	president 213:6	privy 20:10
17:22 24:6	241:7,8,12,13	predict 44:9	pressure 178:11	probably 11:10
25:10 26:2	259:20 260:9	predicted 229:3	227:1,4 228:4	14:21 23:20
27:24 28:5,9	260:13,18	preface 247:7	pressure's 228:7	24:22 75:8
28:13 29:1,8	261:2,3,18	prefaced 110:12	presumably	80:14 82:3
29:18 30:3	265:6,7,18	preferentially	128:16	150:6 158:14
31:23 40:5	266:1,9,11,15	229:11	pretty 16:2,12	162:16 173:17
41:2 55:2,10	272:14 273:3	pregnancy	38:16 47:16	212:11 214:3
56:23 57:16,22	273:12 276:18	131:1 169:13	49:8 89:22	223:19,22
58:4 60:24	280:13,17,21	227:13 228:6	94:22 150:15	228:21 229:15
61:7,17 63:17	281:6 282:14	preliminary	153:22 178:24	259:13 264:4
83:3,8,11,18	283:6,12 284:1	414:6	181:8 191:18	272:4 316:9
84:3,6 86:3	284:17 285:21	premise 411:14	194:11 197:3	329:15 355:18
87:11 88:3,11	286:8,20	411:18	221:18 236:14	387:15 392:11
88:21 89:1,19	·		256:5 356:12	
	287:12,14,22 288:21 289:2	preparation 75.5.210.2		problem 105:15 228:3 269:14
90:2,10,16	200.21 209.2	75:5 210:2	396:5 397:23	220.3 209.14

				Page 467
201 (210 (100 00 040 10	100.16	762400020	20602002
301:6 310:6	102:23 242:10	proposed 29:16	76:3,4,8 90:20	396:9 398:3
318:2 353:20	professionally	30:1 134:2,9	PTI 3:7	399:7 400:8,10
371:14	103:1	279:12	pub- 51:2	400:24 401:18
problematic	profile 82:6	proposing	public 1:22	413:22 414:2
75:8 228:10	program 235:17	158:11 269:16	49:14,19 51:3	415:5
procedures 14:6	374:13 389:7	279:22	63:17 121:3	publishing 77:6
proceedings	390:5	propounded	189:2 426:20	79:4 107:5
426:6,11	progress 132:19	429:9	429:22	399:11
process 81:2	progression	prospective	publication	PubMed 44:4
106:18 137:19	147:2 156:1	17:21 138:24	16:17 332:8	233:4
161:9 179:12	164:12 314:13	140:8,19	337:6	pull 42:5 44:14
187:17,24	342:6 346:5	prospectively	publications	348:17,18
259:13 278:13	project 79:10,18	18:2,5	76:11 77:9	381:9
300:3,5 313:3	185:10	protected	80:14 312:14	pulled 20:12
369:18 393:14	proliferation	414:12	388:1	41:24 42:2
394:9 398:1	134:19 163:10	protective 129:2	publish 34:3,4	81:12
processes 174:3	164:15 344:23	protein 135:23	162:14	pulling 369:9
190:22	345:3 349:17	248:4 383:2	published 15:15	pulmonary
produce 93:9	362:6 406:22	proteins 346:22	15:18,21,24	410:21 413:1
produced 164:7	proliferative	protocol 358:18	47:1,3,9,17	413:20
product 7:9	150:21 151:9	prove 59:12,13	48:20 52:3	pump 226:21
18:21 59:7	421:8	59:14 60:11	67:7 69:16	pure 85:1,10,14
60:15,18 61:22	prolific 73:19	61:1,8 301:10	73:22 79:19	89:12 242:9
62:7,22 83:18	prominence	301:14	82:16 102:2	257:22 259:4,4
84:13 85:6	307:22	proven 58:11,18	106:9 119:11	261:1 312:2
90:3,10 180:3	promote 122:5	58:24 59:24	150:5,7 153:6	purer 146:9
261:14 283:11	135:16	60:1,6 232:13	165:6 167:13	purified 85:8,10
285:1,11 287:8	promoter	proves 59:7,8	169:3 186:7	295:10
295:15,19	147:14	60:18 62:24	208:16,21	purpose 13:1
420:6	promoters	provide 26:18	211:10 215:7	72:24 200:1
production	147:13,20	34:15 35:4	224:2 239:12	211:8 243:9
22:16 408:19	304:24	42:6 43:2	240:5 243:6	341:5 363:20
products 1:5,6	promoting	50:23 53:1	244:19,22,24	375:12
3:2 7:4 27:24	152:17	75:16 113:19	245:7,13 250:9	pursuant 1:15
28:9,13 29:18	promotion	144:18 156:10	252:23 253:13	pursue 108:6
30:3 54:9 58:6	147:1,16	229:23 267:5	254:7,13,17,22	277:18
58:8,10 60:6	pronounce	337:2	256:18 289:11	purview 410:10
61:1,8 62:13	227:8	provided 20:7	319:3 320:2	put 23:20 49:10
96:2 146:11,12	pronounced	20:11 42:3	321:4 334:5	79:12 81:6
184:5 197:22	295:2	78:8 88:14	342:15 348:5,9	115:19 120:18
235:22 236:13	proof 112:13	107:9 283:2	348:14,18,19	158:20,22
237:1,10 241:6	113:2,3,3,6	286:21 295:18	356:19 357:10	159:5,10
241:11,20	proper 114:9	396:15	360:23 362:16	176:23 194:6
284:8 287:2	properties 7:22	provides 361:20	366:16,18	215:14 226:2
301:4 410:20	302:7,19 303:4	providing 17:13	368:12 369:7,9	260:13 297:15
411:3 412:24	proportional	27:3,4 32:5	370:7 375:1	318:7 321:15
professional	145:24	74:19 75:13	388:16 394:1	322:18 356:14
1				

				Page 400
368:6 374:19	205:2 206:14	182:3	RDR 3:20	330:5
378:19 382:19	211:3,7 217:2	quotes 43:9,15	426:19	reading 155:16
390:19 412:6	218:10 228:17	quotes 43.7,13	re-reviewing	155:18 163:1,6
418:7,7	229:16 231:12	R	52:21	168:15 182:17
putting 125:19	237:24 238:8	R 2:1 3:1 426:1	reach 30:12	188:17 201:8
148:9 220:23	240:1 252:1	428:1,1	108:23 196:3	210:15 220:9
222:7 252:21	253:17 269:13	R-O-S 164:6	206:18 268:16	255:8 263:14
260:24 380:14	270:2 272:22	radical 5:12	reached 99:4	264:17 293:3
Pyc 407:15	277:6 281:9,11	162:13 295:21	181:11 200:23	332:1 337:1
Pycnogenol 9:2	288:11 293:9	radioactive	264:18	341:7 382:22
406:3	321:1 323:8	228:9	reaches 128:15	396:14 403:8
100.5	339:12 346:2	radioactivity	132:16	406:21
Q	351:19,20,20	227:15	reaching 178:24	reads 164:5
qualified 80:4	357:19,20,20	radiolabeled	277:24	291:17
80:11 82:9	363:14,20	227:7	reaction 132:18	real 203:18
273:8	372:7,11	radioresistance	137:20 191:13	339:12
qualify 259:13	386:24 387:11	163:11	200:8 258:4	reality 35:4
quality 74:21	387:15,16	Railroad 7:9		realize 95:22
89:1,4,6	404:17 408:24	raise 347:14	reactive 134:21 165:10,14,20	really 35:22
238:15 323:6		350:13,19	165:10,14,20	40:10,11 51:17
323:10 361:24	411:20,23	351:3,8 386:24	166:17 396:16	52:19 61:12
quantifiable	421:10,12 422:17	raised 40:19		
100:10		139:2 293:14	396:17 417:17 418:2	65:13,15 66:11
quantification	questionable	294:3 393:4,14		66:20 78:4
371:22 379:15	300:4	393:15,15	read 36:17	79:8 93:14
quantify 67:17	questions	raises 295:23	52:18 73:7	98:6 106:15
67:17 98:5,10	146:19 178:20	319:20	87:21 108:6	110:17 145:18
99:5,9 100:14	244:3 293:24	random 418:12	155:1,1 171:24	158:1 166:3
101:9	306:24 423:20	randomized	175:21 178:5	174:9 184:21
quantifying	423:22 424:18	18:2,9	182:9 183:1	186:4 219:21
99:16 100:6	426:8 429:8	randomly 418:7	210:17,23,24	227:4 228:10
128:13	quibble 396:6	range 86:15	213:17 237:6	237:13 256:4
quantitative	quick 176:4	87:9 396:7	248:24 281:13	258:11 260:14
65:14 307:21	203:18		282:23 295:5,6	262:4 275:16
403:22	quite 24:21 82:4	ranges 86:7	295:6 302:15	275:21 284:15
question 18:7	100:10 151:22	rapidly 286:4 rare 171:7	304:18 309:2	298:24 301:1
19:17 32:6	162:16 188:23	rare 1/1:/ rash 184:6	342:13 344:5,6	318:8,22
33:24 36:5	214:21 228:12	rate 25:4 229:2	347:21 410:15	327:16 358:21
37:18 43:14	324:2,5 421:22	rate 23:4 229:2 rates 122:9	413:11 416:12	364:7 373:17
51:19 52:1	quotations	rates 122:9 ratio 139:11	427:3 429:5	379:5 399:20
56:11,16 59:24	121:22 261:1	rationale 180:10	readable 347:4	399:22
60:5 61:20	quote 38:14		reader 158:22	realtime 1:21
	48:23 76:17	ratios 115:5,21	173:12	80:21 81:2
62:10 63:5,16	190:14 225:14	raw 366:21	readers 17:12	306:13,21,24
66:2 91:19	228:16 229:13	368:4 372:20	155:9 160:14	334:22 360:5
104:21 112:10	240:9 248:17	372:24 373:2,5	290:5	reanalyzed
159:3 160:19	286:15 290:12	374:9,12	readership	231:10
203:20 204:6	quoted 52:10	383:23 384:1,9	257:9 289:7,20	reason 57:7 80:5
		ı	1	ı

				Page 469
92:12 99:9	344:14	72:16 166:2,3	regard 80:11	296:2
145:11 158:17	recommendat	171:8 172:6	138:18	relevance 82:22
175:6 179:24	236:21 237:2	173:7 174:18	regard- 89:18	257:9 390:23
185:9,18,24	292:23 345:6	175:18 214:18	regarded 154:8	399:14 410:12
204:2 215:20	recommended	214:20 218:10	regarding 4:17	411:2,9
217:21 218:1	118:6 210:7	218:11	37:17 86:2	relevant 43:3
237:9 257:3	240:23 241:6	referenced	135:22 200:24	
260:17 277:4	240.23 241.0	171:14 183:21		51:9,20,23 81:6 171:7
320:19 417:21		332:2	203:3,12 224:17 226:18	217:24 251:1
	recommending 241:15 281:10			
418:3 427:5		references 44:12	232:24 256:17	257:4 273:11
428:6,8,10,12	record 10:2,11	46:13 72:12	265:5 266:8	352:23 375:3
428:14,16,18	22:16 113:10	85:7 86:18	267:19 285:14	375:13,22
428:20,22,24	113:11,13	164:20 174:5	328:4,8 335:20	376:4,6,7,11
reasonable	114:3 120:5,19	213:24 214:2	356:22 365:23	376:17 377:4,7
13:10 109:3	230:3,6 282:23	240:21	417:20 419:14	377:12,13
110:7,15	306:14 310:19	referencing	regardless 89:19	378:4 411:15
158:14 292:15	310:20,22	217:22	90:18 155:8	411:19 412:12
303:23 305:19	349:9,11,12,14	referred 263:20	162:19 284:12	412:16 413:7
387:15 389:23	365:3,16,17,19	409:22	340:6 383:8	413:14
390:4 410:7	366:3 370:14	referring 34:6	region 6:5 134:6	reliable 62:20
reasonably	370:16,17,19	73:10 82:21	Regions 9:14	62:21 93:24
362:21	373:21 380:18	83:10 93:12	415:19 417:5	reliance 69:23
reasoning 214:6	416:17,21,22	94:3,23 95:13	Register/ 5:19	relies 144:7
218:3 256:14	416:24 423:14	111:22 143:16	Registered 1:20	rely 286:16
256:22 387:19	423:15,17	175:20 264:6	regularly 140:2	326:15
reasons 99:15	425:1	266:22 267:2	regulatory 48:7	remain 193:12
199:13 200:10	recruited 34:15	293:21 336:12	rejected 321:10	remember
296:14 338:5	recruitment	352:6	351:17	24:21 37:9
reassure 280:13	75:15	refers 95:12	relate 221:9	82:5 87:22
recall 87:12	recurring	137:5 220:22	related 91:15	88:3,4 148:20
103:7 142:6,24	157:20	352:7	119:11 241:19	153:9 231:24
179:14 227:6	red 174:15	refine 61:11	378:24	277:6 282:4
227:11 229:7	redox 334:18,22	397:7 402:23	relates 1:7	317:22 323:21
242:7,9 249:8	344:14 346:8	refining 399:19	106:13 264:12	324:5,13,20
264:4 286:1	346:21	reflect 299:23	relating 220:17	325:1,6 340:18
311:19 317:16	reduce 137:10	368:4	400:12	345:12 347:22
325:15 345:3	137:12	reflected 387:3	relationship	418:24
346:15,16	reduced 407:15	reflective 349:4	5:22 40:16	remodeling
388:12	426:9	369:6	131:5 141:6,12	137:23 157:12
receipt 427:17	reduces 9:2	refresh 281:16	249:12 250:7	158:13
received 20:2,6	reduction	311:16,16	293:18 378:7	removal 200:10
401:5,21	117:23	317:14	404:10	remove 193:16
recess 230:4	refer 46:13	refute 407:20	relative 116:4	removed 193:21
recognize 68:6	106:8 234:13	409:1 420:20	307:12	395:19
154:24 155:6	248:16	421:12	relatively 30:19	render 371:23
406:5	reference 7:16	refutes 408:4	30:20 261:1	repair 122:10
recognizing	42:1 43:2	409:11 422:18	release 137:21	137:23

				rage 470
repeat 61:4 90:7	reporter 1:20,21	253:11 301:24	respective 77:22	387:3 421:12
241:9	3:20 10:11	request 21:22	80:11 82:9	retired 213:10
repetitive 97:10	56:12 98:17	requested	respects 125:9	retrograde
rephrase 104:21	124:10,15	340:17	respiratory	123:4,14
262:10	198:18,23	requests 4:13	200:7	195:16 223:14
rephrased 79:17	212:18,19	22:2	respond 161:15	224:10 225:9
replaced 346:21	244:14 426:20	research 6:11	response 4:12	return 427:15
replications	reporter's 24:3	14:15,20 15:6	32:13 49:14,20	Reuter 5:14
307:13	reporting	15:13,21 16:3	72:4,14 73:2	162:7
replicative	160:13 170:9	67:14 101:20	132:18 134:16	reveal 62:19
150:23 151:11	172:1 234:19	101:24 152:3	156:2 189:3	103:15
report 4:8 12:5	234:20 292:16	243:20 246:4	191:6,9,11	revealed 316:24
13:9 19:23	303:7	247:2,14	250:3,18,23	318:22 319:1
20:14 25:16,24	reports 7:14	249:10 307:7	251:10 252:9	319:23
27:10 30:13	36:18 42:3	311:1 316:18	339:21 340:1	review 5:12 8:19
32:5,17 35:20	48:6 86:9	316:22 317:5	380:6,21	16:14,22 17:14
36:24 37:15	158:12 282:4	317:17 318:8	383:11 414:7	24:20 49:13
41:19 42:12,15	286:2 287:10	318:22 319:8	417:21	50:1,16 51:23
43:10,16 44:24	287:21 288:18	319:14,16,20	responses	53:2,8 65:10
47:4,13 65:2,7	402:7	320:21 322:6,9	152:18 303:6	70:6 72:6
65:24 66:11,16	represent	322:10,15,21	303:21 416:6	89:16 101:16
82:19 87:21,22	368:21 370:8	322:23 323:4,6	responsibility	101:20 106:16
88:1,6,9,15	representative	323:9,10 324:1	14:24 67:15	107:6,14,19
93:14,15,16	90:17	324:15 325:2	296:2	108:7,8,15
95:21 106:7	representatives	333:24 365:22	responsible	126:9 149:2,3
107:4,9 120:21	328:4	388:23 392:2	74:20	150:2,12,12
194:7 201:4,9	represented	392:21 393:9	responsive 22:2	154:2 157:22
201:10,12	245:17 369:5	407:20 414:15	rest 82:5 132:2	157:23 158:6
203:5 205:14	represents	420:20	132:10 365:9	158:20,21
205:18 208:14	426:10	researcher	Restaino 2:11	160:4,12 162:7
211:9 214:1	reproducible	13:16 35:10	2:13	163:2,13,21
220:14 232:23	91:17 110:14	73:19 325:9	result 135:14	166:15,24
234:18 238:17	reproductive	337:23	152:14 332:11	167:19 168:10
238:20 246:3	8:13 31:4	researchers	426:15	169:2,24 173:3
247:12 251:24	119:12 123:6	75:15 78:7	resulting 257:1	174:4,4,13
281:13,22,24	125:1,9 129:13	210:10 314:12	309:11	187:24 188:1,2
282:23 288:4	194:12 216:3	314:18 325:24	results 65:21	188:18,21
288:12 289:1	221:23 321:11	355:12 362:5	285:17,20	202:24 232:19
289:11 304:17	329:22 341:3	resembles	335:10 339:9	238:20 241:3
316:2,5,7,9,11	356:10,18,21	137:19 332:16	351:13,23	242:22,24
320:20 322:6	389:21 391:20	333:6	357:14 367:24	243:3,4 244:5
330:18 424:6	reputable 67:20	resistant 344:23	371:8,24	283:15 284:7
reported 97:18	67:23 242:2	345:23	372:18 374:23	284:24,24
115:5 161:16	394:12	resisting 150:22	375:3,13	291:24 300:1
233:14 238:14	reputation	151:10	378:12 379:2	305:21 327:21
248:11 249:3	77:15 162:17	respected	380:5 382:22	327:24 328:9
359:19 400:10	213:4 253:8,10	154:14 304:8	384:10 386:4	330:3 333:4
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

				Page 471
240 15 264 2	220 11 241 0	156 24 157 0	275 17 276 10	240 12 240 4
348:15 364:3	339:11 341:8	156:24 157:8	375:17 376:18	248:13 249:4
384:1 389:8	343:2	161:14 163:4	377:5 378:10	249:17,22
392:7 393:14	reviewers	166:18 167:14	380:17 381:1	255:2 264:20
393:24 394:1,7	171:15 173:22	168:14 171:13	382:12 390:24	274:6 278:5
394:12 395:6	173:23 174:7	175:15,22	399:11 403:7,8	283:13 284:8
396:9 398:4	174:16 187:21	179:1,7,16,22	403:9,23	295:11 297:13
399:10,14	242:23 328:12	180:6,8,24	404:19 407:3	307:14 410:20
400:8	328:13 332:3	181:4,24	412:4 417:7	413:1,20
review-type	332:21 333:9	183:17 187:2	419:8 420:4	414:12 417:16
16:11	334:12 350:13	188:11 193:17	421:5	risks 4:21 67:16
reviewed 35:21	352:9,12,15,18	201:22 203:14	right-hand	200:5 236:14
36:10 42:16	354:14 355:22	207:2,12,21	199:20	238:24 239:1
48:6 49:22	356:21 389:11	208:6 210:12	Rigler 7:5,11	241:19
50:9 51:15	389:22,22	210:22 211:10	rigorous 336:5	RNS 396:17
61:14 67:1	390:10,22	211:18,24	rise 191:22	road 2:15 89:15
71:7 158:17	391:16,21	212:9,22	195:2,2	305:21
184:16,20	392:14 393:3	215:11 220:11	risk 8:6 28:1,5	Robert 8:10
202:5,14	393:10 398:2	222:11 230:11	51:9 53:9,22	Robinson 1:20
235:17 266:11	reviewing 37:11	230:14 231:6	53:24 54:8	2:8 3:20 10:12
267:23 268:9	64:1 90:15	232:10 233:6	55:10 59:9	426:19
273:17 283:10	202:20	234:24 235:7	62:3 63:17	robust 141:6,12
332:3,4 375:17	reviews 17:3	235:14,19	90:21 116:4,16	Roggli 295:3
389:2 391:16	30:15 155:18	236:11,21	117:10,23	role 8:20 9:6
391:18,21,22	155:19	241:21 245:8	118:3,5,11	53:22 55:10
409:19 416:3	revise 337:11	246:19 248:23	119:6,10	57:12,24 61:17
418:17 424:5	371:10	249:17,22	120:12 121:12	66:6 74:6
reviewer 328:16	revised 333:2	252:23 253:16	123:16 130:10	89:17 90:22
329:4,21	revisited 256:6	256:18 258:21	133:17 134:4	92:7 269:3
331:16,22	riddled 310:5	261:14 263:22	134:17 136:1	289:18 313:7
332:5 333:12	right 10:23	264:6 270:13	136:21 137:9	313:16 314:13
334:10,10,14	12:14,15 13:7	277:1,14	137:12 139:4	336:13 346:9
335:7,8,12,16	14:19 17:14	279:15 282:20	156:24 157:4	346:23 355:17
335:20,24	19:15,23 23:1	288:22 298:10	158:15 169:10	395:7,10 396:4
337:5,15	25:5,7 26:3	299:17 300:11	169:11,21	396:4,16
341:18 342:3,8	35:10 36:22	303:9 306:3	170:16,22	397:14
342:24 343:15	42:12,17,18	315:14 317:19	171:11 172:2	roles 75:4
343:17 344:6	44:10 52:5	318:4,17 321:4	173:4 175:18	roman 182:12
344:12,18	58:7 64:14	326:2 329:23	180:14 181:17	Ronald 244:19
345:6 347:11	65:3 67:2,4,7	330:1 336:2	181:23 230:10	ROS 164:6
347:22 351:21	67:11 74:1	344:11 345:1	230:14,20	396:17 406:23
353:2,6,12,13	75:1,2,7,22	345:13 348:16	231:1,13,23	408:9,12,13,20
353:16,22	80:5 94:15	351:6,14 357:3	232:24 234:10	417:17
356:1,3,9	99:6,10 106:19	360:7 363:2,17	234:11,19	Roughly 188:8
357:2,6 387:8	106:20 111:1,4	364:7,18	235:23 236:1,7	route 130:13
391:19	118:18 122:14	366:12,19	236:11,16	269:20 270:5
reviewer's	133:11 134:1,9	367:13 368:6	238:23 239:3	279:13
336:22 339:1	149:24 150:11	368:14 369:12	247:23 248:6	routes 278:23
330.22 337.1	177.47 130.11	J00.17 J0J.12	271.23 2 7 0.0	1 outes 2 / 0.23

				Page 472
	265-22-266-12	210.1.224.17	112.17.100.1	25.24
routinely 106:15	365:22 366:12	218:1 234:17	112:17 188:1	section 35:24
RPR 3:20	382:22 383:5,9	235:24 236:6	242:10 292:19	70:11,17 84:3
RSCI-18-671	386:15 387:23	236:15,16,19	315:22 336:5	112:7 164:3
8:14	387:24 393:5	242:7 251:16	390:22	169:9 177:24
RT-PCR 334:23	394:1 407:20	270:3,11	scientifically	181:22 247:21
360:5	409:1,11,21	275:10 293:7	40:10 65:8	247:23 249:16
rudimentary	420:20 421:13	303:12 305:9	scientist 33:9	249:21 316:14
87:7	422:18	317:9,23	65:13 82:8	355:15 414:22
rule 191:20	Saenz 45:18	318:24 336:22	109:3,4,6	sections 131:15
200:2	Saenz's 47:17	342:24 343:2	110:7,7 168:5	secure 197:3
ruled 180:16	48:18 49:10	346:16 350:17	219:5,6 280:4	see 13:18 18:24
rules 19:15	52:5	372:18 376:7	300:11 322:8	18:24 35:23
76:18 291:14	safe 58:11,15,18	384:10 392:15	scientists 34:20	44:13 47:5
running 253:12	58:24 59:7,14	says 58:15 98:19	79:24 81:4	48:17 50:22
rushed 422:10	59:24 60:6,15	115:23 150:11	108:22 110:15	53:16 65:1
	60:18 61:1,8	152:2 175:14	150:14 154:23	82:7 87:1
S	61:22 62:7,14	200:4 235:19	168:20 181:15	89:22 91:14
s 2:1 3:1,8	62:22 63:1	280:16 283:4	185:3,10 186:1	143:11,11
301:17	87:18 232:13	319:14 333:20	202:19 207:18	148:15 157:9
S-O-O-D 244:17	232:20 280:14	334:3,15 335:8	208:3 290:14	158:23 167:18
Saed 8:3,10,16	280:17 283:5	347:23 381:13	307:11 308:2,4	187:22 199:12
8:21 36:3	284:1,20 285:1	403:9 406:17	308:18 313:6	204:20 205:24
38:18 46:2,6	287:7 296:3	410:15	313:23	206:4 211:13
94:9 304:14	313:11	scanning 6:4	scored 390:10	214:17,17
310:24 320:16	safety 293:14	scar 191:17,22	scoring 390:17	215:3 234:6
321:7,17	294:3 295:24	schedule 22:22	Screening 4:15	236:8,12 243:5
326:12 332:1	SALES 1:5	25:4 97:22	se 66:10 106:7	258:8 265:1
333:10 334:5	sample 138:17	scholarly 77:9	294:20 297:21	267:13 282:13
338:18 339:18	286:20	science 69:2	299:24	282:14 286:10
340:9,15	samples 7:10	110:13 158:24	Seaport 2:20	288:1 292:1
342:16 346:13	76:3 100:23	241:24 243:16	search 15:6 44:3	293:21 299:12
357:15 360:18	283:2 284:17	243:20 246:4	44:6	317:12 325:22
360:22 361:6	286:21 360:7	246:13 248:2	searches 43:21	327:14 331:9
362:5,16 373:6	Samuel 4:17	251:6,7 252:22	searching 44:1	340:22 355:6
374:14 388:22	Sandra 6:6	257:5,8 280:8	second 120:17	355:16 357:2
398:17 399:6	sanitary 96:14	322:17 424:9	128:23 131:23	359:12 381:9
409:24	222:21 223:10	Sciences 8:13	155:5,19 164:5	381:12 382:7,7
Saed's 46:23	saved 44:19	321:11 329:22	176:12 180:5	386:21,22
47:5 304:10,11	saw 47:2 52:4	356:10,18,22	235:8,9 254:19	402:16 403:5
311:3 316:17	53:15 174:17	391:20	275:14 309:4	403:17 405:1,5
316:22 318:7	227:14 317:11		319:22 331:13	409:20 413:11
319:15 320:20		Sciences-Engi		
322:5,21	322:4 394:3	6:13	332:20 344:21	419:3 422:7,10
323:22 324:13	416:2	scientific 13:11	347:21,22	422:11,12
334:15 335:21	saying 56:4	26:13 41:11	418:1	seeded 359:5
347:15 348:1	59:19 65:16	67:20 68:1	Secondary	seeing 88:3
349:6 357:9	92:10 173:1	77:15 81:5	276:8	229:7 231:24
J 1 7.U JJ / .7	204:8 215:19	96:24 107:1	secretory 358:7	282:4 340:19
	1	1	1	1

				Page 473
seeking 353:18	consitivity	sex 219:8	283:11,11	significantly
seen 21:20 49:23	sensitivity 295:18	sex 219.8 sexual 219:14,15	286:20,20	147:12 383:2
50:10 69:19	sentence 150:21	222:10 269:3,5	showing 93:24	419:22
85:7 93:10	152:2,8 164:16	•	228:24 283:13	signing 427:10
	· ·	269:6,17,24		signing 427:10 silicate 295:23
148:6 153:20	169:11 200:3	270:3,9,16	shown 117:11	
167:2 169:5	247:11 256:23	279:12	118:5 127:20	similar 65:2
208:20 209:7,8	302:21 303:15	SEYFARTH 3:3	136:20 137:12	71:21 250:1
240:12,18	307:11 344:12	SGO 102:14	159:17 272:10	343:17 391:12
241:5,10,14,15	344:21 346:4	213:7 329:9	280:22 283:16	396:8
241:16,17	407:10,11	354:19 389:22	284:16 285:17	similarity
258:7,12,13	411:6 412:7	390:5,21 391:7	285:20 301:7	250:18 251:20
261:13 266:19	414:16,17	393:11	349:16 406:18	similarly 295:21
268:7 285:13	417:12	shaken 96:14	shows 93:22	Simone 5:14
285:16 287:21	sentences 163:7	shakes 46:22	348:2 367:12	simple 142:24
288:17 320:6,7	248:15	98:17	370:23 421:2	simply 292:16
328:16 329:16	separate 175:19	share 83:18	Shukla 8:24	295:24 420:11
332:9 373:5	272:22 317:6	shared 302:1	94:5,6,7	Singh 39:19
409:18 415:6	separated 20:23	shares 361:21	400:18,20	single 296:19,20
sees 308:13	178:10	shave 306:7	407:19,24	309:23 363:18
selected 76:11	September	SHAW 3:3	sic 195:20	sitting 32:9
175:1,9 246:5	12:20	sheet 295:23	245:12	232:9
251:5 334:21	sequence 309:13	427:7,9,12,15	side 199:20	situ 210:7
selective 280:8	sequencing	429:11	229:11	situation 11:5
self-care 184:5	310:3	shipyard 279:3	sides 313:23	155:3 179:23
self-generated	sequitur 270:15	SHKOLNIK	Siemiatycki	185:3 318:9
229:4	series 113:15	2:15	38:19	321:20
self-proliferat	208:21 211:17	short 253:24	sigma-produced	situations 101:8
362:7	serious 330:19	347:7 423:11	83:5 85:7	160:2
SEM-EDX	331:6 332:17	shorter 346:19	sign 427:8	six 254:18
210:7	333:6 350:14	shorthand 426:8	signal 91:13	264:20 389:11
send 73:4	350:20 351:4,8	show 62:13	signaling 150:22	389:22
senior 17:6	371:14 393:4	91:13 93:19	305:4	size 86:3,22,24
74:18 79:5	seriously 173:17	94:15 160:5	signalling 151:9	123:24 138:17
sense 16:8 35:12	173:23 202:6	202:9 231:17	Signed 426:17	192:14,18
41:4 52:18	202:13 385:13	238:17 279:23	significance	217:18
54:6 68:11	serous 121:12	301:21 340:4,5	140:8 337:16	sizes 86:8
71:5 72:23	serve 40:7 41:3	344:13,15	339:23 341:12	Sjösten 127:10
75:6 84:1 85:4	served 320:16	345:2 378:12	341:19 350:15	127:11
92:22 95:12	serves 124:24	380:20 383:10	353:13 382:15	SKADDEN 2:22
96:22 108:24	Services 10:4	408:13	significant	skin 147:10
112:17 149:24	serving 25:9	showed 304:22	42:22 121:21	skip 152:7
152:20 157:20	104:6,10	Shower 7:4,4	142:16 164:8	SKOV 383:1
163:21 164:17	setting 55:12	56:23,23 58:5	183:9 231:6,18	SKOV3 357:16
165:19 201:6	413:13,13	58:5 86:3,4	269:2 332:10	370:24 371:4
218:8 220:21	seven 360:13,13	120:22,22	357:18 381:14	378:1 382:4,4
221:4 223:12	365:5,10	261:9,9,13,13	383:21 387:13	382:12
Sensitive 398:15	severity 156:4	261:16,16	403:18 404:18	SLATE 2:22
	20.0210, 100.1		102.10 10 1110	

				Page 474
			1	
slightly 234:19	79:13 308:13	297:22 305:23	300:5,20	stability 371:20
slow 7:17 255:24	somewhat 26:24	306:15 364:3	344:21 351:21	stable 309:10
349:20	72:24 75:8	413:13	397:13	stand 98:23
small 22:16 70:5	126:5 299:24	sought 34:21	specifics 219:16	stand- 26:5
116:15 255:2	Sood 244:13,17	sound 12:11,14	specimens 75:10	stand-alone
268:17	245:8	108:4 167:14	75:13,16 76:8	342:20
smaller 86:13	soon 105:18	303:23 317:19	78:9 202:10	standard 86:24
smelled 87:19	sophisticated	318:9 343:7	211:2	144:4 361:21
smells 84:13	150:15	389:23 390:24	spectacular	362:21 399:21
Smith's 38:15	sorry 11:12 22:9	406:4 422:14	174:9	standardization
38:16	22:15 46:1	424:9	spectrum 113:4	364:4
Smith-Bindman	64:20 70:4	sounds 12:15	304:18 307:24	standardized
39:11	73:9 78:3	67:11 70:23	323:7 420:13	362:23 363:4,8
smoke 100:10	110:23 116:22	92:10 97:11	speculate 291:3	363:16,21
100:11	124:14 130:5,7	108:5 160:9	341:11	364:2,16
smoking 118:1,3	132:1 138:11	264:1 364:9	speculating	standards 107:4
145:4 169:14	139:18 141:7	390:4 392:10	256:13 291:10	standing 96:13
170:16,20	151:2 152:24	source 73:16	344:10	96:23 222:6
301:14	162:8 165:4	96:12 100:20	speculation	standpoint 26:6
Smooth 7:16	171:21 175:10	143:1 171:17	413:10	35:3 90:19
Snow 1:16	177:2 182:11	172:4,4 242:2	speculative	259:14 300:20
SNP 341:19,19	204:5 217:1	sources 397:3,8	336:14 342:12	starch 192:17,24
350:15 353:14	230:12 239:9,9	397:9,10	spent 23:19 36:3	start 18:5 141:8
354:15,18	249:18 254:2	SOV3 367:18	57:19	192:8 213:2
361:6 391:6	256:2 260:2	space 427:6	sperm 213:19	302:24 316:12
SNPs 347:7	271:15 306:13	speak 355:8,12	214:13,18,21	331:22 337:23
353:13,17,23	320:11 330:10	speaking 114:8	215:8,8,14,22	364:20 376:22
354:8	330:21 354:1	154:21 196:15	216:2,9,17	376:24 416:13
so-called 286:11	357:2 369:1	291:6 298:7	217:4,8,11,19	418:19
societies 68:22	372:18 381:11	321:7 373:13	217:22,23	started 304:14
society 6:8 233:7	395:12 401:5	399:2	218:2,10,14	starting 150:20
233:19,23	402:14,23	special 123:15	225:2,2 228:24	151:6 163:7
234:8,21	403:1 407:9	species 134:21	229:1,2,10	state 1:22 114:2
236:22 329:9	415:11,13	165:11,15,21	spermatozoa	115:8 180:11
341:3 389:20	424:2	165:24 166:9	214:6,23	188:21 200:9
390:3	sort 12:2 35:3	166:17 396:17	215:10 218:8	201:4 207:20
Society's 234:6	40:14 43:4	396:17 418:2	spleen 194:7	208:5 243:15
SOD 360:19	54:21 55:1	specific 34:3,14	split 131:24	243:19 246:3
370:1	62:1 67:16	76:14 118:2	sponsored	247:14 248:2,2
SOD3 360:9	68:16,23 74:17	136:2 236:14	247:12,18	251:5 252:22
370:1	89:16 103:12	248:8 356:7	spot 306:20	268:23 312:20
soft 349:3	108:7 145:13	specifically	331:1	322:5 350:7,8
software 374:12	145:22,23	37:16 76:21	spouse 100:17	352:9,12,15,18
soluble 192:24	148:7 149:2	88:9,22 150:18	-	353:14,16,22
192:24	151:5 165:23	209:17 216:20	spread 131:1	353:14,16,22 354:14 356:3
			springs 112:8	
somatic 164:9	179:11 231:10	230:19 262:7	Square 2:22 SRI 341:1	361:10 414:6
somebody 76:21	242:3,9 243:15	262:13 270:23	SKI 341:1	417:13,19
		-	<u>- </u>	

				Page 475
410.11 426.2	410.4	5.12 0.20	70.2 4 92.22	269.15.271.5
419:11 426:2	418:4	stress 5:13 8:20	78:3,4 82:23	268:15 271:5
426:20 427:5	states 1:1 13:9	122:10 163:2,8	82:24 83:1,7	272:12 273:1
stated 236:8	133:5 170:10	163:14 164:6	94:15 95:1	294:20 299:12
248:15 321:13	188:23 230:20	164:12 166:4	115:8,22,24	302:5 311:12
334:15 350:8	234:15,22	237:11 311:13	135:22 137:2,6	325:16,19
351:12	235:11,16,22	311:21 312:5	139:3,17,20	334:16 335:1
statement 29:22	351:22	312:18 313:2,6	140:17,18,19	336:24 337:1
53:8 72:21	stating 296:1	313:12,16,20	140:20 142:14	337:17,24
78:21 99:13	statistical 140:7	314:4,12 315:3	142:23 144:6	341:13 343:21
114:18 115:4	382:14	342:4 394:4	144:18 145:15	346:6 349:15
115:12 116:2	statistically	395:7,10	159:17 180:13	359:24 418:3
120:1 125:15	141:6,12	396:18 397:3	183:6 194:3,13	studying 413:4
128:22 145:7,7	142:15 147:11	397:13 399:15	197:10,21	417:21
151:18,20	183:8 231:6	417:18 419:23	198:7 201:23	style 346:24
152:19 156:13	381:8,14	stretching	202:4,9 228:9	styrene 266:3
158:16 163:16	383:21 387:12	327:16	228:23 231:2,8	subject 22:4,7
165:1 173:2	404:18	strictly 229:3	231:9,13,17	22:10 31:12
175:17 182:5	stay 297:22	strike 178:11	232:8,11	53:2 66:17
208:10 214:22	stays 140:2	strikes 309:17	234:19 235:5,6	68:19 107:14
215:9,19	297:16 298:19	421:7	248:3 250:1,13	427:10
220:17 221:9	298:22	stroma 397:19	256:3 266:18	subjects 268:17
231:22 234:7	steer 173:12	stromal 95:16	266:23 273:17	submission
236:12 250:4	STEERING 2:2	95:16,17	275:21,23	321:8 333:1
250:12 251:9	stem 163:12	strong 110:14	285:12,13	395:24
252:5,14,22	stenographic	129:1 144:17	296:20 300:23	submit 16:16
255:9 258:10	10:11	170:16	301:2,3,8,10	189:15 333:10
289:17 307:9	step 74:10	stronger 340:6	302:6,16,24	333:24
307:18 309:14	Stephanie 5:16	strongly 158:1	303:1,8 304:3	submitted 12:4
310:12,15	167:16 168:16	342:1	304:14,22	23:13 25:17,24
320:9 321:19	stepped 38:8	structure 164:8	325:10 326:9	155:8 189:5,10
323:11 330:18	stickers 198:15	192:22 194:21	326:22 327:2	316:23 321:9
334:24 337:20	stimulus 157:15	309:8,20	332:24 339:2	321:10 332:11
339:5 340:2	349:24	structured 68:9	339:13 343:8	332:13 333:3
341:12 342:15	stimulus/cond	structures 197:4	360:23 362:7	354:19 394:8
342:20 343:7,9	157:11	struggling 258:3	400:12	Subscribed
344:19 346:6	stop 99:20	studied 160:1	study 17:21 19:8	429:18
352:8 383:7,20	212:22	305:7 398:18	99:10 127:10	subset 70:9 83:1
386:18,19,24	story 293:3	399:6 404:10	127:11 138:24	165:23
395:17,21	404:16	418:14	139:3,20 140:8	substance 56:22
396:20 397:4	straightened	studies 7:21	140:10 143:12	71:16 146:23
397:20 411:24	370:22	15:16,16 30:18	203:7,22 211:8	147:9 297:15
412:2,6,11	straightforward	33:12,14 40:15	211:13 221:7	301:5 429:10
413:12 417:24	30:19	55:2 57:14	227:12 229:8	substances
418:1,7	Street 2:3,12 3:4	60:23 61:6,12	232:1 242:16	54:22 57:23
statements 5:5	strengthened	61:13,14,21	242:21 243:9	226:19 258:5
113:16 114:4	130:19 131:12	62:13,19 65:14	243:19 246:11	substantial
296:22 356:22	131:19 132:5	65:19,23 75:9	246:17 247:18	155:2 192:20
	I	I	I	I

				Page 476
272.4.7	200.14	140 17 162 0	24 12 16 25 12	177.0.107.21
272:4,7	398:14	149:17 162:8	24:13,16 25:12	177:8 186:21
substantive 109:2	supermarket 84:2	164:24 165:19	sway 51:17 52:19	217:1 219:6
substitute 198:4	-	176:4,8 182:22 192:8 223:22	swear 10:12	250:21 288:14
	supervising 68:16			291:20 292:22
substituted 189:20		228:4 242:14	sweeps 145:4 Swisher 102:21	302:11 310:17
	supervision 426:10	250:6 262:4 267:15 270:21	103:6	312:14 345:15 348:22 364:23
subtype 117:12 118:2		271:4 293:9	switch 329:14	365:7 409:8
_	supplemental 20:2,8 22:21	309:21 329:14	380:2	423:11
subtypes 95:10 116:17 121:13	20.2,8 22.21 22:22 23:2	339:11 358:21	sworn 10:15	take-home
175:19		371:19 372:21	429:18	305:24
	45:11,18 47:18 48:3 281:23	397:22 410:16		taken 1:15 10:22
sufficient 249:11 322:5		422:16	Sydney 2:6	11:4 366:11
	support 28:22	surface 122:7	Sydney.everet 2:6	426:7
sufficiently 339:3 352:23	29:5,12 65:22 93:6 105:4	136:9 210:1	syndrome 119:9	takes 14:23
	112:18,20		119:14	301:15 307:22
suggest 155:22 163:14 248:4	112:18,20	211:1,24 212:6 surgeon 168:3	synopsis 188:11	talc 4:15 5:22,23
248:22 417:14	127:14 152:14	253:12	system 31:4	6:5 9:8,13 11:9
	159:12 173:2	Surgeon's	390:12 411:10	· ·
suggested 16:21 89:17 136:17	234:9 249:11	199:21,23	413:14 416:4	19:2,3 26:11 30:12 32:13
307:12 358:22		surgical 14:6	418:14	30.12 32.13 37:17 40:16
	supported 246:17 335:10	190:17 199:16		44:8 50:2 54:9
suggesting 237:7 248:21	342:6,10 343:3	190.17 199.10	systematic 96:18 181:7	54:18 55:5
349:24 403:12	351:13,22	211:1	systematically	57:18 66:5,5
suggestion	supporting 8:5	surprise 49:1	37:13 86:8	69:8,17,17
236:10	143:21 395:20	86:14	systems 59:2	70:21 82:20,21
suggests 123:5	396:16	surprised	410:21 413:1	83:5,11 84:10
126:10 135:4	supportive	240:20	413:21	84:23 85:1,7
135:24 152:3	343:9	surprising	713.21	85:15,24 86:7
248:16 269:2	supports 66:4	140:17 213:21	T	87:1 89:12,18
277:9 284:7	90:21	347:8	T 426:1,1 428:1	95:3 99:3
353:19 407:7	suppose 219:18	surrounding	T-W-O-G-G	107:15,21
407:13 414:10	374:10	397:18	245:12	110:20 115:9
Suite 1:17 2:15	supposed	survival 163:10	table 165:9,22	116:4,6 118:1
3:8	201:14 372:21	163:12 344:22	211:4 256:11	118:4,12 119:9
sum 43:4 44:18	supposition	345:23	398:13 399:20	119:12,19
61:15 66:7	197:6 412:10	survive 73:20	402:6,13,15	120:9,24
sum- 93:14	suppressed	suscep- 156:3	403:9,14	121:20,22
summarize	382:6	susceptibility	tables 8:16	122:20 123:5
179:11 203:18	suppressors	156:3	366:11	123:14,15,24
243:15	150:22 151:10	suspect 260:17	TACS 362:7	126:11,19,19
summarized	sure 18:17 27:21	suspensions	Taher 51:15	127:19 128:3,5
274:3	31:7 70:24	192:23	take 34:9,9	128:14,19
summary 54:4	76:17 82:4	sustained 164:6	50:22 52:12	129:11 131:5,9
184:1 200:1	92:19 105:23	sustaining	74:17 76:23	132:15 133:14
286:19 334:14	112:12,23	150:21 151:8	112:3 113:8	134:5,15,18,22
343:18 348:24	125:14 145:10	Swan 12:10	164:22 176:4	135:7,14
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	

│	16 107.24
	16 107:24
	:19 113:4
1 ' 1 ' 1	:1 302:10
	:24 356:7
145:7,7,19 298:10,13 89:1,18 90:2 310:24 408	
	s 35:4 36:1
	5 57:15,18
'	12 84:11
	14 93:24
' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	:24 146:1
	:1 192:5
	:2 270:1
	:11 298:7
	:23 351:1,5
	:4,15 368:3
	:13 408:19
'	:4 410:1
200:17,24 407:7,13 198:4 199:11 226:12 terse	
201:2,14,17,19 409:23 410:18 200:10 218:20 TaqMan 361:6 test 1	
/ 0	:17 304:4
	:17,23
204:3 206:17 414:11 415:18 230:10,13,15 303:1 tested	191:8 93:5
	:11 360:19
210:1,7,24 417:16 418:9 231:13,18,23 technical 151:22 415	:22
211:23 213:20 419:14,21,22 232:13,24 306:21 testifi	ied 10:17
214:11,14 420:2,10 421:4 233:24 234:9 techniques 23:2	22 24:9
217:24 220:13 422:24 235:23 236:1,6 211:21 295:17 26:1	19 31:15
221:2 223:10 talc-based 117:1 236:13,24 372:14 222	:5 334:11
234:23 235:6 235:12 410:19 237:8,13,17 technology 374	:14 394:11
235:11,18 411:2 412:23 238:2,18 212:5 361:10 418	:23
250:1,9,13,22 talc-containing 240:14,22 372:14 388:13 testify	y 32:10
251:9,21 255:1 122:4 264:22 241:7,13,16 388:15 testif y	ying 11:19
256:3 257:16 talc-induced 9:2 256:24 272:14 tell 10:15 36:23 testin	nony 11:6
	:22 324:13
258:17 259:4,4 talc-treated 276:18 280:13 154:20 158:2 413	:5 418:6,22
259:11 260:6 383:3 289:18 291:21 160:16 193:15 testin	ig 35:4
260:19,23,23 Talc/EOC 320:17 325:11 212:5 279:8 282	:13,20
261:3,20 262:3 123:23 326:1 327:2 284:23 290:18 284	:16 285:15
262:6,6,12,12 talcum 1:5 6:8,9 338:19 342:11 341:6 367:21 285	:17,20
262:21,21 7:13 8:6 10:7 343:8 346:13 telling 176:16 286	:7 304:14
	:8 360:24
	:1,4,16,21
	:17,18
	360:11
273:3 277:1,9 29:8,18 30:3 417:15,20 ten 140:10 362	
277:13,19 31:23 40:5 talcum-based tend 308:3 text 3	
	ook 106:9

				Page 478
Thank 21:10	33:13,23 35:2	192:9,16 193:9	307:21 308:8,8	344:4
25:15 64:9	36:11 37:11	194:6,14,15	308:14,22	thirty 427:16
115:2 175:12	38:16,18 45:18	195:17,17	309:1 310:15	Thompson 2:4
268:1 282:10	46:4,5,6 47:12	196:11 204:1	311:18 312:6	4:3 10:19,20
302:23 306:1	47:15 50:20	204:17 206:2	313:1,11,18	12:9 15:1 16:7
311:9 330:12	52:15,23 54:4	207:10,11	314:8 315:4	17:5,18 18:4
359:17 395:3	56:19 59:8,20	208:18 216:2	316:4 317:11	19:4,14 20:17
415:15 423:20	59:20 61:15	217:6,17 218:6	317:22 319:17	21:6,11,18
424:2,19	62:2,2,17,17	217.0,17.218.0	319:18,20	22:6,8,18,24
Thanks 293:11	62:18 64:5,7	220:22 221:3	320:24 322:4,7	26:16 27:2,14
That'd 264:16	64:11 65:20,24	221:13,21	320:24 322:4,7	28:18,23 29:13
theme 89:14,23	66:4,4 68:5,20	222:2 223:6,18	324:11,12	29:24 30:8
157:20	69:19 70:4	224:1,16	325:15,16	31:10,21 32:3
theoretic 130:1	72:22 73:2,5	227:13 230:8	326:23 327:17	32:20 33:16
	73:21 76:1	232:10,20	328:21 331:18	34:1 35:7 36:4
Theoretically 129:14	78:2,24,24	232:10,20 233:13,19		36:13 37:3
theories 30:15	80:16 83:7	233:13,19 234:14,16	335:4,4,18 337:22 339:11	40:24 41:18
theories 30:15 theory 26:1	80:16 83:7 85:18 86:15	234:14,16 237:2,7,19,22	337:22 339:11	40:24 41:18 43:6,13 44:2
220:20 287:6	87:18 89:14	240:2,18	340:12 341:21	45:8,23 46:16
	90:14 91:12	243:12 244:13	342:23 345:20	47:10,22 48:5
297:14,15 303:17	93:8 97:9,13	244:20 245:14	345:23 347:17	48:13 49:6,18
	98:1,4,8 99:13	248:15 251:3	347:21 350:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Therapeutically 198:2	* *	251:21 252:7	354:16 355:17	50:7,11,19,24
	99:15,19,21	251:21 252:7	358:22 364:19	51:7,18,24 52:14,24 53:7
therapeutics 168:5	100:9 101:16 103:12 105:13	253:11 254:6,8	365:10 371:9	53:14 54:7
there' 301:17	105:12 105:13	254:11 256:7	371:14,17,23	55:3,16 56:1
thereto 426:8	107:12 109:1,3	256:11,21,21	374:6 375:13	56:14,21 57:4
they'd 45:5 80:3	110:3,6,12	256:22 257:3,7	376:1,10	58:3,16,22
172:15 173:13	110.3,0,12	258:21 259:11	377:12 378:4	59:18 60:4,16
thicker 20:21	111.7 114.7	259:13,18	381:1 386:2	60:22 61:5,19
thickness 21:13	126:2 131:12	260:3 261:4	387:12,14,14	62:8 63:3,10
thin 178:9	131:18,18	264:4 268:8,9	390:2 394:3	63:22 64:10,15
thing 85:1	132:12 144:23	269:21 271:18	398:24,24	64:19,24 66:23
106:20 207:1	145:20 146:18	271:18 272:3	406:16 407:24	67:24 68:12
291:11 308:15	147:19 151:20	273:7,19	408:1,9 409:19	69:14 70:16
things 84:12,12	159:4,10 160:3	273.7,19 274:12 275:7	411:6,14 412:5	71:6 72:3,10
108:6 130:2	160:3 162:18	276:2 277:5	412:10,15	72:20 74:12
158:22 160:4	165:1,3 167:6	282:16 287:8	418:13,23	76:2,15 77:13
195:16 219:20	167:12,17	288:19 289:6	421:6 422:5	77:20 78:10
290:14 310:5	167.12,17	289:10,17	423:12 424:8	79:2 80:2
385:10 387:21	170:1,14 171:3	290:9 291:6	thinking 78:15	81:10,21 82:14
think 11:7 16:9	170.1,14 171.3	290.9 291.0	112:9 122:3	84:15 85:9,20
16:12,12 17:11	173:18 176:17	296:17,21,22	175:24 408:8	87:5 88:19
17:17 18:6,7	179:10,13,21	297:14 300:3	thinks 345:2	89:5,11 90:8
18:11 24:16	181:8 182:1	302:1,9 303:12	third 150:20,24	90:24 91:9
26:10 30:18	184:23,23	304:17 305:18	178:6 180:11	90.24 91.9 92:1,9,17
31:13 32:9	185:2 186:7	305:23 307:10	181:19 235:10	93:11 94:20
31.13 34.7	105.4 100./	303.43 307.10	101.17 433.10	73.11 7 1 .20

95:8 96:6 97:3	161:21 162:1,5	232:21 233:5	298:11,18	372:5,12,23
97:14 98:7	163:20 164:21	232:16 234:3	299:2,11	373:4,12,16,22
99:2,23 100:5	166:13,22	236:5,20	300:21 301:16	374:3,20 375:7
101:14 103:24	168:7 169:1	237:15 238:6	301:20 303:14	375:14 376:5
101.14 103.24	170:4,23	238:12 239:13	304:2,9,19	376:12 377:17
	170:4,23		304:2,9,19	
105:16,19 106:23 108:1		239:20 240:4	306:22 308:1	378:5,11,18,23 379:10 380:10
108:13 109:14	172:24 173:20	240:11,19 241:4 242:12		
	174:10,22		308:16,23 310:8,16,23	380:16,19 381:2,10,18,24
109:22 110:5	175:5,23 176:7	243:17 244:18 245:21 246:1	/ /	, , ,
111:12,23	176:15,22	-	311:10 312:3	382:21 383:14
112:5,16 113:1	177:7,13 178:4	246:10,24	313:14 314:3	383:24 384:5
113:14 114:17	179:5,15 181:9	250:20 251:14	314:10,19	384:17,23
114:23 115:3	181:14 182:24	252:3,19 253:3	315:1,11,19	385:3,12,17,20
115:17 116:13	183:22 185:1,8	253:23 254:5	318:3,14	385:24 386:13
116:24 117:7	185:17,23	255:10,23	319:12 320:5	387:6 388:7,14
117:15 118:10	186:8,20	256:12 257:6	321:3 322:13	388:20 389:9
118:19 119:5	187:14,20	257:14,20	323:3,20 324:6	389:19 390:8
119:21 120:20	189:9 190:6,15	258:6,23 259:3	324:22 325:7	390:16 391:4
121:8,18 122:1	191:4,24 192:7	259:15,23	325:18 326:18	391:14 392:6
122:15 123:2	193:6,23	260:5,16 261:6	327:8 328:22	392:12,19
123:10,21	194:23 195:12	261:15 262:2	329:2,19 330:9	393:1,8,23
124:5,7,17,23	196:19 197:17	262:19 263:3	330:13,17	394:18,24
125:5,17	198:3,16,20	264:3,10,15	331:14 335:6	395:4 396:1,13
126:16 127:2,9	199:1,5,9	265:4,11,24	336:1,10,23	396:24 397:12
127:18 128:2	200:21 203:2	266:7,21 267:3	337:14 338:4	398:12,22
128:11,24	203:11,19	267:9,17 268:4	338:11,16,24	399:13 400:1,7
129:9,20 130:8	204:4,15,24	269:12 270:12	339:22 340:24	400:17,23
130:17 131:6	205:8,16,23	271:22 272:21	342:2,21	401:4,11 403:4
132:24 133:8	206:8,13 207:5	273:9,18 274:1	343:14 344:8	403:24 404:8
133:23 134:11	207:17,24	275:9 276:9	345:19 346:1	404:20 405:3
135:2,12,21	208:9 209:2,15	277:11,22	347:5 348:12	405:15 406:1
136:7,14 137:1	209:22 210:16	278:14,21	350:2,12,24	406:11 408:3
137:17 138:2	211:14 212:7	279:6,20 280:7	351:18 352:4	408:11,23
138:13,23	212:23 214:19	280:11 281:2	353:1,11 354:3	409:6,15
139:10,16,24	215:5,16 216:8	281:20 282:3,7	354:13,24	410:14 411:7
140:6,14,24	217:3,15	282:12 283:18	355:20 356:8	411:16 412:1
141:20 142:3	218:12 219:12	283:23 284:10	356:16 357:4	412:14,21
142:12,21	220:3,10	285:2,7 286:5	359:1,23 360:4	413:16 414:4
143:6,13,20	221:20 222:4	286:17 287:9	361:4,18	415:3,12,16
144:3,12 145:8	222:17 223:5	287:20 288:2	362:22 363:13	416:7,16 417:1
146:15 149:16	223:24 224:6	289:8,21 290:4	364:8,22 365:8	418:5,15 419:4
149:21 153:1	224:15,24	290:16,24	365:13,20	420:14 421:9
153:17 154:13	225:6,15,21	291:16 292:8	366:9,17 367:1	421:18 422:22
154:19 155:15	226:4,10,16	293:1,6,22	367:7,11,16	423:4,10,18
156:18 158:3	228:13,22	294:8,15,23	368:5,20	424:20
159:2,9,22	229:9,19 230:7	296:24 297:7	369:11,15,24	thorough 13:2
160:20 161:13	231:4,19 232:6	297:24 298:6	370:3,9,13,20	179:11 181:7
			l	I

				Page 480
201.22	4	4-4-1-24.5 42.5	4	204-2-226-24
291:23	times 2:22 82:16	total 24:5 43:5	transforms	204:2 226:24
thought 16:19	82:20 202:6	44:18 61:15	347:23 348:3	trivial 116:7
16:20 52:11	220:24,24	66:7 89:17	transience 129:3	true 78:24 79:1
53:5 67:19	228:10 292:19	totality 159:20	transit 130:2	79:3 86:20
79:13 80:1	334:6	tough 32:6	transiting 274:8	100:1 158:16
137:10 166:15	tissue 15:22	118:24	translate 15:9	159:18 174:13
169:20 173:8	32:12 75:16	TOV11 357:16	292:23	258:10 263:17
173:14 210:20	76:3 137:23	towns 275:19	translating	285:4 364:4
252:21 254:2	157:12 158:13	toxicity 414:7	144:4	383:22 386:21
288:10 289:1,6	191:17,22	toxicological	transmission	426:11
290:17 305:13	210:2 268:18	148:2	270:18,19	truth 10:15,15
353:6 398:6	307:16 327:3	Toxicology 7:20	279:12	10:16
408:22 409:9	416:5	9:6 235:17	transport 31:3	try 19:16 43:1
411:1,8,19	tissues 128:6	tract 99:4 122:6	32:11 214:10	83:10,12
413:6	210:6	123:6 124:2	270:10 274:23	trying 15:9 16:9
thoughtful	titanium 402:3	125:1 126:21	280:2	40:16 60:11
332:23	title 27:7,10	128:4,16	transported	93:8 111:6
thoughts 295:7	150:9 156:22	129:13 136:8	226:19 276:24	170:1 205:6
309:3	163:1 166:8	195:8 206:19	transporting	238:22 248:24
thousand 80:15	302:5 401:12	214:14 215:9	269:4,6,18	255:7 341:10
81:16	401:15 406:17	217:24 224:10	270:4	343:4 354:6
three 12:2	titled 42:16	224:19 226:20	transvaginal	363:17 373:10
118:17 167:12	53:19 69:3	227:23 229:1	130:13	373:10,15
182:13 255:20	247:23 254:18	274:24 276:24	travel 123:6	tubal 119:8,12
287:11 357:24	288:5 415:8,17	277:7,21	130:13 193:19	119:18 129:1
358:9 371:19	417:2	training 33:2	195:19 224:9	137:9
throw 371:21	today 10:21 13:2	196:14 229:23	224:18	tube 125:7
thumb 46:8	19:22 23:3	trait 309:10	treat 13:23	129:23 358:7
time 10:5 11:15	32:10 37:9	transcript	33:10 237:22	377:10
14:14 15:2	51:9 58:7	385:23 426:6	299:8 310:2	tubes 125:20,20
17:20,23 23:18	70:24 114:8	426:11 427:17	treated 134:18	132:16 213:20
25:15 36:3	158:17 196:7	427:19	334:20 359:5	227:18
41:13 44:5	224:7 232:9	transcription	treatise 242:15	TUCKER 3:8
57:19 74:17	423:20	404:15 429:7	248:11 249:14	tumor 147:13,14
100:22 101:10	Today's 10:4	transcriptional	249:19 251:6	147:20,22
105:17 164:7	told 7:21 83:21	147:21 405:24	252:6,15,22	152:16 155:24
176:5 190:2	86:12 177:4	transfer 278:15	treatment 15:11	163:9,10 166:4
217:6 228:5	299:3 302:6	transformation	155:22 359:7	171:7 309:23
232:23 242:17	348:10 389:20	9:3 134:20	359:14 362:10	310:9 346:5,9
276:20 296:15	top 150:11	163:9 164:10	Tremolite 6:19	358:13 397:17
301:15 307:6	381:12 390:17	297:19 299:20	trend 121:21	397:18
309:7,19	395:13 421:23	350:6 406:13	trial 13:4 18:9	tumorigenesis
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				U
317:18 329:21	topic 16:16 51:9	406:18,23	18:20	135:16 307:17
338:12 365:2	106:16 324:17	407:8,14,15	trials 15:24	tumors 95:15,23
369:2 386:19	336:5,6,11	transformed	trick 253:16	147:11,15
423:20 424:24	topics 243:14	344:17 348:20	288:11	155:23 171:3
timed 406:24	311:23	349:7 350:4,8	tried 84:20	307:22 309:9
	I	I	I	ı

				Page 481
			İ	Ì
310:5 311:24	299:4 389:21	unable 59:13	293:17 297:8	unsubstantiated
313:21	394:12	332:7	316:23 345:7	347:15,19
turn 199:24		UNC 38:7	356:19 361:13	Unsurprisingly
247:20 402:6	U	unconvincing	402:20 420:11	330:19 331:5
turning 164:2	Uh-huh 23:8	61:16,16	420:12	untoward 62:19
177:20	32:22 42:10	110:17 287:4,5	understands	unusual 337:10
turns 217:2	46:3 69:7	uncovering 59:4	373:17	unusually
Twenty-two	81:14 86:23	undergo 134:19	Understood	180:14
211:19	97:20 104:5	undergone	95:24	updates 8:19
Twergger	111:3,5 122:19	188:18	undertakes	395:7
245:10	124:16 145:9	underlie 309:8	243:13	upper 99:4
twice 272:15	154:3 156:21	underline	underwear	124:1 126:21
273:4	160:8,11,23	309:21	96:13	128:16 206:19
two 9:8,13 14:21	161:4 162:20	Underlining	underwent	214:14 215:9
46:24 58:6	164:4 168:19	151:13	188:21	217:23 224:10
76:23 82:22	177:18,22	understand	unethical 17:20	224:19 226:19
131:15 152:3	183:13 184:2	14:13 19:5,18	unfortunate	229:1
153:8 160:6	186:11 203:4	27:22 32:21	272:10	upregulated
170:6 192:16	209:9 213:16	37:18 42:8	unfortunately	402:16
233:14 248:14	218:22 243:7	58:5 66:15	52:23 131:11	upright 225:22
248:17 287:11	254:23 263:10	125:14 145:10	296:12	226:2
293:20 301:17	263:13,16	196:6 223:16	unifying 312:9	upwards 140:10
319:11,17	268:14 291:18	252:11 276:22	uninformed	USA 116:6
327:18 332:3,4	291:22 294:1	294:19 300:9	315:15,23	usage 5:23 59:1
337:12 363:15	294:18 299:18	319:15 325:10	· ·	198:7
367:5 371:19	299:18 307:4	332:22 340:11	unique 78:4 uniqueness	use 8:6 27:24
390:21,23	316:13,16	340:13 350:17	130:24	
390.21,23	320:15 323:12	365:23 371:12	United 1:1 133:5	28:4,8,12,24 29:7,17 30:2
	329:6 331:8			· /
403:22 414:7	343:16 357:11	373:24 374:4	university 104:9	44:3,5,7 60:12
415:19 417:4	360:10 377:2,9	374:24	104:16,24	62:6 83:8
Two- 245:10,11	377:16,19	understanding	213:9 317:17	96:19,21 98:6
two-part 131:11	380:4 382:24	13:2 27:12,19	361:11	99:20 107:4,24
two-thirds	392:22 396:10	33:4,11,12	unlimited 77:2	109:19 116:3,6
284:2,17	398:5 405:18	54:11 63:12,24	235:5	116:14 117:1,8
304:21 406:21	418:18 419:18	67:9 84:7 86:6	unmanageable	118:1,4 119:7
Twoauger		87:8 95:9 96:1	77:3	119:10,12,19
245:11	422:2	96:15 158:23	unpublished	120:9 121:11
Tworoger 245:9	Uh-uh 38:20	180:17 181:10	327:15	121:20 123:15
type 34:22	UKC 256:3	182:14,19	unreasonable	130:9 134:5
264:20	ultimately 74:20	187:7,10,15	109:9,16	139:2 141:7,13
types 16:3 89:7	278:13	209:10,16,21	110:10 159:24	142:24 169:18
95:23 156:9	ultrafine 86:18	221:14,22	185:11 219:22	169:20 171:12
157:3 178:14	ultrasound	224:8,17 243:8	314:14,21	172:2 173:3
303:21 313:7	227:2	246:16 258:8	unresolved	175:14 177:22
414:7	Ultrastructural	262:5,11	313:18	180:2,11 184:4
typically 77:4,8	6:3	264:24 271:4	unsafe 59:5,21	197:11,22
77:14,21 193:3	Um 198:4	274:4 282:18	60:1,14 232:18	200:4,15
	I	I	I	I

				Page 482
201 10 225 16	10.12.15	. 145.14	200 10 202 10	65.10.04.2
201:18 225:16	18:13,15	view 145:14	290:18 292:10	65:10 94:2
226:6 227:6	value 146:1	265:17 378:16	293:8 322:8	105:22,22
230:10,15,20	340:13	viewer 342:3	331:21 357:9	299:13 302:24
230:24 231:18	variability	viral 137:7	364:23,24	306:19 321:14
231:22 232:13	101:7	Virchow 5:9	365:7 366:13	331:22 376:13
234:7,9 235:11	variable 192:15	virtually 286:19	367:20 372:6,7	379:18 380:17
236:7 237:1,10	variables 141:22	342:15	373:20 387:21	387:22,22
237:17 238:2,5	varieties 9:8	virus 80:22	393:20	419:16
238:18 240:14	variety 57:23	vis-à-vis 336:21	wanted 44:23	we're 31:19 48:9
240:23 241:7	202:11	352:8	148:14 258:8	58:6 62:1
241:12,17,20	various 57:17	vitae 4:10 8:3	270:21 271:3	65:15 83:10
250:1,13 256:3	85:23 117:23	vital 7:17	379:21	92:19,20,23
280:14 281:10	157:3 184:4	vitro 7:21 9:6	war 275:15	94:11 95:13,16
283:5 284:1	310:11 323:5,9	15:12 101:23	Warner 104:5	95:19 96:7
301:2,3 307:5	334:20 362:11	144:8,18 302:6	wasn't 11:7	98:4 103:1
353:4 363:9	392:7	302:16,24	20:10 41:4	110:13,18
379:18 417:15	vary 117:11	303:1,7,15	57:11 172:16	112:10 113:13
use.' 121:22	varying 75:4	304:3,14 307:5	196:13 237:24	114:7 144:15
users 140:1	vector 269:4,6	336:24 337:1	269:13 275:16	145:19 153:3,4
usually 16:15	270:4	337:24	289:11 300:1	153:8 158:17
17:7,74:7,14	verbal 321:23	vivo 15:13	300:18 312:7	158:24 180:21
76:23 77:12	321:24 322:1	101:24 134:16	329:15 342:10	180:22 194:20
96:22 126:7	Vergote 167:21	144:8,18	351:19 385:5	198:24 199:2
147:8,23	168:2,2	Vol 5:19	421:10	218:16,16
305:12 337:10	versed 222:2	volume 71:4	water 100:20	222:6 230:6
338:6,15 362:1	version 333:2	72:5	way 44:21 51:17	232:7 247:4
389:7,17	345:14	voluminous	52:19 65:14	254:4 267:15
390:19	versus 14:15	71:13	68:9 80:7	279:7 291:6,19
uterus 125:8	65:17 66:5		97:21 111:17	298:7 304:10
126:2 218:15	98:16 142:24	\mathbf{W}	144:23 145:18	306:2,4 310:3
227:1,17	238:24 242:9	W 3:9	147:18,23	310:22 316:3
227.1,17	272:14 273:3	Wacker 3:8	175:21 182:3	348:5 349:14
V	310:7	wait 339:17	187:23 193:20	357:13 364:10
vagina 99:4	vertical 221:1	want 11:10	196:17 218:6	365:19 370:19
122:21 124:1	vertical 221.1 vessel 397:24	17:12 27:21	219:17 270:6	371:12 372:17
124:24 125:6	video 1:14 10:6	50:12 62:11	285:10 300:7	372:22 374:7
126:11,20	videographer	93:16 106:17	300:18 304:21	374:24 376:24
127:4 128:15	3:12 10:1,3	106:17 113:15	326:11 327:1	386:2 401:20
129:22 219:1,9	113:9,12 230:2	115:15 118:22	333:22 343:12	410:13 416:24
222:10,23	· ·	124:8,8 145:9		
223:10,11	230:5 310:18 310:21 349:10	145:10 170:19	343:13 350:17	423:17 424:24
226:12 269:8		176:4 177:8,9	353:20 358:23	we've 67:2 93:5
vaginal 269:20	349:13 365:4	177:22 178:19	381:12 386:8	105:9 107:12
270:5	365:15,18	212:24 221:6	406:21 409:1	132:6 147:18
val- 18:15	370:15,18	236:24 237:9	410:10 420:15	154:7 178:23
validity 390:23	416:20,23	244:3 276:22	Wayne 361:10	192:13 250:16
	423:13,16,23	277:18 282:22	ways 54:24 78:4	287:4 296:13
valuable 18:8,11	424:22	211:10 282:22	we'll 19:16	302:9 305:20
	1	1	1	1

				Page 483
240 16 260 20	20614	17602057	215 5 14 222 0	50 10 50 17
349:16 360:20	206:14	176:9 205:7	315:5,14 322:8	50:10 53:17
363:3 370:21	witness 10:12,14	227:7 290:13	326:15 330:5	54:4,12 59:20
378:2 420:5	56:8 64:5	345:15 364:2	336:17 352:5	62:17 63:7,15
weak 144:23	98:24 103:17	words 144:16	353:7,21	64:16,21,22
website 233:20	103:22 114:10	220:4 222:8	376:11 396:6	70:1,5,8,10,15
234:6,8,22	114:13,15,16	331:16 347:15	418:12	71:9 72:13
websites 232:23	124:12 130:6	362:2	wound 191:21	73:11,12,13
233:8	176:18 177:1	work 25:19	wounds 152:13	74:23 75:19
week 14:20,21	177:11 205:3	74:15 77:9	Wright 6:16	78:2,9,11,14
169:4,8	212:21 244:16	79:18 117:22	253:4,14	79:1,16,23
weigh 65:13	254:3 256:1	147:7,20,23	254:24 255:4	82:18 85:22
weighed 290:11	286:2 317:3	153:22 168:4	256:15	88:7 93:17
weighing 238:24	320:17 349:21	170:21 196:17	Wright's 254:1	94:6 95:17
weight 4:23	368:13,17	296:14 304:11	256:14	96:9 97:2,9
53:19 63:11	426:12 427:1	308:19 312:1,4	write 41:19	100:19 101:4,5
65:5,16,17	witnesses 35:23	315:9 323:19	115:15 316:19	103:4 105:21
186:21	Wives 269:24	332:6 334:1	318:10	105:21 106:3
Weinberg	Wolf 38:21	362:4 380:1	writing 426:9	107:11,11
149:10,11	woman 98:11	414:2 421:13	written 153:19	111:14 115:20
Welch 209:8	198:5 218:20	worked 44:21	321:24 322:2	116:1 119:2,3
welcome 113:19	219:7 221:1	185:10 421:22	322:21	120:2,8,19,21
well-regarded	222:6,20	workers 200:6	wrong 46:1	125:23 126:6,6
154:9 168:18	272:14 273:3	278:4	52:23 109:13	126:8 131:10
well-renowned	woman's 228:15	working 40:12	109:15 110:22	131:10,18
153:10	women 13:21,23	272:8 278:4	111:2,7 140:20	132:7,11
well-written	17:21 28:14	works 168:16	157:23 160:10	133:13 146:3
335:9	29:9 96:2,11	world 68:15,17	170:7 179:7,13	148:6 149:11
went 12:1 42:1	96:21 97:5,21	275:15	184:13,24	149:11 150:8
53:10 64:22	99:19 116:3	worry 344:6	185:14 187:1	150:15 153:24
187:17,24	120:21,24	worthwhile	202:19 203:1	157:19 161:4
194:11 226:23	121:4 123:3	252:21	207:11,21	162:11 165:3
227:5 286:3	129:24 130:1	wouldn't 14:11	208:6 352:12	165:18 168:9
359:16 421:1	130:11,24	18:16 80:5	wrote 213:12	170:14 171:23
weren't 41:7,8,8	132:19 133:4	83:24 84:21		173:19 176:19
88:14 186:1	140:10 223:13	86:14 99:24	X	177:15 181:8
whatsoever	225:8,16 226:5	106:16 109:19	X 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1	182:13 183:4
321:22	237:20,23	110:8 165:2	8:1 9:1 301:5	183:24 184:2
whited 385:11	241:6,12,17	172:13 226:11	X-ray 295:16	188:15 192:9
385:13,14	268:19 271:6	234:13 238:23	T 7	193:10 199:18
widely 152:16	275:6,7,11	239:4,15	Y	201:11 207:16
wife 97:1 269:23	276:1	259:14 260:8	Y 301:5 368:6	211:16 214:4
willing 32:19	wonder 347:9	265:16,16	y'all 370:4	214:17 216:7
107:8 325:3	wondered	269:19,21	yeah 11:13 15:3	217:17 219:17
wipes 184:7	379:21	270:4 271:11	17:17 22:11	220:2 222:16
wished 150:7	Woodruff 40:19	281:12 292:22	23:8 41:17	226:23 227:11
Wishful 112:9	word 41:21	293:2 304:13	43:1,8 46:5,19	227:22 228:2
withdraw	106:4 156:23	306:15 314:20	47:23 49:24	229:7,24

82951 Michael Birrer, M.D., Ph.D.

				Page 484
222 10 227 2 2	254 10 255 15	40 4 2 5 5 0 6 5	466716	50 10 161 0
233:18 235:2,2	254:19 255:15	10 4:3 5:7 86:7	166 5:16	73:12 161:2
235:3 238:9	256:18 332:9	86:13 147:15	17 6:8 123:3	165:6,13 262:7
239:10 243:23	390:9	149:14,22	233:23 234:1	262:13 263:9
	year's 391:7	306:7	271:16,17	409:17
246:21 247:10	years 59:1,1	10:44 113:10	17th 23:17,19	2011 149:1
247:11 248:20	83:19 96:20	100 77:1 113:5	18 6:11 25:19	2012 11:10
252:13 253:2	121:22 138:8	359:5 369:14	49:7 123:13	69:16,20 70:20
254:6 255:12	140:10 214:7	382:9,13	246:22 247:1	264:12 415:5
265:1 269:21	287:3 299:5,10	1000 1:17	1819 1:17	2014 49:13,19
270:14 271:1,3	302:2 337:13	10036 2:23	19 2:8 5:20 6:15	72:15
272:11 273:7	Yep 45:12 50:10	100C 69:20	123:22 253:21	2015 11:10
275:4 276:11	54:6 183:7	70:21	254:1 316:12	2016 5:20 25:13
276:11 281:5	201:15 214:4	1075 3:4	330:22	243:6 252:23
281:11,12	331:4,24 371:6	11 5:9 113:13	1960's 7:5,10	2017 12:14,17
291:9 293:10	396:23 407:12	153:15,18	199 5:19	12:23 31:4,12
	yesterday 24:21	110 247:21	1990s 189:20	31:15 40:7
	York 2:16,23,23	112D 357:16	1996 267:22	394:2
319:4,11 320:1	292:19	113 5:5		2018 7:6 12:20
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	young 80:23	11747 2:16	2	31:22 184:18
328:15,23		12 5:12 121:5	2 4:10 21:1,4	254:18 256:18
331:3,4,20	Z	161:24 162:3,6	53:18 116:3	341:1
	Z 301:5	207:11,19	164:2 165:9	2019 1:11 7:11
333:21 334:8,8	Zelikoff's 38:24	208:4	306:9 307:2	10:5 426:17
	zero 359:5	12:55 230:3	343:15,17	205 5:22
341:9 342:22		13 5:16 121:11	344:12,18	209 6:3
342:23 343:1	0	161:22 166:20	402:6	21 4:10,12 6:21
343:13,19	02210 2:20	166:23 271:16	2's 345:6	125:6 268:2,5
345:10 347:4		130 2:12	2:02 230:6	218 2:3
355.5 15 357.7	1	136 312:15,16	20 4:8 6:18 82:3	219 264:17
357:23 362:24	1 4:8 20:13,15	312:17	117:9 121:1	22 7:3 126:9
363:16 364:16	115:4 156:20	14 5:19 7:6	124:24 177:9	211:17 281:18
365:9 368:4,18	334:10,14	121:19 199:4,6	264:13,16	281:21
371:4,5 372:4	335:8,12,24	121.19 199.4,0 199:7	302:1 332:12	201.21 22nd 426:17
374:9 377:11	337:15,16	199.7 147 174:5	359:5 382:9,9	23 7:8 46:5
	341:18 344:6		382:13 392:2	
379:5 381:12	346:7 351:11	149 5:7	392:10 429:19	126:19 281:23
384:15 387:10	351:21 353:6	15 5:22 7:11	200,000 140:10	282:1
387:14,17	366:19 369:17	23:20 122:4	200,000 140.10 2000 161:12	233 3:8
390:20 392:16	369:21 370:10	177:9 205:20	2000 101.12 2000's 7:10	234 6:8 312:13
394:6,6 398:11	370:12 371:2,3	205:21	2000 \$ 7.10 2001 157:14	23rd 169:4
399:4 403:7	383:2 398:13	153 5:9		24 7:13 46:5
404:1 405:23	1,577 116:6	155 2:20	161:3,4,6,12 2006 67:10	127:3 287:18
406:6 410:6	1.1 115:6	16 6:3 25:14		288:4 331:3
411:6 414:19	1.2 116:4 139:4	122:18 209:12	2007 208:13	402:8,19
41/:11 419:3	139:11	209:13	2008 401:1,7	403:12 404:21
419:10,13	1.3 139:11	16-2738 1:7	2009 401:10,19	243 /5:19
420:4	1.4 115:7	1604 164:2	401:23	246 6:11
year 116:6		162 5:12	2010 50:2 67:1,7	25 7:16 294:12
			ı	

				Page 485
204.12.260.14	202:10 427:16	73:22 80:14	[60 92.21 142.21]	
294:13 369:14	392:10 427:16		60 83:21 143:21	
392:21	300 37:12	406 9:2	283:1	
253 6:15	301 7:20	409 9:6	60606-9997 3:9	
26 7:20 127:19	30309 3:4	40s 95:2	61 144:4	
301:18,21	305 2:15	41 135:22	62 5:5 146:5	
302:13	31 8:16 129:21	415 9:12	63 309:5	
264 6:18	366:5,10	42 136:8	64 181:22	
268 6:21	311 8:3	424 4:4	658 151:23	
27 8:3 128:3	32 8:19 130:9	43 136:17	6950 3:8	
311:6,7	393:21 394:23	438 268:24	7	
2738 1:9 10:8	395:1	44 137:2		
277 177:20	320 8:5	45 137:18	7 4:23 53:11,12	
263:12	328 8:9	46 138:5	116:4 118:11	
2780 357:19	329 8:13	48 4:15 138:24	220:15 349:15	
378:3	33 8:23 130:18	334:21	70 83:21 283:1	
28 8:5 36:14,15	400:20,21	49 4:17 139:11	70s 40:20	
128:12 320:3	34 9:2 132:14		77 70:1,2 249:4	
320:11 327:11	406:8,9	5	8	
327:12	35 9:6 133:1	5 4:17 49:16,20	-	
281 7:3	409:13,16	117:8 201:14	8 5:3 81:8,24	
282 7:8	352 426:21	359:5 382:8	82:1 171:10,14	
287 7:13	36 9:12 133:14	5:38 416:21	402:8,9,17	
29 1:11 8:9	415:1,4	5:40 416:24	403:11 404:9	
36:15 129:1	36104 2:4	5:48 423:14	404:14,18	
328:24 329:3,4	366 8:16	50 4:20 77:1	80 24:16	
294 7:16	37 133:24 134:1	139:19 392:24	80220 2:12	
29th 10:4	38 134:15	50s 95:2	81 5:3,19	
2B 67:3 117:3	39 135:3	51 140:1 166:3		
179:14,18	393 8:19	52 140:7	9	
235:14,15	396 421:24	53 4:23 140:16	9 5:5 113:22,23	
		54 141:3	120:9 247:7,8	
3	4	55 141:21	247:8 271:16	
3 4:12 21:16	4 2:22 4:15	56 142:4	401:6	
23:16 47:21	48:11 64:4	57 142:13	9/19/18 8:9	
53:22 116:14	117:1 316:15	58 142:23	9/30/19 426:21	
151:23 182:9	403:15,16	59 143:14	9:03 1:19 10:5	
182:12 235:1,2	4:23 349:11	598 398:13	90 146:9	
247:5 263:15	4:24 349:14		901 157:1	
362:10 369:23	4:39 365:16	6	92660 2:9	
377:20 402:15	4:50 365:19	6 4:20 50:4,5	93 177:16	
3:26 310:19	4:55 370:16	117:22 148:13		
3:45 310:22	4:59 370:19	235:2 271:16		
30 8:13 117:9	40 24:22 116:3	6.1 180:5		
129:10 138:7	135:13 138:7	6.2 180:9		
235:2 329:17	231:2 232:7	6:03 423:17		
329:21 330:8	299:10	6:04 424:24		
364:24 392:2	400 2:15 8:23	425:2		
30 1.2 f 372.2	130 2.13 0.23			
_	=	-	•	